Diels—Alder reactions of 1,1,3-triarylallyl cations: determination of the free energy of concert † ‡

2 PR S

Claudia Fichtner § and Herbert Mayr*

Department Chemie, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Butenandtstr. 5–13 (Haus F), D-81377 München, Germany. E-mail: Herbert.Mayr@cup.uni-muenchen.de; Fax: int. +49 (0) 89-2180 7717

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 11th April 2002, Accepted 13th May 2002 First published as an Advance Article on the web 28th June 2002

1,1,3-Triarylallyl cations react with 1,3-dienes to give Diels-Alder products in low to moderate yields. The observed second-order rate constants agree with those calculated for the stepwise processes by the correlation $\log k(20 \,^{\circ}\text{C}) = s(N+E)$ [eqn. (1)] indicating the absence of a significant free energy of concert. Eqn. (1) can, therefore, also be employed for the prediction of absolute rate constants of Diels-Alder reactions of allyl cations.

Introduction

The acceleration of [4+2]-cycloadditions by acceptor groups in the dienophile (Scheme 1) has long been known and is

Scheme 1 Diels—Alder reaction of an acceptor-substituted dienophile with a diene.

usually referred to as the "Alder rule". PMO theory rationalises this phenomenon by the lowering of the LUMO of the dienophile and the reduction of the energy difference between HOMO (diene) and LUMO (dienophile). Relative reactivities of dienophiles have been explained in this way.

Recently we have demonstrated that the rates of the reactions of carbocations with nucleophiles are given by the three-parameter eqn. (1),⁴⁻⁶

$$\log k(20 \,^{\circ}\text{C}) = s(N + E) \tag{1}$$

where s = nucleophile-specific slope parameter, N = nucleophilicity parameter and E = electrophilicity parameter.

Since the allyl cations 1 can be considered as acceptor substituted dienophiles, 7-9 they represent a class of compounds where kinetic aspects of Diels–Alder reactions can be investigated by the use of the correlation eqn. (1).

For our analysis, the following line of arguments is used: if the cycloaddition of 1 with the 1,3-dienes 2 proceeds stepwise,

with rate-determining formation of **3a/b**, the observed reaction rate should be predictable by eqn. (1), since in this case electrophile and nucleophile combine with the formation of one new σ-bond, like the reactions of carbocations with olefins for which eqn. (1) was derived. ^{4,5} The cycloaddition will only proceed by a concerted mechanism if this reaction mode is energetically more favourable than the stepwise mechanism. The ratio between observed rate constant and rate constant for the hypothetical stepwise reaction calculated by eqn. (1) thus provides a measure for the "free energy of concert" ¹⁰ (Scheme 2). For calculating the rate of formation of **3a/b**, the

Scheme 2 Stepwise and concerted pathways of the Diels-Alder reactions of allyl cations 1 with dienes 2.

previously published N and s parameters of the 1,3-dienes 2 and the E parameters of the allyl cations 1a-d derived in the preceding article 11 can be used.

Results

Cycloaddition products

Combination of 1,3,3-triphenylprop-2-enyl acetate 5a with $ZnCl_2 \cdot OEt_2$ at -70 °C in dichloromethane gave a dark-red solution of 1a which reacted with isoprene (2a) and 2,3-

J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 2002, 1441-1444

[†] Dedicated to Professor W. Beck on the occasion of his 70th birthday.

[‡] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: rate constants and experimental conditions of the individual kinetic experiments. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/p2/b2/b203555c/

[§] Present address: CarboGen Laboratories, CH-5001 Aarau, Switzerland.

dimethylbuta-1,3-diene (**2b**) at -30 °C to afford the cyclohexene derivatives **6a** and **6b**, respectively (Scheme 3). Attempts to trap the intermediate cations **4** by addition of 2 equiv. of triethylamine-borane before aqueous work-up failed. It must, therefore, be concluded that deprotonation of the carbocations **4** to give **6a** and **6b** occurs under the conditions of the cycloadditions and not during aqueous work-up.

