

Excess Substrate is a Spectator Ligand in a Rhodium-Catalyzed Asymmetric [2 + 2 + 2] Cycloaddition of Alkenyl Isocyanates with Tolanes


Mark Emil Oinen, Robert T. Yu, and Tomislav Rovis*

Department of Chemistry, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, Colorado 80523

rovis@lamar.colostate.edu

Received September 8, 2009

ABSTRACT



Excess substrate has been identified as an unintended spectator ligand affecting enantioselectivity in the [2 + 2 + 2] cycloaddition of alkenyl isocyanates with tolanes. Replacement of excess substrate with an exogenous additive affords products with consistent and higher ee's. The increase in enantioselectivity is the result of a change in composition of a proposed rhodium(III) intermediate on the catalytic cycle. The net result is a rational probe of a short-lived rhodium(III) intermediate and gives insight that may have applications in many rhodium-catalyzed reactions.

The efficacy of transition-metal-catalyzed transformations relies ultimately on the ability to fine-tune the chemical environment of a metal catalyst in different ways. One can alter catalyst activity both electronically and sterically by manipulating the ligand environment, which can lead to changes in product-, chemo-, regio-, and enantioselectivity. Understanding the mechanistic details of these observed changes can lead to synthetically useful solutions resulting from manipulation of unobserved reaction intermediates. Additives have been recognized as indispensable tools in an effort “towards perfect asymmetric catalysis”.¹ A handful of reports describe changes in selectivity with the introduction of spectator (chiral or achiral) ligands, but the source of these effects remains unaddressed.^{2,3} Herein, we describe an extraordinary example of substrate-dependent enantioselectivity in the [2 + 2 + 2] cycloaddition of tolanes and alkenyl isocyanates. Investigation of this substrate depen-

dence implicated participation of excess alkyne as a spectator ligand, a possibility that has not been recognized. This insight inspired us to introduce an exogenous spectator ligand to standardize enantioselectivity across a range of substrates. The role of rhodium(III) coordination chemistry in the enantioselectivity of this reaction suggests that manipulation of these intermediates may improve other rhodium catalyzed reactions.⁴


(2) Additives have been previously implicated as affecting enantioselectivity as well as product selectivity of Rh-catalyzed processes. (a) Duursma, A.; Hoen, R.; Schuppan, J.; Hulst, R.; Minnaard, A. J.; Feringa, B. L. *Org. Lett.* **2003**, 5, 3111. (b) Hoen, R.; Boogers, J. A. F.; Bernsmann, H.; Minnaard, A. J.; Meetsma, A.; Tiemersma-Wegman, T.; de Vries, A. H. M.; de Vries, J. G.; Feringa, B. L. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2005**, 44, 4209. (c) Selvakumar, K.; Valentini, M.; Pregosin, P. S.; Albinati, A. *Organometallics* **1999**, 18, 4591. (d) Evans, P. A.; Nelson, J. D. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1998**, 39, 1725.

(3) For reviews on the use of the use of both chiral and achiral pairs of ligands, see: (a) Reetz, M. T. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2008**, 47, 2556. For a supramolecular approach, see: (b) Breit, B. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2005**, 44, 6816.

(4) Evans, P. A. *Modern Rhodium-Catalyzed Organic Reactions*; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2005.

(1) Vogl, E. M.; Groger, H.; Shibasaki, M. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **1999**, 38, 1570.

In the context of our recent studies on asymmetric rhodium-catalyzed [2 + 2 + 2] cycloadditions involving alkenyl isocyanates,^{5,6} we had occasion to study diarylacetylenes (tolanes). The advent of GUIPHOS (**L1**) proved crucial for obtaining high enantioselectivities with product selectivity favoring vinylogous amide adduct **3** (Figure 1).⁷



^a Reaction conditions: 1.0 equiv of **1**, 1.2 equiv of **2**.

Figure 1. Substrate-dependent enantioselectivity.

