

Regiodivergent Iridium(III)-Catalyzed Diamination of Alkenyl Amides with Secondary Amines: Complementary Access to γ - or δ -Lactams

John H. Conway, Jr. and Tomislav Rovis*®

Department of Chemistry, Columbia University, New York, New York 10027, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Alkenyl *N*-pivaloylhydroxamates undergo an Ir(III)-catalyzed diamination of the alkene with simple exogenous secondary amines under extraordinarily mild reaction conditions. The regioselectivity of the diamination is controlled by the solvent and the electronics of the cyclopentadienyl (Cp^x) ligand on Ir. On the basis of a set of mechanistic experiments, we propose that the relative rates of Ir(V)-nitrenoid formation versus attack on the amido-Ir-coordinated alkene by the exogenous amine determine the outcome of the reaction.

Titrogen-containing molecules are heavily represented among FDA-approved drugs,¹ and thus synthetic methods that can quickly and efficiently incorporate nitrogen are greatly desired. Among these methods, catalytic alkene diamination has proven to be an attractive yet challenging transformation.² Intermolecular diamination reactions have been developed by Shi and others, although these frequently suffer from limited amine scope or lack of distinction between the two amines.³ One strategy to address this shortcoming is to perform diamination reactions wherein one of the amines is tethered (Scheme 1). Michael has published reports of Pd(II)catalyzed alkene diamination using NFSI as the exogenous nitrogen source (eq 1),⁴ which was later rendered intermolecular in a report by Muñiz.^{3e} More recently, Cu(II) complexes have proven to be competent diamination catalysts that expand the scope of the exogenous amine. Wang has described a

Scheme 1. Alkene Diamination



Cu(II)-catalyzed diamination of *N*-alkoxy alkenyl amides with O-benzoylhydroxylamines⁵ (eq 2) and an azidoiodinane.⁶ Yu⁷ (eq 3) and Chemler⁸ (eq 4) have also recently reported similar Cu(II)-catalyzed alkene diamination reactions using tethered alkenyl *N*-oxy amines. In these examples with Pd(II) and Cu(II), the reactivity is limited to 5-*exo* and the activating N–O bond is retained in the product. Blakey has shown that hypervalent iodine can effect 6-*endo* cyclizations of alkenyl sulfonamides with trifluoromethanesulfonimide.⁹ Herein we describe two mild Ir(III)-catalyzed alkene diamination methods utilizing unactivated functionally diverse secondary amines and a traceless *N*-pivaloylhydroxamate oxidant (eq 5) that deliver complementary γ - and δ -lactams. We demonstrate that the two methods proceed by complementary reaction pathways largely controlled by the solvent and exogenous additive.

For our initial investigations, we tethered the alkene to an amine with a three-carbon linker that would result in a favorable five- or six-membered ring formation. We had previously used this strategy to enable a challenging Rh(III)-catalyzed allylic C-H activation.¹⁰ Moreover, we were inspired to use an alkenetethered N-pivaloylhydroxamate (1) for this reaction because it has proven to be a versatile and robust oxidizing directing group for analogous Rh(III)-catalyzed alkene carboamination reactions.¹¹ We felt that in this application they would enable installation of two distinct nitrogen units across an alkene due to their orthogonal reactivity versus simple amines. A preliminary screen of catalysts and exogenous amines revealed that Cp*Rh(III) complexes are ineffective at promoting this transformation, but analogous Cp*Ir(III) complexes, previously demonstrated by Chang to tolerate amines and anilines in C-H amination,¹² are competent catalysts. On the basis of these initial results, we conscripted N-methylaniline (2a, Table 1) to be the model amine for our optimization studies.

