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Previously unknown 1,2,4,3,5-benzotrithiadiazepine 1 was prepared by $1: 1$ condensation of $\mathrm{Ph}-\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ with $\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ followed by intramolecular ortho-cyclization of [ Ph -$\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{Cl}]$ intermediate, and hydrolyzed in pyridine to unusual macrocyclic $7 \mathrm{H}, 14 \mathrm{H}$-dibenzo $[d, i][1,2,6,7,3,8]$ tetrathiadiazecine 2.

Polysulfur-nitrogen $\pi$-excessive heterocycles, especially heterocyclic stable radicals (with the former frequently being precursors of the latter), are of keen interest for contemporary chemistry and materials science. ${ }^{1-4}$ Among them, fused trithiadiazepines belong to a little studied system. While 1,3,5,2,4-benzotrithiadiazepine ${ }^{5,6} \mathbf{3}$ is described and subjected to preliminary investigation, ${ }^{6-8}$ its non-symmetric isomer 1,2,4,3,5-benzotrithiadiazepine 1 was unknown. The present article deals with the preparation of $\mathbf{1}$ and its unexpected hydrolysis in pyridine to unusual macrocyclic $7 \mathrm{H}, 14 \mathrm{H}$-dibenzo[ $d, i][1,2,6,7,3,8]$ tetrathiadiazecine 2.
For the synthesis of 1, the intramolecular electrophilic cyclization of $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ azathienes into 1,3,2,4-benzodithiadiazines under the action of $\mathrm{SCl}_{2}{ }^{6,9}$ was extended to $\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$. This allows the preparation $\dagger$ of the target heterocycle $\mathbf{1}$ from $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}-\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{SiMe}_{3} 4$ (Scheme 1). The cyclization is also successful with $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{SiMe}_{3} 5$ (providing compound $\mathbf{6}$, an $8-\mathrm{Br}$ derivative of $\mathbf{1}$ ) and $3-\mathrm{RC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}-\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ ( $7, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3} ; \mathbf{8}, \mathrm{R}=\mathrm{I}$ ). In the latter case of meta-substituted precursors the cyclization is regioselective leading predominantly or even exclusively to 7-R substituted derivatives of $\mathbf{1}$ (Scheme 1). The ratio of the major 7-R isomer to the minor 9-R one is $65: 35$ for $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{CH}_{3}$, as shown by ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR spectroscopy. $\dagger$ With $\mathrm{R}=\mathrm{I}$, only the 7-I isomer $\mathbf{1 1}$ was observed and its structure has unambiguously been confirmed by X-ray crystallography (Fig. 1) $\ddagger$
Contrary to the successful synthesis of $\mathbf{1}$, an attempt to prepare its symmetric isomer $\mathbf{3}^{5,6}$ by the similar approach from $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}-\mathrm{S}-\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}$ and $\mathrm{SCl}_{2}$ fails. This result agrees with previously reported ${ }^{6}$ one.



Fig. 1 The X-ray structure of molecule 11. Selected bond lengths $(\AA)$, bond and torsion angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right): ~ S(1)-\mathrm{S}(2) 2.051(6), \mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3) 1.69(2), \mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{S}(4)$ $1.52(2), \mathrm{S}(4)-\mathrm{N}(5) \quad 1.55(1), \mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a}) \quad 1.41(2), \mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a}) 1.76(1)$; $\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2) \quad 104.6(5), \quad \mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3) \quad 104.2(6), \quad \mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{S}(4)$ 124.6(9), N(3)-S(4)-N(5) 127.1(8), S(4)-N(5)-C(5a) 138(1), N(5)-C(5a)$\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a}) 125(1), \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{S}(1) 124(1), \mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)-61(1)$, $\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3) 80.2(8), \mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{S}(4)-48(2), \mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)-$ $\mathrm{S}(4)-\mathrm{N}(5) 8(2), \mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{S}(4)-\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})-11(2), \mathrm{S}(4)-\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a})$ 35(3).

