Accepted Manuscript

Transition metal-free protodecarboxylation of electron rich aromatic acids under mild conditions

Jingxian Fang, Dangui Wang, Guo-Jun Deng, Hang Gong

S0040-4039(17)31316-3 https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2017.10.036 TETL 49398
Tetrahedron Letters
11 August 2017
12 October 2017 14 October 2017



Please cite this article as: Fang, J., Wang, D., Deng, G-J., Gong, H., Transition metal-free protodecarboxylation of electron rich aromatic acids under mild conditions, *Tetrahedron Letters* (2017), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet. 2017.10.036

This is a PDF file of an unedited manuscript that has been accepted for publication. As a service to our customers we are providing this early version of the manuscript. The manuscript will undergo copyediting, typesetting, and review of the resulting proof before it is published in its final form. Please note that during the production process errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

Graphical Abstract

To create your abstract, type over the instructions in the template box below. Fonts or abstract dimensions should not be changed or altered.





Tetrahedron Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com

Transition metal-free protodecarboxylation of electron rich aromatic acids under mild conditions

Jingxian Fang⁺, Dangui Wang⁺, Guo-Jun Deng, and Hang Gong^{*}

The Key Laboratory of Environmentally Friendly Chemistry and Application of the Ministry of Education, College of Chemistry, Xiangtan University, Xiangtan 411105, China. E-mail: <u>hgong@xtu.edu.cn</u>

ARTICLE INFO

Received in revised form

Article history: Received

Available online

Decarboxylation Metal-free Aromatic acid Halogenation

Accepted

Keywords:

ABSTRACT

A mild and practical method for the transition metal-free protodecarboxylation of aromatic acids using readily available and safe sodium persulfate as initiator was described. This environmentfriendly decarboxylation approach was performed at 60 °C in ethanol and could easily scale up to the gram level with a good yield. In Particular, the tandem reactions of decarboxylation and halogenation were achieved by the addition of the corresponding halogenating reagents to the reaction system.

2009 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

Inexpensive and readily available carboxylic acids often act as effective, green coupling partners to replace expensive and hard-to-preserve organometallic reagents in C-C bond formation reactions.¹ In such reactions, aromatic synthons are formed after decarboxylation and subsequently coupled with various coupling partners.² The decarboxylation of aromatic acids also plays a key role in oxidative coupling reactions, such as Heck-type reactions,³ C-H direct arylation,⁴ C-S formation,⁵ amination,⁶ allylation,⁷ conjugate addition,⁸ and other reactions.⁹

However, these decarboxylation reactions currently suffer from the required harsh conditions because of the formation of unstable intermediates. Metal-catalyzed/promoted reactions at high temperature are the most common methods of aromatic acid protocarboxylation (Scheme 2, **A**).¹⁰ Various transition metals, such as Cu, Ag, and Au, are applied in these strategies, and a reaction temperature exceeding 120 °C^{10a–d} or even 160 °C^{10e-i} is required. These transformations are also achieved under relatively mild reaction conditions in the presence of transition



Scheme 1. Decarboxylative coupling/addition reactions.



Scheme 2. Methods for the protodecarboxylation of aromatic acids and our strategy.

metals, such as Pd,^{11a,b} Rh,^{11c} Ag,^{11d,e} Cu,^{11f} Ir^{11g} and Hg,^{11h,i} which can reduce the reaction temperature to 70 °C–120 °C (Scheme 2, **B**). In particular, Hg-mediated decarboxylation can be achieved under room temperature, but the requirement of stoichiometric amounts of highly toxic mercury salts is extremely harmful.^{11g,h} Additionally, an increasing concern remains in pharmaceuticals and materials because of the difficulty of completely removing metal catalysts.¹² The metal-free procedure for this transformation is particularly advantageous (Scheme 2, **C**). In 2016, Miyake reported the DBU-catalyzed protodecarboxylation of aromatic acids, in which a high reaction temperature reaching 190 °C–200 °C is required.^{13a} Strong acids, such as CF₃CO₂H, and Nafion-H, reportedly promote protodecarboxylation, and the reaction requires a reaction

