Tetrahedron 66 (2010) 6647-6655



Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

## Tetrahedron



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tet

# Welwitindolinone C synthetic studies. Construction of the welwitindolinone carbon skeleton via a transannular nitrone cycloaddition

David B. Freeman, Alexandra A. Holubec, Matthew W. Weiss, Julie A. Dixon, Akio Kakefuda, Masami Ohtsuka, Munenori Inoue, Rishi G. Vaswani, Hidenori Ohki, Brian D. Doan, Sarah E. Reisman, Brian M. Stoltz, Joshua J. Day, Ran N. Tao, Noah A. Dieterich, John L. Wood \*

Department of Chemistry, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 80523, USA

#### ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 1 March 2010 Received in revised form 21 April 2010 Accepted 29 April 2010 Available online 6 May 2010

Dedicated to Professor Steven Ley, a friend and inspirational leader in organic chemistry

Keywords: Welwitindolinone [3+2] Dipolar cycloaddition Rhodium carbenoid O–H insertion Chloronium-ion semi-pinacol

#### 1. Introduction

Since their isolation in 1994 from the cvanobacteria Hapalosiphon welwitschii and Westiella intricata, the welwitindolinone alkaloids have received significant attention from the synthetic community.<sup>1–18</sup> Of biological relevance, it was found that *N*-methylwelwitindolinone C isothiocyanate (1) was responsible for the *P*-glycoprotein-mediated MDR-reversing and larvacidal ac-tivities associated with the algae extracts.<sup>19,20</sup> Intrigued by its structural complexity and promising biological activity, we initiated a program for the total synthesis of 1. Our initial attempt (Path A, Scheme 1), reported in 1999, highlighted the utility of Montmorillonite K-10 clay in a rhodium-catalyzed C-H aryl insertion reaction that provided access to key  $\alpha$ -diazoketone **4**. Subsequent O-H insertion chemistry produced enol ether 3, which was further elaborated to the welwitindolinone core (2) in four steps. Unfortunately, numerous attempts to install the bridgehead nitrogen failed<sup>21</sup> thus an alternate strategy was devised. Herein we report the details of this approach (Path B, Scheme. 1), which calls for the

#### ABSTRACT

Described is the construction of the *N*-methylwelwitindolinone C core via an efficient strategy that employs a sequential rhodium carbenoid-mediated O–H insertion, Claisen rearrangement and transannular [3+2] nitrone cycloaddition.

© 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

preparation of intermediate isoxazolidine **7** via a nitrone-mediated transannular [3+2] dipolar cycloaddition of olefin **6**.<sup>22,23–26</sup> Taking maximum advantage of our prior efforts, olefin **6** was seen as arising from the previously prepared diazoketone **4** by employing a two-step sequence involving rhodium-catalyzed O–H insertion/ ring-opening to deliver enol ether **5** followed by Claisen rearrangement.<sup>4,27,28</sup>

### 2. Results and discussions

As previously reported,<sup>4</sup> diazoketone **4** was efficiently accessed from isatin (**8**) as outlined in Scheme 2. In the event **8** was converted to carboxylic acid **11** by a three-step sequence involving Wittig olefination ( $\mathbf{8} \rightarrow \mathbf{9}$ ), phosphonium ylide-induced cyclopropanation/N-alkylation ( $\mathbf{9} \rightarrow \mathbf{10}$ ), and hydrolysis of the resultant ethyl ester ( $\mathbf{10} \rightarrow \mathbf{11}$ ). Subsequent acid chloride formation and treatment with trimethylsilyl diazomethane provided the desired  $\alpha$ -diazoketone **12**. After an extensive catalyst screen, it was found that  $\text{Rh}_2(\text{TFA})_4$  in the presence of Montmorillonite K-10 clay optimally promoted the aryl C–H insertion reaction to provide tetracycle **13**. Benzylic oxidation of ketone **13** and regioselective diazotization afforded diazoketone **4**.

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 970 491 5703; fax: +1 970 491 1801; e-mail address: john.l.wood@colostate.edu (J.L. Wood).

<sup>0040-4020/\$ –</sup> see front matter @ 2010 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.tet.2010.04.131





Scheme 2.

13

Having installed the pendent olefin, we were poised for the proposed cycloaddition and were delighted to find that exposure of **15** to *N*-methylhydroxylamine and pyridine in methanol at reflux regioselectively furnishes a nitrone (observed but not isolated), which in turn, undergoes [3+2] cyloaddition to produce **16** in 81% yield. Although this single-step procedure established the viability of the transannular cycloaddition for installing the requisite bridgehead nitrogen, issues of ring size, cleavage of the N–O bond, and nitrogen deprotection remained. Regarding the latter, the use of *N*-benzylhydroxylamine (Scheme 4) is representative of several variations,



which were explored and found to either resist cycloaddition or give large amounts of oxazole side-products via dehydration of the intermediate nitrone (i.e., **18**).<sup>29,30</sup> Eventually a more satisfactory solution that allowed advancement of the *N*-methylhydroxylamine adducts was implemented (vide infra).<sup>23–26,31</sup>



Turning to the issue of ring size, we targeted intermediate **21**, a compound that was both accessible via the developed O–H insertion/ring-opening/Claisen rearrangement cascade and poised for an olefin transposition that would set the stage for cycloaddition to the requisite six-membered ring of **1** (Scheme 5). Implementation of this plan began with the combination of diazoketone **4** and alcohol **19** in the presence of Rh<sub>2</sub>(OAc)<sub>4</sub>.<sup>32</sup> The derived enol ether **20** 



was subjected to thermally-induced Claisen rearrangement conditions to provide acetate **21**, which was isolated as a single isomer after purification.<sup>33</sup> Palladium-catalyzed allylic transposition of **21** to the contra-thermodynamic terminal alkene **22** was followed by the key [3+2] dipolar cycloaddition.<sup>34,35</sup> To our delight, exposure of diketone **22** to *N*-methylhydroxylamine in EtOH at reflux gave isoxazolidine **23** as a complex mixture of diastereomers; thus, completing assembly of the welwitindolinone core.<sup>36</sup>

Although success in accessing 23 further established the O-H insertion/Claisen rearrangement sequence as guite general and illustrated the feasibility of accessing both the bridgehead nitrogen and six-membered ring, inefficiencies associated with the olefin transposition led us to briefly explore alternatives. To this end, it was envisioned that replacing 19 with alcohol 24 would allow us to avoid the olefin transposition and provide a more functionalized isoxazolidine (Scheme 6). $^{37-39}$  As illustrated, exposure of diazoketone 4 to alcohol 24 in the presence of Rh<sub>2</sub>(OAc)<sub>4</sub> produced enol ether 25, which was converted to diketone 26 upon heating. However, subsequent treatment of diketone 26 to our established cycloaddition conditions failed to provide desired cycloadduct 27. Instead, cyclization of the intermediate nitrone (not shown) onto the 1,1-disubstituted olefin converted diketone 26 exclusively to adduct 28, the structure of which was confirmed by X-ray crystallography.<sup>40</sup> Attempts to modify the chemoselectivity of the cycloaddition by removal of the trimethylsilyl group or by olefin functionalization were unsuccessful.