An initial substrate screen revealed extreme variation in enantioselectivity (Figure 1). No linear trend was reconcilable on the basis of either sterics or electronics. Sterically, the para-substituent is much too distant to undergo through-space interactions with either the ligand or the olefin–metal bond, while electronic communication through π bonds is restricted since the arene rings are likely bent out of coplanarity due to strong $A_{1,3}$ strain (**I** in Figure 2). Alternately, this variation




Figure 2. Potential diastereomeric olefin insertion precursors.

may be explained by coordination of a second alkyne on an octahedral rhodium(III) intermediate (**II** or **III**) rather than a 5-coordinate intermediate (**I**) (Figure 2).⁸

Olefin insertion into the rhodacycle thus occurs through several possible diastereomers via transition states whose relative energy is affected by the close electronic and steric communication with the spectator alkyne, leading to product with variant ee's.⁹

(5) (a) Yu, R. T.; Rovis, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 2782. (b) Yu, R. T.; Rovis, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2006**, *128*, 12370. (c) Lee, E. E.; Rovis, T. *Org. Lett.* **2008**, *10*, 1231. (d) Yu, R. T.; Rovis, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2008**, *130*, 3262. (e) Yu, R. T.; Lee, E. E.; Malik, G.; Rovis, T. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 2379. (f) Friedman, R. K.; Rovis, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 10775. (g) Dalton, D. M.; Oberg, K. M.; Yu, R. T.; Lee, E. E.; Perreault, S.; Oinen, M. E.; Pease, M. L.; Malik, G.; Rovis, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, in press (DOI: 10.1021/ja905065j).

As a test of this hypothesis, we designed a competition experiment between two different alkynes, which alone give products of different ee (Figure 3). In this experiment, the





Figure 3. Alkyne competition experiment.

ee of **3a** was affected by the presence of **2f** in the reaction mixture. This result is consistent with the presence of a second alkyne during the enantiodetermining step (Figure 2).

During the course of these studies, we noted that electronically similar substrates **2e** and **2f** gave disparate enantioselectivities presumably due to the Lewis basicity of the nitrile and its ability to coordinate to rhodium. In an effort to identify an exogenous additive that would not participate in the cycloaddition, we hypothesized that weak Lewis bases might be appropriate surrogates for alkynes in this reaction. Indeed, both nitriles and pyridines affect enantioselectivity (Figure 4). The more Lewis basic pyridine-type additives



^a Reaction conditions: 1.0 equiv of **1**, 1.2 equiv of **2**, 1.0 equiv of **4**.
^b 4.0 equiv of **4a** used. ^c Ar = (4-MeO)C₆H₄.

Figure 4. Additive enhancement of enantioselectivity.

provide a larger increase in ee than nitriles, presumably a reflection of their ability to out-compete alkynes for coordination, with nicotinate **4d** proving optimal. Furthermore,


(6) For reviews on [2 + 2 + 2] cycloadditions of alkynes, see: (a) Saito, S.; Yamamoto, Y. *Chem. Rev.* **2000**, *100*, 2901–2915. (b) Agenet, N.; Busine, O.; Slowinski, F.; Gandon, V.; Aubert, C.; Malacria, M. *Org. React.* **2007**, *68*, 1–302. (c) Tanaka, K. *Synlett* **2007**, 1977–1993. (d) Galan, B. R.; Rovis, T. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2009**, *48*, 2830–2834. For reviews on [2 + 2 + 2] cycloadditions of alkynes and nitrogen moieties, see: (e) Varela, J. A.; Saá, C. *Chem. Rev.* **2003**, *103*, 3787–3801. (f) Heller, B.; Hapke, M. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2007**, *36*, 1085–1094. (g) Chopade, P. R.; Louie, J. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2006**, *348*, 2307–2327.

(7) TADDOL-PNMe₂: 57%, 9% ee. MONOPHOS: 74%, 39% ee.

(8) A search of the Cambridge Crystallographic Database revealed 738 six-coordinate monomeric rhodium(III) complexes but only 106 five-coordinate and three four-coordinate complexes.

this competition between additive vs alkyne as spectator ligand is illustrated by the effect of the amount of additive on enantioselectivity. At stoichiometric levels of additive high ee's are obtained at the expense of yield. At substoichiometric levels of additive, changes in ee are noticeable but are not optimal.¹⁰ Pyridine inhibits the reaction completely. At this point, methyl nicotinate **4d** was chosen as the additive to optimize in the reaction, as it gave the highest levels of enantioselectivity. To combat the decrease in yields using methyl nicotinate, excess isocyanate was used. Although nicotinate **4d** is not consumed,¹¹ it may lead to unwanted side products (dialkyl ureas, carbamates, etc.) either by slowing the reaction (vide infra), bringing in excess water (due to its hygroscopic nature), or catalyzing potentially unwanted side reactions.

