

Photochemistry of SO₂/Cl₂/O₂ Gas Mixtures: Synthesis of the New Peroxide ClSO₂OOSO₂ClRosana M. Romano,^{*,†} Carlos O. Della Védova,^{†,‡} Helmut Beckers,[§] and Helge Willner[§]


CEQUINOR (UNLP-CONICET), Departamento de Química, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas, Universidad Nacional de La Plata, 47 esq. 115, (1900) La Plata, Argentina, Laboratorio de Servicios a la Industria y al Sistema Científico (UNLP-CIC-CONICET), Departamento de Química, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas, Universidad Nacional de La Plata, Camino Centenario e/ 505 y 508, (1897) Gonnet, Argentina, and Anorganische Chemie, Bergische Universität Wuppertal, Gaußstr. 20, D-42097 Wuppertal, Germany

Received November 9, 2008

Photochemically induced gas-phase reactions of Cl₂/SO₂/O₂ mixtures at −78 °C have been investigated on a preparative scale. The sulfonyl chlorides ClSO₂(OSO₂)_nCl, *n* = 0, 1, or 2, along with SO₃ and the hitherto unknown bis(chlorosulfonyl)peroxide, ClSO₂OOSO₂Cl, have been obtained. The new peroxide has been purified by trap-to-trap distillation and conclusively characterized by gas-phase and matrix IR, as well as Raman, spectroscopy. At room temperature, the peroxide decomposes to form quantitatively Cl₂ and SO₃. DFT calculations suggest that ClSO₂OOSO₂Cl occurs at ambient temperatures in at least two different rotamers, whereas in matrix-isolation experiments in Ar at 15 K only the most stable form has been found. The mechanism of the formation of the peroxide via the ClSO₂OO• peroxy radical and the supposed involvement of the ClSO₃• intermediate is discussed.

Introduction

The catalytic or photolytic treatment of SO₂/Cl₂ mixtures affords well-known routes for the industrial synthesis of sulfonyl chloride Cl₂SO₂.^{1,2} However, in the presence of oxygen, for example in the atmospheric degradation of SO₂, the Cl₂/SO₂ photolysis reaction sets in train a catalytic oxidation cycle (eqs 1–4) for the conversion of SO₂ to SO₃ (eq 5).³



The ClSO₃• radical and its dimer are possible intermediates in eq 4. They could play an important role in atmospheric chemistry, particularly in regions of high Cl₂ and SO₂ abundances.⁴ Reactions 1–4 can also account for chemical processes in the Venus stratosphere similar to the O₂-destroying reactions reported for CO/O₂/Cl₂ mixtures. Both processes have been suggested to explain the unexpectedly low oxygen content of the Venus stratosphere.^{3,5}

To the best of our knowledge, the ClSO₃• radical has not been reported. In contrast, the analogous FSO₃• radical, in equilibrium at ambient temperature with FSO₂OOSO₂F, has been the subject of several investigations during the past 50 years.⁶ F atoms, generated by photolysis of F₂, were shown to react with SO₃ to give FSO₂OOSO₂F in high yield.⁷

* To whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: romano@quimica.unlp.edu.ar.

[†] CEQUINOR (UNLP-CONICET), Departamento de Química, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas, Universidad Nacional de La Plata.

[‡] Laboratorio de Servicios a la Industria y al Sistema Científico (UNLP-CIC-CONICET), Departamento de Química, Facultad de Ciencias Exactas, Universidad Nacional de La Plata.

[§] Anorganische Chemie, Bergische Universität Wuppertal.

(1) Horner, L.; Podschus, G. *Angew. Chem.* **1953**, 65, 534–535.

(2) Schumacher, H. J.; Schott, C. *Z. Physik. Chem.* **1944**, 193, 343–366.

(3) Demore, W. B.; Leu, M.-T.; Smith, R. H.; Yung, Y. L. *Icarus* **1985**, 63, 347–353.

(4) Uherek, E. *Atmospheric Trace Gas Mixing Ratios*; Max Planck Institut für Chemie: Mainz, Germany; www.atmosphere.mpg.de.