Although the selectivity observed in the cycloaddition of **26** was disappointing we were encouraged by our ability to stereo-selectively forge the fully-substituted quaternary carbon adjacent to the bridgehead nitrogen and thus began pursuing an alternative wherein the requisite [4.3.1] bicyclic backbone and C12 quaternary center would be produced in a single step (Scheme 7). The designed cyclization substrate in this scenario (**33**) was prepared in a sequence that began with cross metathesis of diketone **15** and silyl ether **29** followed by silyl deprotection.<sup>41,42</sup> The derived alcohol (**30**) was isolated as an inconsequential mixture of *E*/*Z* diastereomers (2:1, respectively). Selenenylation of **30** via the method of Grieco [o-(NO<sub>2</sub>)C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>–SeCN and P(n-Bu)<sub>3</sub>] furnished selenide **31**, which<sup>43–45</sup> upon oxidation with DMDO provided the corresponding epoxy selenoxide. As expected the latter was unstable and upon work-up underwent clean elimination to deliver vinyl epoxide **32** in good yield.<sup>46,47</sup>

Having accessed **32**, attention was turned to opening the epoxide and delivering the cycloaddition substrate (**33**). After considerable fruitless experimentation with several Lewis acids we eventually explored a palladium-catalyzed isomerization approach



pioneered by Noyori et al.<sup>48</sup> and modified more recently by Radinov et al.<sup>49</sup> Under the latter conditions, opening of vinyl epoxide **32** in the presence of a fluorinated alcohol proceeded to furnish hemiacetals **33** $\alpha$  and **33** $\beta$  as an inseparable mixture of diastereomers. Although the intermediacy of the hemiacetals was expected, the effect of the altered electronics on the subsequent cycloaddition was uncertain (cf., **26** and **33**). Unfortunately, exposure of **33** $\alpha$  and **33** $\beta$  to *N*-methylhydroxylamine under forcing conditions did not produce any of the desired cycloadduct **34**.

In addition to our efforts with the more advanced cycloaddition substrates illustrated in Schemes 6 and 7, we had continued exploring cycloadduct **23** as a potential intermediate (Scheme 8). In these more fruitful studies, recent results from our synthetic approach to welwitindolinone A (**35**) were most influential and we targeted allylic alcohol **38** as an eventual substrate for a chloronium-ion induced semi-pinacol rearrangement that was envisioned as giving rise to the desired quaternary center and requisite neopentyl chlorine.<sup>11,13,50</sup>



As illustrated in Scheme 9, isoxazolidine **23** was advanced by first rearranging to the corresponding aminal (**39**).<sup>26,51</sup> Subsequent treatment of **39** with hydroxylamine hydrochloride produced

amino-alcohol **40** in 95% yield. To set the stage for eventual introduction of the bridgehead isonitrile, **40** was bis-fomylated.<sup>52,53</sup> Selective deformylation of the derived formate produced alcohol **41**, which in a three-step process involving oxidation with Dess/Martin periodinane (DMP),<sup>54</sup> base-induced elimination to the enal, and addition of methyl magnesium bromide, was converted to secondary alcohol **42** as an inconsequential mixture of diastereomers. In practice, the instability to silica gel of the intermediates produced in this three-step sequence required that it be performed without purification. Finally, oxidation of alcohol **42** to enone **43**, followed by addition of methyl magnesium bromide, provided desired tertiary allylic alcohol **38**, albeit in low yield (Scheme 10).



Despite its poor overall yield, the unoptimized synthetic sequence leading to **38** provided sufficient material to explore the proposed halonium ion induced semi-pinacol rearrangement. In the event, exposure of **38** to CeCl<sub>3</sub>·7H<sub>2</sub>O and NaOCl was found to produce **44**. Extensive NMR analysis indicated that chloronium-ion activation resulting in rearrangement had occurred from what appeared to be the desired and sterically more demanding face. However this transformation was accompanied by over-

chlorination. Given our success in applying this type of semipinacol in the welwitindolinone A synthesis (Scheme 8) we were both surprised and dissappointed.<sup>11,13</sup> In recent efforts to overcome this problem, preliminary studies wherein the stoichiometry of the oxidant is limited have revealed that in substrate **38** the aromatic system reacts first. Thus, as is often the case with synthetic endeavors, the absence of a simple solution has inspired further efforts and the completion of **1** continues to challenge our resolve (Scheme 11).



#### 3. Conclusion

In summary, we have developed a novel approach toward the synthesis of *N*-methylwelwitindolinone C isothiocyanate (1) that employs a sequential O–H insertion/Claisen rearrangement followed by a transannular [3+2] nitrone cycloaddition to efficiently deliver a heavily functionalized carbocyclic welwitindolinone core (23). Further advancement of 23 via a chloronium-ion induced semi-pinacol rearrangement allows installation of the requisite quaternary center; however, over functionalization in this process has to-date thwarted efforts to complete the synthesis. The exploration of alternative strategies for accessing 1 as well as optimization studies on reactions leading to 23 is currently underway.

#### 4. Experimental

#### 4.1. General

Unless otherwise stated, reactions were magnetically stirred in flame-dried glassware under an atmosphere of nitrogen. Triethylamine (Et<sub>3</sub>N) and methanol were dried over calcium hydride. Benzene, tetrahydrofuran, dichloromethane, toluene, and diethyl ether were dried using a solvent purification system manufactured by SG Water U.S.A., LLC. All other commercially available reagents were used as received.

Unless otherwise stated, all reactions were monitored by thinlayer chromatography (TLC) using Silicycle glass-backed extra hard layer, 60 Å plates (indicator F-254, 250  $\mu$ m). Column or flash chromatography was performed with the indicated solvents using Silicycle SiliaFlash<sup>®</sup> P60 (230–400 mesh) silica gel as the stationary phase. Chromatography was conducted in accordance with the guidelines reported by Still et al.<sup>55</sup> All melting points were obtained on a Gallenkamp capillary melting point apparatus and are uncorrected.

Infrared spectra were obtained using a Midac M1200 FTIR or a Nicolet Avatar 320 FTIR. <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AM-500, Bruker Avance DPX-500, Bruker Avance DPX-400, or Varian Inova 400 spectrometer. Chemical shifts ( $\delta$ ) are reported in parts per million (ppm) relative to internal residual solvent peaks from indicated deuterated solvents. High-resolution mass spectra were performed at the University of Illinois Mass Spectrometry Center or by Donald L. Dick of Colorado State University. Single-crystal X-ray analyses were performed by Susan DeGala of Yale University. 4.1.1. Diketone 15. To a solution of diazoketone 4 (630 mg, 2.36 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and allyl alcohol (161 µL, 2.36 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (24 mL) was added Rh<sub>2</sub>(OAc)<sub>4</sub> (10.4 mg, 0.024 mmol, 0.01 equiv). Gas evolution was observed and the reaction turned dark brown. After stirring at room temperature for 20 min, the mixture was concentrated to afford a dark brown oil, which was subsequently dissolved in xylenes (35 mL) and heated at reflux for 1 h. After cooling to room temperature, the reaction was concentrated and purified by silica gel column chromatography (30% hexane/EtOAc) to afford diketone 15 as vellow crystals (596 mg, 85% yield). Mp 196-197 °C; FTIR (thin film/NaCl) 3079, 2895, 1706, 1597, 1467, 1408, 1368, 1337, 1295, 1269, 1187, 1161, 1140, 1019 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.68 (d, J=7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.12 (d, J=7.6 Hz, 1H), 5.59 (dddd, J=6.7, 6.7, 10.3, 17.0 Hz, 1H), 5.02-4.98 (m, 2H), 3.36 (s, 1H), 3.25 (s, 3H), 2.93 (dd, J=2.4, 11.6 Hz, 1H), 2.74 (ddd, J=6.9, 12.2, 13.2 Hz, 1H), 2.18 (ddd, J=1.0, 6.4, 14.0 Hz, 1H), 1.50 (s, 3H), 0.93 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 204.7, 192.5, 174.3, 145.1, 134.8, 129.6, 129.1, 128.6, 120.7, 117.6, 113.3, 57.2, 53.0, 38.4, 29.9, 26.4, 22.7, 20.9; HRMS (EI) m/z 297.1364 [calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>19</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> (M<sup>+</sup>) 297.1365].

