# Synthesis, Aggregation Properties, and Antiprotozoal Activity of Heterocyclic Heterogemini Surfactants

M. Lukáč,<sup>1,2</sup> L. Timko,<sup>1</sup> M. Mrva,<sup>3</sup> F. Ondriska,<sup>4</sup> J. Karlovská,<sup>2,5</sup> J. Valentová,<sup>1</sup> and I. Lacko<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemical Theory of Drugs, Faculty of Pharmacy, Comenius University, Kalinčiakova 8, 832 32 Bratislava, Slovakia

<sup>2</sup>NMR Laboratory, Faculty of Pharmacy, Comenius University, Odbojárov 10, 832 32 Bratislava, Slovakia

<sup>3</sup>Department of Zoology, Faculty of Natural Sciences, Comenius University, Mlynská Dolina B-1, 842 15 Bratislava, Slovakia

<sup>4</sup>Department of Parasitology, Microbiological Laboratory, HPL (Ltd), Istrijská 20, 841 07 Bratislava, Slovakia

<sup>5</sup>Department of Physical Chemistry of Drugs, Faculty of Pharmacy, Comenius University, Kalinčiakova 8, 832 32 Bratislava, Slovakia

Received 27 May 2009; revised 15 February 2010

ABSTRACT: A series of four heterogemini surfactants have been synthesized: 2-decyl [do*decyl(dimethyl)ammonio]ethyl* phosphate, decyl 2-(1-dodecylpyrrolidinio-1-yl)ethyl phosphate, decyl 2-(1-dodecylpiperidinio-1-yl)ethyl phosphate, and decyl 2-(1-dodecylmorpholinio-1-yl)ethyl phosphate. Antiprotozoal activity of prepared compounds against Acanthamoeba lugdunensis was investigated. Decyl 2-(1-dodecylpyrrolidinio-1-yl)ethyl phosphate exhibited the best trophocidal activity. The activities of heterogemini surfactants were compared with their aggregation properties. © 2010 Wiley Periodicals, Inc. Heteroatom Chem 21:203-209, 2010; Published online in Wiley InterScience (www.interscience.wiley.com). DOI 10.1002/hc.20587

# INTRODUCTION

The term gemini surfactant was coined by Menger and Littau in 1991 [1]. The vast majority of work on gemini surfactants has been made with symmetrical geminis, i.e., gemini surfactants, which contain identical polar head groups and identical hydrophobic tails [2]. Geminis can be divided into anionic [3,4], cationic [5–7], or nonionic surfactants [8,9]. Unsymmetrical geminis, the surfactants that have different head groups, such as anionicnonionic or anionic-cationic, have been referred to as heterogemini surfactants [10]. Probably, the first example of a true heterogemini surfactant is an anionic-cationic species reported in 1996 by Jaeger et al. [11]. One of the hydrophilic groups is a carboxylate anion, and the other one is a quaternary ammonium cation. Other types of anionic-cationic gemini surfactants (zwitterionic gemini surfactants) are represented by the phosphate-quaternary ammonium heterogemini surfactants with branched, unbranched, or fluorinated alkyl chains [12-15]. These

*Correspondence to:* M. Lukáč; e-mail: lukac@fpharm.uniba.sk. Contract grant sponsor: VEGA.

Contract grant numbers: 1/3416/06, 1/0164/08, 1/4341/07. © 2010 Wiley Periodicals, Inc.

geminis have interesting physicochemical properties [16–19]. Depending on the length of alkyl chains, they form gels, micelles, vesicles, tubules, or coacervates in water [12,13]. These zwitterionic gemini surfactants are a special type of alkylphosphocholines (APC), where one methyl group of choline is replaced with a long alkyl chain.

APCs possess a wide range of biological activities. Miltefosine (hexadecylphosphocholine, HPC) is the main representative of APCs. HPC exhibits antineoplastic [20], antiprotozoal [21], antibacterial, antimycotic [22], and antivirotic activities [23]. Antiprotozoal activity of HPC and other APCs is the most studied in recent time. HPC affects many types of protists such as *Leishmania* spp. [24], *Trypanosoma* spp. [25], *Balamuthia mandrillaris*, *Naegleria fowleri* [26], *Trichomonas vaginalis* [27], *Entamoeba histolytica* [28], and *Acanthamoeba* spp. [29].