According to the data of X-ray crystallography (Fig. 1) $\ddagger$ and MP2/6-31G* calculations (Fig. 2), $\ddagger$ the heterocycle of $\mathbf{1}$ is significantly bent (similar to that of benzopentathiepine) ${ }^{10}$ in contrast to the perfectly planar heterocycle of $3^{5 b}$ and its tetrafluoro derivative. ${ }^{11}$ The heterocycle conformation (Fig. 1) features the planarity of the $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{N}(5)=\mathrm{S}(4)=\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ fragment within $\pm 0.03$ (1) A. The $S(1)$ and $C(9 a)$ atoms deviate from this plane by $1.35(2)$ and $0.48(3) \AA$, respectively. It is seen (Figs. 1 and 2) that the conformation and bond lengths of the title heterocycle are practically the same for a free molecule compared to that packed in the crystal, which is in striking contrast to the situation with related 1,3,2,4-benzodithiadiazines where molecular conformation significantly changes on going from a gas phase to the solid state. ${ }^{12}$

The heteroatom reactivity of $\mathbf{1}$ differs from that of $\mathbf{3}$. For example, it is reported that $\mathbf{3}$ is stable towards hydrolysis in weak bases and acids ${ }^{7 b}$ and undergoes fast transformation into 1,3,2-benzodithiazolium chloride under the action of $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCl}$ (a side-product of its preparation). ${ }^{7 a, 13}$ Compound $\mathbf{1}$ interacts with $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCl}$ to give 1,2,3-benzodithiazolium chloride $\mathbf{1 3}$ (Scheme 2) extremely slowly. $\dagger$ However, the most interesting finding is that hydrolysis of $\mathbf{1}$ in pyridine results unexpectedly in the unusual macrocyclic compound 2 (Scheme 2). $\dagger$ In the absence of pyridine (for example, in THF) the hydrolysis proceeds very slowly if at all. Catalytic or even equimolar


Fig. 2 The MP2/6-31G* structure of molecule 1. Selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ), bond and torsion angles $\left(^{\circ}\right): ~ S(1)-S(2) 2.086, S(2)-N(3) 1.688, N(3)-\mathrm{S}(4)$ 1.614, S(4)-N(5) 1.594, N(5)-C(5a) 1.381, S(1)-C(9a) 1.757; C(9a)-S(1)$\mathrm{S}(2) 106.5, \mathrm{~S}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3) 105.0, \mathrm{~S}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{S}(4) 124.9, \mathrm{~N}(3)-\mathrm{S}(4)-\mathrm{N}(5)$ 126.9, $\mathrm{S}(4)-\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})$ 137.8, $\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a})$ 127.6, $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a})-$ $\mathrm{S}(1)$ 122.3, $\mathrm{C}(5 a)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)-67.1, \mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a})-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3) 78.8$, $\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{S}(4)-42.1, \mathrm{~S}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{S}(4)-\mathrm{N}(5) 3.1, \mathrm{~N}(3)-\mathrm{S}(4)-\mathrm{N}(5)-$ $\mathrm{C}(5 \mathrm{a})-2.5, \mathrm{~S}(4)-\mathrm{N}(5)-\mathrm{C}(5 a)-\mathrm{C}(9 \mathrm{a}) 21.9$.

amounts of pyridine facilitate the hydrolysis in THF insignificantly.

According to the X-ray diffraction data (Fig. 3) the molecule 2 possesses an inversion center. The macrocycle conformation can be described as a chair featuring two transannular $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{S}$ hydrogen bonds with a $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{S}$ distance of 2.60 A .


Fig. 3 The X-ray structure of molecule 2. Selected bond lengths ( $\AA$ ), bond and torsion angles $\left({ }^{\circ}\right): \mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)$ 2.082(5), $\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3) 1.66(1), \mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ 1.42(2), C(4)-C(5) 1.37(2), C(5)-S(6) 1.80(1), S(6)-S(7) 2.082(5); S(1)-$\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ 107.1(5), S(2)-N(3)-C(4) 124(1), N(3)-C(4)-C(5) 121(1), C(4)-C(5)-S(6) 121.2(9), C(5)-S(6)-S(7) 100.9(4), $\quad \mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)$ $-68.3(7), \mathrm{S}(1)-\mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-69(1), \mathrm{S}(2)-\mathrm{N}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5) 144(1), \mathrm{C}(4)-$ $\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{S}(6)-\mathrm{S}(7)-97(1)$. The dotted lines show $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{S}$ hydrogen bonds.

