Catalyst Design

A Chromium Ethylidene Complex as a Potent Catalyst for Selective Ethylene Trimerization**

Sebastiano Licciulli, Khalid Albahily, Valeria Fomitcheva, Ilia Korobkov, Sandro Gambarotta,* and Robbert Duchateau*

The factors transforming a statistical ethylene oligomerization process into selective tri- or tetramerization are being intensively scrutinized in both industrial and academic research.^[1] Their identification also poses a genuine intellectual challenge, as the design of a selective catalytic system requires a complete understanding of the mechanistic features. The so-called ring-expansion mechanism for selective trimerization^[2,3] is today unchallenged to the point that it is a paradigm among workers in the field.

Central to the understanding of the mechanism and to tailoring new catalysts is the question of the metal oxidation state.^[Ia] In the case of the chromium systems that are involved in the majority of these catalysts, the +I state has been conclusively linked to selective trimerization by both synthetic and spectroscopic work.^[4-6] One of the characteristics of chromium, however, is the possibility of readily interconverting between +I, +II, and +III states as a part of a redox dynamism.^[7] As each one of these oxidation states has its own distinctive catalytic behavior,^[6a,b] stabilizing the desired state and slowing at the same time the dynamism is central to obtain selective behavior.

Mechanistic and synthetic work on the commercially used pyrrole-based Phillips trimerization system^[6a,b] has allowed the structures of single-component self-activating precatalysts to be elucidated. All of these species consist of the pyrrolide ring being either σ - or π -bonded to the metal center and of an aluminate residue, which is invariably σ -coordinated to the pyrrolide nitrogen donor atom. There are two main features in this particular structural motif. First, the coordination of the aluminate introduces a zwitterionic type of electronic structure that in turn provides partial cationic character to the chromium center. Second, the alkyl group migrates from the aluminate to chromium during the dissociation of one of the

[*]	[*] Dr. S. Licciulli, K. Albahily, V. Fomitcheva, Prof. Dr. S. Gambarc Department of Chemistry, University of Ottawa 10 Marie Curie, Ottawa, ON K1N 6N5 (Canada) Fax: (+1) 613-562-5170)								
	E-mail: sgambaro@uottawa.ca								
	Dr. I. Korobkov X-ray Core Facility, University of Ottawa Ottawa, ON K1N 6N5 (Canada)								
	Dr. R. Duchateau Department of Chemistry, Eindhoven University of Technology P.O. Box 513, 5600 MB Eindhoven (The Netherlands) E-mail: r.duchateau@tue.nl								
[**]	This work was supported by the Natural Science and Engineering Council of Canada (NSERC).								
(1996 - 1996 - 1996 - 1996 - 1996 - 1996 - 1996 - 1996 - 1996 - 1996 - 1996 - 1996 - 1996 - 1996 - 1996 - 1996									

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201006953.

pyrrolide rings in the form of an aluminum pyrrolide complex. The specific nature of the aluminum residue seems to play a very important role in the activation of the catalytic center for this system.^[6a,b] However, the importance of its coordination to the pyrrolide nitrogen atom remains unclear. Is it the zwitterionic structure introduced by the coordination of aluminum? Or is it the alkyl transfer that makes the metal prone to further reduction to the +I state? In an attempt to address these and other questions that are important for tailoring the design of new catalysts, we have now probed the nature of the pyrrolide anion. The anion chosen bears two bulky *tert*-butyl groups in the two α positions, which could possibly prevent coordination of aluminum while maintaining an enhanced tendency for η^5 -coordination.^[8]

Deprotonation of 2,5-di-*tert*-butylpyrrole followed by reaction with $[CrCl_3(thf)_3]$ afforded $[\{\eta^5-(tBu)_2C_4H_2N\}CrCl_2-(thf)]$ (1), which was isolated as dark violet-blue crystals.^[13] The pseudo-tetrahedral piano-stool arrangement of 1 was elucidated by an X-ray crystal structure (Figure 1).^[14] When





activated with $AlEt_3$ (5–15 equivalents), complex **1** is a highly active and selective trimerization catalyst (Table 1). The reaction time and also the temperature and quantity of alkyl aluminum activator affected both yield and purity of 1-hexene with respect to the side-product 2-hexene.