Tetrahedron Letters

Table 1. Selected optimization results.^a

OM0

2

	CO ₂ H Na ₂ S ₂ O	8(1 equiv.)	H
MeO	OMe solvent (1 mL), T °C, air, 24 h Mei	OMe
	1a		2a
Entry	Solevent (mL)	T (°C)	Yield(%)
1	CH ₃ CN	60	18
2	H_2O	60	41
3	EtOAc	60	7
4	DCM	60	90
5	toluene	60	88
6	acetone	60	34
7	EtOH	60	92
8 ^b	EtOH/H ₂ O	60	43
9°	EtOH	60	85
10^{d}	EtOH	60	90
11 ^e	EtOH	60	7
12	EtOH	50	7
13	EtOH	70	70
$14^{\rm f}$	EtOH	60	88
15 ^g	EtOH	60	92(85)

 a Unless otherwise noted, all reactions were conducted on a 0.1 mmol scale with 1 equiv. $Na_2S_2O_8$ in a sealed tube in 1 mL solvent under air at 60 °C for 24 h. Yields are detected by 1H NMR using CH₃NO₂ as internal standard. b EtOH:H₂O = 1:1 (v:v). c 0.5 equiv. $Na_2S_2O_8$ is used. d 1.5 equiv. $Na_2S_2O_8$ is used. w Without $Na_2S_2O_8$. f Reaction time is 12h. g Reaction time is 18h. The isolated yield was given in brackets.

temperature of 162 °C and 100 °C, respectively.^{13bc} Moreover, the corrosiveness of strong acid should not be ignored. Interestingly, the enzyme-catalyzed decarboxylation of aromatic acids was also reported by Nag.^{13de} They conducted the reactions in the juice of cucumber and fruits at room temperature respectively, and several phenolic acids were converted to the corresponding phenolic compounds. However, the substrate limitation was significant, and only three to four phenolic acids were achieved.

With this background, the development of metal-free protodecarboxylation of aromatic acids under safe, environmentally friendly, and mild conditions continues to attract attention. In this paper, a persulfate-induced strategy for the metal-free protodecarboxylation of aromatic acids in ethanol under mild reaction conditions is described (Scheme 2, **D**).

2. Results and discussion

The direct C-H trifluoromethylation of arene in wateracetonitrile was recently reported by our group.¹⁴ When 2,4,6trimethoxybenzoic acid was used as substrate, no trifluoromethyl group-substituted benzoic acid was detected, but the protodecarboxylation product was found at excellent yield (GC yield = 99%). Encouraged by this result, we immediately investigated the protodecarboxylation of aromatic acid.

First, various solvents were screened using 2,4,6trimethoxybenzoic acid as substrate and sodium persulfate (1.0 equiv) as initiator at 60 °C in an air atmosphere (Table 1, entries 1–8). Excellent yield was achieved when dichloromethane, toluene, or ethanol was used as solvent (entries 4, 5, and 7). Considering the environmental impact and price, ethanol was selected as solvent in this conversion. The amount of sodium persulfate was studied (entries 7, 9–11). A high yield of 85% still could be obtained when 0.5 equiv. of sodium persulfate was used. The result did not improve with further increase in the

Table 2. Decarboxylative of benzoic acid derivatives.^a



 a Unless otherwise noted, all reactions were conducted on a 0.1 mmol scale with Na₂S₂O₈ (1 equiv.) in a sealed tube in C₂H₅OH (1 mL) under an atmosphere of air for 18 h. The green star marked position is the original location of carboxyl. The isolated yield were presented.

amount of sodium persulfate. In particular, this transformation could not be conducted in the absence of sodium persulfate (entry 11). Afterward, the reaction temperature was checked (entries 7, 12, and 13). The reaction was found to be sensitive to temperature; a markedly low yield was obtained when the reaction temperature was reduced or increased (entries 12 and 13). The reaction was finished within 18 h (entries 14 and 15).

With the reliable protodecarboxylation of aromatic acids in hand [sodium persulfate (1.0 equiv.) at 60 °C in ethanol (1 mL) under air for 18 h], the substrate scope was then explored (Table 2). The reaction proceeded well when trimethoxy-substituted aromatic acids were used as substrates. The desired products were obtained at good to excellent yields (**2a–j**). However, this transformation was highly dependent on the electronic degree of the substrate. Even when a single methoxy group was changed to acetoxy group, the yield sharply decreased to 30% (**2k**). With 2,6-dimethoxybenzoic acid as substrate, no corresponding product could be found (**2l**). The results of other less electronrich aromatic acids are also given in Table S1. None of the aromatic acids could achieve protodecarboxylation.