In an examination of solvents (see Supporting Information for details), we were pleasantly surprised to find that $[Cp*IrCl_2]_2$ in both trifluoroethanol (TFE) and hexafluoroisopropanol (HFIP) promotes productive catalysis, but with opposite regioselectivity (entries 1 and 2). Speculating that the increased acidity of HFIP relative to TFE is the source of regiodivergence, we determined that removal of the base and lowering of the amine loading delivers γ -lactam **3aa** in good yield and improved regioselectivity (62%, 14:1 γ : δ , entry 4). On the other hand, the use of Cs₂CO₃ presents a drastic improvement in regioselectivity for δ -lactam **4aa** but is detrimental to the yield (entry 5), a situation that is rectified with the less basic KHCO₃ (entries 6 and 7).

Received: October 27, 2017

Table 1. Reaction Optimization^a



^{*a*}Conditions: **1a** (1.0 equiv, 0.030 mmol, 0.3 M), 2.0 equiv **2a**, 2.5 mol % $[Cp^*IrCl_2]_2$, 21 °C for 18 h. ^{*b*}Yield of dominant isomer as determined by ¹H NMR. ^{*c*}Determined by analysis of ¹H NMR of the unpurified reaction mixture. ^{*d*}0.12 mmol **1a**, 1.2 equiv **2a**. ^{*e*}1:1 ratio. ^{*f*}Concentration in H₂O. ^{*g*}Isolated yield of dominant isomer.

Ultimately, we found that use of 2 M aqueous KHCO₃ as a cosolvent, coupled with lowering of the amine loading, gives **4aa** in superior yield and regioselectivity (76%, >20:1 δ : γ , entry 7).

With optimized reaction conditions in hand, we examined the scope of each reaction. With regard to the γ -lactam scope (Scheme 2), we found that inclusion of substituents on the

Scheme 2. γ -Lactam Scope^{*a*}



"Conditions: 1 (1.0 equiv, 0.12 mmol, 0.3 M), 1.2 equiv 2, 2.5 mol % [Cp*IrCl₂]₂, HFIP, 21 °C for 16 h.

arene of varying electronics (methoxy, bromo, fluoro, chloro) is tolerated with reasonable yield and good to excellent regioselectivity (3ab-3ae). The reaction is tolerant of moderate steric bulk near the nitrogen as anilines **2e** bearing a 2-chloro group and **2f** bearing an *N*-benzyl group are converted to the corresponding products **3ae** and **3af** in 64 and 76% yields respectively and >20:1 rr (γ : δ) for both. Next, we investigated the effect of substitution on the lactam ring, and found that alkyl substituents at the β -position are compatible with the reaction (**3ba**-**3da**); however, this substitution has little influence on the stereochemistry of the formation of the adjacent C–N bond, resulting in poor diastereoselectivity of the reaction. Disappointingly, we found that α -substitution on the lactam is not welltolerated for this regioisomer (*vide infra*).¹³

Next, we turned our attention to the scope of δ -lactams, shown in Scheme 3, and found that this reaction is tolerant of a



^aConditions: 1a (1.0 equiv, 0.12 mmol, 0.3 M), 1.2 equiv 2, 2.5 mol % $[Cp*IrCl_2]_2$, 1:1 TFE: 2 M aq KHCO₃, 21 °C for 16 h. ^b5.0 mol % $[Cp*IrCl_2]_2$. ^c1.5 equiv 1a used.

wider scope of amines. Similar to the γ -lactam scope, we found that a variety of electronically diverse substituents on the aniline are tolerated (methoxy, fluoro, and bromo), and the corresponding lactams (**4ab**-**4ad**) are delivered in good yield (69 to 76%) and excellent regioselectivity (all >20:1 δ : γ) (Scheme 3a). Furthermore, dialkyl amines with a variety of functional groups are also competent in the reaction (Scheme 3b). *N*-benzyl and *N*-dimethoxybenzyl protected amines **2i** and **2m** were found to couple with **1a** productively, giving the corresponding products **4ai** and **4am** in moderate yield (57% and 60%, respectively) and regioselectivity (8.9:1 and 5.5:1, respectively). Amines **2k** and **2l** are also converted to the corresponding products **4ak** and **4al** in moderate yield (47% and 50%, respectively) and regioselectivity (10:1 for both). Impressively, amidine-containing piperazine **4aj**, derived from

Journal of the American Chemical Society

the antidepressant amoxapine (2j), is delivered in excellent yield (79%) and regioselectivity (>20:1).