4.1.2. Isoxazolidine 16. To a solution of diketone 15 (386 mg, 1.30 mmol 1.0 equiv) in MeOH (15 mL) was added N-methylhydroxylamine hydrochloride (543 mg, 6.50 mmol, 5.0 equiv) followed by pyridine (736 µL, 9.10 mmol, 7.0 equiv). The reaction mixture was heated at reflux for 15 h and was concentrated after cooling to room temperature. The residual pyridine was removed in vacuo and the crude white solid was redissolved in EtOH (18 mL) and imidazole hydrochloride was added (272 mg, 2.60 mmol, 2.0 equiv). The mixture was heated at reflux for an additional 14 h. After cooling to room temperature, the reaction was concentrated and purified by silica gel column chromatography (30% hexane/EtOAc) to furnish cycloadduct 16 as white crystals (342 mg, 81% yield). Mp 206-207 °C; FTIR (thin film/NaCl) 2964, 2928, 2872, 1709, 1593, 1588, 1468, 1368, 1334, 1300, 1210, 1169, 1146, 1115, 1077, 1008 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.33 (t, J=8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.02 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.72 (d, J=8.1 Hz, 1H), 3.99 (dd, *I*=6.5, 8.7 Hz, 1H), 3.77 (d, *J*=8.8 Hz, 1H), 3.49 (s, 1H), 3.28 (m, 1H), 3.23 (s, 3H), 3.19 (s, 3H), 2.61 (dd, J=9.7, 14.1 Hz, 1H), 2.38 (d, J=8.3 Hz, 1H), 1.97 (ddd, J=8.7, 8.7, 14.1 Hz, 1H), 1.58 (s, 3H), 0.77 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 212.8, 175.0, 144.5, 135.1, 128.9, 123.6, 117.3, 106.8, 76.4, 73.1, 65.3, 52.4, 51.7, 42.2, 39.7, 28.8, 26.3, 25.1, 21.4; HRMS (EI) *m*/*z* 326.1627 [calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (M<sup>+</sup>) 326.1630].

4.1.3. Isoxazolidine 17 and Oxazole 18. To a solution of diketone 15 (96 mg, 0.32 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in MeOH (5 mL) was added N-(258 mg. benzvlhvdroxvlamine hvdrochloride 1.62 mmol. 5.0 equiv) followed by pyridine (131 µL, 1.62 mmol, 5.0 equiv). The reaction mixture was heated at reflux for 15 h and was concentrated after cooling to room temperature. The residual pyridine was removed in vacuo and the crude white solid was redissolved in EtOH (8 mL) and imidazole hydrochloride was added (34 mg, 0.32 mmol, 1.0 equiv). The mixture was heated at reflux for an additional 18 h. After cooling to room temperature, the reaction was concentrated and purified by silica gel column chromatography (30% hexane/EtOAc) to afford oxazole 18 as a white solid (50 mg, 40% yield) and cycloadduct 17 as a white solid (62 mg 48% yield). Compound 17: mp >243 °C; FTIR (thin film/NaCl) 2969, 2924, 2873, 1739, 1697, 1608, 1592, 1470, 1368, 1341, 1304, 1149, 1080, 1012, 986, 910, 774, 728, 699  $\rm cm^{-1};\ ^1H$ NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.56 (d, *J*=7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.40 (t, *J*=7.6 Hz, 2H), 7.30 (m, 2H), 7.06 (d, J=7.9 Hz, 1H), 6.74 (d, J=7.6 Hz, 1H), 4.89 (d, J=16.4 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (d, J=16.5 Hz, 1H), 4.02 (dd, J=6.8, 8.6 Hz, 1H), 3.78 (d, J=8.6 Hz, 1H), 3.55 (s, 1H), 3.32 (dd, J=9.0,

15.6 Hz, 1H), 3.21 (s, 3H), 2.66 (dd, J=10.0, 14.4 Hz, 1H), 2.45 (d, J=8.5 Hz, 1H), 2.03 (ddd, J=8.6, 8.6, 14.4 Hz, 1H), 1.62 (s, 3H), 0.82 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  213.4, 175.1, 144.6, 139.4, 135.0, 129.1, 128.4, 127.5, 126.9, 123.7, 117.4, 106.9, 76.6, 73.6, 65.1, 55.3, 51.9, 51.7, 42.2, 28.9, 26.3, 25.1, 21.5; HRMS (FAB) m/z 403.2022 [calcd for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>27</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (M+1) 403.2022].Compound 18: mp 156–157 °C; FTIR (thin film/NaCl) 2930, 1707, 1618, 1600, 1464, 1372, 1337, 1299, 1083, 915, 784 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.09 (m, *I*=1.7, 8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.91 (d, *I*=7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.51-7.47 (m, 3H), 7.41 (t, J=7.9 Hz, 1H), 6.78 (d, J=7.5 Hz, 1H), 5.91 (dddd, *J*=6.3, 8.4, 10.3, 16.8 Hz, 1H), 5.10-5.03 (m, 2H), 3.74 (s, 1H), 3.25 (s, 3H), 3.00 (dd, J=4.2, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 2.90 (m, 1H), 2.49 (ddd, J=7.4, 7.6, 14.7 Hz, 1H), 1.68 (s, 3H), 0.77 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 175.2, 160.0, 150.6, 143.8, 136.3, 133.5, 130.2, 128.8, 128.2, 128.1, 127.5, 126.3, 122.7, 119.1, 116.9, 106.6, 50.4, 50.1, 38.2, 34.2, 26.4, 25.9, 24.9; HRMS (EI) m/z 384.1839 [calcd for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>24</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (M<sup>+</sup>) 384.1838].

4.1.4. Acetate 21. To a solution of diazoketone 4 (6.00 g, 22.4 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and allylic alcohol 19 (3.50 g, 26.9 mmol, 1.2 equiv) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (224 mL) at room temperature was added Rh<sub>2</sub>(OAc)<sub>4</sub> (250 mg, 0.56 mmol, 0.02 equiv). As soon as gas evolution ceased (ca. 1 min), Et<sub>3</sub>N (15.6 mL, 112 mmol, 5.0 equiv) was quickly added at once via syringe. The mixture was concentrated to afford a dark purple oil, which was subsequently dissolved in xylenes (224 mL) and heated at reflux for 45 min. After cooling to room temperature, the reaction was concentrated and purified by silica gel column chromatography (20% acetone/hexanes) to afford diketone **21** as a vellow solid (5.36 g. 65% yield). Mp 170-172 °C; FTIR (thin film/NaCl) 2971, 2939, 2885, 1716, 1603, 1472, 1372, 1339, 1300, 1268, 1233 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, acetone- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  7.63 (d, I=7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (t, J=7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (d, J=7.7 Hz, 1H), 5.55–5.46 (m, 2H), 4.40 (dd, J=3.6, 27.0 Hz, 1H), 4.37 (dd, J=4.7, 27.0 Hz, 1H), 3.30 (s, 1H), 3.22 (s, 3H), 2.89 (dd, J=2.3, 11.5 Hz, 1H), 2.72 (m, 1H), 2.17-2.13 (m, 1H), 2.00 (s, 3H), 1.45 (s, 3H), 0.89 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  205.2, 193.3, 174.9, 170.6, 146.5, 132.6, 130.4, 130.0, 129.8, 127.9, 120.6, 114.4, 64.7, 57.9, 53.6, 263, 38.9, 29.1, 26.5, 22.9, 21.1, 20.7; HRMS (EI) m/z 369.1571 [calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>23</sub>NO<sub>5</sub> (M<sup>+</sup>) 369.1576].