This reaction could be easily scaled up to the half-gram level using 1a (0.5 g, 2.36 mmol) as substrate, and $Na_2S_2O_8$ (0.56 g, 1.0 equiv.) in ethanol (24 mL) was stirred in a sealed tube in air atmosphere at 60 °C for 5 days. A good yield of 68% was achieved (Scheme 3).



Scheme 3. Half-gram protodecarboxylation reaction of 1a.

This reaction was assumed to be a radical process. The radical inhibition experiment was conducted. As shown in Scheme 4, the reaction was almost terminated by adding 1 equiv. of benzoquinone into the reaction system, and the yield of the protodecarboxylation product sharply decreased to 24%. Based on these results, this transformation proceeded via a radical



Scheme 4. Radical inhibition experiments.



Scheme 5. The proposed mechanism for the protodecarboxylation of aromatic acid.

procedure.

According to our previous reports on the direct C-H trifluoromethylation of arene,¹⁴ we proposed the possible reaction mechanism, which included a radical initial, followed by radical decarboxylation to form a phenyl radical, and protonation to gather the corresponding aromatic compounds (Scheme 5).

As an extension of application, the decarboxylation and halogenation tandem reactions of aromatic acid were attempted (Scheme 6). As expected, these tandem reactions proceeded well under the standard reaction conditions with the addition of the corresponding halogenating reagents (1.5 equiv). We are convinced that these decarboxylated halogenation tandem reactions may improve after further investigation. These results demonstrate the potential application of the decarboxylation reaction in multi-step tandem reactions.



Scheme 6. Decarboxylation and halogenation tandem reactions of **1a**. ¹H NMR yields were given.

3. Conclusions

This paper describes a practical method for the metal-free protodecarboxylation of aromatic acids utilizing readily available sodium persulfate as initiator under mild, environmentally friendly conditions. This transformation offers the advantages of metal-free, ligand-free, mild reaction conditions; scalable and simple operation; use of ethanol as solvent; air compatibility; and environmental friendliness. Notably, the decarboxylation and halogenation tandem reactions of aromatic acid were achieved, and further investigations are currently underway in our group.

4. Experimental Section

A typical experimental procedure: A solution of aromatic acid (0.1 mmol), Na₂S₂O₈ (1.0 equiv.) in ethanol (1mL) was stirred in a sealed tube under air atmosphere at 60 °C for 18 h. The reaction mixture was then evaporated in *vacuo*. The residue was purified by preparative thin-layer chromatography on silica gel with ethyl acetate and petroleum ether to obtain the pure product.

Acknowledgments

We are grateful to the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21402168), Scientific Research Foundation of Hunan Provincial Education Department (No. 15B232) and Hunan 2011 Collaborative Innovation Center of Chemical Engineering & Technology with Environmental Benignity and Effective Resource Utilization for their support of our research.

References and notes

1.

3.