The last named genus is the causative agent of keratitis, granulomatous amoebic encephalitis, or nasopharyngeal and cutaneous infections. No efficient and easily manageable treatments are available for these diseases. Often, the same drugs that have been successfully used for treatment in one patient have a little or no effect in the treatment of other cases of acanthamoebiasis [30]. Therefore, the susceptibility of several *Acanthamoeba* spp. to APCs was investigated [26,29,31–37].

The aim of the study was to prepare the novel heterocyclic heterogemini surfactants and to evaluate their potential efficacies against free-living amoeba of the genus *Acanthamoeba*. The trophocidal activities of four different APCs against *Acanthamoeba lugdunensis* were evaluated in vitro. The activity of heterogemini surfactants was compared with their aggregation properties.

#### **RESULTS AND DISCUSSION**

Four heterogemini surfactants based on various types of cations were synthesized. The compounds were designed as analogues of decyl 2-[dodecyl(dimethyl)ammonio]ethyl phosphate ( $C_{10}PC_2NC_{12}$ ) with different polar head groups. The dimethylamino group was replaced with heterocyclic rings, containing nitrogen as a heteroatom (in the case of morpholine analogue, an oxygen atom was incorporated into the heterocyclic ring) to establish the influence of chemical structure on antiprotozoal activities and physicochemical properties.

The synthetic strategy for the preparation of the heterogemini surfactants is depicted in Scheme 1. Representative phosphate analogues were prepared to determine the importance of the phosphocholine moiety for bioactivity according to modified procedures [37]. The strategy involves phosphorylation of decanol using POCl<sub>3</sub> and subsequent attachment of the desired choline analogue. C<sub>10</sub>PC<sub>2</sub>NC<sub>12</sub>, C<sub>10</sub>PC<sub>2</sub>N(Pyr)C<sub>12</sub>, C<sub>10</sub>PC<sub>2</sub>N(Pip)C<sub>12</sub>, and  $C_{10}PC_2N(Morph)C_{12}$  were prepared by reaction of decyl dichloridophosphate with choline tosylate analogue and subsequently hydrolyzed with H<sub>2</sub>O. Heterogemini surfactants were prepared as hydrates. The amount of crystal water was estimated by <sup>1</sup>H NMR. A single <sup>31</sup>P NMR signal indicated the purity of the compound. The choline analogues were obtained by quaternization of **1a**, **1b**, **1c**, and **1d** with dodecyl *p*-toluenesulfonate in acetonitrile. Tertiary 2-aminoethanols **1b** and **1d** were prepared by the reaction of a secondary amine with 2-chloroethanol and potassium carbonate in the presence of a catalytic amount of KI [38]. Dodecyl p-toluenesulfonate was prepared according to the procedure described



SCHEME 1 Preparation of heterogemini surfactants.

Formula	Abbreviation	МТС (µМ)	d (µm)
$H_{33}C_{16}^{\prime 0}$ $P_{0}^{\prime }$ $N^{+\prime}$ $\times 1.5$ H <sub>2</sub>	° HPC	400 [37]	_
$H_{21}C_{10}^{,0}P_{0}^{,0} \\ 0 \\ \times H_{20}$	$C_{10}PC_2NC_{12}$	>400 [36]	<15
$\stackrel{H_{21}(i_{10} 0)}{\overset{O}{}} \stackrel{N^+J}{\underset{O}{}} \times H_{20} \\ \stackrel{H_{21}(i_{10} 0)}{\overset{O}{}} \stackrel{N^+J}{\underset{O}{}} \times H_{20} \\ \stackrel{H_{20}}{\overset{O}{}} \stackrel{H_{20}}{\underset{O}{}} \times H_{20} \\ \stackrel{H_{20}}{\overset{O}{}} \stackrel{H_{20}}{\underset{O}{}} \times H_{20} \\ \stackrel{H_{20}}{\overset{O}{}} \stackrel{H_{20}}{\underset{O}{}} \times H_{20} \\ \stackrel{H_{20}}{\overset{H_{20}}{}} \times H_{20} \\ \stackrel{H_{20}}{} \times H_{20} \\ \stackrel{H_{20}}{}{}} \times H_{20} \\ \stackrel{H_{20}}{}} \times H_{$	C <sub>10</sub> PC <sub>2</sub> N(Pyr)C <sub>12</sub>	200	<128
$H_{21}C_{10}^{,0}P_{,0}^{,0} \times 1.5$	<sup>н<sub>2</sub>0</sup> С <sub>10</sub> РС <sub>2</sub> N(Рір)С <sub>12</sub>	>800	<20
$H_{21}C_{10}^{O,P'}O' V_{12}^{+} \times 1.5 H_{25}^{+}$	C <sub>10</sub> PC <sub>2</sub> N(Morph)C <sub>12</sub>	>800	<12.5