4.1.5. Acetates 22. To a solution of a acetate 21 (4.25 g, 11.5 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in THF (115 mL) was added PdCl<sub>2</sub>(MeCN)<sub>2</sub> (119 mg, 0.46 mmol, 0.04 equiv) and the reaction was warmed to 50 °C. After stirring for 2 h, the reaction was cooled to room temperature, concentrated, adsorbed onto SiO<sub>2</sub> and subjected to flash chromatography (30% EtOAc/hexanes) to provide a 1:1.4 ratio of diastereomers of olefins 22a and 22b (1.20 g, 28% yield, 96% vield based on recovered starting material) and recovered acetate 21 (3.00 g, 71% yield). Compound 22a: Although the mixture will be carried on in the next step, separation of the minor diastereomer (22a) can be affected via recrystallization from EtOAc/hexanes: mp 194-195 °C; FTIR (thin film/NaCl) 2974, 2938, 1716, 1603, 1473, 1372, 1339, 1299, 1271, 1234, 1018 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.65 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.51 (dd, J=7.9 Hz, 1H), 7.13 (d, J=7.8 Hz, 1H), 5.66 (ddd, J=6.3, 10.6, 17.1 Hz, 1H), 5.19–5.14 (m, 2H), 5.04 (ddd, J=4.8, 4.8, 9.4 Hz, 1H), 3.34 (s, 1H), 3.25 (s, 3H), 2.83 (d, J=10.6 Hz, 1H), 2.46 (ddd, J=10.0, 10.0, 14.2 Hz, 1H), 2.06 (s, 3H), 1.76 (dd, J=4.1, 14.2 Hz, 1H), 1.47 (s, 3H), 0.89 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 204.0, 192.4, 174.3, 170.5, 145.0, 135.4, 129.7, 129.1, 128.3, 120.6, 117.6, 113.3, 74.1, 53.9, 52.7, 38.5, 30.9, 26.4, 22.5, 21.2, 20.5; HRMS (EI) *m*/*z* 369.1586 [calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>23</sub>NO<sub>5</sub> (M<sup>+</sup>) 369.1576]. Compound 22b: mp 97-99 °C (wax); FTIR (thin film/NaCl) 2972, 2939, 1717, 1603, 1472, 1373, 1339, 1299, 1271, 1233, 1022 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.69 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.52 (t,  $J{=}7.9$  Hz, 1H), 7.12 (d,  $J{=}7.8$  Hz, 1H), 5.58 (m, 1H), 5.29 (m, 1H), 5.12–5.09 (m, 2H), 3.35 (s, 1H), 3.25 (s, 3H), 2.93 (d,  $J{=}11.1$  Hz, 1H), 2.55 (ddd,  $J{=}5.7$ , 11.2, 14.1 Hz, 1H), 2.07 (s, 3H), 1.67 (dd,  $J{=}4.0$ , 14.1 Hz, 1H), 1.45 (s, 3H), 0.86 (s, 3H);  $^{13}$ C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  204.2, 192.0, 174.4, 170.0, 144.9, 134.9, 129.6, 129.3, 128.2, 120.5, 117.3, 113.2, 71.9, 52.7, 51.6, 38.2, 30.0, 26.4, 22.4, 20.8, 20.5; HRMS (EI) m/z 369.1575 [calcd for  $C_{21}H_{23}NO_5$  (M<sup>+</sup>) 369.1576].

4.1.6. Isoxazolidines 23. N-Methylhydroxylamine hydrochloride (3.35 g, 40.1 mmol, 10.0 equiv) was dissolved in EtOH (200 mL) via gentle heating with a heat gun. NaOMe (3.38 g, 40.5 mmol, 10.1 equiv) was added, which resulted in immediate salt formation. The mixture was stirred for 2 h and was then filtered into a round bottom flask containing acetates 22 (1.48 g, 4.01 mmol, 1.0 equiv). The reaction was heated at reflux for 18.5 h, which resulted in a complex mixture of products as visualized by NMR. After cooling to room temperature, the reaction was concentrated in vacuo, adsorbed onto SiO<sub>2</sub>, and subjected to flash chromatography (30-75% EtOAc/hexanes). Three diastereomers were isolated and characterized as follows: 23a and 23b: (865 mg, 54% yield); FTIR (thin film/NaCl) 2968, 2933, 2878, 1744, 1708, 1603, 1592, 1464, 1368, 1339, 1300, 1235, 1143, 1118, 1037 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.58 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.41 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.35–7.26 (m, 2H), 6.79 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.75 (d, J=7.6 Hz, 1H), 5.15 (m, 1H), 4.67 (ddd, *J*=2.4, 10.0, 12.2 Hz, 1H), 4.27–4.09 (m, 3H), 3.83 (s, 1H), 3.74 (dd, J=8.4, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.43 (dd, J=8.2, 17.8 Hz, 1H), 3.28 (s, 1H), 3.24-3.17 (m, 4H), 3.21 (s, 3H), 3.20 (s, 3H), 3.02 (s, 3H), 2.73 (dd, J=8.8, 12.4 Hz, 1H), 2.64–2.58 (m, 3H), 2.24–2.16 (m, 1H), 2.11 (s. 3H), 2.02 (s, 3H), 1.86 (dd, *J*=12.6, 25.0 Hz, 1H), 1.70 (s, 3H), 1.49 (s, 3H), 0.82 (s, 3H), 0.64 (s, 3H);  $^{13}$ C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  210.0, 202.7, 174.8, 174.4, 170.3, 170.0, 144.5, 144.4, 136.4, 135.0, 129.1, 128.9, 124.1, 122.8, 122.2, 120.3, 107.4, 107.3, 80.3, 72.1, 71.6, 70.7, 69.6, 63.5, 61.5, 56.9, 54.9, 52.1, 49.0, 43.9, 40.0, 38.2, 38.1, 31.0, 30.2, 29.9, 26.4, 26.2, 24.6, 21.3, 21.0, 21.0, 19.4; HRMS (EI) m/z 398.1840 [calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>26</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (M<sup>+</sup>) 398.1842]. Compound **23c**: (171 mg, 11% vield); FTIR (thin film/NaCl) 2967, 2882, 1738, 1713, 1603, 1462, 1370, 1340, 1308, 1233, 1054, 917, 787, 732  $cm^{-1};\ ^{1}H$  NMR(500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.36 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.21 (d, J=8.1 Hz, 1H), 6.76 (d, J=7.6 Hz, 1H), 5.23 (t, J=4.7 Hz, 1H), 4.10 (t, J=8.1 Hz, 1H), 3.69 (t, J=9.1 Hz, 1H), 3.52 (ddd, J=5.1, 8.0, 9.3 Hz, 1H), 3.34 (s, 1H), 3.18 (s, 3H), 2.71 (dd, J=8.0, 12.1 Hz, 1H), 2.58 (s, 3H), 2.31 (ddd, J=5.3, 8.2, 13.8 Hz, 1H), 2.07 (s, 3H), 1.81 (dd, J=13.2, 13.2 Hz, 1H), 1.72 (s, 3H), 0.80 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 209.6, 174.5, 170.2, 144.5, 135.8, 128.7, 123.9, 122.0, 107.1, 74.6, 66.5, 66.4, 63.8, 53.7, 52.5, 39.1, 36.9, 30.4, 29.7, 26.1, 20.8, 19.3; HRMS (EI) m/z 398.1851 [calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>26</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub> (M<sup>+</sup>) 398.1842].

4.1.7. Diketone 26. To a solution of diazoketone 4 (115 mg, 0.43 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and allylic alcohol 24 (66 mg, 0.43 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in  $CH_2Cl_2$  (5 mL) was added  $Rh_2(OAc)_4$  (1.9 mg, 0.004 mmol, 0.01 equiv). Gas evolution was observed and the reaction turned dark brown. After stirring at room temperature for 30 min, the mixture was concentrated to afford a dark brown oil, which was subsequently dissolved in xylenes (8 mL) and heated at reflux for 20 min. After cooling to room temperature, the reaction was concentrated and purified by silica gel column chromatography (30% hexane/EtOAc) to afford diketone 26 as yellow crystals (103 mg, 60% yield). Mp 137–139 °C; FTIR (thin film/NaCl) 2966, 2900, 1705, 1599, 1469, 1411, 1370, 1338, 1295, 1268, 1251, 1082, 1022 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.71 (d, *J*=7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.52 (t, J=7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.13 (d, J=7.7 Hz, 1H), 5.36 (s, 1H), 5.28 (s, 1H), 3.39 (s, 1H), 3.32 (dd, *J*=2.2, 11.0 Hz, 1H), 3.27 (s, 3H), 2.87 (dd, *J*=11.2, 13.8 Hz, 1H), 2.27 (d, J=14.1 Hz, 1H), 1.50 (s, 3H), 0.95 (s, 3H), 0.07 (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 203.7, 191.7, 174.4, 145.0, 129.6, 129.4, 128.3, 128.2, 124.4, 120.8, 113.1, 104.1, 96.4, 56.0, 53.0, 38.4, 33.2, 26.4, 22.4, 20.9, -0.3; HRMS (EI) m/z 393.1756 [calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>27</sub>NO<sub>3</sub>Si (M<sup>+</sup>) 393.1760].

