

Unusual Solid-State Luminescent Push–Pull Indolones: A General One-Pot Three-component Approach


Daniel M. D'Souza,^{†,‡} Christian Muschelknautz,[†] Frank Rominger,[‡] and Thomas J. J. Müller^{*,†}

Institut für Organische Chemie und Makromolekulare Chemie, Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf, Universitätsstrasse 1, D-40225 Düsseldorf, Germany, and Organisch-Chemisches Institut, Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg, Im Neuenheimer Feld 270, D-69120 Heidelberg, Germany

thomasjj.mueller@uni-duesseldorf.de

Received May 21, 2010

ABSTRACT



In a consecutive three-component cyclocarbopalladation, Sonogashira coupling, Michael addition sequence 4-aminopropenylidene indolones, i.e., terminally fixed push–pull chromophores, are obtained in yields as high as 99%. Most remarkable, however, is the pronounced orange red solid state fluorescence displaying large Stokes shifts of these merocyanines, in particular, since all chromophores are nonfluorescent in solution.

In recent years the productive concepts of multicomponent processes^{1,2} and domino reactions^{3,4} have considerably stimulated the synthetic scientific community. In particular, the combination of structural and functional diversity has initiated the quest of diversity oriented syntheses⁵ with broad application in pharmaceutical lead discovery and development.

However, with respect to functional π -electron systems, such as chromophores, fluorophores, and electrophores, required in molecule based electronics,⁶ molecular photonics,⁷ and biophysical analytics,⁸ this approach is still quite novel.^{9,10} However, in heterocyclic chemistry consecutive multicom-

[†] Heinrich-Heine-Universität Düsseldorf.

[‡] Ruprecht-Karls-Universität Heidelberg.

(1) For a recent monograph, see: *Multicomponent Reactions*; Zhu, J., Bienaymé, H., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2005.

(2) For reviews, see: (a) Sunderhaus, J. D.; Martin, S. F. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2009**, *15*, 1300–1308. (b) Isambert, N.; Lavilla, R. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2008**, *14*, 8444–8454. (c) Dömling, A. *Chem. Rev.* **2006**, *106*, 17–89. (d) Orru, R. V. A.; de Greef, M. *Synthesis* **2003**, 1471–1499. (e) Bienaymé, H.; Hulme, C.; Oddon, G.; Schmitt, P. *Chem.—Eur. J.* **2000**, *6*, 3321–3329. (f) Dömling, A.; Ugi, I. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2000**, *39*, 3168–3210. (g) Ugi, I.; Dömling, A.; Werner, B. *J. Heterocycl. Chem.* **2000**, *37*, 647–658. (h) Weber, L.; Illgen, K.; Altmstetter, M. *Synlett* **1999**, 366–374. (i) Armstrong, R. W.; Combs, A. P.; Tempest, P. A.; Brown, S. D.; Keating, T. A. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1996**, *29*, 123–131. (j) Ugi, I.; Dömling, A.; Hörl, W. *Endeavour* **1994**, *18*, 115–122. (k) Posner, G. H. *Chem. Rev.* **1986**, *86*, 831–844.

(3) For a recent monograph, see: *Domino Reactions in Organic Synthesis*; Tietze, L. F.; Brasche, G.; Gericke, K. M., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2006.

(4) For reviews, see: (a) Tietze, L. F. *Chem. Rev.* **1996**, *96*, 115–136. (b) Tietze, L. F.; Beifuss, U. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.* **1993**, *32*, 131–163. (c) Tietze, L. F. *J. Heterocycl. Chem.* **1990**, *27*, 47–69.

(5) For reviews on diversity oriented syntheses, see: (a) Schreiber, S. L.; Burke, M. D. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 46–58. (b) Burke, M. D.; Berger, E. M.; Schreiber, S. L. *Science* **2003**, *302*, 613–618. (c) Spring, D. R. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2003**, *1*, 3867–3870. (d) Arya, P.; Chou, D. T. H.; Baek, M. G. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2001**, *40*, 339–346. (e) Cox, B.; Denyer, J. C.; Binnie, A.; Donnelly, M. C.; Evans, B.; Green, D. V. S.; Lewis, J. A.; Mander, T. H.; Merritt, A. T.; Valler, M. J.; Watson, S. P. *Prog. Med. Chem.* **2000**, *37*, 83–133. (f) Schreiber, S. L. *Science* **2000**, *287*, 1964–1969.

(6) *Electronic Materials: The Oligomer Approach*; Müllen, K.; Wegner, G., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 1998.