The analogous reactions of 3,3-dianisyl-1-phenylprop-2-enyl acetate **5b** with **2a** and **2b** were very slow, and the cyclohexenes **6c,d** were obtained in low yields after five days at -45 °C (Scheme 3). Raising of the temperature was not attempted because of the well-known tendency of the intermediate allyl cations **1a,b** to undergo electrocyclic ring-closure with formation of indanyl cations. ^{12,13} The 4-methylene-5-phenylcyclohex1-ene structure of compounds **6a–d** was recognised in the ¹H NMR spectra by the broad doublet absorption of the benzylic proton 5-H (δ 4.05–4.12) and the multiplets at δ 2.42–2.80 due to four allylic hydrogens (3-H₂, 6-H₂). Additional evidence comes from the ¹³C NMR spectra of **6a–d** with three sp³ and three sp² carbon resonances for the cyclohexene ring.

The low reactivity of the methyl substituted butadienes 2a,b towards 1b suggested that there would not be any chance to observe a reaction with the considerably less electrophilic ¹¹ allyl cations 1c,d. Actually, we have not even been able to isolate a product from 1c and the more nucleophilic 2-(trimethylsiloxy)buta-1,3-diene (N = 4.83, s = 0.90), ¹⁴ but the reactions of 1c,d-BF₄ with Danishefsky's diene 2c (N = 8.57, s = 0.84) ⁴ gave low to moderate yields of the cyclohexenones 7a (51%) and 7b (8%) (Scheme 4).

Me₃SiO

2c

$$CH_2Cl_2$$
 Me_2N
 NMe_2
 Ar
 Ar
 NMe_2
 Ar
 Ar
 NMe_2
 Ar
 Ar
 NMe_2
 Ar
 Ar

Kinetics

When the purple solution of 1a, generated in dichloromethane from 5a and $ZnCl_2 \cdot OEt_2$, was treated with 2a or 2b, the colour disappeared, and the decay of the absorbance of 1a at 510 nm was followed photometrically using the work-station described previously. Since the dienes 2a, were used in large excess over 1a, their concentrations remained almost constant during the reactions, and the pseudo-first-order rate constants k_{obs} could be divided by the concentration of the dienes to yield the second-order rate constants given in Tables S1–S3 of the

Supplementary Information. Because of the cyclisation 12,13 of 1a above -30 °C, all kinetic experiments were performed between -70 and -50 °C. The k(20 °C) values given in Table 1 were then extrapolated from the activation parameters determined in the low temperature range.

The slightly higher reactivity of **2b** over **2a** shown in Table 1 is comparable to the relative reactivities of these two dienes towards the 4-methoxy substituted benzhydryl cation $(k_{2b}/k_{2a}=1.8, -70 \, ^{\circ}\text{C})^{16}$ as well as towards the dienophiles maleic anhydride $(k_{2b}/k_{2a}=2.2, 30 \, ^{\circ}\text{C})^{17}$ and tetracyanoethylene $(k_{2b}/k_{2a}=32, 20 \, ^{\circ}\text{C})$. The activation entropies given in Table 1 closely resemble those determined for the reactions of the dienes **2a,b** and other terminal olefins with benzhydryl cations ¹⁶ as well as those of concerted Diels-Alder reactions of non-charged cycloaddends, ³ indicating that ΔS^{\ddagger} is not a reliable criterion to differentiate between concerted and stepwise mechanisms.

Rate constants for the reactions of **1b** with **2a,b** could not be determined. When **1b** was treated with 100 equiv. of **2b** (c = 35 mmol l⁻¹) at -50 °C (CH₂Cl₂) no change of the intensity of the absorbance of **1b** could be detected within one day.

Because of the lower tendency of 1c and 1d to undergo cyclisation, their reactions with Danishefsky's diene 2c could be investigated at 20 °C. Decolourisation of the green solution of 1c and the blue solution of 1d was followed photometrically at 675 nm and 600 nm, respectively, under pseudo-first-order conditions using the work-station described previously. ^{15,18} The second-order rate constants shown in Table 1 were obtained by averaging the results of several of kinetic experiments, which are documented in the Supplementary Information.

Discussion

The eventual formation of the cations 3a,b from the dienophiles 1 and the dienes 2 closely resembles the electrophilic additions of benzhydryl cations to these dienes. For that reason, the rate constants $k_{\rm calc}(20~{}^{\circ}{\rm C})$ for the one-bond attack of the allyl cations 1a-d at the dienes 2a-c to give 3 can be calculated by eqn. (1). One can recognise that the calculated rate constants listed in the last column of Table 1 agree with the experimentally obtained values within a factor of 3, i.e., the deviation is comparable to the standard deviation for predictions by eqn. (1) for reactions of these dienes with electrophiles other than benzhydryl cations.4 It is, therefore, suggested that compounds 6 and 7, consecutive products of the cations 4, are produced by stepwise processes *via* intermediates **3a,b** or analogous allyl cations. Possibly, these intermediates can also undergo alternative consecutive reactions and thus account for the low yields of products isolated in some cases. Competing stepwise and concerted cycloadditions of the allyl cations 1a-d with the dienes 2a-c are also in accord with the experimental findings.