4.1.8. Isoxazolidine 28. To a solution of diketone 26 (118 mg, 0.30 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in MeOH (6 mL) was added N-methylhydroxylamine hydrochloride (126 mg, 1.50 mmol, 5.0 equiv) followed by pyridine (171 µL, 2.10 mmol, 7.0 equiv). The reaction mixture was heated at reflux for 15 h and was concentrated after cooling to room temperature. The residual pyridine was removed in vacuo and the crude white solid was redissolved in EtOH (12 mL) and imidazole hydrochloride was added (63 mg, 0.60 mmol, 2.0 equiv). The mixture was heated at reflux for an additional 14 h. After cooling to room temperature, the reaction was concentrated and purified by silica gel column chromatography (25% EtOAc/hexane) to furnish cycloadduct 28 as white crystals (89 mg, 70% yield). Mp 210-211 °C; FTIR (thin film/NaCl) 2967, 2928, 2873, 2172, 1736, 1708, 1606, 1589, 1467, 1386, 1338, 1299, 1250, 1214, 1172, 1106, 1084 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.30 (t, *J*=7.8 Hz, 1H), 6.95 (d, *J*=8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.72 (d, J=7.6 Hz, 1H), 4.09 (d, J=8.3 Hz, 1H), 4.00 (d, J=8.2 Hz, 1H), 3.96 (s, 1H), 3.28 (s, 3H), 3.16 (s, 3H), 2.71 (d, J=14.4 Hz, 1H), 2.46-2.38 (m, 2H), 1.56 (s, 3H), 0.81 (s, 3H), -0.25 (s, 9H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 213.1, 175.0, 144.2, 132.1, 128.6, 125.0, 118.6, 107.2, 107.1, 90.7, 81.8, 64.4, 54.2, 52.8, 41.0, 39.3, 37.4, 26.1, 25.1, 21.8, 0.0; HRMS (EI) m/z 422.2018 [calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>30</sub>N<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>Si (M<sup>+</sup>) 422.2026].

4.1.9. Diketone **30**. Diketone **15** (187 mg, 0.63, 1.0 equiv) and olefin **29** (882 mg, 4.40 mmol, 7.0 equiv) were diluted in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (15.7 mL) and stirred for 10 min. Grubbs second generation catalyst (54 mg, 0.063 mmol, 0.1 equiv) was then added and the reaction was stirred at reflux overnight (approx. 12 h). Upon completion as indicated by TLC, the reaction was concentrated and immediately purified via column chromatography (20% EtOAc/ hexanes) to give the resulting coupled adduct (193 mg, 0.411 mmol). The coupled adduct was taken up in MeOH (41 mL) before pyridinium *p*-toluenesulfonate (21 mg, 0.082 mmol, 0.2 equiv) was added. The reaction was stirred at room temperature over 12 h whereupon TLC indicated the consumption of starting material. The reaction was concentrated, re-dissolved in EtOAc, washed with saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, brine, and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Purification of the concentrated mixture by flash chromatography (50% EtOAc/hexanes) gave diketone product 30 (104 mg, *E*/*Z*: 2:1, 47% yield, two steps) as a yellow oil. FTIR (thin film/NaCl) 3420, 2935, 1715, 1604, 1473, 1372, 1339, 1300, 1272 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.70 (d, *J*=8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.69 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.49 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.29 (d, J=7.6 Hz, 2H), 5.04-4.98 (m, 2H), 3.68-3.57 (m, 4H), 3.37 (s, 1H), 3.36 (s, 1H), 3.24 (s, 6H), 2.90-2.86 (m, 2H), 2.82-2.74 (m, 2H), 2.37-2.25 (m, 2H), 2.22-2.05 (m, 6H), 1.64 (s, 6H), 1.52 (s, 3H), 1.5 (s, 3H), 0.93 (s, 6H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 205.9, 193.6, 174.5, 145.3, 135.2, 129.7, 129.0, 128.9, 124.2, 123.9, 120.92, 120.87, 113.5, 113.4, 60.6, 60.2, 58.3, 58.2, 53.4, 53.2, 43.0, 38.6, 38.3, 35.1, 26.6, 24.6, 24.5, 23.4, 23.0, 22.9, 21.3, 21.2, 15.9; HRMS (EI) m/z 356.1862 [calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>26</sub>NO<sub>4</sub> (M<sup>+</sup>) 356.1856].

4.1.10. Selenide **31**. A solution of alcohol **30** (60 mg, 0.17 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in THF (1.69 mL) was treated with *o*-nitrophenylselenocyanate (46 mg, 0.20 mmol, 1.2 equiv) at room temperature. The mixture was stirred for 10 min before tri*n*-butylphosphine (50.5  $\mu$ L, 0.20, 1.2 equiv) was added. Upon completion as indicated by TLC (approx. 2 h) an aliquot of saturated NH<sub>4</sub>Cl was added. The resulting brown mixture was extracted with Et<sub>2</sub>O, washed with brine, dried over MgSO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated in vacuo. Purification of the concentrated mixture by flash chromatography (30% EtOAc/hexanes) gave selenide **31** (49 mg,

54% yield) as a yellow oil. The major diastereomer was characterized as follows: FTIR (thin film/NaCl) 2970, 2930, 1716, 1604, 1513, 1473, 1332, 1303, 1271 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.30 (d, *J*=8.4 Hz, 1H), 7.71 (d, *J*=8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.58–7.49 (m, 3H), 7.31 (t, *J*=8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.13 (d, *J*=7.6 Hz, 1H), 5.02 (t, *J*=7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.39 (s, 1H), 3.26 (s, 3H), 2.99–2.88 (m, 3H), 2.81 (ddd, *J*=8.0, 13.2, 13.2 Hz, 1H), 2.39–2.35 (m, 2H), 2.15 (dd, *J*=6.0, 13.6 Hz, 1H), 1.70 (s, 3H), 1.53 (s, 3H), 0.96 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  205.6, 192.8, 174.5, 147.0, 145.2, 136.9, 133.8, 129.8, 129.3, 128.8, 126.6, 125.4, 122.9, 120.9, 113.4, 58.1, 53.3, 38.6, 38.2, 26.6, 24.6, 23.0, 21.2, 16.0; HRMS (EI) *m*/*z* 563.1060 [calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>28</sub>N<sub>2</sub>NaO<sub>5</sub>Se (M<sup>+</sup>) 563.1056].

