Catalytic Asymmetric Carbene Transfer Reactions of Diazo Oxime Ethers with Olefins and Their Synthetic Applications

Linh Da Ho,[®] Nansalmaa Otog, Ikuhide Fujisawa, and Seiji Iwasa*[®]

Department of Applied Chemistry and Life Science, Toyohashi University of Technology, 1-1 Hibarigaoka, Tempaku-cho, Toyohashi 441-8580, Japan

S Supporting Information



ABSTRACT: The first catalytic asymmetric cyclopropanation of diazo oxime ethers with olefins was developed. In the presence of a Ru(II)-Pheox catalyst, various optically active cyclopropyl oxime derivatives were obtained in high yields (up to 99%) with high enantioselectivities (up to 98% ee). Furthermore, optically active cyclopropyl oxime ethers could be successfully converted into the corresponding cyclopropyl methylamine derivatives via metal hydride and Grignard reagent mediated Beckmann rearrangement, which are potential candidates for the assessment of biological and pharmaceutical activities.

rganic compounds containing an oxime ether group are widespread in antifungal,¹ antibacterial,² and anticancer³ agents as well as other medicinal compounds.⁴ Oxime ethers also play an important and versatile role in organic synthesis. In particular, synthetic transformations of oxime ethers into various nitrogen- and oxygen-containing compounds, including amines, 1,2-amino alcohols, nitriles, α - and β -amino acids, and lactams via Beckmann rearrangement have been reported, leading to the rapid and continuous development of oxime group chemistry in the past decades.

On the other hand, the first carbene transfer reaction for the synthesis of cyclopropanes was reported by Buchner in 1903.⁶ Since then, organic synthesis involving diazo compounds has attracted the attention of organic chemists. Decomposition of diazo compounds by a transition-metal catalyst has been widely used as an effective tool to develop a novel synthetic pathway via a carbene intermediate.⁷ α -Diazo carbonyl compounds have known extensive development because of their stability and ease of preparation.⁸ However, there are limited reports on the application of α -diazo oxime ethers because of the harsh reaction conditions required for their preparation and the rapid isomerization of α -diazo imines to triazoles.⁹ By overcoming the aforementioned drawbacks, various α -diazo oxime ethers have recently been prepared in good to excellent yields.¹⁰ α -Diazo oxime ethers have been widely applied in heterocycle synthesis. For instance, the cycloaddition of α -diazo oxime ethers with enamines, enol ethers, and nitriles in the presence of [Au]^{10a} or [Cu]^{10e,g} catalysts gave the corresponding pyrroles and oxazoles in high yields (Scheme 1a). On the other hand, N-O moieties in heterocycles such as isoxazoles could be obtained by the C-H activation of the α -hydrogen adjacent to the oxygen in α -diazo





oxime ethers^{10f,h} (Scheme 1b). Furthermore, another heterocyclic synthesis based on 2H-azirine derivatives from α -diazo oxime ethers has been reported.^{10b,c}

Received: August 6, 2019

Despite the widespread research into the synthesis of heterocycles from diazo oxime ethers, cyclopropanation via a carbene transfer reaction has not been reported until now.¹¹ Since the oxime group can be transformed into various useful organic molecules via Beckmann rearrangement and other reactions, we examined diazo oxime ethers as carbene precursors having an oxime functional group for catalytic asymmetric cyclopropanation. Based on the results of our previous studies on the cyclopropanation of various diazo resources¹² (Scheme 1c), the Ru(II)-Pheox catalyst was examined for the metal-catalyzed decomposition of diazo compounds while controlling the enantioselectivity of the cyclopropyl oxime ether derivatives.

We first evaluated well-known carbene transfer catalysts and a series of Ru(II)-Pheox complexes in the asymmetric catalytic cyclopropanation of α -diazo oxime ether **2a** with *p*methoxystyrene **1a**. The results are summarized in Table 1.

Table 1. Initial Catalyst Screening



^{*a*}Reaction conditions: A solution of diazo oxime ether **2a** (0.2 mmol, 1 equiv) in CH₂Cl₂ (2.0 mL) was added slowly over 2 h to a solution of the corresponding catalyst (3 mol %) and **1a** (1.0 mmol, 5 equiv) in CH₂Cl₂ (2.0 mL) at room temperature, under argon atmosphere. ^{*b*}Isolated yield. ^{*c*}Determined by crude ¹H NMR. ^{*a*}Determined by chiral HPLC. ^{*e*}Reaction did not proceed to completion even in 48 h.

