Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters 20 (2010) 5004-5008

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect



Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/bmcl



The synthesis and structure–activity relationship of 4-benzimidazolyl-piperidinylcarbonyl-piperidine analogs as histamine H₃ antagonists

Pauline C. Ting ^a,*, Joe F. Lee ^a, Margaret M. Albanese ^a, Jie Wu ^a, Robert Aslanian ^a, Leonard Favreau ^b, Cymbelene Nardo ^b, Walter A. Korfmacher ^b, Robert E. West ^c, Shirley M. Williams ^c, John C. Anthes ^c, Maria A. Rivelli ^c, Michel R. Corboz ^c, John A. Hey ^c,[†]

^a Department of Chemical Research, Merck Research Laboratories, 2015 Galloping Hill Road, Kenilworth, NJ 07033, USA
^b Department of Drug Metabolism, Merck Research Laboratories, 2015 Galloping Hill Road, Kenilworth, NJ 07033, USA
^c Department of Biological Research, Merck Research Laboratories, 2015 Galloping Hill Road, Kenilworth, NJ 07033, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 17 May 2010 Revised 9 July 2010 Accepted 13 July 2010 Available online 16 July 2010

Keyword: Histamine H₃ antagonist

ABSTRACT

A structure–activity relationship study of the lead piperazinylcarbonylpiperidine compound **3** resulted in the identification of 4-benzimidazolyl-piperidinylcarbonyl-piperidine **6h** as a histamine-3 (H₃) receptor antagonist. Additional optimization of **6h** led to the identification of compounds **11i–k** with $K_i \leq 0.5$ nM and good in vivo activity.

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

The histamine H_3 receptor is a G-protein-coupled receptor in the histamine receptor family (H_1 , H_2 , H_3 , and H_4) discovered by Arrang and co-workers in 1983.¹ It is a presynaptic receptor located in both the peripheral and central nervous system and acts as a negative feedback monitor by inhibiting the release of histamine as well as other neurotransmitters including serotonin, acetyl-choline, norepinephrine, dopamine, and GABA.² Therefore, a selective histamine H_3 antagonist will modulate neurotransmitter levels and may have potential use in a number of conditions such as metabolic syndrome, cognitive disorders, sleep, migraine, and inflammatory diseases.^{3,4} Recently, the role of the H_3 receptor in CNS disorders has expanded.^{5–7} In fact, many companies have entered clinical trials with H_3 ligands including Johnson and Johnson, GlaxoSmithKline, Merck, Sanofi Aventis, and Pfizer.^{8,9}

Our initial research efforts on H₃ antagonists focused on imidazole based structures which often exhibited undesired liver enzyme P450 inhibitory activity.¹⁰ Interest in the design and synthesis of H₃ antagonists which are an improvement over the early generations of imidazole based structures has escalated and is the subject of two reviews.^{11,12} Current research efforts are directed at a variety of non-imidazole based structures. Screening of a library, which originated from a collaboration with Pharmacopeia, produced a number of hits represented by compounds **1** and **2**. An analysis of the structure–activity relationship (SAR) from these hits indicated that the substituent on the piperazine ring was quite tolerant to change, and replacement of the *meta* amide group with a chlorine resulted in compound **3**, with 6 nM affinity in the H₃ binding assay.¹³ Compound **3** was confirmed to be a full antagonist in a guinea pig ileum functional assay.¹⁴



^{*} Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 908 740 3534; fax: +1 908 740 7152. *E-mail address*: pauline.ting@merck.com (P.C. Ting).

[†] Present address: CoMentis, 865 Research Parkway, Suite 400, Oklahoma City, OK 73104, USA.



Scheme 1. Reagents: (a) 4-pyridylcarboxaldehyde, NaB(OAc)₃H, ClCH₂CH₂Cl, 3A sieves, 100%; (b) LiOH, MeOH, H₂O, 100%; (c) DCC, HOBT, CH₂Cl₂.

An initial SAR study of the left hand side piperazine moiety was undertaken first. The construction of selected analogs **6a**–i followed the synthetic route depicted in Scheme 1.¹⁵ Reductive amination of ethyl isonipecotate (**4**) with 4-pyridylcarboxaldehyde and subsequent saponification with lithium hydroxide afforded the lithium salt **5**. Intermediate **5** coupled in moderate yields with a variety of piperidines and piperazines (DCC, HOBT) to afford compounds **6a–i**.