We subsequently interrogated the effect of substitution on the lactam ring, but were disappointed to find that unlike in the γ -lactam scope, β -substituted alkenyl amides **1b–1d** did not engage in productive reactivity. When we tested α -methyl alkenyl amide **1e** under the standard reaction conditions, we were encouraged to see some of the corresponding lactam **4ea** (Scheme 4a, entry 1), but the reaction is plagued by the



a) Catalyst optimization^a Me .OPiv 2a, [Cp^xIrCl₂]₂ CsOPiv, TFE 4ea 5ea 1e 21 °C, 16 h **`**Ph yield 5ea (%)^l catalyst vield 4ea $(\%)^{l}$ entry [Cp*IrCl₂]₂ 38 24 1 2 Cp*Ir(MeCN)₃(SbF₆)₂^c 31 24 $Cp*Ir(OAc)_2^c$ 3 36 36 [Cp*^{Ph}IrCl₂]₂ 28 4 43 [Cp*pCF3IrCl2]2 57 (74)^d 5 8 [Cp*sdPhIrCl2]2 6 61 (69)^d 6 CI CI [Cp*PhIrCl2]2 [Cp*pCF3IrCl2]2 [Cp*sdPhIrCl2]2 b) Scope BnC 4ea 74% 4fa. 67% 4ga, 53% >20:1 rr. >20:1 dr >20:1 rr, >20:1 dr >20:1 rr. >20:1 dr

^{*a*}Conditions: **1e** (1.0 equiv, 0.030 mmol, 0.3 M), 1.2 equiv **2a**, 2.5 mol % [Cp^xIrCl₂]₂, 2.0 equiv CsOPiv, TFE, 21 °C for 16 h. ^{*b*}Determined by ¹H NMR. ^{*c*}5.0 mol %. ^{*d*}Isolated yield using 0.12 mmol **1e**. ^{*e*}Conditions: **1** (1.0 equiv, 0.12 mmol, 0.3 M), 1.2 equiv **2a**, 2.5 mol % [Cp^{*pCF3}IrCl₂]₂, HFIP, 21 °C for 16 h. Yields are of isolated δ -lactam only. Regioisomeric ratios reported as δ : γ .

formation of urea **5ea**. Changing the counterion of the catalyst presents no improvement (entries 2 and 3). However, we found that use of the more electron-deficient $[Cp^{*pCF3}IrCl_2]_2$ suppresses the formation of **5ea** (entry 5) and delivers **4ea** in good isolated yield (74%) and excellent regioselectivity (>20:1) (Scheme 4b).¹⁴ α -Substitution also confers a high degree of diastereoselectivity to the reaction (>20:1). This high diastereoselectivity is strongly suggestive of a chairlike transition state for the diastereomer-determining C–N bond formation between the alkene and **2a**. α -Substituted δ -lactams **4fa** and **4ga** are also delivered in good yield (67 and 53%, respectively) with >20:1 rr and dr.

We were puzzled by the formation of significant amounts of urea **5ea** from **1e** when **1a** leads to little to no urea formation under both HFIP and TFE reaction conditions. When we examined **1e** in the standard reaction conditions for γ -lactam synthesis, urea **5ea** is predominantly formed (Scheme 5a).

Scheme 5. Mechanistic Experiments^a



Communication

^{*a*}See Supporting Information for reaction details and yields. ^{*b*}Urea Sea is also observed in approximately 1:1.6 ratio with lactam 4ea when using the standard conditions for δ -lactam formation. ^{*c*}Relative stereochemistry determined by ¹H NMR and comparison to the nondeuterated spectra. See Supporting Information for more details.

