Bioorganic Chemistry 38 (2010) 275-278

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Bioorganic Chemistry

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/bioorg



Synthesis of the natural enantiomer of neplanocin B

Nadège Hamon, Jean-Pierre Uttaro, Christophe Mathé*, Christian Périgaud

Institut des Biomolécules Max Mousseron (IBMM), UMR 5247 CNRS-UM 1-UM 2, Université Montpellier 2, cc1705, Place E. Bataillon, 34095 Montpellier cedex 5, France

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 29 June 2010 Available online 16 August 2010

Keywords: Neplanocin derivatives Natural product Carbocyclic nucleosides

ABSTRACT

(–)-Neplanocin B, the natural isomer of a component of the neplanocin family was diasteroselectively synthesized from 2,3-O-isopropylidene-D-1,4-ribonolactone. However, when evaluated against several DNA and RNA viruses in cell culture experiments, it did not show any antiviral activity.

© 2010 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved.

1. Introduction

The neplanocin derivatives are an important class of naturally occurring carbocyclic nucleosides isolated from the culture filtrate of soil fungus Ampullariella regularis [1,2]. Five distinct components were identified: (-)-neplanocin A (1), (-)-neplanocin B (2), (-)neplanocin C (3), (-)-neplanocin D (4) and (-)-neplanocin F (5) (Fig. 1). Among these compounds, (-)-neplanocin A received great attention due to its interesting biological properties [3,4] and numerous syntheses of neplanocin A as well as of their analogs were reported [5]. Indeed, (-)-neplanocin A, the lead compound of the neplanocin family has been recognized as a potent inhibitor of S-adenosyl-L-homocysteine (AdoHcy) hydrolase. Inhibition of AdoHcy hydrolase leads to the accumulation of AdoHcy which is a feedback inhibitor of S-adenosyl methionine-dependent methyltransferases. Such methylation reactions are required for the 5'capping of viral mRNAs leading to the inhibition of the maturation of mRNAs which provides an antiviral effect [3].

In a less extent, only few syntheses of the other natural components of the neplanocin family have been reported in the literature. The total synthesis of (+/-)-neplanocin F as a racemate [6] as well as the enantioselective synthesis of its unnatural (+) [7] and natural (-)-enantiomer [8] were described. The synthesis of (+) and (-)-neplanocin D [9] as well as (-)-neplanocin C [10] were also reported. In contrast, only the synthesis of the unnatural (+)-enantiomer of neplanocin B was published [11].

In this context, and in order to evaluate its antiviral properties, we report herein the first synthesis of the natural enantiomer.

2. Experimental

2.1. General methods

Evaporation of solvents was carried out on a rotary evaporator under reduced pressure. Melting points were determined in open capillary tubes on a Gallenkamp MFB-595-010 M apparatus and are uncorrected. UV spectra were recorded on an Uvikon 931 (Kontron) spectrophotometer. ¹H NMR spectra were recorded at 300 MHz, ¹³C NMR spectra at 100 MHz and ¹⁹F NMR at 235 MHz in (CD₃)₂SO at ambient temperature with a Brüker DRX 400. Chemical shifts (δ) are quoted in parts per million (ppm) referenced to the residual solvent peak, (CD₃)CD₂H)SO being set at δ_{-H} 2.49 and δ_{-C} 39.5 relative to tetramethylsilane (TMS). Deuterium exchange and COSY experiments were performed in order to confirm proton assignments. Coupling constants, J, are reported in Hertz. 2D ¹H-¹³C heteronuclear COSY were recorded for the attribution of ¹³C signals. Specific rotations were measured on a Perkin-Elmer Model 241 spectropolarimeter (path length 1 cm), and are given in units of $10^{-1} \text{ deg cm}^2 \text{ g}^{-1}$. Elemental analyses were carried out by the Service de Microanalyses du CNRS, Division de Vernaison (France). Thin layer chromatography was performed on precoated aluminum sheets of Silica Gel 60 F254 (Merck, Art. 5554), visualization of products being accomplished by UV absorbency followed by charring with 5% ethanolic sulfuric acid and heating. Column chromatography was carried out on Silica Gel 60 (Merck, Art. 9385). All moisture-sensitive reactions were carried out under rigorous anhydrous conditions under an argon atmosphere using oven-dried glassware. Solvents were dried and distilled prior to use and solids were dried over P2O5 under reduced pressure.