TABLE 1Characterization of Prepared Compounds, TheirTrophocidal Activity, and Diameter of Aggregates Formed inAqueous Suspensions

MTC: Minimal trophocidal concentration; d: diameter of aggregates.

by Marvel and Sekera [39]. The synthesis of choline *p*-toluenesulfonate analogues **2a**, **2b**, **2c**, and **2d** is depicted in Scheme 1.

The antiprotozoal efficiencies of the prepared compounds were evaluated against a strain of Acanthamoeba lugdunensis (Table 1), which was isolated from a patient suffering from amoebic keratitis [40]. The trophocidal activities of heterogemini surfactants were compared with the activity of HPC. HPC is considered a standard in the series of APCs. Its minimal trophocidal concentration (MTC) was 400 µM [37]. This strain of Acanthamoeba lugdunensis is relatively resistant to the action of APCs. Other species of Acanthamoeba seem to be more sensitive to HPC. Walochnik et al. [29] studied three species of Acanthamoeba: A. castellanii, A. polyphaga, A. *lenticulata*, and they observed lower values of MTC (MTC = 20–40  $\mu$ M). Lower values of MTC were also obtained by McBride et al. [31]. They studied the influence of HPC on two strains of Acanthamoeba: A. polyphaga (MTC = 31.25 µM), A. castellanii (MTC =  $31.25 \mu$ M). In our case, HPC was about 10 times less active against A. lugdunensis [37] in comparison with its activity against A. castellanii, A. polyphaga, and A. lenticulata, which was observed by other authors [29,31]. C<sub>10</sub>PC<sub>2</sub>NC<sub>12</sub>, C<sub>10</sub>PC<sub>2</sub>N(Pip)C<sub>12</sub>, and C<sub>10</sub>PC<sub>2</sub>N(Morph)C<sub>12</sub> exhibited lower trophocidal activity than HPC. Their MTCs were higher than 400 µM. The amoebae were not affected by these heterogemini surfactants. The trophozoites were viable, and their cells were of typical shapes without any pathological signs. Only  $C_{10}PC_2N(Pyr)C_{12}$  was about twice as active as HPC (MTC =  $200 \mu$ M). It may be a promising new candidate for the topical treatment

of Acanthamoeba keratitis. Recently, we reported [36] trophocidal activities of a series of 15 similar heterogemini surfactants against A. lugdunensis. Decyl 3-[dodecyl(dimethyl)ammonio]propyl phosphate ( $C_{10}PC_3NC_{12}$ , MTC = 100  $\mu$ M) and decyl 4-[dodecyl(dimethyl)ammonio]butyl phosphate  $(C_{10}PC_4NC_{12}, MTC = 200 \mu M)$  possess comparable activities as  $C_{10}PC_2N(Pyr)C_{12}$  but compounds with longer spacers between the phosphate group and ammonium cation (decyl 6-[dodecyl(dime- $(C_{10}PC_6NC_{12}),$ thyl)ammonio]hexyl phosphate MTC > 400  $\mu$ M; and decyl 10-[dodecyl(dimethyl)] phosphate ammonio]decvl  $(C_{10}PC_{10}NC_{12})$ MTC > 400  $\mu$ M) were practically inactive. This observation indicates that changes in the polar group of  $C_{10}PC_2NC_{12}$  can increase the activity, but such modifications have limitations. Incorporation of a five-membered ring to the ammonium cation and extension of the spacer between the phosphate group and the ammonium cation from two carbon atoms to three or four carbon atoms proved useful.