Thus, two novel polysulfur-nitrogen heterocyclic systems have been prepared by original approaches and structurally characterized.
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## Notes and references

$\dagger$ Syntheses. Compounds 1-6, 9-11. In an argon atmosphere, solutions of $1.35 \mathrm{~g}(0.01 \mathrm{~mol})$ of $\mathrm{S}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ and 0.01 mol of $\mathrm{Ar}-\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{S}=\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{SiMe}_{3}\left(\mathrm{Ar}=\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right.$, $4-\mathrm{BrC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}, 3-\mathrm{CH}_{3} \mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ and $3-\mathrm{IC}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4}$ ), ${ }^{6,9}$ each in $30 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$, were slowly mixed by adding them dropwise to $300 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ at $20^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, over a period of 1 h , with stirring. After a further 1 h , the reaction solution was filtered, the solvent distilled off under reduced pressure, and the residue was chromatographed on silica $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right)$.
Compound 1, $10 \%$, red oil. MS m/z 199.9534 ( $\mathrm{M}^{+}$; calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}$ 199.9537). NMR (Bruker DRX-500 throughout the work) $\delta$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 7.59,7.34,6.98 ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}: 151.1,146.0,132.7,130.6,130.4,124.9$; ${ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}$ [ $\mathrm{NH}_{3}$ (liq.) ]: 318.9 (s), 292.0 (d, J 3.3 Hz ). UV (heptane) $\lambda_{\text {max }} / \mathrm{nm}$ (log $\varepsilon): 457$ (3.39), 322 (3.53), 272 (3.85), 267 (3.83), 258 (3.75).
Compound 6, $4 \%$, orange-red crystals, mp $80-81{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (hexane). MS $m / z$ $277.8642\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$; calculated for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{BrN}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3} 277.8642,{ }^{79} \mathrm{Br}\right)$. NMR $\delta$ $\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 7.78,7.46,7.19 ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}: 150.0,147.6,134.5,133.0,131.0,117.1$; ${ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right.$ (liq.)]: 319, 292. UV (heptane) $\lambda_{\max } / \mathrm{nm}(\log \varepsilon): 464$ (3.51), 325 (3.60), 277 (3.98), 229 (4.32), 208 (4.32).