^{*} Corresponding author. Fax: +33 (0)4 67 04 20 29.

E-mail address: christophe.mathe@univ-montp2.fr (C. Mathé).

^{0045-2068/\$ -} see front matter \odot 2010 Elsevier Inc. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.bioorg.2010.07.003



Fig. 1. Naturally occurring carbocyclic nucleosides from the neplanocin family.

2.2. (-)-9-[(1S,2S,5R)-5-(benzyloxy)-3-[(benzyloxy)methyl]-2-(methoxymethoxy)-3-cyclopenten-1-yl]-6-chloro-9H-purine (7)

To a solution of 6 (1.12 g, 2.23 mmol) in dry DMF (22.5 mL) was added successively K₂CO₃ (971 mg, 7.03 mmol), 6-chloropurine (776 mg, 5.02 mmol) and 18-crown-6 ether (206 mg, 0.78 mmol). The mixture was heated at 60 °C for 3 h and poured into brine (125 mL) and ethyl acetate (125 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with ethyl acetate $(3 \times 125 \text{ mL})$ and the combined organic phases were washed with brine $(2 \times 125 \text{ mL})$, dried (MgSO₄), and evaporated under reduced pressure. Purification by column chromatography using diethyl ether/methylene chloride (5/95 to 10/ 90, v/v) gave 7 as a yellow solid (686 mg, 60% yield): mp 93–94 °C; $R_{\rm f}$: (diethyl ether) 0.41; UV (EtOH, 96%) $\lambda_{\rm max}$ = 266.0 nm (ϵ 9000); $[\alpha]_{D}^{20}$ –23 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 8.62 (s, 1H), 7.96 (s, 1H), 7.31-7.38 (m, 5H), 7.10-7.13 (m, 3H), 7.01-7.04 (m, 2H), 6.12 (s, 1H), 5.13 (d, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 5.02 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 1H), 4.72 (t, J = 6.0 Hz, 1H), 4.63 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.59 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.55 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.45 (s, 2H), 4.37 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.19 (d, J = 5.4 Hz, 2H), 3.07 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ 151.3, 151.0, 145.8, 143.0, 137.7, 137.3, 132.3, 128.4, 128.2, 127.9, 127.8, 127.8, 127.7, 127.6, 97.0, 82.5, 81.5, 72.8, 72.0, 71.1, 65.9, 55.5; FAB-MS (>0) m/z 507 [M + H]⁺. HRMS TOF MS E^+ for $C_{27}H_{28}ClN_4O_4$: calculated: 507.1799 found: 507.1800. Anal. Calcd for C27H27ClN4O4. 0.1 Et2O: C, 63.98; H, 5.49, N, 10.99. Found: C, 63.63; H, 5.28; N, 10.97.

2.3. (-)-(1S,4R,5R)-4-(benzyloxy)-2-[(benzyloxy)methyl]-5-(6chloro-9H-purin-9-yl)-2-cyclopenten-1-ol (**8**)

To a solution of **7** (1.5 g, 2.96 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (59 mL) was added dropwise TFA (14.8 mL). The solution was stirred at room temperature for 28 h, then poured into an aqueous saturated NaH-CO₃ solution (400 mL) and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 × 200 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄) and evaporated to dryness. A purification by column chromatography using diethyl ether gave **8** as a white foam (832 mg, 60% yield): $R_{\rm f}$ (diethyl ether) 0.36; UV (EtOH, 96%) $\lambda_{\rm max}$ = 266.0 nm (ϵ = 7200); [α]_D²⁰ –50 (c 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 8.67 (s, 1H), 8.04 (s, 1H),