(5) Pernice, H.; Garcia, P.; Willner, H.; Francisco, J. S.; Mills, F. P.; Allen, M.; Yung, Y. L. *Proc. Nation. Acad. Sci. U.S.A.* **2004**, 28, 14007–1410.

(6) Beckers, H.; Willner, H.; Grote, D.; Sander, W. *J. Chem. Phys.* **2008**, 128, 084501 and references cited therein.

(7) (a) Gambaruto, M.; Sicre, J. E.; Schumacher, H. J. *J. Fluorine Chem.* **1975**, 5, 175–179. (b) Staricco, E. H.; Sicre, J. E.; Schumacher, H. J. *Z. Physik. Chem.* **1962**, 35, 122–128. (c) Schumacher, H. J.; Basualdo, W. H.; Schumacher, H. J. *Z. Physik. Chem.* **1965**, 47, 57–64.

However, the chloro analogue $\text{ClSO}_2\text{OOSO}_2\text{Cl}$ has not been reported so far.

Experimental Section

Chemicals. The chemicals Cl_2 (99.8%), SO_2 (99.98%), SO_3 , Cl_2SO_2 were obtained from commercial sources and purified by trap-to-trap distillation, whereas CCl_4 , Ar (6.0), and O_2 (5.1) were used as received. $\text{ClSO}_2\text{OSO}_2\text{Cl}$ was synthesized through the thermal reaction between CCl_4 and SO_3 according to the reported procedure.⁸

Photochemical Reactions. Volatile materials were manipulated in a glass vacuum line equipped with a capacitance pressure gauge (MKS Baratron 221 AHS-1000, Wilmington, MA, USA), three U-traps, and valves with PTFE stems (Young, London, UK). The vacuum line was connected via a flexible stainless steel tube to a 5.5 L glass photoreactor equipped inside with a UV lamp (Heraeus TQ 150 Z2) in a double-walled glass insert cooled by water. The vacuum line was also connected to an IR gas cell (optical path length 200 mm, with 0.5 mm thick Si wafers as windows) in the sample compartment of the FTIR instrument. This arrangement made it possible to follow the course of the reaction during the synthesis and to monitor, at a later stage, the improvement in the purification process. The products were stored in glass ampoules under liquid nitrogen. The ampoules were opened and flame-sealed by means of an ampule key.⁹ Different amounts of the gaseous reactants were mixed in the photoreactor held at dry ice temperature, and the pressure was monitored during the reactions. The reaction mixtures were irradiated until no pressure decrease was observed. The photolysis products were separated by trap-to-trap condensation, and the progress was monitored by gas-phase IR spectroscopy.

Spectroscopy. IR spectra of the gaseous samples were recorded with a resolution of 2 cm^{-1} in the range $4000\text{--}400\text{ cm}^{-1}$ with a Bruker Vector 25 spectrometer, and the Raman spectra of liquid samples with a Bruker-Equinox 55 FRA 106/S FT-Raman spectrometer equipped with a 1064 nm Nd:YAG laser. IR spectra of Ar matrices were recorded in reflectance mode with the Bruker IFS 66v spectrometer with transfer optics. An MCT (DTGS) detector, together with a KBr/Ge beam splitter, was used in the region $5000\text{--}650$ (400 cm^{-1}). One-hundred scans were added for the spectra with apodized resolutions of 0.5 (2 cm^{-1}).

Matrix Isolation. A few milligrams of pure $\text{ClSO}_2\text{OOSO}_2\text{Cl}$ were transferred to a small U-trap connected to the inlet nozzle of the matrix apparatus. This nozzle made of quartz consisted of a tube with an internal diameter of 4 mm and an outlet opening of 1 mm diameter. Its temperature could be raised by heating over a length of 20 mm. A stream of Ar (2 mmol h^{-1}) was directed over the sample held at $-65\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$, and the resulting gas mixture was condensed on the matrix support (a rhodium-plated copper mirror) held at 15 K. Details of the matrix apparatus are given elsewhere.¹⁰

Theoretical Calculations. Quantum chemical calculations were performed using the *Gaussian 03* program system.¹¹ Geometry optimizations were sought using standard gradient techniques by simultaneous relaxation of all of the geometrical parameters. The calculations of vibrational properties were carried out at potential

energy minimum geometries for which no imaginary vibrational frequency was found.