The reaction of 1a with diazo oxime 2a in the presence of the Box-Cu or Pybox-Ru complex gave cyclopropyl oxime product 3a in low yield and with low enantioselectivity (Table 1, entries 1 and 2). In contrast, 2a reacted smoothly with 1a in the presence of Ru(II)-Pheox catalyst Cat. 3, without dimerization, to give 3a in quantitive yield (99%) with high ee (up to 94% ee) (Table 1, entry 3). A series of Ru(II)-Pheox complexes (Cat. 4–7) were also evaluated for their catalytic efficiency. Catalysts (Cat. 4 and 5) with electron-donating or electron-withdrawing substituents as well as those with steric effects caused by the substituent on the oxazoline ring (Cat. 6 and 7) gave almost the same results in terms of the yield and stereoselectivity for the carbene transfer reactions (Table 1, entries 4–7). Among of Ru(II)-Pheox complexes, Ru(II)-

Pheox catalyst (Cat. 3) was found to be the best choice for the reaction. In our study, the desired cyclopropyl oxime products were only (E)-isomers.

Next, the reaction conditions were optimized in various solvents and temperatures using Ru(II)-Pheox (Cat. 3) as the catalyst. The results are summarized in Table S1 (see Supporting Information Table S1). No improvement was observed using Et₂O, THF, toluene, acetone, and acetonitrile as the solvent (Table S1, entries 2–6). When the reaction temperature was decreased, the enantioselectivity increased slightly to 95% ee, whereas the stereoselectivity and yield remained unchanged (Table S1, entries 1, 7, and 8). The effect of the catalyst amount was also investigated, as shown in Table 2. When decreasing catalyst loading to 0.1 mol %, the catalytic

Table 2. Catalyst Loading

<i>p</i> -MeOPh		MeO _{∼N} N ₂ U Ph 2a	Cat. 3 (x mol%) Solvent, RT Slow addition 2 h		<i>p</i> -MeO	MeO-N Ph ^W Ph
entry	x [mol %]	yield [%] ^a	trans/ cis ^b	ee [%] ^c	TON ^d	TOF $[h^{-1}]^e$
1	3	99	80/20	94/86	33	17
2	1	96	80/20	94/86	96	48
3	0.1	91	80/20	94/86	910	455
4 ^f	0.01	55	73/27	57/16	5500	115

^{*a*}Isolated yield. ^{*b*}Determined by crude ¹H NMR. ^{*c*}Determined by chiral HPLC. ^{*d*}TON (turnover number) = Product [mol]/cat. [mol]. ^{*e*}TOF (turnover frequency) = TON/time [h]. ^{*f*}Reaction did not proceed to completion even after 48 h of stirring.

asymmetric cyclopropanation proceeded smoothly to give the optically active cyclopropyl oxime 3a in good yield (91%) with the same level of enantioselectivity and diastereoselectivity (Table 2, entry 3). However, the catalyst activity was drastically reduced when the catalyst amount was less than 0.1 mol %, and lower enantioselectivity and stereoselectivity were observed (Table 2, entry 4). The high TON (up to 5500) and TOF (up to 455) values were also remarked.

With the optimized reaction conditions in hand, we next examined the substrate scope of the cyclopropanation of diazo oxime ether 2a with various olefins 1a-l. The results are summarized in Scheme 2. Various para-substituted styrene derivatives were compared for the electronic effect in the cyclopropanation reactions (Scheme 2, 3a-f). Electrondonating groups and electron-withdrawing groups affected the reactivity of the styrene derivatives with 2a. When a more electron-rich substituent was installed at the para-position, better yield of the product was obtained (Scheme 2, 3a-f). This phenomenon could be explained by the general mechanism of the cyclopropanation catalyzed by a transitionmetal system. Electron-rich olefins reacted more smoothly with the metal-carbene complex intermediate having an oxime ether functional group as an electron-withdrawing group. In contrast, higher enantioselectivity was achieved for both styrenes containing electron-withdrawing groups, such as para-Cl and para-NO₂ substituents (96% ee and 95% ee, respectively). The highest enantioselectivity was observed in compound 3e: 96% ee for the trans product and 99% for the cis product. On the other hand, both electron-withdrawing and electron-donating substituents at the ortho-position of styrene resulted in slightly lower dr and ee (Scheme 2, 3g-h). The