4.1.11. Vinyl epoxide **32**. In a flask open to air, selenide **31** (49 mg, 0.09, 1.0 equiv) was diluted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (7 mL) and cooled to -78 °C. Freshly made dimethyldioxirane in acetone (7 mL, approx. 0.07-0.09 M) was added rapidly. The solution was stirred at -78 °C for 30 min and then gradually warmed to room temperature. The reaction was then concentrated in vacuo and subsequently purified by flash chromatography (20% EtOAc/hexanes eluent) to give diastereomeric vinyl epoxide 32 (23 mg, 72% yield) as a pale yellow solid. The mixture of diastereomers was characterized as follows: FTIR (thin film/NaCl) 2970, 1716, 1604, 1473, 1373, 1339, 1300, 1272 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.72 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.52 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.13 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 2H), 5.82 (dd, J=11.2, 17.6 Hz, 1H), 5.61 (dd, J=10.8, 17.6 Hz, 1H), 5.29 (d, J=17.2 Hz, 2H), 5.17 (d, *I*=10.8 Hz, 2H), 3.39 (s, 1H), 3.38 (s, 1H), 3.25 (s, 6H), 3.14–3.10 (m, 2H), 2.70 (dd, J=3.6, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 2.59 (dd, J=4.0, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 2.47 (ddd, *I*=4.0, 11.6, 13.6 Hz, 1H), 2.36 (ddd, *I*=3.6, 11.6, 14.0 Hz, 1H), 1.59-1.53 (m, 2H), 1.49 (s, 3H), 1.45 (s, 3H), 1.37 (s, 3H), 1.36 (s, 3H), 0.93 (s, 3H), 0.88 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 204.7, 192.1, 174.5, 145.2, 140.0, 135.5, 129.9, 129.3, 128.7, 121.1, 118.0, 116.5, 113.5, 63.4, 63.1, 62.1, 60.8, 54.7, 53.1, 38.8, 26.6, 25.4, 24.9, 22.8, 21.8, 21.1, 15.3; HRMS (EI) *m*/*z* 376.1526 [calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>23</sub>NNaO<sub>4</sub> (M<sup>+</sup>) 376.1519].

4.1.12. Hemiacetals **33**. Triphenylphosphine (20.0 mg, 75.0 µmol, 0.5 equiv) and tris(dibenzylideneacetone)-dipalladium(0)-chloroform (7.0 mg, 7.5 µmol, 0.05 equiv) were combined and diluted with toluene (0.8 mL). The deep purple mixture changed to a deep yellow after 1 h. 1,1,1,3,3,3-Hexafluoro-2-phenyl-2-propanol (25.3 µL, 0.15 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was then added. After 10 min the mixture turned a deep red-orange and was added via cannula into a vial containing vinyl epoxide 32 (53.0 mg, 0.15 mmol, 1.0 equiv) The reaction was then submerged in a 35 °C oil bath and left overnight (approx. 12 h) to react. After completion as indicated by TLC, the reaction was rapidly concentrated and immediately purified by flash chromatography (20% EtOAc/hexanes) to give diastereomeric acetals 33 (31 mg, 58% yield) as an off white solid. The mixture of diastereomers was characterized as follows: FTIR (thin film/NaCl) 3377, 2926, 1699, 1604, 1372, 1339, 1298, 1216 cm  $^{-1};~^{1}\mathrm{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.64 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.43 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 2H), 7.04 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 2H), 6.46 (dd, J=11.2, 18.0 Hz, 1H), 6.34 (dd, J=11.6, 18.4 Hz, 1H), 5.45 (s, 1H), 5.3-5.02 (m, 8H), 4.72 (m, 1H), 4.14 (s, 1H), 4.08 (s, 1H), 3.24 (s, 3H), 3.23 (s, 3H), 3.03 (d, J=11.6 Hz, 2H), 2.78 (m, 1H), 2.33-2.15 (m, 4H), 1.96-1.91 (m, 1H), 1.67 (s, 3H), 1.63 (s, 3H), 0.85 (s, 3H), 0.77 (s, 3H);  $^{13}$ C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  196.6, 196.5, 175.9, 146.1, 145.9, 144.8, 136.5, 136.1, 131.3, 131.1, 129.0, 128.9, 127.9, 127.8, 122.2, 121.9, 114.7, 114.4, 114.2, 112.2, 112.1, 103.2, 102.6, 78.0, 75.9, 53.6, 52.7, 52.6, 50.5, 35.4, 35.2, 33.3, 31.1, 26.4, 25.3, 25.2, 23.8, 23.7; HRMS (EI) m/z 376.1519 [calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>23</sub>NNaO<sub>4</sub> (M<sup>+</sup>) 376.1519].

4.1.13. Aminal **39**. A 500 mL flask equipped with an addition funnel (containing 30 g 4 Å molecular sieves) and connected to

a reflux condenser was charged with *p*-TSA (85 mg, 0.44 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and benzene (150 mL). The resulting suspension was then heated at reflux for 1 h before isoxazolidine 23 (175 mg, 0.44 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was introduced as a solid in one portion. The resulting suspension was immersed into an oil bath and heated at 110 °C for 48 h to provide a dark brown reaction mixture containing a small amount of a brown precipitate. The reaction was cooled to 0 °C and treated with Et<sub>3</sub>N (61 µL. 0.44 mmol, 1.0 equiv). Concentration provided a brown foam that was purified by silica gel chromatography (50-100% EtOAc/ hexanes) to afford recovered starting material 23 (11 mg, 11%) and aminal 39 (94 mg, 54% yield, 65% BORSM) as a white solid. Mp 251-254 °C; FTIR (thin film/NaCl) 2967, 1711, 1606, 1457, 1237 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.49 (t, J=8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.32 (d, J=8.1 Hz, 1H), 6.83 (d, J=7.7 Hz, 1H), 4.60 (d, J=10.7 Hz, 1H), 4.52 (d, J=10.3 Hz, 1H), 4.34 (ddd, J=5.0, 6.9, 12.5 Hz, 1H), 4.17 (dd, J=5.5, 11.9 Hz, 1H), 3.35 (t, J=12.1 Hz, 1H), 3.32 (s, 1H), 3.19 (s, 3H), 3.12-3.07 (m, 1H), 2.52 (dd, J=7.6, 12.6 Hz, 1H), 2.16 (br s, 1H), 2.00 (s, 3H), 1.89-1.75 (m, 2H), 1.67 (s, 3H), 0.79 (s, 3H);  $^{13}$ C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  211.1, 174.5, 170.3, 144.7, 139.6, 130.2, 123.6, 119.2, 107.8, 77.4, 69.9, 68.1, 66.1, 54.9, 51.3, 45.3, 39.2, 29.7, 28.8, 26.2, 21.1, 19.8; HRMS (EI) m/z 398.1839 [calcd for  $C_{22}H_{26}N_2O_5$  (M<sup>+</sup>) 398.1842].

4.1.14. Amino-alcohol 40. A solution of aminal 39 (610 mg, 1.53 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in MeOH (100 mL) was treated with hydroxylamine hydrochloride (1.06 g, 15.33 mmol, 10.0 equiv). The solution that resulted was heated at reflux for 30 min before being cooled, concentrated, taken up in EtOAc (200 mL) and guenched with saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (250 mL). Separation of the layers and extraction of the aqueous layer with EtOAc was followed by washing with brine, drying over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentration under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was purified using silica gel chromatography (30-100% EtOAc/hexanes) to furnish aminoalcohol **40** (577 mg, 98% yield) as a white solid. Mp dec  $>205 \circ$ C; FTIR (thin film/NaCl) 3260, 2968, 1715, 1608, 1465, 1240, 1019 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.47 (t, *I*=7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.34 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.79 (d, J=7.6 Hz, 1H), 4.32-4.27 (m, 1H), 3.58-3.47 (m, 2H), 3.18 (s, 3H), 3.16 (s, 2H), 2.63 (dd, J=7.4, 12.0 Hz, 1H), 2.00 (s, 3H), 1.92-1.78 (m, 2H), 1.65 (s, 3H), 0.82 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 212.0, 174.2, 170.4, 144.3, 141.1, 130.2, 121.7, 120.8, 107.4, 68.8, 67.2, 62.1, 54.9, 54.6, 51.3, 38.6, 29.3, 29.2, 26.1, 21.0, 19.6; HRMS (EI) m/z 386.1834 [calcd for  $C_{21}H_{26}N_2O_5$  (M<sup>+</sup>) 386.1842].