The close similarity of $k_{\rm calc}$ and $k_{\rm exp}$ rules out transition states, however, that are considerably stabilised due to the simultaneous formation of two new σ -bonds. The reactions definitely do not have a high degree of concertedness. Theoretical investigations of the Diels-Alder reaction of the parent allyl cation with buta-1,3-diene came to the same conclusion.

The failure to observe allyl cation diene combinations with $k_{\rm calc} < 10^{-4}~\rm l~mol^{-1}~s^{-1}$ has an interesting consequence: obviously, a concerted mechanism cannot be turned on when the stepwise process becomes energetically unfavourable. For that reason, eqn. (1) can be used not only for the prediction of absolute rate constants for reactions of the allyl cations 1 with one-bond nucleophiles but also for predicting rate constants of their Diels–Alder reactions with 1,3-dienes.

Experimental

General

NMR spectra were recorded with Bruker ARX 300, Varian VRX 400S, and Varian INOVA-400 spectrometers. ¹H NMR

Table 1 Observed and calculated rate constants for the reactions of the 1,1,3-triarylallyl cations 1a-d with 1,3-dienes 2a-c (in CH₂Cl₂)

Diene	N^a	s^a	Dienophile	E^b	$\Delta H^{\ddagger}/\text{kJ mol}^{-1g}$	ΔS^{\ddagger} /J mol ⁻¹ K ^{-1 g}	$k_{\rm exp}(20 ^{\circ}{\rm C})/1 {\rm mol}^{-1} {\rm s}^{-1}$	$k_{\rm calc}(20 {}^{\circ}{\rm C})/1 {\rm mol}^{-1}{\rm s}^{-1}$
Isoprene (2a)	1.10	0.98	1a	+0.98	26.40 ± 0.66	-124.7 ± 3.0	3.68×10^{1d}	1.09×10^{2}
Isoprene (2a)	1.10	0.98	1b	-2.67	_	_	_	2.89×10^{-2}
2,3-Dimethylbutadiene (2b)	1.17^{e}	1.00^{e}	1a	+0.98	28.26 ± 1.04	-105.2 ± 4.8	1.79×10^{2d}	1.41×10^{2}
2,3-Dimethylbutadiene (2b)	1.17^{e}	1.00^{e}	1b	-2.67	_	_	f	3.16×10^{-2}
Danishefsky's diene (2c)	8.57	0.84	1c	-8.97	_	_	3.72×10^{-1}	4.61×10^{-1}
Danishefsky's diene (2c)	8.57	0.84	1d	-9.84	_	_	8.32×10^{-2}	8.57×10^{-2}

^a Nucleophilicity parameters N and s from ref. 4. ^b E parameters from ref. 11. ^c Calculated from eqn. (1) for the formation of **3a/b**. ^d Extrapolated from experiments at -70 to -50 °C. ^e From rate constant in ref. 16 using the parametrisation described in ref. 4. ^f Using similar concentrations as for the experiments with **1a**, no change of absorbance was detectable within 22 h at -50 °C. ^g Since the standard deviations of ΔH^{\ddagger} and ΔS^{\ddagger} do not include systematic errors, the actual uncertainties are greater than indicated in this column. For that reason the listed decimals are meaningless by themselves but are needed to reproduce the rate constants given.

chemical shifts refer to tetramethylsilane ($\delta_{\rm H}$ 0.00) and $^{13}{\rm C}$ NMR chemical shifts refer to the solvent as internal standard (CDCl₃: $\delta_{\rm C}$ 77.0). Coupling constants J are given in Hz. Mass spectra (EI, 70 eV) were obtained with a Varian MAT 90 or MAT 95 instrument. Melting points (uncorrected) were determined with a Reichert Thermovar apparatus.

The UV–VIS photometer used for the kinetic studies of reactions of **1a** was a Schölly KGS III with band-pass filters by Corion ¹⁵ whereas reactions of cations **1c** and **1d** were followed with a J&M TIDAS DAD connected to quartz-insertion probes by Hellma. ¹⁸ The kinetic measurements and the data-evaluation were carried out as described previously. ¹⁵

All reactions were performed with exclusion of moisture in an atmosphere of dry nitrogen in carefully dried Schlenk glassware. Dichloromethane was freshly distilled from CaH₂ before use.