Results and Discussion

Photochemical Reactions between Cl_2 , SO_2 , and O_2 . In preliminary experiments, gaseous mixtures of SO_3 and Cl_2 were irradiated with light at wavelengths $>320\text{ nm}$ (Heraeus TQ 150, Z2 lamp) at $-25\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$, but apparently no reaction took place. In contrast, irradiation of gaseous mixtures of Cl_2 , SO_2 , and O_2 ($\lambda > 320\text{ nm}$) at $-78\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ yielded, together with the known products Cl_2SO_2 , SO_3 , $\text{ClSO}_2\text{OSO}_2\text{Cl}$, and $\text{ClSO}_2\text{OSO}_2\text{OSO}_2\text{Cl}$, the hitherto unknown peroxide $\text{ClSO}_2\text{OOSO}_2\text{Cl}$. The product distribution was dependent on (i) the proportions of the reactants, and (ii) the conditions during workup because the peroxide was found to be thermally rather unstable. The highest yield of the peroxide was obtained from mixtures of $\text{Cl}_2/\text{SO}_2/\text{O}_2 \approx 1:2:10$ at a total pressure of 550 Torr measured at ambient temperature. At dry ice temperature, the pressure decreased to 380 Torr, and further to 340 Torr during irradiation. Volatile products were distilled into three traps held at -90 , -120 , and $-196\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. Excess oxygen was pumped off, whereas Cl_2SO_2 was trapped at $-120\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$, and the less volatile compounds remained in the reactor at $-78\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. In a further distillation, using traps at -70 , -110 , and $-196\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$, the reactor was allowed to warm up slowly to room temperature. Subsequently, $\text{ClSO}_2\text{OOSO}_2\text{Cl}$ was evaporated and retained in the $-70\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ trap, together with some Cl_2SO_2 and $\text{ClSO}_2\text{OSO}_2\text{Cl}$. $\text{ClSO}_2\text{OSO}_2\text{OSO}_2\text{Cl}$ was found as a residue in the photoreactor. The raw product was purified by several trap-to-trap distillations from $-20\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ using traps at -50 , -80 , and $-196\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$. Pure $\text{ClSO}_2\text{OOSO}_2\text{Cl}$ was finally collected in the $-50\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ trap as a colorless solid. When the peroxide was allowed to reach room temperature, it decomposed giving SO_3 and Cl_2 in a molar ratio of 2:1.

All of the volatile products were identified by their IR and Raman spectra. The infrared bands of Cl_2SO_2 were compared with those of a commercial sample.¹² $\text{ClSO}_2\text{OSO}_2\text{Cl}$ was identified by the IR spectrum of the vapor and the Raman spectrum of the liquid. Because we observed small differences between our spectra and those reported previously,¹³ the identity of $\text{ClSO}_2\text{OSO}_2\text{Cl}$ was confirmed by the spectrum of an authentic sample.⁸ Monomeric SO_3 was detected in

(8) Brauer, G. *Handbuch der Präparativen Anorganischen Chemie*; Ferdinand Enke: Stuttgart, 1975; 389.

(9) Gombler, W.; Willner, H. *J. Phys. E* **1987**, 20, 1286–1288.

(10) Schnöckel, H.; Willner, H. *Infrared and Raman Spectroscopy, Methods and Applications*; Schrader, B., Ed.; VCH: Weinheim, 1994; 297.