We assume that the different activity of  $C_{10}PC_2N(Pyr)C_{12}$  in comparison with other heterogemini surfactants can be partly explained by their physicochemical properties. HPC formed clear aqueous solution at the concentration  $c = 1 \times 10^{-3}$  mol  $L^{-1}$ . No aggregates were observed under an optical microscope. Heterogemini surfactants formed cloudy aqueous suspensions at the same concentrations. Clouds were caused by the presence of self-assembled vesicles; solid insoluble particles of the compounds were not observed.  $C_{10}PC_2NC_{12}$ ,  $C_{10}PC_2N(Pip)C_{12}$ , and  $C_{10}PC_2N(Morph)C_{12}$  form aggregates in water suspensions with diameters up to 20 µm (Figure 1).  $C_{10}PC_2N(Pyr)C_{12}$  formed similar aggregates but also giant aggregates with diameters



FIGURE 1 Aggregate of an aqueous suspension of compound  $C_{10}PC_2NC_{12}$  (bar is 5  $\mu$ m).



FIGURE 2 Aggregate of an aqueous suspension of compound  $C_{10}PC_2N(Pyr)C_{12}$  (bar is 5  $\mu$ m).

up to 128 µm (Figure 2). Smaller aggregates could be unilamellar or multilamellar vesicles. Compound  $C_{10}PC_2NC_{12}$  formed a lamellar phase in water (Figure 3)  $(C_{10}PC_2NC_{12}: H_2O 1:2)$ . The lamellar phase is stable in a range of temperatures from 25°C to 70°C. The similarity of the chemical structures of the prepared compounds and their behavior in aqueous suspensions indicate that the heterocyclic analogues might form the same phases. The occurrence of this phase in compound/water mixtures justifies the assumption that vesicles could be formed. The giant aggregates seem to be multicomponent, multilamellar vesicles. Small unilamellar and/or multilamellar vesicles are enclosed in the larger vesicles (Figure 2). When we tested the trophocidal activity, we observed that the giant aggregates of  $C_{10}PC_2N(Pyr)C_{12}$  bound



**FIGURE 3** <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectrum of  $C_{10}PC_2NC_{12}$  (25°C).

some artifacts, which can be considered as parts of destroyed cells of amoebae. We suppose that the giant aggregates affect the trophozoites more than the vesicles with smaller diameters.

# MATERIALS AND METHODS

# Materials

All chemicals used for synthesis were purchased from commercial suppliers. <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C, and <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Gemini 2000 spectrometer operating at 300, 75.5, and 121.5 MHz, respectively, with <sup>13</sup>C and <sup>31</sup>P spectra being recorded with proton-decoupling. The spectra were measured in CDCl<sub>3</sub> relative to the internal standard TMS for <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra and to external standard 85% H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub> for <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra. Infrared spectra were recorded on a FT-IR Impact 400 D spectrophotometer as potassium bromide disks.

#### Chemistry

Synthesis of decyl 2-[dodecyl(dimethyl)amonio] ethyl phosphate ( $C_{10}PC_2NC_{12}$ ) and its pyrrolidinium ( $C_{10}PC_2N(Pyr)C_{12}$ ), piperidinium ( $C_{10}PC_2N(Pip)C_{12}$ ), and morpholinium ( $C_{10}PC_2N(Morph)C_{12}$ ) analogues is shown in Scheme 1.

General Procedure for the Quaternization of Aminoalcohols with Dodecyl p-Toluenesulfonate. Aminoalcohol (22 mmol) was added to solution of dodecyl p-toluenesulfonate (20 mmol) in acetonitrile (20 mL). The resulting mixture was refluxed for 4 h. After cooling down, the acetonitrile was evaporated in vacuum. The resulting mixture was crystallized from acetone. The quaternary salts were obtained as white, hygroscopic solids.

*N*-(2-Hydroxyethyl)-*N*, *N*-dimethyldodecane-1ammonium Tosylate (**2a**). Yield 73.9%; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, TMS)  $\delta$ : 0.88 (t, 3H, *J* = 6.6 Hz), 1.17– 1.50 (m, 18H), 1.60–1.69 (m, 2H), 2.34 (s, 3H), 3.27 (s, 6H), 3.36–3.45 (m, 2H), 3.64–3.74 (m, 2H), 4.09–4.20 (m, 2H), 5.51 (t, 1H, *J* = 5.7 Hz), 7.15 (d, 2H, *J* = 7.9 Hz), 7.74 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.2 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, TMS)  $\delta$ : 14.1, 21.3, 22.7, 22.8, 26.2, 29.1, 29.3, 29.4, 29.5, 29.6, 31.9, 51.8, 56.4, 65.8, 66.0, 125.8, 128.7, 139.6, 143.0.