Compounds were tested for H_3 receptor binding activity, and the biological data are summarized in Table 1.¹³ Replacement of

Table 1

In vitro H₃ receptor binding affinity of left hand side analogs **6a-i**





^a Inhibition of $[{}^{3}H]N^{\alpha}$ -methylhistamine binding to guinea pig brain receptor. H₃ binding K_{i} values are the average of at least two independent determinations. Variation from the mean is generally within ±50%.



Scheme 2. Reagents: (a) N-CBZ-4-piperidinecarboxaldehyde, NaB(OAc)₃H, CH₂Cl₂, 92%; (b) H₂, 10% Pd/C, MeOH, DMF, 93%; (c) 4-pyridyl-carboxaldehyde, NaB(OAc)₃H, CF₃CH₂OH, 3A sieves, 29–59%; (d) DCC, HOBT, N-tBOC-4-piperidinemethylcarboxylic acid, CH₂Cl₂, 100%; (e) 4 N HCl in dioxane, CH₂Cl₂, 100%; (f) 4-nitrophenyl chloroformate, Et₃N, CICH₂CH₂Cl, 100%; (g) *N*-BOC-piperazine, DMF, Δ , 41%.

the 3-chlorophenyl group with the benzyl, phenyl, or cyclohexyl group in **6a–c** resulted in a slight reduction of H₃ activity. The smaller methyl substitution in **6d** was significantly less active. H₃ affinity was unchanged for the benzyl piperidine analog **6e** relative to the benzyl piperazine **6a** while the benzylhydroxypiperidine **6f** and keto analog **6g** showed slightly less affinity. The structurally different benzimidazolyl-4-piperidine compound **6h** displayed good H₃ binding affinity in comparison to the spiro structure **6i** and was utilized in subsequent SAR studies.

Compounds **8a–c** were synthesized in order to verify that the piperidinylcarbonyl-piperidine core was essential for activity (Scheme 2). Removal of the carbonyl moiety in analog **8a**, insertion of a methylene linker in analog **8b**, and replacement of the piperidine ring with a piperazine ring in analog **8c** all decreased H₃ affinity (Table 2).

The next step was to examine the SAR of the right hand side pyridylmethyl moiety. Analogs were synthesized according to the

Table 2 In vitro H_3 receptor binding affinity of core analogues ${\bf 8a-c}$



^a Inhibition of $[{}^{3}H]N^{\alpha}$ -methylhistamine binding to guinea pig brain receptor. H₃ binding K_i values are the average of at least two independent determinations. Variation from the mean is generally within ±50%.



Scheme 3. Reagents: (a) *N*-BOC-isonipecotic acid, DCC, HOBT, CH_2Cl_2 , 95%; (b) TFA, CH_2Cl_2 , 100%; (c) RCHO, AcOH, NaB(OAc)_3H, CH_2Cl_2 or RCOCl, Et_3N , CH_2Cl_2 ; (d) NaOH, *n*Bu₄N(HSO₄), K_2CO_3 , R'X.

route outlined in Scheme 3.¹⁵ Coupling of 4-(2-keto-1-benzimidazolinyl)piperidine (**7**) with *N*-BOC-isonipecotic acid formed intermediate **9**. Acid catalyzed removal of the BOC protecting group and further elaboration gave compounds **10a–l**. Alternatively, intermediate **9** could be alkylated before removal of the BOC protecting group, and additional elaboration provided compounds **11a–r**.

The SAR around the pyridylmethyl moiety appeared to be quite restricted (Table 3). Replacement of the 4-pyridyl ring in **6h** with the 3-pyridyl ring in **10a** or the 2-pyridyl ring in **10b** resulted in a reduction of H₃ affinity. The pyridine N-oxide **10c** and the 3-chloropyridyl analog **10d** were also less active. Furthermore, the methylene linker appeared to be important for biological activity. Conversion of the basic amine to an amide in compound **10e** or extension to the ethylene linker in compound **10f** significantly decreased H₃ receptor binding. Even addition of a single methyl group as in compound **10g** was not well-tolerated. Alternative heterocycles for the pyridine ring including the thiophene analog **10h**, the furan analog **10i**, the pyrrole analog **10j**, the quinoline analog **10k**, and the indole analog **10l**, all exhibited reduced H₃ affinity.