In an attempt to isolate the catalytically active species, we reacted 1 with a stoichiometric amount of AlEt₃. The violet-

Table 1: Oligomerization studies.[a]

Entry	Catalyst	AlEt₃ [equiv]	<i>Т</i> [°С]	Alkenes [mL]	PE [g]	1-hexene/ 2-hexene [mol%]	1-hexene [mol%]	Oligomerization activity [gmol ⁻¹ Crh ⁻¹]
1	1 ^[b]	10	85	31	0	99	84	700 600
2	1 ^[b]	5	85	20	0	99	83	452000
3	1 ^[b]	5	115	4	0.2	99	75	97100
4	2 ^[c]	0	120	2	0.3	99	98	55 200
5	2 ^[d]	5	115	32	0.6	99	89	1 486 400
6	2 ^[c]	10	85	35	0	99	95	791 000
7	2 ^[c]	10	100	39	0	99	93	881 400
8	2 ^[c]	10	110	40	0.4	99	89	917300
9	2 ^[c]	10	150	4	0	95	73	90400
10	2 ^[c]	15	85	25	0	99	91	565000
11	2 ^[c]	50	85	15	0	99	92	339000
12	3 ^[c]	0	120	0	0	0	0	0
13	3 ^[d]	5	115	71	1.3	99	91	3 295 900
14	4 ^[c]	10	0	0	0	0	0	0

[a] Conditions: Methylcyclohexane 100 mL, 30 min reaction time, 40 bar PE = poly(ethylene).
 [b] 60 μmol. [c] 30 μmol. [d] 15 μmol.

blue solution turned immediately dark red, affording deepred crystals of the dinuclear species $[\{[\eta^5-(tBu)_2C_4H_2N]CrEt\}_2-(\mu-Cl)_2]$ (2; Scheme 1).^[14] The dinuclear nature and the connectivity of this rare example of a chromium(III) ethyl derivative were clarified by an X-ray crystal structure (Figure 1). The structure consists of two edge-sharing tetra-



Scheme 1. Reactivity of complexes 1-4.

hedra with two identical piano-stool units connected by two bridging chlorides. The low magnetic moment is to be expected for the planar $\{Cr_2Cl_2\}$ core, with an intermetallic distance that is shorter than the sum of the Van der Waals radii. The complex is reasonably thermally robust, but slowly turned brown upon heating for about 30 minutes in toluene.

During the preparation of **2**, it was discovered that if only 0.5 equivalent of $AlEt_3$ was added dropwise to solutions of **1** and the reaction was slowly carried out at room temperature, a distinctively different blue color developed, thus indicating the formation of a different species. The color did not change appreciably upon heating overnight. A new para-

magnetic complex, formulated as $[\{[\eta^5 - (tBu)_2C_4H_2N]Cr\}_2(\mu - Cl)_2 (\mu$ -CHCH₃)] (3), was isolated as deep-blue crystals from the aforementioned blue solutions.[14] The crystal structure revealed a dimeric chromium complex with a facesharing bi-tetrahedral arrangement (Figure 2). The two distorted tetrahedra are bridged by two chlorine atoms and one carbon atom of a C₂ residue. The C-C distance of this particular unit (C13-C14 1.505(9)) is in the range of a C–C single bond. Chemical degradation experiments carried out with a D2O/H2O mixture in C₆D₆ followed by centrifugation and NMR spectroscopic characterization of the solution



Figure 2. Structures of **3** (left) and **4** (right). Ellipsoids set at 50% probability; hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°]: **3**: Cr1–C13 2.048(13), Cr1–C3 2.239(2), Cr1–C2 2.238(2), Cr1–C1 2.256(2), Cr1–C4 2.260(2), Cr1–N1 2.2791(18), Cr1–Cl1 2.359(3), Cr1–Cl1A 2.384(3), Cr1–Cr1A 2.6739(6), N1–C1 1.377(3), N1–C4 1.377(3), C1–C2 1.417(4), C2–C3 1.423(4), C3–C4 1.415(4), C13–C14 1.505(9); Cl1–Cr1-Cl1A 99.58(8), Cr1-Cl1-Cr1A 68.63(8), Cr1-Cl3-Cr1A 81.7(2). **4**: Cr1–N1 2.0121(19), Cr1–O1 2.0774(17), Cr1–Cl1A 2.3909(7), Cr1–Cl1 2.4048(7).