Products from a series of tolanses were obtained in excellent yields¹² and enantioselectivities using these optimized reaction conditions (Figure 5). The decrease in efficiency of some



^a Reaction conditions: 1.0 equiv of **2**, 1.5 equiv of **1**, 1.0 equiv of **4d**. ^b Numbers in parentheses indicate % ee in absence of additive. ^c 74% yield and 80% ee were obtained with 4.0 equiv PhCN as additive.


Figure 5. Tolane substrate scope in presence of additive.

electron-deficient substrates with a given additive can be attenuated by altering the basicity of the additive (see **3c**, Figure 5).¹³ With the exception of alkynes **2e** and **2l**, all tolanses now produce adduct within a narrow window of selectivity, suggesting that we have leveled the factors that led to spurious results. This is most consistent with the

(9) A number of different diastereomeric intermediates may be envisioned; those in Figure 1 are presented as reasonable suggestions.

additive acting as the sixth ligand on rhodium during the olefin insertion event.

A competition experiment between two different alkenyl isocyanates yields the respective products in a 1:1 ratio (eq 1). This result suggests that the first irreversible step does not involve the alkene and is consistent with our proposed mechanism wherein the isocyanate, alkyne, and rhodium initially engage in an oxidative cycloaddition (Figure 3).¹⁴



Elucidation of this first irreversible step allowed us to probe the composition of the complex undergoing oxidative cyclization. By monitoring the disappearance of substrate, we were able to determine that the reaction is first order in alkyne, Figure 6.¹⁵ This data is consistent with an oxidative




Figure 6. Consumption of **1n** in cycloaddition as followed by ¹⁹F NMR (presence and absence of **4d**).

cyclization step occurring from a four-coordinate intermediate **A** rather than a five-coordinate intermediate **B** (Figure 7). The order of alkyne does not change in the presence of additive.¹⁶

(10) In the reaction of **1** and **2a** with 3 mol % of Rh dimer and 6 mol % of **L1**, the following results were obtained: 0 equiv of **4d** = 55%, 84% ee; 0.05 equiv of **4d** = 53%, 86% ee; 0.4 equiv of **4d** = 52%, 91% ee; 0.8 equiv of **4d** = 45%, 93% ee; 1.6 equiv of **4d** = 32%, 93% ee.

(11) ¹H NMR analysis of unpurified reaction mixtures shows good mass balance of nicotinate remaining at the end of the reaction (92%).

(12) Excess isocyanate was used due to the increased formation of dialkylureas in the presence of methyl nicotinate.

(13) Tolanses bearing pyridines or unprotected aldehydes are not tolerated.

(14) For a discussion of the current proposed mechanism, see refs 5b and 5g.

(15) The disappearance of alkyne **2n** over time was followed by ¹⁹F NMR. The linear shape of the graph indicates a first-order dependence on alkyne (see the Supporting Information).




Figure 7. Proposed mechanism and role of the additive.

In light of these observations, it is clear that the spectator ligand is affecting the enantioselectivity after the oxidative cyclization by modifying a Rh(III) intermediate, **C** or **D**, prior to or during olefin insertion.¹⁷ Importantly, we are probing

(16) The slowing of the rate is also consistent with inhibition of the oxidative cyclization by methyl nicotinate.

the coordination environment of a metal and altering its reactivity in the kinetically invisible regime after the first irreversible step.

We have shown that excess substrate may act as an unintended ligand on a Rh-catalyzed cycloaddition leading to variant selectivities. In the presence of methyl nicotinate, the influence of substrate electronics is attenuated. We attribute this effect to a change in the ligand environment of a presumably short-lived rhodium(III) intermediate. We suggest these findings may have broad impact in other metal-catalyzed transformations.

Acknowledgment. We thank the NIGMS (GM080442) for support. T.R. thanks the Monfort Family Foundation for a Monfort Professorship. We thank Johnson Matthey for a generous loan of Rh salts. We thank Prof. Matthew Shores (CSU) for helpful discussions.

Note Added after ASAP Publication. Footnotes for Figures 1, 3, 4, 5 and equation 1 were missing in the version published ASAP October 5, 2009; the correct version published ASAP October 13, 2009.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures, characterization, and ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

OL9020805

(17) No other intermediates were observed in the ³¹P or ¹⁹F spectra in the course of the reaction.