In contrast, the urea nitrogen of the left side benzimidazolone was very amenable to substitution (Table 4). H₃ antagonists, in particular structures which contain an imidazole moiety, displayed undesired cytochrome P450 inhibition, and therefore, we screened active analogs for CYP liver enzyme 3A4 and 2D6 inhibition.¹⁴ The lead compound **6h** exhibited moderate 3A4 inhibition (9.5 μ M) and minimal 2D6 inhibition (>20 µM). Target compounds which met the criteria of guinea pig K_i for $H_3 < 5 \text{ nM}$ and IC_{50} for $3A4 > 5 \mu M$ were tested for H₃ functional activity (pA2) in the guinea pig ileum assay.¹⁴ In this assay, (*R*)- α -methylhistamine inhibited electrical field-stimulated contractions in guinea pig ileum segments. A H₃ antagonist dose dependently inhibited the (R)- α methylhistamine activity. Overall, there was good correlation between the guinea pig receptor binding assay and the guinea pig functional assay. Introduction of an alkyl group such as the methyl in 11a and the isobutyl in 11b retained reasonable H₃ binding affinity and 3A4/2D6 profile. Ether chains as in compounds 11d-h were well-tolerated, but the more polar alcohol analog **11c** displayed good in vitro biological activity and improved (lower) 3A4 inhibition. This trend was also present with the more polar substituted amino side chains in compounds 11i-l where $K_i \leq 0.5 \text{ nM}$ and IC₅₀ for 3A4 inhibition is $\geq 30 \mu M$. Compounds 11j and 11l exhibited undesired 2D6 inhibition in comparison to compounds **11i** and **11k**. Introduction of a benzyl group with either an electron withdrawing chlorine atom as in analogs **11n-p** or an electron donating methoxy group as in analogs 11q and 11r also displayed a high level of H₃ receptor binding affinity, but these

Table 3

In vitro H₃ receptor binding affinity of right hand side analogues 8a-1



^a Inhibition of $[{}^{3}H]N^{\alpha}$ -methylhistamine binding to guinea pig brain receptor. H₃ binding K_i values are the average of at least two independent determinations. Variation from the mean is generally within ±50%.

^b % Inhibition at 1 μ M (*n* = 2).

compounds were unfortunately potent inhibitors of the CYP3A4 enzyme. In addition, compounds **6h** and **11i–l** showed no liver enzyme 2C9 inhibition (>20 μ M).

Due to their acceptable guinea pig binding, guinea pig functional H₃ activity, and P450 profile (3A4, 2D6, 2C9), compounds **11i–k** were validated in the guinea pig CNS-induced hypertension assay.¹⁶ In this in vivo model, (*R*)- α -methylhistamine inhibited the CNS-induced hypertension caused by electrical stimulation to the medullary cardiopressor area. All three compounds **11i–k** exhibited in vivo efficacy by attenuating the effect of (*R*)- α -methylhistamine with an ED₅₀ <0.3 mg/kg po. Compounds **11i–k** displayed AUC(0–6 h) of 240 nM h when dosed in the rat at 10 mg/kg po, minimal hERG inhibition (<10%) at 10 µM, and reasonable clearance levels in rat and human hepatocytes (<10 µL/min/million cells).

In conclusion, a new series of H_3 receptor antagonists was discovered. Optimization of the original lead compound **1** led to the key intermediate **6h**, and further studies of **6h** identified the biologically active compounds **11i–k**. Initial studies focused on

Table 4

In vitro H₃ receptor binding affinity, functional activity, and CYP3A4/CYP2D6 inhibition profile of benzimidazolone analogs 6h and 11a-r



Compd	R	K_i^a (nM)	pA2 ^b	IC ₅₀ ^c 3A4 (μM)	IC ₅₀ ^c 2D6 (µM)
6h	Н	2.0	8.1	9.5	>20
11a 11b	Me	4.3 0.4	8.3 ND ^d	1.5	>20
11c	HO	0.95	8.2	>30	>20
11d	MeO	2.0	8.8	11	>20
11e	Eto	1.7	8.7	13.5	>20
11f	iPrO	0.65	9.3	6	>20
11g	PhO ^v	2.0	9.1	9	20
11h	EtO~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	0.80	8.5	10.6	>20
11i	Me ₂ N	0.20	9.3	>30	10.5
11j	N~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	0.15	8.9	30	3.7
11k		0.50	9.1	30	>20
111	Me ₂ N	0.20	9.7	>30	1.6
11m	- r	0.20	8.9	0.4	9.1
11n	Cl	4.5	ND	0.5	6.7
110	CI	2.0	ND	0.3	4.2
11p	Cl	0.90	ND	0.4	5.8
11q	OMe	0.30	ND	0.2	5.6
11r	MeO	0.25	ND	0.4	20

^a Inhibition of $[^{3}H]N^{\alpha}$ -methylhistamine binding to guinea pig brain receptor. H₃ binding K_i values are the average of at least two independent determinations. Variation from the mean is generally within ±50%.