The compounds **1a–d** and the precursors **5a,b** were generated as described before. Dichloromethane solutions of the Lewis acid ZnCl₂·OEt₂ were prepared with a molar Et₂O–ZnCl₂ ratio of 1.12 to achieve the highest catalytic activity according to the literature. Dienes **2a–c** are commercially available.

The kinetic measurements and the data-evaluation were carried out as described previously.^{11,15,16}

4-(Diphenylmethylene)-1-methyl-5-phenylcyclohex-1-ene (6a)

A solution of 5a (0.22 g, 0.67 mmol) in dichloromethane (10 ml) was cooled to -70 °C. Then a solution of ZnCl₂·OEt₂ in dichloromethane²¹ (1.0 ml, $c = 1.20 \text{ mol } 1^{-1}$) was added and the mixture was stirred for 10 min during which time its colour turned purple. After the addition of diene 2a (0.22 ml, 0.15 g, 2.2 mmol) the reaction mixture was stirred for 21 h at -30 °C. Then saturated aq. NaHCO₃ (10 ml) was added with stirring and the mixture was allowed to warm to ambient temperature. The aqueous phase was separated and extracted with dichloromethane (10 ml). The combined organic phases were dried over MgSO₄ and filtered, and the solvent was evaporated in vacuo to yield 268 mg of a colourless oil which consisted of a mixture of polymer and cyclic product 6a (yield 32%, as calculated from the ¹H NMR). A small amount of purified **6a** could be obtained by several recrystallisations from acetonitrile in which the polymer is poorly soluble, mp 121-123 °C (Found C, 92.50; H, 7.40. $C_{26}H_{24}$ requires C, 92.81; H, 7.19); δ_{H} (CDCl₃, 300 MHz)²² 1.80 (3 H, s, CH₃), 2.45–2.78 (4 H, m, 3-H₂ and 6-H₂), 4.09 (1 H, br d, J 5.5, 5-H), 5.25 (1 H, br s, 2-H), 7.09-7.37 (15 H, m, ArH); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (CDCl₃, 75.5 MHz)²² 23.34 (q, CH₃), 28.59 (t, C-3), 35.48 (t, C-6), 41.22 (d, C-5), 121.09 (d, C-2), 125.84, 126.34, 126.50, 126.90, 127.88, 128.08, 128.30, 129.53, 129.68 (9 d, Ar), 132.26, 136.02, 136.87, 142.42, 142.96, 143.98 (6 s).

4-(Diphenylmethylene)-1,2-dimethyl-5-phenylcyclohex-1-ene (6b)

Compound **5a** (0.28 g, 0.85 mmol), a dichloromethane solution of $ZnCl_2 \cdot OEt_2^{21}$ (1.00 ml, $c = 1.20 \text{ mol } 1^{-1}$), and **2b** (0.33 ml,

0.24 g, 2.9 mmol) were reacted as described above. Work-up gave a yellow oil which contained polymer and the cyclic product **6b** (yield 33%, as calculated from the 1 H NMR). A small amount of purified **6b** could be obtained by several recrystallisations from acetonitrile in which the polymer is poorly soluble, mp 128–130 °C (Found C, 92.30; H, 7.25. $C_{27}H_{26}$ requires C, 92.52; H, 7.48); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) 1.49, 1.76 (2 × 3 H, 2 s, 2 × CH₃), 2.44–2.72 (4 H, m, 3-H₂ and 6-H₂), 4.05 (1 H, br d, *J* 5.4, 5-H), 7.10–7.36 (15 H, m, ArH); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) 18.82, 18.92 (2 q, 2 × CH₃), 34.55, 36.94 (2 t, C-3 and C-6), 41.56 (d, C-5), 123.85, 125.63 (2 s, C-1 and C-2), 125.72, 126.29, 126.45, 126.93, 127.85, 128.03, 128.27, 129.60, 129.82 (9 d, Ar), 135.43, 137.73, 142.42, 143.04, 144.21 (5 s).