7.33–7.39 (m, 5H), 7.21–7.25 (m, 3H), 7.17–7.20 (m, 2H), 6.11 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 5.19 (m, 1H), 5.07 (m, 1H), 4.71 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.65 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 1H), 4.62 (s, 2H), 4.49 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.30 (s, 2H), 4.17 (d, J = 4.2 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ 151.3, 151.2, 150.9, 145.4, 143.6, 137.6, 137.6, 131.9, 128.5, 128.3, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 126.4, 80.6, 75.9, 73.1, 72.6, 71.7, 66.5; FAB-MS (>0) m/z 463 [M + H]⁺. HRMS TOF MS E⁺ for C₂₅H₂₄ClN₄O₃: calculated: 463.1537 found: 463.1547. Anal. Calcd for C₂₅H₂₃ClN₄O₃. 0.35 Et₂O: C, 64.86; H, 5.46; N, 11.46. Found: C, 64.64; H, 5.86; N, 11.35.

2.4. (-)-(1S,2R,3R,4S,5R)-4-(benzyloxy)-1-[(benzyloxy)methyl]-3-(6-chloro-9H-purin-9-yl)-6-oxabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-2-ol (**9**)

To as solution of 8 (158 mg, 0.34 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (7.2 mL) at $0 \,^{\circ}$ C was added a solution of *m*-CPBA (124 mg, 0.72 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (3.6 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 21 h and solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography using diethyl ether to afford **9** as a white foam (503 mg, 93% yield): $R_{\rm f}$ (diethyl ether) 0.36; UV (EtOH, 96%) λ_{max} = 266.0 nm (ϵ = 9500); $[\alpha]_{D}^{2\ell}$ (c 1.0, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 8.44 (s, 1H), 8.07 (s, 1H), 7.27-7.38 (m, 5H), 6.89-6.98 (m, 5H), 5.05 (m, 1H), 5.02 (m, 1H), 4.71 (dd, J = 1.2, 8.1 Hz, 1H), 4.65 (s, 2H), 4.64 (d, J = 12.3 Hz, 1H), 4.37 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 4.32 (d, J = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 4.08 (d, *J* = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 3.85 (d, *J* = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (d, *J* = 0.9 Hz, 1H); ^{13}C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ 151.2, 150.7, 150.1, 146.9, 137.4, 136.7, 131.4, 128.5, 127.9, 127.9, 127.8, 127.6, 75.1, 73.8, 71.8, 70.5, 66.9, 56.4, 63.5, 57.6; FAB-MS (>0) m/z 479 [M+H]⁺. Anal. Calcd for C₂₅H₂₃ClN₄O₄. 0.35 Et₂O: C, 62.80; N, 11.10; Found: C, 62.97; N, 10.72.

2.5. (-)-(15,25,3R,4R,5R)-3-(6-amino-9H-purin-9-yl)-4-(benzyloxy)-1-[(benzyloxy)methyl]-6-oxabicyclo[3.1.0]hexan-2-ol (**10**)