(a) Goossen, L. J.; Deng, G.; Levy, L. M. Science 2006, 313, 662-664; (b) Goossen, L. J.; Rodriguez, N.; Melzer, B.; Linder, C.; Deng, G.; Levy, L. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 4824-4833; (c) Baudoin, O. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 1373-1375; (d) Tanaka, D.; Romeril, S. T.; Myers, A. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 10323-10333; (e) Haugeberg, B. J.; Phan, J. H.; Liu, X.; O'Connor, T. J.; Clift, M. D. Chem. Commun. 2017, 53, 3062-3065; (f) Lan, C.-L.; Chen, S.-L. J. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 9289-9295; (g) Capaldo, L.; Buzzetti, L.; Merli, D.; Fagnoni, M.; Ravelli, D. J. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 7102-7109; (h) Lu, S.; Gong, Y.; Zhou, D. J. Org. Chem. 2015, 80, 9336-9341; (i) Oliver-Tomas, B.; Gonell, F.; Pulido, A.; Renz, M.; Boronat, M. Catal. Sci. Technol. 2016, 6, 5561-5566; (j) Yu, J.; Mao, R.; Wang, Q.; Wu, J. Org. Chem. Front. 2017, 4, 617-621: (k) Yuan, J.-W.; Yang, L.-R.; Mao, P.; Qu, L.-B. Org. Chem. Front. 2017, 4, 545-554; (1) Perry, G. J. P.; Larrosa, I. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2017, 2017, 3517-3527 (a) Bhadra, S.; Dzik, W. I.; Gooßen, L. J. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 2959-2962; (b) Bhadra, S.; Dzik, W. I.; Goossen, L. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 9938-9941; (c) Shang, R.; Fu, Y.; Wang, Y.; Xu, Q.; Liu, H.-Z. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 9350-9354; (d) Goossen, L. J.; Rodríguez, N.; Linder, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 15248-15249; (e) Gooßen, L. J.; Rodríguez, N.; Gooßen, K. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 3100-3120; (f) Gooßen, L. J.; Zimmermann, B.; Knauber, T. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 7103-7106; (g) Becht, J.-M.; Drian, C. L. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 3161-3164; (h) Forgione, P.; Brochu, M.-C.; St-Onge, M.; Thesen, K. H.; Bailey, M. D.; Bilodeau, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 11350-11351: (i) Li, H.-H.; Bai, F.; Yan, H.; Lu, C.-S. Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 862-865: (j) Hackenberger, D.; Weber, P.; Blakemore, D. C.; Goossen, L. J. J. Org. Chem. 2017, 82, 3917-3925; (k) Tang, J.; Gooßen, L. J. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 2664-2667; (1) Gigant, N.; Chausset-Boissarie, L.; Gillaizeau, I. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 816-819; (m) Shang, R.; Xu, Q.; Jiang, Y.-Y.; Wang, Y.; Liu, L. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 1000-1003; (n) Mitchell, D.; Coppert, D. M.; Moynihan, H. A.; Lorenz, K. T.; Kissane, M.; McNamara, O. A.; Maguire, A. R. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2011, 15, 981-985; (o) Fu, Z.; Huang, S.; Kan, J.; Su, W.; Hong, M. Dalton Trans. 2010, 39, 11317-11321. (a) Myers, A. G.; Tanaka, D.; Mannion, M. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 11250-11251; Samir, K. (b) Hossian, A.; B.: Jana, R. Л. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 2521-2533; (c) Fu, Z.; Huang, S.; Su, W.; Hong, M. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 4992-4995: (d) Hu, P.; Kan, J.; Su, W.; Hong, M. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 2341-2344: (e) Maehara, A.; Tsurugi, H.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 1159-1162; (f) Wang, J.; Cui, Z.; Zhang, Y.; Li, H.; Wu, L.-M.; Liu, Z. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2011, 9, 663-666. (a) Kan, J.; Huang, S.; Lin, J.; Zhang, M.; Su, W. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 2199-2203; (b) Wang, C.; Piel, I.; Glorius, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 4194-4195; (c) Seo, S.; Slater, M.; Greaney, M. F. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 2650-2653:

(d) Voutchkova, A.; Coplin, A.; Leadbeater, N. E.; Crabtree, R. H. Chem. Commun. 2008, 6312–6314;

(e) Luo, H.-Q.; Dong, W.; Loh, T.-P. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2013**, *54*, 2833–2836.

Tetrahedron Letters

(a) Shen, C.; Zhang, P.; Sun, Q.; Bai, S.; Andy Hor, T. S.; Liu, 5. X. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2015, 44, 291-314; (b) Li, M.; Hoover, J. M. Chem. Commun. 2016, 52, 8733-8736; (c) Xia, C.; Wei, Z.; Yang, Y.; Yu, W.; Liao, H.; Shen, C.; Zhang, P. Chem.-An Asian J. 2016, 11, 360-366; (d) Duan, Z.; Ranjit, S.; Zhang, P.; Liu, X. Chem. Eur. J. 2009, 15, 3666-3669: (e) Fu, Z.; Li, Z.; Xiong, Q.; Cai, H. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 2014, 7798-7802. (a) Dai, Q.; Li, P.; Ma, N.; Hu, C. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 5560-5563; 6. (b) Zhang Y.; Patel, S.; Mainolfi, N. Chem. Sci. 2012, 3, 3196-3199: (c) Sheng, W.-J.; Ye, Q.; Yu, W.-B.; Liu, R.-R.; Xu, M.; Gao, J.-R.; Jia, Y.-X. Tetrahedron Lett. 2015, 56, 599-601. (a) Hossian, A.; Singha, S.; Jana, R. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 3934-7. 3937: (b) Pfister, K. F.; Grünberg, M. F.; Gooßen, L. J. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2014, 356, 3302-3306. Sun, Z.-M.; Zhao, P. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 6726-6730. 8 9. (a) Fu, Z.; Li, Z.; Song, Y.; Yang, R.; Liu, Y.; Cai, H. J. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 2794-2803; (b) Fu, Z.; Li, Z.; Xiong, Q.; Cai, H. RSC Adv. 2015, 5, 52101-52104 10. (a) Dupuy, S.; Nolan, S. P. Chem. Eur. J. 2013, 19, 14034-14038; (b) Lee, D.; Chang, S. Chem. Eur, J. 2015, 21, 5364-5368; (c) Li, Z.; Fu, Z.; Zhang, H.; Long, J.; Song, Y.; Cai, H. New J. Chem. 2016, 40, 3014-3018; (d) Keßler, M. T.; Gedig, C.; Sahler, S.; Wand, P.; Robkea, S.; Prechtl, M. H. G. Catal. Sci. Technol. 2013, 3, 992-1001; (e) Cornella, J.; Sanchez, C.; Banawa, D.; Larrosa, I. Chem. Commun. 2009, 7176-7178; (f) Gooßen, L. J.; Linder, C.; Rodríguez, N.; Lange, P. P.; Fromm, A. Chem. Commun. 2009, 7173-7175; (g) Chodowska-Palicka, J.; Nilsson, M. Acta Chem. Scand. 1970, 24, 3353-3361; (h) Cairneross, A.; Roland, J. R.; Henderson, R. M.; Sheppard, W. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 3187-3189; (i) Cohen, T.; Schambach, R. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 3189-3190;