phase helped to partially simplify the spectrum. The ²H NMR spectrum acquired with ¹H decoupling showed two peaks at $\delta = 0.748$ and 0.730 ppm, thus indicating the presence of the two isotopomers. ¹³C NMR spectroscopy unequivocally showed the presence of a mixture of the isotopomers CD₂HCH₃, CDH₂CH₃, and CH₃CH₃. The ¹H NMR spectrum showed complete absence of olefinic residues. The resonances of a mixture of partly deuterated ethanes were present at $\delta = 0.778$ ppm as a complex signal resulting from both ¹H–¹H and ¹H–²H coupling. Deuterium decoupling could not be properly carried out owing to dilution and line broadening. However, the ¹H¹³C HMQC signal at $\delta = 0.778$ ppm in the proton section clearly showed coupling at $\delta = 6.78$ ppm of the ¹³C NMR spectrum. The ¹³C resonance was not clearly visible owing to low intensity and very possibly line broadening.

Communications

These findings compare reasonably well with literature data.^[9] Finally, ESI-MS analysis provided the expected value for the molecular ion of the proposed formulation of **3**.

The formation of **3** is puzzling. In principle, the most direct way to form the bridging ethylidene could be a simple C-H σ -bond metathesis from the two ethyl groups present in 2. This does not seem to be the case though, as thermolysis of 2 led to brown decomposition products with no evidence for the formation of the blue compound 3, which could only be formed from 1 under the conditions previously discussed (Scheme 1). Therefore, its formation seems to be a reaction pathway alternative, and not subsequent to the formation of 2, that is triggered at higher temperature by a lower Al:Cr ratio. Attempts to form 3 rationally by treating solutions of analytically pure 2 with either AlEt₃ or Et₂AlCl failed. The reactions afforded instead the dinuclear, square-planar complex $[{[\sigma-(tBu)_2C_4H_2N]Cr^{II}(thf)}_2(\mu-Cl)_2]$ (4) that was isolated as blue crystals (Figure 2) after crystallization of the dried reaction residue from THF.^[14] The retention of chlorine in the structure suggests that formation of 4 also proceeds by a nonstraightforward mechanism. The σ-bonding of the pyrrolide anion in 4 is most likely due to the treatment with THF, which was required for triggering crystallization. Complex 4 is catalytically inactive.

Complex 2 is indeed a single-component, self-activating precatalyst that produces highly pure 1-hexene. The low activity is likely to be ascribed to both the robust dimeric structure, which is not easily dissociated in solution, and to partial catalyst poisoning owing to impurities (Figure 3).



Figure 3. Plot of the single-component catalytic behavior of 2.

Furthermore, the formation of the chromium(I) catalytically active species from 2 will require a preliminary ethyl/chlorine exchange to generate a ligand–CrEt₂ function that is ready to undergo thermal reductive elimination. When treated with a few equivalents of AlEt₃, 2 became an excellent trimerization catalyst, producing a large amount of 1-hexene with a minor extent of isomerization. The reaction outcome was very sensitive to the temperature and catalyst and activator loadings: Higher loadings of AlEt₃ always resulted in an increase of 2-hexene in combination with higher temperatures.

The catalytic behavior of the ethylidene-bridged **3** is similar to **2**. Whereas no single-component catalytic behavior was observed even under harsh conditions, activation with a small amount of $AlEt_3$ and high temperatures gave record activity and good selectivity with the usual isomerization to cis/trans 2-hexene (30:70). The similarities in catalytic behavior between **2** and **3** when activated does not relate to the preliminary conversion of **2** to **3**, but rather to the fact that both species may possibly generate the same catalytically active chromium(I) intermediate.

Finally, the variable amount of isomerization of 1-hexene observed during this work (also observed with the commercial process using the Phillips catalytic system) is simply due to the alkyl aluminum activator. The degree of isomerization was found to increase with the temperature and the loading of AlEt₃ and did not depend on chromium. In a blank run with only AlEt₃, we found that at 110 °C, pure 1-hexene can be isomerized (93%) in 1 hour to a thermodynamic mixture of *cis* and *trans* isomers (30:70%) of 2-hexene. No 3-hexene was ever observed. It is therefore important to strike the right balance between reaction conditions and activator loading to maximize 1-hexene production.