Crossover experiments demonstrate that none of the products, urea, γ -, or δ -lactam, interconvert under the reaction conditions and are thus not intermediates to each other.¹⁵ Considering that this undesired urea formation involves a migration of the alkyl chain of 1e onto the amide nitrogen, we postulate that 5ea is formed via a Lossen rearrangement followed by trapping of the isocyanate by 2a (Scheme 5a). When the reaction is run in the absence of catalyst, no product or urea is observed, demonstrating that this Lossen rearrangement is catalystdependent.¹⁶ Therefore, we postulate that this rearrangement proceeds through an Ir(V) nitrenoid.¹⁷ Considering that a higher ratio of urea to lactam is observed in the γ -lactam reaction conditions than the δ -lactam conditions, and that δ -lactam formation is heavily favored over both γ -lactam and urea formation when using the more electron-poor $[CpIr^{*pCF3}Cl_2]_2$ we posit that in the product-determining step, oxidation of an Ir(III)-amido complex to an Ir(V)-nitrenoid causes γ -lactam formation

To probe whether the exogenous amine is installed by migratory insertion or reductive elimination from the Ir versus nucleophilic attack of the carbon, we synthesized alkenyl amide *trans*-1a- d_1 (Scheme 5b), and subjected it to the complementary reaction conditions. Under both TFE and HFIP conditions, we determined that the two nitrogen units add *anti* across the double bond.¹⁸

On the basis of these results, we propose the following mechanism (Scheme 6). Active catalyst I coordinates the amide and alkene of 1 to generate II. From here, hydrogen bonding of the relatively acidic solvent HFIP to amine 2 slows nucleophilic attack and enables Ir(V)-nitrenoid III to form. Migratory insertion of the Ir(V)-nitrenoid onto the alkene closes the lactam ring and generates Ir(V) bicycle IV. Nucleophilic attack of 2 then opens the four-membered iridacycle and reduces the metal to Ir(III), generating V.¹⁹ Proto-demetalation generates γ -

Scheme 6. Proposed Mechanism^a



^{*a*}For clarity, oxidation states and Lossen rearrangement are omitted, 1a is shown, and OPiv and Cl, when on Ir, are abbreviated as X. See Scheme 5a for the postulated Lossen rearrangement mechanism.

lactam **3** and regenerates the active catalyst. Conversely, in TFE/2 M KHCO₃, the basicity of the solvent mollifies hydrogen bonding to **2** and speeds up nucleophilic attack, allowing sevenmembered iridacycle **VI** to form. Alternatively, the electrondeficiency of $[Cp^{*pCF3}IrCl_2]_2$ slows down oxidation of the catalyst to **III**. Nitrene formation followed by reductive coupling, or alternatively reductive elimination followed by oxidative insertion into the N–O bond closes the lactam ring and generates **VII**. Protodemetalation generates δ -lactam **4** and regenerates active catalyst **I**.

In conclusion, we have described a novel, regiodivergent alkene diamination reaction that proceeds under mild conditions, wherein two orthogonal nitrogen units are installed across the double bond and the choice of solvent and additive determine the regioselectivity. Investigation of the mechanism revealed that this reaction can proceed *via* two distinct pathways, likely involving Ir nitrenoid intermediates. Efforts at extending this reactivity are currently underway.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/jacs.7b11455.

Experimental details, characterization data, and NMR spectra (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*tr2504@columbia.edu

ORCID 💿

Tomislav Rovis: 0000-0001-6287-8669

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank NIGMS (GM80442) for support.

REFERENCES

(1) Vitaku, E.; Smith, D. T.; Njardarson, J. T. J. Med. Chem. 2014, 57, 10257.

(2) For reviews, see: (a) Cardona, F.; Goti, A. Nat. Chem. 2009, 1, 269. (b) De Jong, S.; Nosal, D. G.; Wardrop, D. J. Tetrahedron 2012, 68, 4067. (c) Muñiz, K.; Martínez, C. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 78, 2168. (d) Zhu, Y.; Cornwall, R. G.; Du, H.; Zhao, B.; Shi, Y. Acc. Chem. Res. 2014, 47, 3665.