4-[Bis(4-methoxyphenyl)methylene]-1-methyl-5-phenylcyclohex-1-ene (6c)

A solution of **1b** was produced at -70 °C from **5b** (0.23 g, 0.59 mmol) and a dichloromethane solution of $ZnCl_2 \cdot OEt_2^{21}$ $(0.40 \text{ ml}, 0.77 \text{ mmol}, c = 1.914 \text{ mol } 1^{-1})$ in dichloromethane (10 ml) as described above. Then diene 2a (0.14 g, 2.1 mmol) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 5 d at -45 °C. Work-up as above gave the crude product which was further purified by chromatography on neutral alumina with n-hexanediethyl ether (20:1) as eluent to yield 24.2 mg of a mixture of polymer and 6c (yield 4%, as calculated from the ¹H NMR) as a pale yellow oil. $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃)²² 1.80 (3 H, s, CH₃), 2.46-2.80 (4 H, m, $3-H_2$ and $6-H_2$), 3.77, 3.80 (2 × 3 H, 2 s, 2 × OCH₃), 4.12 (1 H, br d, J 5.5, 5-H), 5.24 (1 H, br s, 2-H), 6.76-6.80, 6.85-6.89, 6.98-7.02 (3 × 2 H, 3 m, ArH), 7.12–7.26 (m, 7 H, ArH); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (100 MHz, CDCl₃)²² 23.34 (q, CH₃), 28.76 (t, C-3), 35.45 (t, C-6), 41.25 (d, C-5), 55.16, 55.20 $(2 \text{ q}, 2 \times \text{OCH}_3)$, 113.20, 113.67 (2 d, Ar), 121.24 (d, C-2), 125.76, 126.88, 128.03, 130.59, 130.85 (5 d, Ar), 132.20, 135.06, 135.24, 135.74, 135.96, 144.21, 158.01, 158.18 (8 s).

4-[Bis(4-methoxyphenyl)methylene]-1,2-dimethyl-5-phenyl-cyclohex-1-ene (6d)

Compound **5b** (0.22 g, 0.57 mmol), a dichloromethane solution of ZnCl₂·OEt₂²¹ (0.40 ml, 0.77 mmol, c = 1.914 mol l⁻¹), and diene **2b** (0.15 g, 1.8 mmol) were allowed to react for 5 d at -45 °C as described above for the formation of **6c**. Work-up as above yielded a crude product which was purified by chromatography on neutral alumina with *n*-hexane–diethyl ether (20:1) as eluent to give 39.5 mg of a mixture of polymer and **6d** (yield 9%, as calculated from the ¹H NMR) as a pale yellow oil. $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃)²² 1.49, 1.75 (2 × 3 H, 2 s, 2 × CH₃), 2.42–2.66 (4 H, m, 3-H₂ and 6-H₂), 3.77, 3.79 (2 × 3 H, 2 s, 2 × OCH₃), 4.08 (1 H, br d, *J* 5.5, 5-H), 6.77–6.81, 6.84–6.89, 6.99–7.03 (3 × 2 H, 3 m, ArH), 7.12–7.23 (7 H, m, ArH); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (100 MHz, CDCl₃)²² 18.82, 18.93 (2 q, 2 × CH₃), 34.73, 36.91 (2 t, C-3 and C-6), 41.57 (d, C-5), 55.13, 55.18 (2 q, 2 × OCH₃), 113.16, 113.63 (2 d, Ar), 123.78 (s, C-1 or C-2), 125.63 (d, Ar),

125.74 (s, C-1 or C-2), 126.90, 127.98, 130.64, 130.96 (4 d, Ar), 134.47, 135.24, 135.79, 136.81, 144.43, 157.94, 158.13 (7 s).

4-[Bis(4-dimethylaminophenyl)methylene]-5-phenylcyclohex-2-enone (7a)