(7) For a recent monograph, see: *Organic Light-Emitting Diodes — Synthesis, Properties, and Applications*; Müllen, K.; Scherf, U., Eds.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2006.

(8) For recent reviews, see: (a) Kim, E.; Park, S. B. *Chem. Asian J.* **2009**, *4*, 1646–1658. (b) Cairo, C. W.; Key, J. A.; Sadek, C. M. *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.* **2010**, *14*, 57–63. (c) Wagenknecht, H.-A. *Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci.* **2008**, *1130*, 122–130.

ponent syntheses based upon transition metal catalysis,¹¹ such as the Pd/Cu-catalyzed generation of alkynones and alkenones, have paved the way to manifold classes of heterocycles.¹²

As early as 2000, we had developed a multicomponent method for the synthesis of chromophores¹³ and fluorophores.¹⁴ This was recently further extended by a domino insertion–coupling sequence of alkynoyl *o*-iodo anilides **1**,¹⁵ or phenolates **2**, with *p*-anisyl acetylene (**3a**) or propargyl allyl ethers **5**, to give an enyne indolone **4** or highly fluorescent structurally rigidified butadienes **6** in a spirocyclic framework in good to excellent yields, displaying extraordinary large Stokes shifts and fluorescence quantum yields Φ_f as high as 62% (Scheme 1).¹⁶ The latter spirocyclic

Scheme 1. Coupling of Alkynoyl *o*-Iodo Anilides **1** or Phenolates **2** with Terminal Alkynes **3** and Propargyl Allyl Ethers **5** Giving Rise to the Formation of Various Heterocycles in a Domino Fashion




lumophores **6** are formed in the sense of an insertion–coupling isomerization–Diels–Alder domino sequence, a quite general route to complex polycyclic frameworks that has recently found application in various domino sequences with other concluding pericyclic steps.¹⁷

Most interestingly the *Z*-configured enyne indolone **4**¹⁸ represents a vinyl expanded ynamide and, therefore, Michael-type reactions can be conceived, eventually in a one-pot fashion as already successfully demonstrated with the three-component coupling–amine addition sequence to give enamines.¹⁹ Although Michael additions to ynones have been extensively studied, with the exception of a single example of an addition

of diethylamine to a 2-fold acceptor-substituted enyne,²⁰ Michael-type additions have remained unexplored. Here, we communicate our findings on the three-component synthesis of push–pull butadienes on the basis of the indolone scaffold. Most remarkably, all representatives display intense orange to red luminescence in the solid state; however, surprisingly, in solution the same chromophores are completely nonemissive.

After reaction between alkynoyl *o*-iodo anilides **1** and terminal alkynes **3** at room temperature under Sonogashira conditions, the anticipated enyne indolones **4** (the reaction was monitored by TLC to ensure complete conversion) were not isolated but subsequently treated with ethanolic solutions of primary or secondary amines **7** at reflux to give 4-aminoprop-3-enylidene indolones **8** in good to excellent yields as crystalline solids with a bright orange red hue (Scheme 2, Table 1).²¹ The structures of the 4-aminoprop-3-enylidene

Scheme 2. Three-Component Insertion–Coupling–Addition Synthesis of 4-Aminoprop-3-enylidene Indolones **8** and Mechanistic Rationale



indolones **8** were unambiguously assigned by spectroscopic characterization and combustion analysis, and later corroborated by X-ray crystal structure analyses for compounds **8a**, **8k** (see the Supporting Information), and **8n** (Figure 1).²²

This protocol shows a broad scope with respect to the electronic nature of the aryl substituents R² and R³ and the

(9) For reviews, see: (a) Müller, T. J. J.; D'Souza, D. M. *Pure Appl. Chem.* **2008**, *80*, 609–620. (b) Müller, T. J. J. In *Functional Organic Materials. Syntheses, Strategies, and Applications*; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, Germany, 2007; pp 179–223.

(10) For a review on combinatorial syntheses of π -systems, see: Briehn, C. A.; Bäuerle, P. *Chem. Commun.* **2002**, 1015–1023.

(11) (a) D'Souza, D. M.; Müller, T. J. J. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2007**, *36*, 1095–1108. (b) Balme, G.; Bossharth, E.; Monteiro, N. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, 4101–4111. (c) Battistuzzi, G.; Cacchi, S.; Fabrizi, G. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2002**, 2671–2681.

(12) (a) Willy, B.; Müller, T. J. J. *Curr. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *13*, 1777–1790. (b) Willy, B.; Müller, T. J. J. *ARKIVOC* **2008**, Part 1, 195–208. (c) Müller, T. J. J. *Targets Heterocycl. Syst.* **2006**, *10*, 54–65.