*N*-Dodecyl-*N*-(2-hydroxyethyl)pyrrolidinium Tosylate (**2b**). Yield 35.8%; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, TMS)  $\delta$ : 0.88 (t, 3H, *J* = 6.7 Hz), 1.18–1.42 (m, 18H), 1.58– 1.67 (m, 2H), 1.98–2.32 (m, 4H), 2.34 (s, 3H), 3.26– 3.40 (m, 2H), 3.50–3.63 (m, 4H), 3.73–3.85 (m, 2H), 3.98–4.05 (m, 2H), 7.15 (d, 2H *J* = 8.1 Hz), 7.73 (d, 2H, *J* = 8.1 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, TMS)  $\delta$ : 14.1, 21.3, 21.6, 22.7, 22.9, 23.4, 26.4, 29.2, 29.3, 29.4, 29.5, 29.6, 31.9, 54.7, 56.5, 57.4, 57.6, 63.4, 125.8, 128.7, 139,7, 142.9.

*N*-Dodecyl-*N*-(2-hydroxyethyl)piperidinium Tosylate (**2c**). Yield 49.4%; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, TMS)  $\delta$ : 0.88 (t, 3H, J = 6.7 Hz), 1.20–1.32 (m, 18H), 1.58–2.01 (m, 8H), 2.34 (s, 3H), 3.38–3.50 (m, 4H), 3.60–3.76 (m, 2H), 4.03–4.15 (m, 2H), 5.60 (t, 1H, J = 5.8 Hz), 7.14 (d, 2H, J = 7.9 Hz), 7.75 (d, 2H, J = 8.1 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, TMS)  $\delta$ : 14.3, 20.0, 21.0, 21.5, 21.9, 22.8, 26.6, 29.3, 29.5, 29.6, 29.7, 29.8, 32.1, 55.9, 58.6, 60.1, 61.6, 128.0, 128.8, 139.6, 143.4.

*N*-Dodecyl-*N*-(2-hydroxyethyl)morpholinium Tosylate (**2d**). Yield 24.2%; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, TMS)  $\delta$ : 0.88 (t, 3H, J = 6.5 Hz), 1.18–1.42 (m, 18H), 1.58– 1.65 (m, 2H), 2.34 (s, 3H), 3.28–3.62 (m, 6H), 3.71– 4.17 (m, 8H), 5.51 (m, 1H), 7.16 (d, 2H, J = 7.8 Hz), 7.18 (d, 2H, J = 7.9 Hz); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, TMS)  $\delta$ : 14.2, 21.3, 21.7, 22.7, 26.3, 29.2, 29.4, 29.5, 29.7, 31.9, 52.7, 55.7, 56.1, 59.0, 59.4, 60.5, 61.1, 63.8, 125.8, 128.9, 139.9, 142.8.

General Procedure for the Preparation of Alkylphosphocholines. Solution of decanol (9 mmol) in chloroform (20 mL) was added dropwise at 0°C to a stirred solution of phosphorous oxychloride (10 mmol) and triethylamine (20 mmol) in chloroform (10 mL). The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature (r.t.) for 2 h. This intermediate was used immediately without any purification. Pyridine (15 mL) was added dropwise at  $t = 0^{\circ}$ C to the resulting solution, followed by the addition of choline tosylate analogue (12.5 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at r.t. overnight. After cooling, the mixture was hydrolyzed by addition of  $H_2O$  (1.5 mL) and stirred for 1 h at r.t. The solvents were evaporated in vacuum, and the resulting crude solid was dissolved in a mixture of tetrahydrofuran-water (5:1 V/V). To the stirred solution, exchange resin MB3 was added sequentially until the color of the resin ceased to change. Then, the resin was filtered off and the solvents were evaporated in vacuum. The resulting crude solid was purified by crystallization from a mixture of chloroform and acetone or chloroform and diethyl ether. ALPs were dried in vacuo over  $P_4O_{10}$ .

Decyl 2-[Dodecyl(dimethyl)ammonio]ethyl Phosphate ×  $H_2O$  ( $C_{10}PC_2NC_{12}$ ). Yield 22.2%; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, TMS) δ: 0.84–0.92 (m, 6H), 1.18–1.41 (m, 32H), 1.55–1.78 (m, 4H), 2.18 (s, 2H), 3.35 (s, 6H), 3.41–3.50 (m, 2H), 3.76–3.89 (m, 4H), 4.27–4.35 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, TMS) δ: 14.1, 22.7, 22.9, 26.0, 26.3, 29.3, 29.4, 29.5, 29.6, 29.7, 31.0, 31.1, 31.9, 51.9, 58.8, 64.2, 65.5, 65.6, 65.9; <sup>31</sup>P NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>) δ: 0.76; IR  $\nu_{max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3427, 2919, 2851, 1635, 1469, 1242, 1100, 1067, 822.