^b Antagonist potency in an electrically stimulated guinea pig ileum. pA2 values are the average of at least four independent determinations. The assay-to-assay variability is ±0.2.

^c Human liver microsome assay. IC₅₀ values are the average of at least three independent determinations. The assay-to-assay variability is ±15%.

^d Not determined.

delineating the PK/PD correlation of this series of H_3 antagonists. Additional structure-activity investigations targeting the optimization of the pharmacokinetic profile and in vivo activity will be reported in due course.

References and notes

- 1. Arrang, J.-M.; Garbarg, M.; Schwarts, J.-C. Nature 1983, 302, 832.
- Schlicker, E.; Malinowska, B.; Kathmann, M.; Gothert, M. Fundam. Clin. Pharmacol. **1994**, *8*, 128.
 Leurs, R.; Bakker, R. A.; Timmerman, H.; de Esch, I. J. P. Nat. Rev. Drug Disc. **2005**,
- Leurs, K., Bakker, K. A., Thinnerman, H., de Esch, I. J. F. Nat. Rev. Diag Disc. 2009, 4, 107.
 Calapira S.: Wiitmana M. Talaga D.: Laura P. do Esch, I. L. D. Drug Discourage
- Celanire, S.; Wijtmans, M.; Talaga, P.; Leurs, R.; de Esch, I. J. P. Drug Discovery Today 2005, 10, 1613.

- Esbenshade, T. A.; Browman, K. E.; Bitner, R. S.; Strakhova, M.; Cowart, M. D.; Brioni, J. D. Br. J. Pharmacol. 2008, 154, 1166.
- Gemkow, M. J.; Davenport, A. J.; Harich, S.; Ellenbroek, B. A.; Cesura, A.; Hallett, D. Drug Discovery Today 2009, 14, 509.
- 7. Raddatz, R.; Tao, M.; Hudkins, R. L. Curr. Top. Med. Chem. 2010, 10, 153.
- 8. http://www.clinicaltrials.gov/.
- 9. Sander, K.; Kottke, T.; Stark, H. Biol. Pharm. Bull. **2008**, 31, 2163.
- Aslanian, R.; Mutahi, M. W.; Shih, N.-Y.; McCormick, K. D.; Piwinski, J. J.; Ting, P. C.; Albanese, M. M.; Berlin, M. Y.; Zhu, X.; Wong, S.-C.; Rosenblum, S. B.; Jiang, Y.; West, R.; She, S.; Williams, S. M.; Bryant, M.; Hey, J. A. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2002, *12*, 937.
- 11. Berlin, M.; Boyce, C. W. Expert Opin. Ther. Pat. 2007, 17, 675.
- Hudkins, R. L.; Raddatz, R. In Annual Reports in Medicinal Chemistry; Macor, J. E., Ed.; Elsevier: Oxford, 2007; Vol. 42, pp 49–62.
- West, R.; Zweig, A.; Shih, N.-Y.; Siegel, M.; Egan, R.; Clark, M. Mol. Pharmacol. 1990, 38, 610.

- Berlin, M.; Ting, P. C.; Vaccaro, W. D.; Aslanian, R.; McCormick, K. D.; Lee, J. F.; Albanese, M. M.; Mutahi, M. W.; Piwinski, J. J.; Shih, N.-Y.; Duguma, L.; Solomon, D. M.; Zhou, W.; Sher, R.; Favreau, L.; Bryant, M.; Korfmacher, W. A.; Nardo, C.; West, R. E.; Anthes, J. C.; Williams, S. M.; Wu, R.-L.; She, S.; Rivelli, M.; Corboz, M. R.; Hey, J. A. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2006**, *16*, 989.
- For detailed preparation procedures, refer to Ting, P. C.; Aslanian, R. G.; Berlin, M. Y.; Boyce, C. W.; Cao, J.; Mangiaracina, P. McCormick, K.; Mutahi, M. W.;

Rosenblum, S. B.; Shih, N.-Y.; Solomon, D. M.; Tom, W. C.; Zeng, Q. U.S. Patent 7,220,735 May 22, 2007.

 McLeod, R.; Rizzo, C.; West, R.; Aslanian, R.; McCormick, K.; Bryant, M.; Hsieh, Y.; Korfmacher, W.; Mingo, G.; Varty, L.; Williams, S.; Shih, N.-Y.; Egan, R.; Hey, J. J. Pharm. Exp. Ther. 2003, 305, 1037.