(3) (a) Du, H.; Zhao, B.; Shi, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 762.
(b) Wang, B.; Du, H.; Shi, Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 8224.
(c) Zhao, B.; Peng, X.; Cui, S.; Shi, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 11009.
(d) Röben, C.; Souto, J. A.; González, Y.; Lishchynskyi, A.; Muñiz, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 9478.
(e) Muñiz, K.; Kirsch, J.; Chávez, P. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2011, 353, 689.
(f) Olson, D. E.; Su, J. Y.; Roberts, D. A.; Du Bois, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 13506.
(g) Danneman, M. W.; Hong, K. B.; Johnston, J. N. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 2558.
(h) Ciesielski, J.; Dequirez, G.; Retailleau, P.; Gandon, V.; Dauban, P. Chem. - Eur. J. 2016, 22, 9338.
(i) Muñiz, K.; Barreiro, L.; Romero, R. M.; Martínez, C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 4354.

(4) (a) Sibbald, P. A.; Michael, F. E. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 1147.
(b) Ingalls, E. L.; Sibbald, P. A.; Kaminsky, W.; Michael, F. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 8854.

- (5) Shen, K.; Wang, Q. Chem. Sci. 2015, 6, 4279.
- (6) Shen, K.; Wang, Q. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 13110.
- (7) Liu, R.-H.; Wei, D.; Han, B.; Yu, W. ACS Catal. 2016, 6, 6525.

(8) Khoder, Z. M.; Wong, C. E.; Chemler, S. R. ACS Catal. 2017, 7, 4775.

(9) Kong, A.; Blakey, S. B. Synthesis 2012, 44, 1190.

(10) Archambeau, A.; Rovis, T. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 13337.

(11) (a) Guimond, N.; Gorelsky, S. I.; Fagnou, K. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 6449. (b) Rakshit, S.; Grohmann, C.; Besset, T.; Glorius, F. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 2350. (c) Hyster, T. K.; Knörr, L.; Ward, T. R.; Rovis, T. Science 2012, 338, 500. (d) Hyster, T. K.; Rovis, T. Synlett 2013, 24, 1842. (e) Hyster, T. K.; Dalton, D. M.; Rovis, T. Chem. Sci. 2015, 6, 254. (f) Semakul, N.; Jackson, K. E.; Paton, R. S.; Rovis, T. Chem. Sci. 2017, 8, 1015. (g) Piou, T.; Romanov-Michailidis, F.; Romanova-Michaelides, M.; Jackson, K. E.; Semakul, N.; Taggart, T. D.; Newell, B. S.; Rithner, C. D.; Paton, R. S.; Rovis, T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 1296.

(12) (a) Kim, H.; Shin, K.; Chang, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 5904. (b) Kim, H.; Chang, S. ACS Catal. 2015, 5, 6665.

(13) Dialkyl amines participate under these conditions with modest selectivities for the γ -lactam but proceed in low yields (~6:1 rr, ~20% yield).

(14) $[Cp^{*pCF3}IrCl_2]_2$ was found to be incompatible with alkyl amines. Additionally, we found **4aa** to be the major product when this catalyst was used in HFIP. See Supporting Information for more details.

(15) See Supporting Information for experiment details.

(16) No product was observed when catalyst was omitted in either HFIP or TFE/2 M KHCO_3 conditions.

(17) For a thorough theoretical and experimental examination into Ir(V)-nitrenoid formation using Cp*Ir(III) complexes, see: Park, Y.; Heo, J.; Baik, M.-H.; Chang, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2016**, 138, 14020.

(18) Widenhoefer has used a similar deuterium-labeling strategy to determine that a Pd(II)-catalyzed alkene hydroalkylation with a diketone proceeds through an outer sphere *anti*-carbometalation pathway. See: Qian, H.; Widenhoefer, R. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 2056.

(19) Reductive elimination of IV can be envisioned to deliver a bicyclic acyl aziridine, which one might expect would lead to ringopening to deliver one or both of the observed products. However, this aziridine has never been isolated, has resisted our own attempts at isolation and cannot account for the effect of catalyst structure on regioselectivity; see Supporting Information.