A mixture of 1c-BF₄ (0.43 g, 0.97 mmol) and diene 2c (0.40 ml, 0.36 g, 2.1 mmol) in dichloromethane (10 ml) was stirred for 1 h at ambient temperature. After addition of 2 M NaOH (10 ml) the aqueous phase was separated and extracted with dichloromethane (3 \times 10 ml). The combined organic layers were dried (Na₂SO₄), filtered, and the solvent was evaporated in vacuo. The crude product was purified by column chromatography on neutral alumina with n-hexane-ethyl acetate (3:2) as eluent to yield **7a** as a brown solid (0.21 g, 51%), mp >90 °C (decomp.); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃)²² 2.79 (1 H, d with fine-coupling, ²J 16.0, 6-H), 2.90, 2.99 (2 × 6 H, 2 s, 2 × NMe₂), 3.07 (1 H, dd, ${}^{2}J$ 16.0, ³J 5.7, 6-H), 4.38 (1 H, br d, J 5.7, 5-H), 5.83 (1 H, dd, J 10.0, J 0.9, 2-H), 6.47 (2 H, AA'BB' system with J_{AB} 9.0, ArH), 6.67 (2 H, AA'BB' system with $J_{\rm AB}$ 8.8, ArH), 6.87 (2 H, AA'BB' system with $J_{\rm AB}$ 9.0, ArH), 7.07 (2 H, AA'BB' system with J_{AB} 8.8, ArH), 7.16–7.21 (1 H, m, ArH), 7.22–7.29 (4 H, m, ArH), 7.47 (1 H, dd, J 10.0, J 1.4, 3-H); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (100 MHz, CDCl₃)²² 40.08, 40.19 (2 q, 2 × NMe₂), 45.53 (d, C-5), 45.65 (t, C-6), 110.91, 111.02 (2 d, Ar), 124.85 (d, C-2), 126.14, 127.78 (2 d, Ar), 128.38 (br s), 128.42 (d, Ar), 129.23, 128.88 (2 s), 132.66, 130.93 (2 d, Ar), 143.66 (s), 148.14 (d, C-3), 149.90, 150.27 (2 s), 198.06 (s, C-1); m/z (EI): 422 (M⁺, 100%), 345 (6), 253 (11).

4-[Bis(4-dimethylaminophenyl)methylene]-5-(4-dimethylaminophenyl)cyclohex-2-enone (7b)

A mixture of 1d-BF₄ (0.50 g, 1.03 mmol) and diene 2c (0.40 ml, 0.36 g, 2.1 mmol) in dichloromethane (10 ml) was stirred for 1 h at ambient temperature. Work-up and chromatographic purification as described for the isolation of 7a gave an orange oil which could be crystallised from a dichloromethanepentane mixture to give yellow needles of 7b (35.8 mg, 8%); mp >92 °C (decomp.); $\delta_{\rm H}$ (400 MHz, CDCl₃)²² 2.74–3.10 (2 H, m, superimposed by signals of NMe₂, 6-H₂), 2.918, 2.920, 3.004 $(3 \times 6 \text{ H}, 3 \text{ s}, 3 \times \text{NMe}_2), 4.31 (1 \text{ H}, \text{ br d}, J4.7, 5-\text{H}), 5.81 (1 \text{ H},$ dd, J 10.0, J 0.9, 2-H), 6.50 (2 H, AA'BB' system with J_{AB} 9.0, ArH), 6.66–6.69 (4 H, m, ArH), 6.94 (2 H, AA'BB' system with J_{AB} 9.0, ArH), 7.07 (2 H, AA'BB' system with J_{AB} 9.0, ArH), 7.12 (2 H, AA'BB' system with J_{AB} 8.8, ArH), 7.42 (1 H, dd, J 10.0, J 1.4, 3-H); $\delta_{\rm C}$ (100 MHz, CDCl₃)²² 40.20, 40.31, 40.69 $(3 \text{ q}, 3 \times \text{NMe}_2), 44.61 \text{ (d, C-5)}, 45.81 \text{ (t, C-6)}, 111.03, 111.12,$ 112.89 (3 d, Ar), 124.94 (d, C-2), 128.49 (d, Ar), 129.22, 129.43, 129.59 (3 s), 131.12 (d, Ar), 131.54 (s), 132.73 (d, Ar), 148.15 (d, C-3), 149.02, 149.16, 149.90, 150.25 (4 s), 198.76 (s, C-1); m/z (EI) 465 (M⁺, 100%), 253 (15) (HRMS: Found m/z 465.2747. $C_{31}H_{35}N_3O$ requires 465.2780).

Acknowledgements

Financial support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie is gratefully acknowledged. We thank Clemens Schlierf (München) for experimental assistance.