Compounds 9 and 10 ( $\sim 2: 1$ mixture, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ NMR), $7 \%$, red oil. MS $m / z$ $213.9697\left(\mathrm{M}^{+} ;\right.$calculated for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{7} \mathrm{H}_{6} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3} 213.9693\right)$. NMR $\delta\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 9$, $7.48,7.14,6.80,2.34 ; \mathbf{1 0}, 7.22,7.17,6.91,2.49 ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}: 9,150.9,142.8,140.4$, $131.9,130.1,125.2,20.8 ; 10,152.1,145.2,140.4,129.7,128.0,125.5$, $21.3 ;{ }^{15} \mathrm{~N}\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right.$ (liq.)]: 9, 319.2 (s), 292.1 (d, J 3.3 Hz ); 10, 319.8 (s), 292.3 (d, J 3.3 Hz ).
Compound 11, $3 \%$, red crystals, $\mathrm{mp} 100-101{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (hexane). MS m/z $325.8505\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$; calculated for $\left.\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{IN}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3} 325.8505\right)$. NMR $\delta\left(\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ : $7.70,7.30,7.29 ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}: 151.9,145.5,138.5,133.2,132.7,95.5 ;{ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}$
$\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right.$ (liq.)]: 325, 289. UV (EtOH) $\lambda_{\max } / \mathrm{nm}(\log \varepsilon): 458$ (3.24), 324 (3.45), 273 (3.95), 214 (4.07).
Compound 2. To a solution of $100 \mathrm{mg}\left(5 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol}\right)$ of $\mathbf{1}$ in $0.6 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of pyridine was added $36 \mathrm{mg}\left(2 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}\right)$ of $\mathrm{H}_{2} \mathrm{O}$. After 24 h the precipitate (which consisted of a mixture of $\mathbf{2}$ and pyridinium sulfate, according to the MS and IR data) was filtered off, washed with pyridine and recrystallized from toluene. Compound 2,5 \%, colorless crystals, mp 215-217 ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. MS m/z $309.9729\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}\right.$; calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{4}$ 309.9727). IR $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{cm}^{-1}(\mathrm{KBr})$ : $3274 \mathrm{~s}, 3050 \mathrm{w}, 1585 \mathrm{~m}, 1470 \mathrm{~s}, 1443 \mathrm{~m}, 1269 \mathrm{~s}, 901 \mathrm{~m}, 757 \mathrm{~s}, 612 \mathrm{~s}, 575 \mathrm{~m}$, 448s. Evaporation of the filtrate under reduced pressure affords viscous oil assumed to be (GC-MS, ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ and ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ NMR) a mixture of $2,2^{\prime}$-diaminodiphenyl disulfide and related polysulfanes.
Compound 13. To a solution of $105 \mathrm{mg}\left(5.25 \times 10^{-4} \mathrm{~mol}\right)$ of $\mathbf{1}$ in $4 \mathrm{~cm}^{3}$ of $\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ was added $228 \mathrm{mg}\left(2.1 \times 10^{-3} \mathrm{~mol}\right)$ of $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{SiCl}$. After 21 d the precipitate was filtered off and recrystallized from $\mathrm{SOCl}_{2}-\mathrm{CCl}_{4}(3: 1)$. Compound 13, 10\%, yellow crystals, $\mathrm{mp} 194-196^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (decomp.) MS $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{z}$ $153.9775\left(\mathrm{M}^{+}-{ }^{35} \mathrm{Cl}\right.$; calculated for $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{4} \mathrm{NS}_{2}$ 153.9785). NMR $\delta$ $\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right):{ }^{1} \mathrm{H}: 9.09,9.00,8.65,8.46 ;{ }^{13} \mathrm{C}: 164.0,156.2,139.1,133.9$, $128.1,123.4 ;{ }^{14} \mathrm{~N}\left[\mathrm{NH}_{3}\right.$ (liq.)]: 406. UV $\lambda_{\max } / \mathrm{nm}(\log \varepsilon)\left(\mathrm{CF}_{3} \mathrm{CO}_{2} \mathrm{H}\right): 426$ (3.25), 347 (4.38).

After evaporation of the filtrate under reduced pressure unreacted $\mathbf{1}$ was recovered in $80 \%$ yield.
$\ddagger X$-ray crystallography and ab initio calculations. $X$-ray structure data for 2 and 11. Compound 2: $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{4}, M=310.46$, monoclinic, $a=$ 8.101(2), $b=4.7156(9), c=16.905(5) \AA, \beta=95.57(2)^{\circ}, U=642.7(3)$ $\AA^{3}$, space group $P 2_{1} / c, Z=2, d_{\mathrm{c}}=1.604 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, \mu(\mathrm{MoK} \alpha)=0.719$ $\mathrm{mm}^{-1}, 875$ reflections measured, 807 unique ( $R_{\mathrm{int}}=0.037$ ) which were used in all calculations. The final $R$ was 0.0873 (for 505 observed reflections).
Compound 11: $\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{3} \mathrm{IN}_{2} \mathrm{~S}_{3}, M=326.18$, monoclinic, $a=4.117(2), b=$ 11.048(7), $c=20.63(1) \AA, \beta=91.74(5)^{\circ}, U=938.2(9) \AA^{3}$, space group $P 2_{1} / c, Z=4, d_{\mathrm{c}}=2.309 \mathrm{~g} \mathrm{~cm}^{-3}, \mu(\mathrm{MoK} \alpha)=4.023 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}, 1859$ reflections measure, 1616 unique ( $R_{\text {int }}=0.040$ ) which were used in all calculations. The final $R$ was 0.0831 (for 943 observed reflections).
CCDC 164031 (2) and 164032 (11). See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/ $\mathrm{b} 1 / \mathrm{b} 105001 \mathrm{j} /$ for electronic files in .cif or other electronic format.
The MP2/6-31G* calculations were performed using the GAMESS program. ${ }^{14}$
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