A solution of **9** (716 mg, 13.9 mmol) in saturated methanolic ammonia (35 mL) in a steel bomb was heated at 70 °C for 14 h. The reaction mixture was cooled and the solvent removed under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography using ethyl acetate/methanol (96:4; v/v) to afford **10** as a white foam (375 mg, 50% yield); R_f (ethyl acetate/methanol, 9:1) 0.37; UV (EtOH, 96%) $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 260.0 \text{ nm}$ ($\epsilon = 12,500$); $[\alpha]_{\text{D}}^{20} -37$ (c1.0, DMSO); ¹H NMR (CDCl₃, 300 MHz) δ 8.13 (s, 1H), 7.69 (s, 1H), 7.38-7.15 (m, 10H), 5.65 (br s, 2H), 5.43 (br s, 1H), 4.87 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1H), 4.72 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.58–4.67 (m, 3H), 4.54 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.29 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 4.03 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H), 3.83 (d, J = 11.1 Hz, 1H), 3.73 (s, 1H); ¹³C NMR (CDCl₃, 75 MHz) δ 155.1, 151.9, 149.5, 141.4, 137.5, 136.9, 128.5, 128.3, 127.9, 127.9, 127.7, 119.9, 76.4, 73.8, 71.5, 70.7, 66.9, 64.2, 63.4, 57.6; FAB-MS (>0) m/z 460 [M + H]⁺. HRMS TOF MS E⁺ for C₂₅H₂₆N₅O₄: calculated: 460.1985 found: 460.1986. Anal. Calcd for C₂₅H₂₅N₅O₄. 0.75 MeOH: C, 63.96; H, 5.84; N, 14.48; Found: C, 64.17; H, 6.24; N, 14.87.

2.6. (-)-(1S,2S,3S,4R,5R)-3-(6-amino-9H-purin-9-yl)-1-(hydroxymethyl)-6-oxabicyclo[3.1.0]hexane-2,4-diol (2)

To a solution of **10** (375 mg, 0.82 mmol) in methanol (9.1 mL) was added ammonium formate (772 mg, 12.3 mmol) and 10% Pd/ C (390 mg). The mixture was stirred at reflux for 18 h and filtrated through a pad of Celite before evaporation of solvent. The residue was purified by column chromatography using ethyl acetate/methanol (3:1, v/v) to give **2** as a white solid (44 mg, 20% yield); mp 270 °C; $R_{\rm f}$ (iPrOH, H₂O, NH₄OH 5:1:1) 0.61; UV (H₂O) $\lambda_{\rm max} = 260.0$ nm; $[\alpha]_{20}^{20}$ -3.0 (*c* 1.0, DMSO); ¹H NMR (DMSO-*d*₆, 300 MHz): δ 8.05 (br s, 1H), 8.02 (s, 1H) 7.21 (s, 2H, NH₂), 5.50 (br s, 2H), 4.93 (br s, 1H), 4.70 (m, 1H), 4.64 (m, 1H), 4.06 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 3.88 (dd, J = 4.2 Hz, 12.3 Hz, 1H), 3.52 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (DMSO- d_6 , 75 MHz) δ 156.1, 152.0, 149.8, 141.9, 119.7, 69.74, 69.7, 64.7, 64.69, 59.3, 57.6; FAB-MS (>0) *m*/*z* 280 [M+H]⁺. HRMS TOF MS E⁺ for C₁₁H₁₄N₅O₄: calculated: 280.1046 found: 280.1041.

3. Results and discussion

The synthesis of (–)-neplanocin B was achieved from the preparation of a suitable carbocyclic precursor (**6**) bearing appropriate protective groups (Fig. 1). Such an intermediate can be obtained in several steps from commercially available $D-\gamma$ -ribonolactone [8]. Thus, treatment of **6** with K₂CO₃, 6-chloropurine and a catalytic amount of 18-crown-6 ether in dry DMF at 60 °C afforded only the N-9 alkylated compound **7**. The structure of **7** was fully established from ¹H, ¹³C NMR and UV spectra. The selective removal of MOM group was carried out with diluted TFA in methylene chloride. Compound **8** was treated with *m*-chloroperbenzoic acid to give stereoselectively the epoxy derivative **9** in 93% yield. The epoxidation reaction was directed by the allylic alcohol and gave a single diastereoisomer [12]. Treatment with methanolic ammonia afforded the desired carbocyclic analog **10** (see Fig. 2).

Once the synthesis of the carbocyclic intermediate **10** was achieved, removal of the benzyl groups was envisioned via a catalytic hydrogenation [13]. Different reaction conditions were attempted (Table 1).