(13) (a) Karpov, A. S.; Rominger, F.; Müller, T. J. J. *J. Org. Chem.* **2003**, *68*, 1503–1511. (b) Müller, T. J. J.; Robert, J. P.; Schmälzlin, E.; Bräuchle, C.; Meerholz, K. *Org. Lett.* **2000**, *2*, 2419–2422.

(14) (a) Willy, B.; Müller, T. J. J. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, 4157–4168. (b) Willy, B.; Dallos, T.; Rominger, F.; Schönhuber, J.; Müller, T. J. J. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, 4796–4805. (c) Schramm née Dedieu, O. G.; Oeser, T.; Müller, T. J. J. *J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, *71*, 3494–3500. (d) Rotaru, A. V.; Druta, I. D.; Oeser, T.; Müller, T. J. J. *Helv. Chim. Acta* **2005**, *88*, 1798–1812. (e) Braun, R. U.; Müller, T. J. J. *Synthesis* **2004**, 2391–2406. (f) Braun, R. U.; Zeitler, K.; Müller, T. J. J. *Org. Lett.* **2001**, *3*, 3297–3300.

Table 1. Three-Component Synthesis of 4-Aminoprop-3-enylidene Indolones **8**

entry	<i>o</i> -iodo alkynylanilide 1	alkyne 3	amine 7	4-aminoprop-3-enylidene indolones 8 (isolated yield) ^a
1	R ¹ = Ac, R ² = H (1a)	R ³ = OMe (3a)	R ⁴ , R ⁵ = (CH ₂) ₄ (7a)	8a (89%) ^b
2	1a	3a	R ⁴ , R ⁵ = (CH ₂ CH ₂) ₂ O (7b)	8b (60%) ^b
3	R ¹ = Ac, R ² = <i>t</i> Bu (1b)	R ³ = <i>t</i> Bu (3b)	7a	8c (97%) ^b
4	1b	3b	7b	8d (95%) ^b
5	1a	R ³ = CN (3c)	R ⁴ = <i>n</i> hexyl, R ⁵ = <i>p</i> -anisyl (7c)	8e (97%)
6	R ¹ = Me, R ² = H (1c)	3a	7a	8f (92%)
7	1c	R ³ = Cl (3d)	7a	8g (86%)
8	1c	3a	7b	8h (88%)
9	1c	3d	7b	8i (99%)
10	R ¹ = SO ₂ Me, R ² = OMe (1d)	3d	7a	8j (88%)
11	1d	3c	7c	8k (94%)
12	1d	3a	R ⁴ = H, R ⁵ = <i>i</i> propyl (7d)	8l (92%)
13	R ¹ = SO ₂ Me, R ² = Cl (1e)	R ³ = NO ₂ (3e)	7a	8m (98%)
14	1e	3a	7b	8n (83%)
15	R ¹ = SO ₂ Tol, R ² = H (1f)	3d	7a	8o (87%)
16	1f	3a	7b	8p (80%)
17	1f	3c	7c	8q (95%)
18	1f	3a	7d	8r (93%)

^a Reaction conditions: 1.00 equiv of alkynoyl *o*-iodo anilides **1** (0.1 M in THF), 1.10 equiv of terminal alkynes **3**, 0.05 equiv of PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂, 0.05 equiv of CuI, and 10.0 equiv of diisopropylethylamine; after stirring for 16–24 h at rt addition of 20.0 equiv of amine **7** (4 M in ethanol), heating to reflux temperature for 24 h in a sealed tube. ^b The acetyl group is cleaved, R¹ = H.

**Figure 1.** ORTEP plot of compound **8n** (hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity).

cyclic, acyclic, primary, or secondary amine component. Moreover, the *E,E*-configured butadiene products **8** are formed with excellent diastereoselectivity. Interestingly, the insertion–coupling step occurs with stereomutation¹⁸ and the Michael-type addition concludes in a stereoconvergent fashion as indicated by structural assignments from the crystallography and the occurrence

of single sets of signals in all ¹³C NMR spectra. Interestingly, when R¹ = Ac, this substituent is cleaved in the amine addition step, furnishing the *1H* indolones **8a–d** (entries 1–4).

The 4-aminoprop-3-enylidene indolones **8** can be regarded as merocyanines or push–pull chromophores.²³ The longest wavelength absorption maxima are found between 446 and 488 nm (acetonitrile) and between 438 and 484 nm (THF) with significant extinction coefficients (Table 2 and Table SI-2 in the Supporting Information). A closer inspection reveals that the positive absorption solvochromicity is modest, indicating a relatively low polarity of the electronic ground state and a small charge transfer of S₀–S₁-transition character.²⁴ The electronic fine-tuning of the absorption maxima is achieved by the indolone *N*-substituent and by the terminal amino moiety.