(11) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Montgomery, J. A.; Jr.; Vreven, T.; Kudin, K. N.; Burant, J. C.; Millam, J. M.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Cossi, M.; Scalmani, G.; Rega, N.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Hada, M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Klene, M.; Li, X.; Knox, J. E.; Hratchian, H. P.; Cross, J. B.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Ayala, P. Y.; Morokuma, K.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Strain, M. C.; Farkas, O.; Malick, D. K.; Rabuck, A. D.; Raghavachari, K.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cui, Q.; Baboul, A. G.; Clifford, S.; Cioslowski, J.; Stefanov, B. B.; Liu, G.; Liashenko, A.; Piskorz, P.; Komaromi, I.; Martin, R. L.; Fox, D. J.; Keith, T.; Al-Laham, M. A.; Peng, C. Y.; Nanayakkara, A.; Challacombe, M.; Gill, P. M. W.; Johnson, B.; Chen, W.; Wong, M. W.; Gonzalez, C. and Pople, J. A. *Gaussian 03*, Rev. B.01; Gaussian, Inc.: Pittsburgh, PA, 2003.

(12) Martz, D. E.; Lagemann, R. T. *J. Chem. Phys.* **1954**, 22, 1193–1195.

(13) Simon, A.; Lehmann, R. *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1961**, 311, 212–223.

the gas phase by its IR spectrum, whereas γ -SO₃ was identified by comparison of the Raman spectrum of a solid sample sealed in a glass tube with the reported details.¹⁴

Proposed Reaction Mechanism. Starting from photochemically produced chlorine atoms according to eq 1, different alternative routes to the observed products can be proposed. The borosilicate glass used for the photo reactor transmits light of wavelengths >320 nm. Thus, photodecomposition of the reactions products (e.g., sulfonyl chlorides) is expected to be negligible.^{15,16} Chlorine atoms are known to react with sulfur dioxide to give sulfonyl dichloride, presumably via the short-lived ClSO₂ radical, as depicted in eqs 2 and 6.^{3,17}



The initial formation of ClSO₂• has been recently proved by laser irradiation at 355 nm of Ar matrices containing Cl₂ and SO₂.¹⁸ However, in the presence of excess of molecular oxygen, reaction (6) will be efficiently suppressed because O₂ competes with the Cl• atom to give the peroxy radical ClSO₂OO• (eq 3). There is a large number of precedents where similar peroxy radicals are formed in the presence of O₂.^{19–23}

It is safe to conclude that the peroxy radicals ClSO₂OO• are involved in the formation of all of the products identified apart from Cl₂SO₂. The low-temperature recombination of ClSO₂OO• radicals (eq 7) is the most feasible route leading to the novel peroxide ClSO₂OOSO₂Cl. A similar reaction pathway has been recently reported for several formylperoxy radical derivatives.^{24–26}




Apart from SO₃, that may be formed via the catalytic cycle (eqs 1–5) outlined above, Cl₂SO₂ and ClSO₂OOSO₂Cl are the main products of the Cl₂/SO₂/O₂ system. Note that the low stability of ClSO₂OOSO₂Cl eventually results in a second chemical cycle (eqs 1–3, 7, and 8) that gives rise to the oxidation of SO₂ to form SO₃ via the unstable ClSO₂OOSO₂Cl that decomposes according to eq 8. As in

the cycle represented in eqs 1–5, this second cycle also proceeds with complete regeneration of the Cl• atoms initially consumed.



The compounds ClSO₂(OSO₂)_nCl, *n* = 1 or 2, are thought to be formed in secondary reactions via the reduction of ClSO₂OOSO₂Cl by SO₂. In a kinetic study of the analogous reaction between FSO₂OOSO₂F and SO₂, FSO₂(OSO₂)₂F was shown to be formed.²⁷

The ClSO₃• radical could be formed during the stepwise thermal decomposition of the peroxide according to eq 9 but it decomposes rapidly according to eq 10.



Unsuccessful attempts to produce the ClSO₃• radical by pyrolysis of ClSO₂OOSO₂Cl and subsequent matrix isolation of the products can thus be rationalized.