Catalytic hydrogenation of compound **10** in the presence of Pd/C was not as effective as previously reported [11]. The influence of pressure, temperature, solvent and the catalyst on the



Fig. 2. Reagents and conditions: (a) K_2CO_3 , 18-crown-6 ether, DMF, 60 °C; (b) TFA (18%)/CH₂Cl₂, r.t.; (c) m-CPBA/CH₂Cl₂, r.t.; (d) NH₃/MeOH, 70 °C; (e) see Table 1.

Table 1

atalytic flydrogenation conditions.	
-------------------------------------	--

Entry	Conditions	Compound 2 (yield)
1	H ₂ , 5%Pd/C, MeOH, r.t., 1 atm	No deprotection
2	H ₂ , 10%Pd/C, MeOH, r.t., 1 atm	No deprotection
3	H ₂ , 5%Pd/C, HCO ₂ H, MeOH, r.t., 3 atm	No deprotection
4	H ₂ , 5% Pd black, HCO ₂ H, MeOH, r.t., 3 atm	No deprotection
5	H ₂ , Pd(OH) ₂ , EtOAc/MeOH, r.t., 1 atm	No deprotection
6	H ₂ , Ni Raney, EtOH, r.t., 1 atm.	No deprotection
7	HCO ₂ NH ₄ (28 eq.), Pd(OH) ₂ , EtOH, reflux	Trace
8	HCO ₂ NH ₄ (5 eq.), 10% Pd/C, MeOH, reflux	Trace
9	HCO ₂ NH ₄ (10 eq.), 10% Pd/C, MeOH, reflux	20%

hydrogenation reaction have been investigated. Whatever the conditions used, no debenzylation occured. Nevertheless, compound **10** was finally deprotected (entry 9) by treatment with ammonium formate and Pd/C in MeOH to afford the target molecule (–)-neplanocin B (**2**). The ¹H NMR and ¹³C NMR were identical with those previously reported for the unnatural enantiomer. The optical rotation value was in agreement with the one previously reported [2].

4. Conclusion

In summary, the synthesis of the natural isomer (–)-neplanocin B (**2**) was carried out from 2,3-*O*-isopropylidene-D-1,4-ribonolactone. However, when the carbocyclic nucleoside **2** was evaluated against several DNA and RNA viruses in cell culture experiments, compound **2** did not showed any antiviral activity nor cytotoxicity at the highest concentration tested (usually 100 μ M).

Acknowledgments

One of us N.H. is particularly grateful to the Ministère de l'Education Nationale, de la Recherche et de la Technologie (France) for a doctoral fellowship. We gratefully acknowledge Pr. J. Balzarini (Laboratory of virology and chemotherapy, Katholieke Universiteit, Leuven) for the biological results.

References

 (a) M. Hayashi, S. Yaginuma, N. Muto, M. Tsujino, Nucl. Acids. Res. Symp. Ser. 8 (1980) s65;

(b) M. Hayashi, S. Yaginuma, H. Yoshioka, K. Nakatsu, J. Antibiot. 34 (1981) 675;

(c) S. Yaginuma, N. Muto, M. Tsujino, Y. Sudate, M. Hayashi, M. Otani, J. Antibiot. 34 (1981) 359.

- [2] S. Yaginuma, M. Tsujino, N. Muto, M. Otani, M. Hayashi, F. Ishimura, T. Fujii, S. Watanabe, T. Matsuda, T. Watanabe, J. Abe, in: J.D. Nelson, C. Grassi (Eds.), Current Chemotherapy and Infectious Diseases, vol. 2, American Society for Chemotherapy, Washington DC, 1979, pp. 1558–1559.
- [3] E. De Clercq, Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 28 (1985) 84.
- [4] (a) E. De Clercq, R. Bernaerts, D.E. Bregstrom, M.J. Robins, J.A. Montgomery, A. Holy, Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 29 (1986) 482;
 (b) E. De Clercq, Nucleosides Nucleotides 17 (1998) 625;
 - (c) K. Isono, J. Antibiot. 41 (1988) 1711;
 - (d) K. Isono, Pharmacol. Ther. 52 (1991) 269;
- (e) S. Rachakonda, L. Cartee, Curr. Med. Chem. 11 (2004) 775.
- [5] (a) L.S. Hegedus, L. Geisler, J. Org. Chem. 65 (2000) 4200;
- (b) D.H. Shin, H.W. Lee, S.S. Park, J.H. Kim, L.S. Jeong, M.W. Chun, Arch. Pharm. Res. 23 (2000) 302;
- (c) M. Ono, K. Nishimura, H. Tsubouchi, Y. Nagaoka, K. Tomioka, J. Org. Chem. 66 (2001) 8199;
- (d) S. Shuto, N. Minakawa, S. Niizuma, H.S. Kim, Y. Wataya, A. Matsuda, J. Med. Chem. 45 (2002) 748;