In conclusion, we have presented a novel ethylene trimerization system related to the previously reported intermediates of the Phillips system.^[6a,b] In contrast to those complexes, however, the bulky π -bonded pyrrolide ligand does not allow retention of AlR₃ at the pyrrole nitrogen atom without detracting from the trimerization performance. In this system, the role of organoaluminum seems to be exclusively confined to that of an alkylating agent. The serendipitous discovery of the first case of a Schrock-type chromium ethylidene is quite intriguing. We found it particularly stimulating regarding the recent mechanistic debate^[10] regarding the possibility of a dinuclear Schrock type of alkylidene being a catalytically active intermediate in the oligomerization or even a polymerization process.^[11] The isolation of such a species, which is closely reminiscent of the Takai olefination intermediate,^[12] indicates that chromium Schrock carbenes may indeed exist. We are currently examining the reactivity of this unprecedented and catalytically active chromium ethylidene species.

Received: November 16, 2010 Revised: December 7, 2010 Published online: February 11, 2011

Keywords: carbene ligands · chromium · ethylene trimerization · 1-hexene · homogeneous catalysis

[2] a) H. Mahomed, A. Bollmann, J. T. Dixon, V. Gokul, L. Griesel, C. Grove, F. Hess, H. Maumela, L. Pepler, *Appl. Catal. A* 2003,

a) D. S. McGuinness, Chem. Rev. 2010, 110, DOI: 10.1021/ cr100217q; b) D. S. McGuinness, J. A. Suttil, M. G. Gardiner, N. W. Davies, Organometallics 2008, 27, 4238; c) D. S. McGuinness, Organometallics 2009, 28, 244; d) W. K. Reagan (Phillips Petroleum Company), EP 0417477, 1991; e) H. Mimura, T. Aoyama, T. Yamamoto, M. Oguri, Y. Koie (Tosoh Corporation), JP 09268133, 1997; f) J. J. C. Grove, H. A. Mohamed, L. Griesel (Sasol Technology (Pty) Ltd), WO 03/004158, 2002; g) T. Yoshida, T. Yamamoto, H. Okada, H. Murakita (Tosoh Corporation), US2002/0035029, 2002; h) D. F. Wass (BP Chemicals Ltd), WO 02/04119, 2002; i) J. T. Dixon, P. Wasserscheid, D. S. McGuinness, F. M. Hess, H. Maumela, D. H. Morgan, A. Bollmann (Sasol Technology (Pty) Ltd), WO 03053890, 2001.

255, 355; b) A. Carter, S. A. Cohen, N. A. Cooley, A. Murphy, J. Scott, D. F. Wass, Chem. Commun. 2002, 858; c) D. S. McGuinness, P. Wasserscheid, W. Keim, D. Morgan, J. T. Dixon, A. Bollmann, H. Maumela, F. Hess, U. Englert, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 5272; d) D. S. McGuinness, P. Wasserscheid, W. Keim, C. Hu, U. Englert, J. T. Dixon, C. Grove, Chem. Commun. 2003, 334; e) T. Agapie, M. W. Day, L. M. Henling, J. A. Labinger, J. E. Bercaw, Organometallics 2006, 25, 2733; f) S. J. Schofer, M. W. Day, L. M. Henling, J. A. Labinger, J. E. Bercaw, Organometallics 2006, 25, 2743; g) J. Zhang, A. Li, T. S. A. Hor, Organometallics 2009, 28, 2935; h) J. Zhang, P. Braunstein, T. S. A. Hor, Organometallics 2008, 27, 4277; i) S. Peitz, N. Peulecke, B. R. Aluri, S. Hansen, B. H. Müller, A. Spannenberg, U. Rosenthal, M. H. Al-Hazmi, F. M. Mosa, A. Wöhl, W. Müller, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2010, 1167; j) B. Reddy Aluri, N. Peulecke, S. Peitz, A. Spannenberg, B. H. Müller, S. Schulz, H. J. Drexler, D. Heller, M. H. Al-Hazmi, F. M. Mosa, A. Wöhl, W. Müller, U. Rosenthal, Dalton Trans. 2010, 39, 7911.