Dissociation of ClSO₂OOSO₂Cl was found to be irreversible. Thermal decomposition to SO₃ and Cl₂ takes place in the gas phase according to a first-order rate law with a half-lifetime of 15 min at ambient temperature. The decomposition of ClSO₂OOSO₂Cl in the liquid phase follows the same channel, as revealed by the growth of bands of both SO₃ and Cl₂ during the measurements of the Raman spectrum. It is interesting to note that the ClSO₃• radical behaves similarly to the chlorinated alkoxy radicals ClCO₂•²⁸ and CF₂ClO,²⁹ which decompose rapidly by chlorine extrusion.

Vibrational Spectra of Bis(chlorosulfonyl)peroxide. The IR spectrum of bis(chlorosulfonyl)peroxide, ClSO₂OOSO₂Cl, was measured for gaseous and Ar matrix-isolated samples, whereas the Raman spectrum was recorded for the neat liquid. Figure 1 shows the IR spectrum of ClSO₂OOSO₂Cl vapor and the Raman spectrum of the liquid. Experimental wavenumbers and calculated IR and Raman spectra of the conformer predicted to be most stable (theoretical calculations below) are listed in Table 1. A tentative assignment of some of the more intense bands is also given in Table 1. However, the DFT calculations predict rather strong coupling between deformations and S–Cl stretching modes that prevent any simple descriptive assignment of these low-lying modes.

The FTIR spectrum of pure ClSO₂OOSO₂Cl isolated in a solid Ar matrix is shown in Figure 2. The expected ^{35/37}Cl isotopic splitting of the S–Cl stretching bands appears to be obscured by matrix site splittings of the absorptions. In further experiments using a heated spray-on nozzle, an additional absorption occurred at 1385.1 cm^{–1} that was readily assigned to SO₃.^{30,31} At 95 °C, the decomposition

(14) Khanna, R. K.; Pearl, J. C.; Dahmani, R. *Icarus* **1995**, *115*, 250–257.

(15) Uthman, U. A.; Demlein, P. J.; Allston, T. D.; Withiam, M. C.; McClements, M. J.; Takacs, G. A. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1978**, *82*, 2252–2257.

(16) Hussain, S. L.; Samuel, R. *Proc. Phys. Soc.* **1937**, *49*, 679–92.

(17) Eibling, R. E.; Kaufman, M. *Atmos. Environ.* **1983**, *17*, 429–431.

(18) Bahou, M.; Chen, S.-F.; Lee, Y.-P. *J. Phys. Chem.* **2000**, *104*, 3613–3619.

(19) Morgan, C. A.; Pilling, M. J.; Tulloch, J. M.; Ruiz, R. P.; Bayes, K. D. *J. Chem. Soc., Faraday Trans. 2* **1982**, *78*, 1323–1330.

(20) Slagle, I. R.; Ratajczak, E.; Heaven, M. C.; Gutman, D.; Wagner, A. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1985**, *107*, 1838–1845.

(21) Slagle, I. R.; Gutman, D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1985**, *107*, 5342–5347.

(22) Slagle, I. R.; Ratajczak, E.; Gutman, D. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1986**, *90*, 402–407.

(23) Russell, J. J.; Seetula, J. A.; Gutman, D.; Danis, F.; Caralp, F.; Lightfoot, P. D.; Lesclaux, R.; Melius, C. F.; Senkan, S. M. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1999**, *94*, 3277–3283.

(24) Pernice, H.; Berkei, M.; Henkel, G.; Willner, H.; Argüello, G. A.; McKee, M. L.; Webb, T. R. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **2004**, *43*, 2843–2846.

(25) Burgos Paci, M. A.; Argüello, G. A. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2004**, *10*, 1838–1844.

(26) Kolano, C.; Bucher, G.; Wenk, H. H.; Jäger, M.; Schade, O.; Sander, W. *J. Phys. Org. Chem.* **2004**, *17*, 207–214.

(27) Castellano, E.; Schumacher, H. J. *Z. Physik. Chem.* **1964**, *43*, 66–70.

(28) Francisco, J. S.; Goldstein, A. N. *Chem. Phys.* **1988**, *127*, 73–79.