(j) Cohen, T.; Berninger, R. W.; Wood, J. T. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43.837-848:

(k) Gooßen, L. J.; Thiel, W. R.; Rodríguez, N.; Linder, C.; Melzer, B. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2007, 349, 2241-2246.

11. (a) Dickstein, J. S.; Mulrooney, C. A.; Brien, E. M.; Morgan, B. J.; Kozlowski, M. C. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 2441-2444; (b) Dickstein, J. S.; Curto, J. M.; Gutierrez, O.; Mulrooney, C. A.; Kozlowski, M. C. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 4744-4761; (c) Sun, Z.-M.; Zhang, J.; Zhao, P. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 992-995; (d) Jafarpour, F.; Jalalimanesh, N.; Olia, M. B. A.; Kashani, A. O. Tetrahedron 2010, 66, 9508-9511;

(e) Seo, S.; Taylor, J.; Greaney, M. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 8270-8272;

(f) Hackenberger, D.; Song, B.; Grünberg, M. F.; Farsadpouf, S.; Menges, F.; Kelm, H.; Groß, C.; Wolff, T.; Niedner-Schatteburg, G.; Thiel, W. R.; Gooßen, L. J. ChemCatChem 2015, 7, 3579-3588;

(g) Candish, L.; Freitag, M.; Gensch, T.; Glorius, F. Chem. Sci. 2017, 8, 3618-3622;

(h) Gilman, H.; Wright, G. F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1933, 55, 3302-3314;

(i) Deacon, G. B.; O'Donoghue, M. F.; Stretton, G. N.; Miller, J. M. J. Organomet. Chem. 1982, 233, c1-c3.

- 12. Sun, C.-L.; Shi, Z.-J. Chem. Rev. 2014, 114, 9219-9280.
- 13. (a) Miyake, M.; Shimizu, M.; Tsuji, K.; Ikeda, K. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2016, 20, 86-89;

(b) Keumi, T.; Morita, T.; Inui, Y.; Teshima, N.; Kitajima, H. Synthesis, 1985, 979-980;

(c) Olah, G. A.; Laali, K.; Mehrotra, A. K. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48 3360-3362

(d) Maity, H. S.; Misra, K.; Mahata, T.; Nag, A. RSC Adv. 2016, 6, 24446-24450;

(e) Misra, K.; Maity, H. S.; Chanda, S.; Nag, A. J. Mol. Catal. B: Enzym. 2012, 82, 92-95.

Wang, D.; Deng, G.-J.; Chen, S.; Gong, H. Green Chem. 2016, 18, 14. 5967-5970.

4

Highlights

- 1. Scalable
- 2. ethanol as solvent
- 3. mild reaction conditions (60 °C)
- Acception 4. transition metal-free, ligand-free

Tetrahedron

A mild, practical method for the catalyst-free protodecarboxylation of aromatic acids by using $Na_2S_2O_8$ as initiator in ethanol was described.

scalable ethanol as solvent mild reaction conditions transition metal-free, ligand-free

6