References

- K. Alder, Experientia Supplementum II, 1955, 86, as quoted in J. Sauer, Angew. Chem., 1967, 79, 76; J. Sauer, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1967, 6, 16.
- 2 I. Fleming, Frontier Orbitals and Organic Chemical Reactions, Wiley, Chichester, 1976.
- 3 J. Sauer and R. Sustmann, Angew. Chem., 1980, 92, 773; J. Sauer and R. Sustmann, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1980, 19, 779.
- 4 H. Mayr, T. Bug, M. F. Gotta, N. Hering, B. Irrgang, B. Janker, B. Kempf, R. Loos, A. R. Ofial, G. Remennikov and H. Schimmel, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2001, **123**, 9500.
- 5 (a) H. Mayr and M. Patz, Angew. Chem., 1994, 106, 990; H. Mayr and M. Patz, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1994, 33, 938; (b) H. Mayr, O. Kuhn, M. F. Gotta and M. Patz, J. Phys. Org. Chem., 1998, 11, 642; (c) H. Mayr, M. Patz, M. F. Gotta and A. R. Ofial, Pure Appl. Chem., 1998, 70, 1993.
- R. Lucius, R. Loos and H. Mayr, Angew. Chem., 2002, 114, 97;
 R. Lucius, R. Loos and H. Mayr, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2002, 41, 91
- 7 (a) H. Vathke-Ernst and H. M. R. Hoffmann, Chem. Ber., 1981, 114, 1464; (b) H. M. R. Hoffmann and H. Vathke-Ernst, Chem. Ber., 1981, 114, 2208; (c) review: H. M. R. Hoffmann, Angew. Chem., 1984, 96, 29; H. M. R. Hoffmann, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1984, 23, 1.
- For intramolecular Diels-Alder reactions of allyl cations, see (a)
 P. G. Gassman and D. A. Singleton, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1984, 106, 6085; (b)
 P. G. Gassman and D. A. Singleton, J. Org. Chem., 1986, 51, 3075; (c)
 P. G. Gassman and D. G. Gorman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, 112, 8623; (d)
 P. G. Gassman and D. G. Gorman, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, 112, 8624; (e)
 D. B. Gorman and P. G. Gassman, J. Org. Chem., 1995, 60, 977.
- 9 For Diels-Alder reactions of heteroatom-stabilized allyl cations, see (a) P. G. Gassman, D. A. Singleton, J. J. Wilwerding and S. P. Chavan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1987, 109, 2182; (b) P. A. Grieco, M. D. Kaufman, J. F. Daeuble and N. Saito, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1996, 118, 2095; (c) P. A. Grieco and Y. Dai, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1998, 120, 5128; (d) P. A. Grieco and M. D. Kaufman, Tetrahedron Lett., 1999, 40, 1265.
- 10 W. v. E. Doering, W. R. Roth, R. Breuckmann, L. Figge, H.-W. Lennartz, W.-D. Fessner and H. Prinzbach, *Chem. Ber.*, 1988, 121, 1.
- 11 H. Mayr, C. Fichtner and A. R. Ofial, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 2002, preceding paper (DOI: 10.1039/b203554e).
- 12 C. U. Pittman and W. G. Miller, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1973, 95, 2947.
- 13 A. C. Hopkinson, E. Lee-Ruff, T. W. Toone, P. G. Khazanie and L. H. Dao, J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2, 1979, 1395.
- 14 J. Burfeindt, M. Patz, M. Müller and H. Mayr, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1998, **120**, 3629 with *N* and *s* adjusted to the revised parameter set in ref. 4.
- 15 H. Mayr, R. Schneider, C. Schade, J. Bartl and R. Bederke, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, 112, 4446.
- 16 H. Mayr, R. Schneider, B. Irrgang and C. Schade, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, 112, 4454.
- 17 C. Rücker, D. Lang, J. Sauer, H. Friege and R. Sustmann, *Chem. Ber.*, 1980, **113**, 1663.
- 18 For further details, see A. D. Dilman, S. L. Ioffe and H. Mayr, J. Org. Chem., 2001, 66, 3196.
- 19 For related mechanistic analyses of other Diels-Alder reactions, see (a) H. Mayr, A. R. Ofial, J. Sauer and B. Schmied, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2000, 2013; (b) H. Mayr and J. Henninger, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 1998, 1919; (c) M. Hartnagel, K. Grimm and H. Mayr, Liebigs Ann. Chem., 1997, 71.
- 20 B. de Pascual-Teresa and K. N. Houk, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1996, 37, 1759
- 21 (a) H. Mayr and G. Hagen-Bartl, in *Encyclopedia of Reagents for Organic Reactions*, ed. L. A. Paquette, Wiley, New York, 1995, vol. 8, pp. 5552–5554; (b) H. Mayr and W. Striepe, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1985, 50, 2995.
- 22 Signal assignments are based on ¹H, ¹³C-HETCOR experiments.