(29) (a) Drougas, E.; Kosmas, A. M.; Jalbout, A. F. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2004**, *108*, 5972–5978. (b) Wu, F.; Carr, R. W. *J. Phys. Chem.* **1992**, *96*, 1743–1748.

(30) Chaabouni, H.; Schriver-Mazzouli, L.; Schriver, A. *J. Phys. Chem. A* **2000**, *104*, 3498–3507.

(31) Suzuki, E. M.; Nibler, J. W.; Oakes, K. A.; Eggers, D., Jr. *J. Mol. Spectrosc.* **1975**, *58*, 201–215.




Figure 1. Vapor-phase FTIR spectrum (bottom; resolution: 2 cm^{-1} , pressure: 0.1 mbar, and optical path length of 20 cm) and Raman spectrum (top; liquid at room temperature, excitation at 1064 nm, 150 mW) of $\text{ClSO}_2\text{OOSO}_2\text{Cl}$.

Table 1. Experimental (Vapor-Phase IR, Liquid Raman and Ar Matrix IR) and Calculated (B3P86/6-31+G*) Wavenumbers (in cm^{-1}) and Relative Intensities of $\text{ClSO}_2\text{OOSO}_2\text{Cl}$

IR (gas) ^a	Raman (liquid) ^a	IR (Ar matrix) ^{a,b}	B3P86/6-31+G* ^c	assignment
			I (IR) ^d I (Ra) ^d	
1463(vs)	1450(m)	1464.5(m)	1443 14	$\nu_{\text{as}}\text{SO}_2$
	1401(w)	1454.8(s)	1435 48	$\nu_{\text{as}}\text{SO}_2$
		1438.3(w)		
	1234(sh)	1220.3(sh)		
1222(s)	1212(vs)	1216.7(s)	1195 9	$\nu_{\text{s}}\text{SO}_2$
1205(s)		1199.9(s)	1180 16	$\nu_{\text{s}}\text{SO}_2$
	817(sh)	808(vw)	904 <1	$\nu\text{O}-\text{O}$
	807(vs)			
	720(w)		755 <1	$\nu\text{S}-\text{O}$
711(vs)	698(w)	708.2(vs)	664 100	$\nu\text{S}-\text{O}$
613(s)	600(vs)	611.7(vs)	580 25	δSO_2
		599.1(vs)	570 37	δSO_2
		557.3(w)	543 7	ρSO_2
		521.1(w)	515 3	ρSO_2
		503.5(w)		
488(m)		485.3(s)	449 9	
	419(vs)		391 <1	νSCl
			387 <1	νSCl
	371(w)		350 <1	
	347(vw)		342 <1	
	316(vw)		314 1	
			273 <1	
	281(w)	281(w)	263 <1	
	203(vw)		181 <1	
	143(w)	142(w)	124 <1	
	84(w)		57 <1	
			49 <1	
			39 <1	

^a Relative intensities in parentheses: w weak, m medium, s strong, vs very strong, sh shoulder. ^b Most-abundant matrix site. ^c Most-stable rotamer. ^d Predicted relative intensities.

of $\text{ClSO}_2\text{OOSO}_2\text{Cl}$ was almost complete, with the SO_3 signal being the most intense feature in the IR spectrum. No clear signs attributable to a second conformer of the peroxide were observed in the spectra taken at different nozzle temperatures,




Figure 2. FTIR spectrum in the spectral region 1600–400 cm^{-1} of $\text{ClSO}_2\text{OOSO}_2\text{Cl}$ isolated in an Ar matrix.

Table 2. Relative Energies ΔE (in kcal mol^{-1}) of Different Conformers of $\text{ClSO}_2\text{OOSO}_2\text{Cl}$ Calculated at the B3P86/6-31+G* Approximation

structure	τ_1 O–O–S–Cl	τ_2 O–O–S–Cl	τ S–O–O–S	ΔE [kcal mol^{-1}]
G^-G^-	73.3	73.3	111.0	0.00
G^+G^-	–75.1	69.5	130.5	1.09
G^+G^+	–73.4	–73.4	153.5	1.70
AG^-	–170.4	72.8	116.5	2.74
AG^+	179.8	–75.3	133.0	3.07
AA	179.1	179.1	120.6	5.05

indicating that only bands of the most stable rotamer are likely to appear in the IR spectrum of the matrix-isolated sample and that fast relaxation to the most stable form took place during low-temperature deposition.