(e) L.S. Jeong, S.J. Yoo, K.M. Lee, M.J. Koo, W.J. Choi, H.O. Kim, H.R. Moon, M.Y. Lee, J.G. Park, S.K. Lee, M.W. Chun, J. Med. Chem. 46 (2003) 201;

- (f) K.L. Seley, S.L. Mosley, F.X. Zeng, Org. Lett. 5 (2003) 4401;
- (g) H.R. Moon, H.J. Lee, K.R. Kim, K.M. Lee, S.K. Lee, H.O. Kim, M.W. Chun, L.S. Jeong, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 14 (2004) 5641;
- (h) X.Q. Yin, S.W. Schneller, Tetrahedron Lett. 46 (2005) 1927;
- (i) F.A. Khan, B. Rout, J. Org. Chem. 72 (2007) 7011;
- (j) B.Y. Michel, P. Strazewski, Tetrahedron 63 (2007) 9836;
- (k) A.Y. Park, K.R. Kim, H.R. Lee, J.A. Kang, W.H. Kim, P. Chun, P. Ahmad, L.S.
- Jeong, H.R. Moon, B. Korean Chem. Soc. 29 (2008) 2487;

(1) H. Kumamoto, K. Deguchi, T. Wagata, Y. Furuya, Y. Odanaka, Y. Kitade, H.

- (i) A. Kunanoto, K. Deguchi, I. Wagata, Y. Futuya, Y. Odalaka, Y. Kuade, H. Tanaka, Tetrahedron 65 (2009) 8007;
 (m) H.R. Lee, J.A. Kang, A.Y. Park, W.H. Kim, P. Chun, J. Kim, J.A. Kim, B. Lee, L.S. Jeong, H.R. Moon, B. Korean Chem. Soc. 30 (2009) 2043;
 (n) W. Ye, M.Z. He, S.W. Schneller, Tetrahedron Lett. 50 (2009) 7156.
 [6] M. Bodenteich, V.E. Marquez, W.H. Hallows, B.M. Goldstein, J. Org. Chem. 57 (2009) 2073. (1992) 2071.
- [7] M.J. Comin, J. Leitofuter, J.B. Rodriguez, Tetrahedron 58 (2002) 3129.
- [8] S. Rodriguez, D. Edmont, C. Mathe, C. Perigaud, Tetrahedron 63 (2007)
- [8] S. Kodriguez, D. Edmont, C. Mathe, C. Fergaud, Terrareation of (2007) 7165.
 [9] G.Y. Song, V. Paul, H. Choo, J. Morrey, R.W. Sidwell, R.F. Schinazi, C.K. Chu, J. Med. Chem. 44 (2001) 3985.
 [10] M.J. Comin, J.B. Rodriguez, Tetrahedron 56 (2000) 4639.
 [11] M.J. Comin, S.C. Pellegrinet, J.B. Rodriguez, Arkivoc (2005) 205.
 [12] H.B. Henbest, R.A. Wilson, J. Chem. Soc. (1957) 1958.
 [13] S.A. Weissman, D. Zewge, Tetrahedron 61 (2005) 7833.