4.1.15. Alcohol 41. A solution of amino-alcohol 40 (53 mg, 0.14 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in THF (3.4 mL) at 0 °C was treated with freshly prepared acetic formic anhydride [0.52 mL, prepared by heating equal amounts of acetic anhydride (0.26 mL) and formic acid (0.26 mL) at 60 °C for 1 h]. Stirring was continued for 5 min at this temperature and then at room temperature for 30 min. The reaction was then concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude mixture was then diluted in MeOH (3.4 mL) at room temperature and was treated with Et<sub>3</sub>N (0.19 mL, 1.37 mmol, 10.0 equiv). The solution was allowed to stir for 10 min, at which point TLC indicated complete consumption of starting material. Concentration in vacuo and purification by flash chromatography (100% EtOAc) furnished alcohol 41 (52 mg, 91% yield) as a pale yellow foam. Mp 181-184 °C; FTIR (thin film/NaCl) 3300, 1730, 1694, 1609, 1466, 1236 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.07 (m, 2H), 7.49 (d, J=7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.41 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.79 (d, J=7.2 Hz, 1H), 4.62 (ddd, J=5.5, 7.6, 12.5 Hz, 1H), 3.79-3.71 (m, 2H), 3.65 (s, 1H), 3.42 (dt, J=3.0, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 3.18 (s, 3H), 2.60 (dd, J=7.9, 12.1 Hz, 1H), 2.49 (t, J=3.7 Hz, 1H), 2.01 (s, 3H), 1.90–1.78 (m, 2H), 1.67 (s, 3H), 0.83 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  206.8, 175.1, 170.3, 160.2, 143.4, 138.0, 129.1, 124.9, 121.1, 107.3, 68.8, 68.6, 61.7, 55.1, 51.8, 51.7, 38.4,

29.2, 28.8, 26.1, 21.0, 20.0; HRMS (EI) m/z 414.1782 [calcd for  $C_{22}H_{26}N_2O_6$  (M<sup>+</sup>) 414.1791].

4.1.16. Alcohol 42. A solution of alcohol 41 (207 mg, 0.5 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 mL) was treated with Dess/Martin periodinane (319 mg, 0.75 mmol, 1.5 equiv) and stirred at room temperature for 30 min. at which point TLC indicated the reaction complete. The reaction was cooled to 0 °C before saturated NaHSO<sub>3</sub> (5 mL) and saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (5 mL) were added. The two layers were separated and the organic extract was washed with brine, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated. The crude reaction mixture was diluted in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 mL) and stirred at 0 °C before triethylamine (77 µL, 0.55 mmol, 1.1 equiv) was added. After 30 min acetic acid (31  $\mu$ L, 0.55 mmol, 1.1 equiv) was added and the reaction was partitioned between CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (20 mL) and saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (20 mL). The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated. The crude reaction mixture, diluted in THF (10 mL) was cooled to 0 °C. A 3.0 M solution of methyl magnesium bromide in Et<sub>2</sub>O (0.37 mL, 1.11 mmol, 3.0 equiv) was added and the reaction was stirred for 30 min. Upon consumption of starting material, the reaction was quenched with 1 M NH<sub>4</sub>Cl, extracted with EtOAc, washed with brine, and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The resulting mixture was purified by flash chromatography (90% EtOAc/hexanes) to give secondary alcohol 42 as a mixture of diastereomers (134 mg, 73% yield, three steps). The complex mixture was carried through the next step and characterized.

4.1.17. Enone **43**. A solution of alcohol **42** (20 mg, 0.05 mmol. 1.0 equiv) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1.9 mL) was treated with Dess–Martin periodinane (73 mg, 0.17 mmol, 3.0 equiv) and stirred at room temperature for 8 h, at which point TLC indicated the reaction complete. The reaction was cooled to 0 °C before saturated NaHSO<sub>3</sub> (2 mL) and saturated NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (2 mL) were added. The two layers were separated and the organic extract was washed with brine, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered, and concentrated. Purification by flash chromatography (75% EtOAc/hexanes) gave enone 43 (7 mg, 35% vield) as a pale vellow oil. FTIR (thin film/NaCl) 3329, 3055, 2970, 2917, 1731, 1698, 1609, 1465, 1368, 1340, 1266, 1243 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.14 (d, *J*=1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.25 (t, *J*=8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.04-7.02 (m, 1H), 6.9 (s, 1H), 6.70 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 1H), 3.88 (s, 1H), 3.15 (s, 3H), 2.58–2.49 (m, 2H), 2.45 (s, 3H), 2.36–2.28 (m, 1H), 1.68 (s, 3H), 0.94 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 201.1, 174.9, 160.1, 142.8, 134.6, 129.5, 126.0, 120.9, 107.2, 69.9, 51.8, 51.7, 37.7, 29.2, 27.8, 27.4, 26.3, 20.6; HRMS (EI) m/z 389.1480 [cacld for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>22</sub>N<sub>2</sub>NaO<sub>4</sub> (M<sup>+</sup>) 389.1472].

4.1.18. Allylic Alcohol 38. A solution of enone 43 (66 mg, 0.18 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in THF (8 mL) was cooled to 0 °C. A 3.0 M solution of methyl magnesium bromide in Et<sub>2</sub>O (0.30 mL, 0.90 mmol, 5.0 equiv) was added and the reaction was stirred for 7 h. Upon consumption of starting material, the reaction was quenched with 1 M NH<sub>4</sub>Cl, extracted with EtOAc, washed with brine, and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. The resulting mixture was purified by flash chromatography (2% MeOH/CHCl<sub>3</sub>) to give allylic alcohol **38** (26 mg, 26% yield) as a yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, DMSO $d_6$ )  $\delta$  9.42 (s, 1H), 8.02 (s, 1H), 7.27 (t, J=8.0 Hz, 1H), 7.18 (d, J=8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.85 (d, J=7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.06 (s, 1H), 5.81–5.79 (m, 1H), 3.80 (s, 1H), 3.10 (s, 3H), 2.31–2.18 (m, 2H), 1.79–1.73 (m, 1H), 1.55 (s, 3H), 1.47 (s, 3H), 1.35 (s, 3H), 0.76 (s, 3H);  $^{13}\mathrm{C}$  NMR (100 MHz, DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>) δ 201.7, 174.5, 160.1, 147.3, 141.5, 136.4, 128.6, 125.3, 124.4, 121.1, 106.5, 73.0, 70.9, 51.3, 51.2, 36.5, 31.4, 30.2, 28.5, 25.8, 25.2, 19.8; HRMS (EI) m/z 405.1789 [calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>26</sub>N<sub>2</sub>NaO<sub>4</sub> (M<sup>+</sup>) 405.1785].

4.1.19. Chlorinated product 44. Tertiary allylic alcohol 38 (51 mg, 0.133 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was diluted in CH<sub>3</sub>CN (10 mL) and stirred at 0°C. Cerium(III) chloride heptahydrate (149 mg, 0.40 mmol, 3.0 equiv) was added followed by addition of a 0.1 M aqueous solution of sodium hypochlorite (10.7 mL, 1.07 mmol, 8.0 equiv). The reaction was stirred from 0 °C to room temperature over 9 h whereupon TLC indicated consumption of starting material. The reaction was cooled to 0 °C before saturated sodium sulfite (10 mL) was added and stirred for 10 min. Chloroform was added and the lavers were separated. The aqueous laver was extracted twice more with chloroform and the organic partitions were combine, washed with brine, and dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Purification of the filtrate by flash chromatography (33% EtOAc/ hexanes) furnished chlorinated product 44 (49 mg, 71% yield) as a colorless foam. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.05 (s, 1H) 7.41 (s, 1H), 5.03–4.98 (dd, J=9.2, 11.6 Hz, 1H), 3.54 (s, 3H), 3.02 (s, 1H), 2.63-2.57 (dd, J=9.6, 10.4 Hz, 1H), 2.53-2.45 (ddd, J=8.8, 10.8, 14.0 Hz, 1H), 2.27 (s, 3H), 2.0 (s, 3H), 1.85-1.76 (ddd, J=9.2, 11.4, 14.0 1H), 1.71 (s, 3H), 0.80 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 206.5, 175.7, 171.7, 160.0, 140.3, 132.7, 132.6, 128.2, 127.4, 117.3, 90.3, 75.8, 60.8, 58.0, 54.4, 52.4, 40.6, 34.0, 30.1, 29.9, 26.8, 26.4, 26.0, 22.0, 21.4, 14.6, 14.5; HRMS (EI) m/z 541.0233 [calcd for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>22</sub>Cl<sub>4</sub>N<sub>2</sub>NaO<sub>4</sub> (M<sup>+</sup>) 541.0226].