Decyl 2-(1-Dodecylpyrrolidinio-1-yl)ethyl Phosphate ×  $H_2O$  ( $C_{10}PC_2N(Pyr)C_{12}$ ). Yield 4.3%; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, TMS)  $\delta$ : 0.8–0.98 (m, 6H), 1.18–1.52 (m, 32H), 1.57–1.74 (m, 4H), 1.98–2.18 (m, 2H), 2.29–2.47 (m, 2H), 2.77 (s, 2H), 3.35–3.50 (m, 2H), 3.63–3.91 (m, 6H), 3.94–4.07 (m, 2H), 4.20–4.31 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, TMS)  $\delta$ : 14.1, 21.5, 22.7, 23.4, 25.9, 26.5, 29.4, 29.5, 29.6, 29.7, 31.1, 31.9, 58.7, 59.1, 60.2, 63.0, 63.5, 65.6; <sup>31</sup>P NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>)  $\delta$ : 0.26; IR  $\nu_{max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3416, 2921, 2851, 1645, 1468, 1260, 1101, 1069, 826.

Decyl 2-(1-Dodecylpiperidinio-1-yl)ethyl Phosphate ×  $1.5H_2O$  ( $C_{10}PC_2N(Pip)C_{12}$ ). Yield 16.3%; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, TMS) δ: 0.81–0.95 (m, 6H), 1.18–1.54 (m, 32H), 1.54–2.05 (m, 10H), 2.91 (s, 3H), 3.44 (m, 4H), 3.75–3.92 (m, 6H), 4.22–4.36 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, TMS) δ: 14.1, 20.0, 20.8, 21.9, 22.7, 26.0, 26.5, 29.3, 29.4, 29.5, 29.6, 29.7, 31.1, 31.2, 31.9, 57.3, 58.2, 58.3, 59.9, 60.8, 65.4, 65.5; <sup>31</sup>P NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>) δ: 0.78; IR  $\nu_{max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3417, 2922, 2852, 1634, 1469, 1222, 1100, 1066, 823.

Decyl 2-(1-Dodecylmorpholinio-1-yl)ethyl Phosphate × 1.5 $H_2O$  ( $C_{10}PC_2N(Morph)C_{12}$ ). Yield 1.7%; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, TMS)  $\delta$ : 0.84–0.96 (m, 6H), 1.18– 1.45 (m, 32H), 1.54–1.65 (m, 2H), 1.65 (m, 2H), 2.70 (s, 3H), 3.46–3.69 (m, 4H), 3.76–3.86 (m, 2H), 3.90–4.11 (m, 6H), 4.13–4.25 (m, 2H), 4.25–4.36 (m, 2H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, TMS)  $\delta$ : 14.1, 21.9, 22.7, 25.9, 26.5, 29.3, 29.4, 29.5, 29.6, 29.7, 31.0, 31.1, 31.9, 58.3, 58.4, 59.1, 60.0, 60.1, 60.6, 65.6; <sup>31</sup>P NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>)  $\delta$ : 0.15; IR  $\nu_{max}$  (cm<sup>-1</sup>): 3420, 2922, 2852, 1636, 1468, 1249, 1087, 827.

# *Testing Heterogemini Surfactants for Trophocidal Susceptibility*

These procedures were carried out using the modified methods described by Walochnik et al. [29]. The cultures of amoeba were grown axenically in 100-mL Erlenmeyer flasks. Axenic culture was obtained from the monoxenic culture of clinically isolated Acanthamoeba lugdunensis as reported previously [40]. Trophozoites were harvested from 2-day monoxenic plate cultures and transferred to Bacto-Casitone/Serum (BCS) medium with penicillin and ampicillin. Actively growing trophozoites were harvested by centrifugation at  $500 \times g$  for 7 min, and subsequently they were incubated at 37°C for 3 days. Thereafter, trophozoites were transferred to BCS medium without antibiotics and cultivated at 37°C for 3 days. The cultivation in the BCS medium was repeated five times, then the trophozoites were transferred to peptone-yeast extract-glucose (PYG) medium and afterwards cultivated in this medium. Experiments were carried out in 96-well microtiter