Most interestingly, all merocyanines **8** are essentially nonfluorescent in solution. However, slightly red-shifted absorption maxima (492–502 nm) in the solid state spectra indicate J-aggregation²⁵ of the push–pull chromophores. Most remarkably the dyes **8** display intense orange red fluorescence with large Stokes shifts ($\Delta\nu \approx 2600$ cm^{–1}) and sharp emission maxima between 622 and 644 nm (Table 2, Figures 2 and 3). This effect is peculiar and apparently caused by packing and aggregation in the solid state. X-ray structure analyses reveal

(15) For related insertion–coupling sequences starting from alkynoyl *o*-iodo anilides, see e.g.: (a) Cheung, W. S.; Patch, R. J.; Player, M. R. *J. Org. Chem.* **2005**, *70*, 3741–3744. (b) Yanada, R.; Obika, S.; Inokuma, T.; Yanada, K.; Yamashita, M.; Ohta, S.; Takemoto, Y. *J. Org. Chem.* **2005**, *70*, 6972–6975.

(16) (a) D'Souza, D. M.; Kiel, A.; Herten, D.-P.; Müller, T. J. J. *Chem.–Eur. J.* **2008**, *14*, 529–547. (b) D'Souza, D. M.; Rominger, F.; Müller, T. J. J. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2005**, *44*, 153–158.

(17) (a) D'Souza, D. M.; Rominger, F.; Müller, T. J. J. *Chem. Commun.* **2006**, 4096–4098. (b) D'Souza, D. M.; Liao, W.-W.; Rominger, F.; Müller, T. J. J. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2008**, *6*, 532–539. (c) Shen, R.; Huang, X.; Chen, L. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2008**, *350*, 2865–2870. (d) Shen, R.; Huang, X. *Org. Lett.* **2008**, *10*, 3283–3286. (e) Shen, R.; Zhu, S.; Huang, X. *J. Org. Chem.* **2009**, *74*, 4118–4123. (f) Huang, X.; Zhu, S.; Shen, R. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2009**, *351*, 3118–3122.


(18) The observed stereomutation deviates from the expected Pd-mediated *syn*-insertion of triple bonds, but the geometry has now unambiguously been assigned by NOESY and the occurrence of a single set of signals in the ¹³C NMR spectrum of compound **4**.

(19) Karpov, A. S.; Müller, T. J. J. *Synthesis* **2003**, 2815–2826.

(20) Krasnaya, Z. A.; Stytsenko, T. S.; Yufit, S. S.; Prokofev, E. P.; Kucherov, V. F. *Izv. Akad. Nauk SSSR Ser. Khim.* **1969**, 416–420.


Table 2. Electronic Spectral Data of Selected 4-Aminoprop-3-enylidene Indolones **8**^a

entry	4-aminoprop-3-enylidene indolones 8	$\lambda_{\text{max,abs}}$ [nm] (ϵ) in CH ₃ CN	$\lambda_{\text{max,abs}}$ [nm] (ϵ) in THF	$\lambda_{\text{max,abs}}$ [nm] film	$\lambda_{\text{max,em}}$ [nm] film
1	8a	456 (23200) 474 (21800 sh)	452 (12400)	472 sh 498	643
2	8j	458 (38900) 482 (47700)	464 (27500) 482 (28800)	473 sh 496	622
3	8m	480 (27800)	476 (14800)	494 470	639
4	8n	486 (34000)	474 (28600)	476 sh 502	644
5	8r	482 (45100)	478 (40100)	492	630

^a Absorption Maxima in Solution and in the Solid State, and Emission Maxima in the Solid State.**Figure 2.** Normalized solid-state absorption (solid line) and emission spectra (dashed line) of compound **8r**.

that the coplanarity of the push–pull chromophore is stabilized by the parallel alignment of the aryl substituents (Figure 1) and short intermolecular distances between 3.96 to 5.08 Å in the crystal lattice (see the Supporting Information). The narrow red-shifted emission band (Figure 2) also accounts for aggregation induced emission²⁶ in the solid state and can be additionally rationalized by Davydov splitting.²⁷