#### Acknowledgements

Funding from the NIH (1 RO1 CA 93591), Astellas (formerly Yamanouchi), Daiichi, and Fujisawa are gratefully acknowledged. MI thanks the University of Tokyo School of Agricultural Sciences. JLW thanks Amgen, Merck, Bristol-Myers Squibb, GlaxoSmithKline and Pfizer for research support. RNT would like to acknowledge the Jack and June Richardson Honors Scholarship Fund and the CSU CNS Undergraduate Scholarship Fund for financial support. Dr. Chris Incarvito, Dr. Chris Rithner, Don Heyse and Don Dick are acknowledged for their assistance with X-ray crystallography and instrumentation.

#### **References and notes**

- 1. Stratmann, K.; Moore, R. E.; Bonjouklian, R.; Deeter, J. B.; Patterson, G. M. L.;
- Shaffer, S.; Smith, C. D.; Smitka, T. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 9935-9942. 2. Jimenez, J. I.; Huber, U.; Moore, R. E.; Patterson, G. M. L. J. Nat. Prod. 1999, 62, , 569–572.
- 3. Jimenez, J. L.; Huber, U.; Moore, R. E.; Patterson, G. M. L. J. Nat. Prod. 1999, 62, 940
- 4. Wood, J. L.; Holubec, A. A.; Stoltz, B. M.; Weiss, M. M.; Dixon, J. A.; Doan, B. D.; Shamji, M. F.; Chen, J. M.; Heffron, T. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 6326-6327.
- 5. Ready, J. M.; Reisman, S. E.; Hirata, M.; Weiss, M. M.; Tamaki, K.; Ovaska, T. V.; Wood, J. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 1270-1272.
- Baran, P. S.; Richter, J. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 15394-15396. 6
- 7. Baudoux, J.; Blake, A. J.; Simpkins, N. S. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 4087-4089.
- MacKay, J. A.; Bishop, R. L.; Rawal, V. H. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 3421–3424.
  Greshock, T. J.; Funk, R. L. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 2643–2645.
- 10. Lauchli, R.; Shea, K. J. Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 5287-5289.
- 11. Reisman, S. E.; Ready, J. M.; Hasuoka, A.; Smith, C. J.; Wood, J. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 1448-1449.
- 12. Baran, P.S.; Maimone, T.J.; Richter, J.M. Nature 2007, 446, 404-408.
- Reisman, S. E.; Ready, J. M.; Weiss, M. M.; Hasuoka, A.; Hirata, M.; Tamaki, 13. K.; Ovaska, T. V.; Smith, C. J.; Wood, J. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 2087-2100
- Richter, J. M.; Ishihara, Y.; Masuda, T.; Whitefield, B. W.; Llamas, T.; Pohjakallio, 14. A.; Baran, P. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 17938-17954.
- 15. Boissel, V.; Simpkins, N. S.; Bhalay, G. Tetrahedron Lett. 2009, 50, 3283-3286.
- 16. Brailsford, J. A.; Lauchli, R.; Shea, K. J. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 5330-5333.
- 17. Tian, X.; Huters, A. D.; Douglas, C. J.; Garg, N. K. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 2349-2351.
- 18. Trost, B. M.; McDougall, P. J. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 3782-3785.
- 19. Smith, C. D.; Zilfou, J. T.; Stratmann, K.; Patterson, G. M. L.; Moore, R. E. Mol. Pharmacol. 1995, 47, 241-247.
- 20. Zhang, X. Q.; Smith, C. D. Mol. Pharmacol. 1996, 49, 288-294.
- 21. Chen, H. G.; Goel, O. P.; Kesten, S.; Knobelsdorf, J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 8129-8132.
- 22. Funk, R. L.; Horcher, L. H. M.; Daggett, J. U.; Hansen, M. M. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 2632-2634.

- 23. Falb, E.; Bechor, Y.; Nudelman, A.; Hassner, A.; Albeck, A.; Gottlieb, H. E. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 498-506.
- 24 Katagiri, N.; Okada, M.; Morishita, Y.; Kaneko, C. Tetrahedron 1997, 53, 5725-5746.
- 25. Palmisano, G.; Danieli, B.; Lesma, G.; Trupiano, F.; Pilati, T. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 1056-1064.
- 26. Rajkovic, M.; Lorenc, L.; Petrovic, I.; Milovanovic, A.; Mihailovic, M. L. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 7605-7608.
- Wood, J. L.; Moniz, G. A. Org. Lett. 1999, 1, 371-374. 27
- Wood, J. L.; Moniz, G. A.; Pflum, D. A.; Stoltz, B. M.; Holubec, A. A.; Dietrich, H. J. 28 *I. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1999**, *121*, 1748–1749.
- Ooi, N. S.; Wilson, D. A. J. Chem. Res., Synop. 1980, 366–367.
  Sevastyanova, T. K.; Volodarskii, L. B. Zh. Org. Khim. 1971, 7, 1687–1692.
- Lebel, N. A.; Post, M. E.; Hwang, D. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 1819–1823.
  Nicolaou, K. C.; Koide, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 3667–3670.
- 33. Uemura, S.; Kito, R.; Ichikawa, K. Nippon Kagaku Zasshi 1966, 87, 986.
- 34. Overman, L. E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1984, 23, 579-586.
- Overman, L. E.; Campbell, C. B.; Knoll, F. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 35. 4822-4834.
- These alternative conditions were employed due to the base-lability of the 36 allylic acetate moiety within diketone 22.
- Huisgen, R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1963, 2, 565-598. 37
- 38. Lebel, N. A.; Banucci, E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1970, 92, 5278-5280.
- 39. Padwa, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1976, 15, 123–136.
- 40. Crystallographic data (excluding structure factors) for the structures in this paper have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Center as supplementary publication nos. CCDC 763861.
- Chatterjee, A. K.; Choi, T. L.; Sanders, D. P.; Grubbs, R. H. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 41. 125.11360-11370.
- 42. Yan, J. B.; Herndon, J. W. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 2325-2331.
- Grieco, P. A.; Masaki, Y.; Boxler, D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1975, 97, 1597–1599.
  Grieco, P. A.; Noguez, J. A.; Masaki, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 1975, 16, 4213–4216.
- 45. Nicolaou, K. C.; Zhang, H.; Ortiz, A.; Dagneau, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 8605-8610
- 46. Murray, R. W. S. M. Org. Synth. 1998, 9, 288-293.
- 47. Other oxidants were screened but produced Baeyer-Villiger side products.
- 48. Suzuki, M.; Oda, Y.; Noyori, R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1979, 101, 1623-1625.
- Kabat, M. M.; Garofalo, L. M.; Daniewski, A. R.; Hutchings, S. D.; Liu, W.; Okabe, 49. M.; Radinov, R.; Zhou, Y. F. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 6141-6150.
- 50. Wang, B. M.; Song, Z. L.; Fan, C. A.; Tu, Y. Q.; Chen, W. M. Synlett 2003, 1497–1499.
- 51. For oxidative N-O bond cleavage see Altenative methods for N-O bond cleavage were pursued via ketone i, however all ultimately failed as

illustrated below. Lebel, N. A.; Post, M. E.; Hwang, D. J. Org. Chem. 1979, 44, 1819-1823.



- 52. Obrecht, R.; Herrmann, R.; Ugi, I. Synthesis 1985, 400-402.
- Porcheddu, A.; Giacomelli, G.; Salaris, M. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 2361-2363. 53.
- 54. Dess, D. B.; Martin, J. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 7277-7287.
- 55. Still, W. C.; Kahn, M.; Mitra, A. J. Org. Chem. 1978, 43, 2923-2925.