- [3] a) T. Agapie, J. A. Labinger, J. E. Bercaw, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 14281; b) M. J. Overett, K. Blann, A. Bollmann, J. T. Dixon, D. Haasbroek, E. Killian, H. Maumela, D. S. McGuinness, D. H. Morgan, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 10723, and references therein; c) W. J. van Rensburg, C. Grove, K. B. Stark, J. J. Huyser, P. J. Steynberg, Organometallics 2004, 23, 1207, and references therein; d) W. J. van Rensburg, J.-A. Berg, P. J. Steynberg, Organometallics 2007, 26, 1000; e) P. R. Elowe, C. McCann, P. G. Pringle, S. K. Spitzmesser, J. E. Bercaw, Organometallics 2006, 25, 5255; f) S. Bhaduri, S. Mukhopadhyay, S. A. Kulkarni, J. Organomet. Chem. 2009, 694, 1297; g) R. D. Köhn, Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 251; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 245; h) T. Beweries, C. Fischer, S. Peitz, V. V. Burlakov, A. Perdita, W. Baumann, A. Spannenberg, D. Heller, U. Rosenthal, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 4463.
- [4] S. Licciulli, I. Thapa, K. AlBahily, I. Korobkov, S. Gambarotta, R. Duchateau, R. Chevalier, K. Schuhen, *Angew. Chem.* 2010, 122, 9411–9414; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2010, 49, 9225–9228.
- [5] S. Peitz, B. R. Aluri, N. Peulecke, B. H. Müller, A. Wöhl, W. Müller, M. H. Al-Hazmi, F. M. Mosa, U. Rosenthal, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2010**, *16*, 7670.

- [6] a) A. Jabri, C. B. Mason, Y. Sim, S. Gambarotta, T. J. Burchell, R. Duchateau, Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 9863; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 9717; b) I. Vidyaratne, G. B. Nikiforov, S. I. Gorelsky, S. Gambarotta, R. Duchateau, I. Korobkov, Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 6674; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 6552; c) I. Y. Skobelev, V. N. Panchenko, O. Y. Lyakin, K. P. Bryliakov, V. A. Zakharov, E. P. Talsi, Organometallics 2010, 29, 2943.
- [7] a) I. Thapa, S. Gambarotta, R. Duchateau, S. V. Kulangara, R. Chevalier, Organometallics 2010, 29, 4080; b) C. Temple, A. Jabri, P. Crewdson, S. Gambarotta, I. Korobkov, R. Duchateau, Angew. Chem. 2006, 118, 7208; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 7050; c) K. Albahily, D. Al-Baldawi, D. Savard, S. Gambarotta, T. J. Burchell, R. Duchateau, Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 5900; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 5816; d) A. Jabri, P. Crewdson, S. Gambarotta, I. Korobkov, R. Duchateau, Organometallics 2006, 25, 715; e) A. Jabri, C. Temple, P. Crewdson, S. Gambarotta, I. Korobkov, R. Duchateau, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 9238.
- [8] a) J. M. Tanski, G. Parkin, *Organometallics* 2002, 21, 587;
 b) D. L. Swartz II, L. P. Spencer, B. L. Scott, A. L. Odom, J. M. Boncella, *Dalton* 2010, 39, 6841.
- [9] A. Loaiza, D. Borchardt, F. Zaera, Spectrochim. Acta Part A 1997, 53, 2481.
- [10] a) A. Wöhl, A. Müller, N. Peulecke, B. H. Müller, S. Peitz, D. Heller, U. Rosenthal, *J. Mol. Catal. A* 2009, 297, 1; b) T. W. Hey, D. F. Wass, *Organometallics* 2010, 29, 3676.
- [11] For a comprehensive discussion on the ethylene polymerization mechanism, see: D. S. McGuinness, N. W. Davies, J. Horne, I. Ivanov, Organometallics 2010, ASAP.
- [12] K. Takai, K. Nitta, K. Utimoto, J. Am Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 7408.
- [13] For details of complex preparation and characterization, see the Supporting Information.
- [14] CCDC 798697 (1), 798698 (2), 798699 (3), and 798700 (4) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.