(21) **Typical procedure (8o):** In a flame-dried and nitrogen-flushed screw cap vessel, iodo phenylanilide **1e** (501 mg, 1.00 mmol) and alkyne **3d** (150 mg, 1.10 mmol) were dissolved in dry THF (10 mL). After degassing with a canula for 5 min diisopropylethylamine (1.7 mL, 10.0 mmol), PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂ (35.0 mg, 0.05 mmol), and CuI (10.0 mg, 0.05 mmol) were added to the reaction mixture. After stirring at rt for 16 h amine **7a** (1.6 mL, 20.0 mmol) dissolved in ethanol (5 mL) was added to the reaction mixture. Then the sealed reaction vessel was placed in a thermostat oil bath and heated to reflux temperature for 24 h. After cooling to rt the solvents were removed in vacuo and the residue was chromatographed on silica gel (hexanes/acetone 2:1) and crystallized from chloroform/pentane to give 506 mg (87%) of **8o** as a deep bright red solid: mp 248 °C; ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.80 (br, 2 H), 2.04 (br, 2 H), 2.40 (s, 3 H), 2.89 (br, 2 H), 3.71 (br, 2 H), 5.18 (d, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1 H), 6.50 (dt, *J* = 8.1 Hz, *J* = 0.9 Hz, 1 H), 6.70 (m, 4 H), 6.86–6.92 (m, 3 H), 6.97 (m, 2 H), 7.10 (m, 1 H), 7.29 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.62 (s, 1 H), 7.87 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1 H), 8.03 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 2 H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 21.6 (CH₃), 25.2 (CH₂), 25.2 (CH₂), 49.9 (CH₂), 50.1 (CH₂), 104.5 (CH), 108.1 (C_{quat}), 112.3 (CH), 120.5 (CH), 122.7 (CH), 124.1 (CH), 126.7 (C_{quat}), 127.2 (CH), 127.6 (CH), 127.9 (CH), 128.2 (CH), 129.1 (CH), 129.5 (CH), 130.2 (CH), 133.9 (C_{quat}), 134.6 (C_{quat}), 134.9 (C_{quat}), 136.9 (C_{quat}), 139.5 (C_{quat}), 144.3 (C_{quat}), 160.5 (C_{quat}); HRMS calcd for C₃₄H₂₉ClN₃O₃S 580.1587, found 580.1577. Anal. Calcd for C₃₄H₂₉ClN₃O₃S (581.1): C 70.27, H 5.03, N 4.82, S 5.52, Cl 6.10. Found: C 70.02, H 4.99, N 4.97, S 5.64, Cl 6.27.

**Figure 3.** Photographs of solid-state fluorescent single crystals of the 4-aminoprop-3-enylidene indolones **8a** (left), **8m** (center), and **8n** (right) ($\lambda_{\text{excitation}}$ = 460 nm).

In conclusion we have disclosed a consecutive three-component insertion–coupling–addition sequence giving 4-aminoprop-3-enylidene indolones with a flexible substitution pattern in yields as high as 99%. Most remarkably, all representatives of these novel push–pull chromophores display intense solid-state fluorescence with large Stokes shifts, yet they are completely nonemissive in solution. Further studies addressing this unusual luminescence behavior by more detailed photophysical and computational investigations are currently underway.

Acknowledgment. This work was supported by the DFG (Graduate College 850, stipend for D.M.D.) and the Fonds der Chemischen Industrie.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental details, NMR and selected solid state absorption and emission spectra of compounds **8**, and CIF files of compounds **8a**, **8k**, and **8n**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

OL101165M

(22) Crystallographic data (excluding structure factors) for the structures reported in this paper have been deposited with the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre as supplementary publication nos. CCDC 778168 (**8a**), CCDC 778169 (**8k**), and CCDC 778170 (**8n**). Copies of the data can be obtained free of charge on application to CCDC, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK (Fax: + 44-1223/336-033; E-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk).

(23) Mishra, A.; Behera, R. K.; Behera, P. K.; Mishra, B. K.; Behera, G. B. *Chem. Rev.* **2000**, *100*, 1973–2011.

(24) Franco, L.; Pasimeni, L.; Ponterini, G.; Ruzzi, M.; Segre, U. *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2001**, *3*, 1736–1742.

(25) For a review, see e.g.: Möbius, D. *Adv. Mater.* **1995**, *7*, 437–444.

(26) For a recent review, see e.g.: Hong, Y.; Lama, J. W. Y.; Tang, B. Z. *Chem. Commun.* **2009**, 4332–4353.

(27) (a) Davydov, A. S. *Theory of Molecular Excitons*; Plenum: New York, 1971. (b) Pope, M.; Swenberg, C. E. *Electronic Processes in Organic Crystals*; Clarendon Press: Oxford, UK, 1982.