plates at 37°C under sterile conditions. Each well was seeded with 100  $\mu$ L (2 × 10<sup>5</sup> cells) of a trophozoite suspension. Then 100  $\mu$ L of test substance solution in PYG medium was added and mixed with the suspension of trophozoites. APCs were tested at five concentrations (50, 100, 200, 400, and 800  $\mu$ M). Viability was determined by trypan blue exclusion. One hundred percent eradication was confirmed by transferring 50  $\mu$ L of the suspension to a PYG medium and by recording the amoeba growth for 14 days.

# Measurement of Some Physicochemical Properties

Diameter Measurements of Aggregates of Compounds in Water. The samples were prepared by simple soaking of solids in deionized water. Compounds were dissolved by shaking in a volumetric flask. The concentrations of solutions/suspensions were  $1 \times 10^{-3}$  mol L<sup>-1</sup>. The samples were investigated 2 weeks after preparation. The aggregates were observed under Nikon Labophot optical microscope. The diameter was measured by an ocular micrometer.

Measurement of Aggregates of  $C_{10}PC_2NC_{12}$  in Water by <sup>31</sup>P NMR. The sample was prepared in an NMR tube. 100 µL of deionized water was added to 50 mg of  $C_{10}PC_2NC_{12}$ . The mixture was homogenized by several cycles of heating to about 50°C and cooling to  $-18^{\circ}C$ . <sup>31</sup>P NMR spectra were measured on the prepared sample at 25 and 70°C.

#### **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

The authors wish to thank Prof. Ferdinand Devínsky for useful comments and Dr. Milan Hudeček for correcting the English of the manuscript.

### REFERENCES

- [1] Menger, F. M.; Littau, C. A. J Am Chem Soc 1991, 113, 1451–1452.
- [2] Alami, E.; Holmberg, K. Adv Colloid Interface Sci 2003, 100–102, 13–46.
- [3] Duivenvoorde, F. L.; Feiters, M. C.; Van der Gaast, S. J.; Engberts, J. B. F. N. Langmuir 1997, 13, 3737– 3743.
- [4] Zana, R.; Lévy, H.; Danino, D.; Talmon, Y.; Kwetkat, K. Langmuir 1997, 13, 402–408.
- [5] Zana, R.; Benrraou, M.; Rueff, R. Langmuir 1991, 7, 1072–1075.
- [6] Pavlíková, M.; Lacko, I.; Devínsky, F.; Mlynarčík, D. Collect Czech Chem Commun 1995, 60, 1213–1228.
- [7] Tan, H.; Xiao, H. Tetrahedron Lett 2008, 49, 1759– 1761.
- [8] Zhou, T.; Yang, H.; Xu, X.; Wang, X.; Wang, J.; Dong, G. Colloids Surf A: Physicochem Eng Asp 2008, 317, 339–343.