IR and Raman spectra of $\text{ClSO}_2\text{OOSO}_2\text{Cl}$ were calculated using the B3P86 functional that has been shown in preliminary calculations to reproduce satisfactorily the vibrational spectra of several compounds containing the $-\text{SO}_2-$ moiety. The B3P86/6-31+G* approximation was used throughout. Prior to the vibrational calculations, the most-stable conformer and possible conformational equilibria have been studied theoretically.

Assuming a nonplanar (gauche) S–O–O–S structure, several conformers are feasible, depending on the orientations of each of the $-\text{SO}_2\text{Cl}$ groups. Relaxed potential energy curves, in which the O–O–S–Cl torsional angles were scanned in steps of 10° , yield six minima that are designated as G^-G^- , G^+G^- , G^+G^+ , AG^- , AG^+ , and AA, where G or A correspond to gauche or anti O–O–S–Cl torsional angles, and the positive and negative signs refer to an anticlockwise and clockwise rotation, respectively. The structures of these six conformers, each of them occurring as enantiomeric pairs, were optimized by simultaneous relaxation of all of the geometrical parameters. All of them correspond to stable structures for which no imaginary frequencies occur.

Table 2 presents the relative energy differences of the six conformers together with their optimized torsional angles. The most stable structure according to the B3P86/6-31+G* approximation corresponds to the G^-G^- form (Figure 3), followed by the G^+G^- conformer that is predicted to lie approximately 1 kcal mol^{-1} to higher energy. This result is




Figure 3. B3P86/6-31+G* molecular model of the most-stable conformer G^-G^- of $\text{ClSO}_2\text{OOSO}_2\text{Cl}$.

in agreement with the structure reported for $\text{FSO}_2\text{OOSO}_2\text{F}$.³² The electron diffraction pattern produced by gaseous $\text{FSO}_2\text{OOSO}_2\text{F}$ was found to be consistent with either an equilibrium between G^-G^- and G^+G^+ or a mixture of the G^-G^- and G^+G^- forms.

The vibrational spectra of the different conformers of $\text{ClSO}_2\text{OOSO}_2\text{Cl}$ were simulated with the B3P86/6-31+G*

approximation. The results for the three most stable forms in the experimental range (above 400 cm^{-1}) is presented in Table S1 of the Supporting Information. The theoretical spectra of the radicals $\text{ClSO}_2\text{OO}\cdot$ and $\text{ClSO}_3\cdot$ were also calculated with the same approximation (Tables S2 and S3 of the Supporting Information). In light of these theoretical results, we can conclude that there are no clear signs in the experimental spectra of any of these species.

Acknowledgment. C.O.D.V. and R.M.R. thank the Consejo Nacional de Investigaciones Científicas y Técnicas (CONICET) (PIP 4695), the Agencia Nacional de Promoción Científica y Tecnológica (PICT 33878), the Comisión de Investigaciones Científicas de la Provincia de Buenos Aires (CIC), the Facultad de Ciencias Exactas, Universidad Nacional de La Plata, and the DAAD for financial support. H.B. and H.W. acknowledge support from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG) and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie.

Supporting Information Available: Theoretical wavenumbers (in cm^{-1}) of the G^-G^- , G^+G^- and G^+G^+ forms of $\text{ClSO}_2\text{OOSO}_2\text{Cl}$ and of ClSO_3 and $\text{ClSO}_2\text{OO}\cdot$ the radical above 400 cm^{-1} calculated with the B3P86/6-31+G* approximation. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

IC802143P

(32) Hagen, K.; Hedberg, K.; Gard, G.; Aubke, F. *J. Mol. Struct.* **2001**, 567–568, 1–10.