- [9] Fitzgerald, P. A.; Carr, M. W.; Davey, T. W.; Serelis, A. K.; Such, C. H.; Warr, G. G. J Colloid Interface Sci 2004, 275, 649–658.
- [10] Alami, E.; Holmberg, K. J Colloid Interface Sci 2001, 239, 230–240.
- [11] Jaeger, D. A.; Li, B.; Clark, T. Langmuir 1996, 12, 4314–4316.
- [12] Peresypkin, A. V.; Menger, F. M. Org Lett 1999, 1, 1347–1350.
- [13] Menger, F. M.; Peresypkin, A. V. J Am Chem Soc 2001, 123, 5614–5615.
- [14] Kumar, A.; Alami, E.; Holmberg, K.; Seredyuk, V.; Menger, F. M. Colloids Surf A: Physicochem Eng Asp 2003, 228, 197–207.
- [15] Peresypkin, A.; Clavel, C.; Menger, F. M. Mendeleev Commun 2007, 17, 82–84.
- [16] Seredyuk, V. Alami, E. Nydén, M.; Holmberg, K.; Peresypkin, A. V.; Menger, F. M. Langmuir 2001, 17, 5160–5165.
- [17] Seredyuk, V.; Alami, E.; Nydén, M.; Holmberg, K.; Peresypkin, A. V.; Menger, F. M. Colloids Surf A: Physicochem Eng Asp 2002, 203, 245–258.
- [18] Menger, F. M.; Peresypkin, A. V. J Am Chem Soc 2003, 125, 5340–5345.
- [19] Sun, Y.; Feng, Y.; Dong, H.; Chen, Z.; Han, L. Central Eur J Chem 2007, 5, 620–634.
- [20] Brachwitz, H.; Vollgraf, C. Pharmac Ther 1995, 66, 39–82.
- [21] Croft, S. L.; Seifert, K.; Duchêne, M. Mol Biochem Parasitol 2003, 126, 165–172.
- [22] Obando, D.; Widmer, F.; Wright, L. C.; Sorrell, T. C.; Jolliffe, K. A. Biorg Med Chem 2007, 15, 5158–5165.
- [23] Chugh, P.; Bradel-Tretheway, B.; Monteiro-Filho, C. M. R.; Planelles, V.; Maggirwar, S. B.; Dewhurst, S.; Kim, B. Retrovirology 2008, 5, 11–24.
- [24] Escobar, P.; Matu, S.; Marques, C.; Croft, S. L. Acta Tropica 2002, 81, 151–157.
- [25] Croft, S. L.; Snowdon, D.; Yardley, V. J Antimicrob Chemother 1996, 38, 1041–1047.
- [26] Schuster, F. L.; Guglielmo, B. J.; Visvesvara, G. S. J Eukaryot Microbiol 2006, 53, 121–126.
- [27] Blaha, C.; Duchêne, M.; Aspöck, H.; Walochnik, J. J Antimicrob Chemother 2006, 57, 273–278.
- [28] Seifert, K.; Duchêne, M.; Wernsdorfer, W. H.; Kollaritsch, H.; Scheiner, O.; Wiedermann, G.; Hottkowitz, T.; Eibl, H. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2001, 45, 1505–1510.
- [29] Walochnik, J.; Duchêne, M.; Seifert, K.; Obwaller, A.; Hottkowitz, T.; Wiedermann, G.; Eibl, H.; Aspöck, H. Antimicrob Agents Chemother 2002, 46, 695–701.
- [30] Schuster, F. L.; Visvesvara, G. S. Int J Parasitol 2004, 34, 1001–1027.
- [31] McBride, J.; Ingram, P. R.; Henriquez, F. L.; Roberts, C. J Clin Microbiol 2005, 43, 629–634.
- [32] Vasseneix, C.; Gargala, G.; Francois, A.; Hellot, M. F.; Duclos, C.; Muraine, M.; Benichou, J.; Ballet, J. J.; Brasseur, G.; Favennec, L. Cornea 2006, 25, 597–602.
- [33] McBride, J.; Mullen, A. B.; Carter, K. C.; Roberts, C. W. J Antimicrob Chemother 2007, 60, 521–525
- [34] Aichelburg, A. C.; Walochnik, J.; Assadian, O.; Prosch, H.; Steuer, A.; Perneczky, G.; Visvesvara, G. S.; Aspöck, H.; Vetter, N. Emerg Infect Dis 2008, 14, 1743–1746.
- [35] Walochnik, J.; Obwaller, A.; Gruber, F.; Mildner, M.; Tschachler, E.; Suchomel, M.; Duchêne, M.; Auer, H. J Antimicrob Chemother 2009, 64, 539–545.

- [36] Lukáč, M.; Mrva, M.; Ficher-Fodor, E.; Lacko, I.; Bukovský, M.; Miklášová, N.; Ondriska, F.; Devínsky, F. Biorg Med Chem Lett 2009, 19, 6346–6349.
- [37] Lukáč, M.; Mojžiš, J.; Mojžišová, G.; Mrva, M.; Ondriska, F.; Valentová, J.; Lacko, I.; Bukovský, M.; Devínsky, F.; Karlovská, J. Eur J Med Chem 2009, 44, 4970–4977.
- [38] Campbell, D.; Dix, L. R.; Rostron, P. Dyes Pigments 1995, 29, 77–83.
- [39] Marvel, C. S.; Sekera, V. C. Org Synth Coll 1955, 3, 366–367.
- [40] Ondriska, F.; Mrva, M.; Lichvár, M.; Žiak, P.; Murgašová, Z.; Nohýnková, E. Ann Agric Environ Med 2004, 11, 335–341.