Scheme 2. Asymmetric Cyclopropanation of Various Olefins



^{*a*}Three mol % Ru(II)-Pheox was used. ^{*b*}Isolated yield. ^{*c*}Determined by crude ¹H NMR. ^{*d*}Determined by chiral HPLC. ^{*c*}0.22 g of **3b** was synthesized in 1 mmol scale in 86% yield, 81:19 *trans/cis*, and 92%/76% ee.

same result was observed in the case of styrene with a substituent at the meta-position, and the dr value could be well maintained; however, the enantioselectivity was 90% ee for product 3i and 94% ee for 3c. When 3 mol % of Cat. 3 was used, excellent yields of 3a and 3b were observed, with high stereoselectivity and enantioselectivity. α -Methylstyrene gave 3i in high yield with high ee for both trans and cis isomers (92% ee for trans and 93% for cis). Unfortunately, tetrasubstituted and inner olefins gave only the dimer of the diazo oxime ether. We then turned our attention to other olefins such as *n*-butyl vinyl ether and vinyl acetate. The reaction between 2a and *n*-butyl vinyl ether furnished compound 3k in 83% yield, with moderate enantioselectivity for the trans isomer (74% ee) but low ee for the *cis* isomer (57% ee). The electron-deficient olefin 11 resulted in a slow reaction and gave a low yield of 31 with moderate dr and ee. The 1 mmol scale reaction gave 3b (0.22 g) in 86% yield and 92% ee (Scheme 2).

To expand the generality of cyclopropanation using α -diazo oxime ethers, a series of α -diazo oxime ethers were employed with styrene **1b** in the presence of Ru(II)-Pheox catalyst (Cat. 3). The results are listed in Scheme 3. The electronic effect on the benzene ring of the α -diazo oxime ether gave the same result of products **3b** and **3m**-**n** in yield, dr, and ee. However, steric hindrance strongly affected the stereoselectivity and enantioselectivity. When 2-naphthyl diazo oxime **2o** was used as the carbene source in the cyclopropanation with styrene, compound **3o** was obtained in high yield (84%), with high enantioselectivity (93% ee) and very high dr ratio (95:5 d.r.).

Scheme 3. Ru(II)-Pheox-Catalyzed Asymmetric Cyclopropanation of Various α -Diazo Oxime Ethers



^aIsolated yield. ^bDetermined by crude ¹H NMR. ^cDetermined by chiral HPLC.

Moreover, the reaction between 1-naphthyl diazo oxime 2p and 1b gave cyclopropyl oxime 3p in high yield with excellent enantioselectivity (98% ee) and dr (Scheme 3, 3p).

The structure and absolute configuration of cyclopropyl oximes (major *trans* product) were determined in an efficient manner by comparison of cyclopropyl oximes with the synthetic transformation of a cyclopropyl ketone into a cyclopropyl oxime product. As shown in Scheme 4, diphenyl

Scheme 4. Determination of the Structure and Absolute Configuration of the Cyclopropyl Oxime Product



cyclopropane ketone 5^{13} having only the *trans* isomer was successfully transformed into a mixture of anti and syn isomers 3b' bearing an oxime functional group. Besides, trans and cis cyclopropyl oxime products 3b were produced by the reaction of α -diazo oxime ether 2a with styrene 1b, as mentioned before. The absence of the NMR peak attributed to the syn isomer in the 3b mixture as well as the absence of the peak due to the *cis* product in the 3b' mixture helped identify the structure of the trans and cis cyclopropyl oximes in 3b (see Supporting Information). Furthermore, the consistency between the measured $[\alpha]_{\rm D}$ (Scheme 4) and the reported value¹⁴ was used for determining the absolute configuration of the (R,R)-3b product. Moreover, the minor product *cis*-3f was successfully isolated by crystallization, and its absolute configuration was confirmed by single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis.¹⁵

Further synthetic applications of cyclopropyl oxime ethers via metal hydride and Grignard reagent mediated Beckmann rearrangement were explored, as described in Scheme 5. To demonstrate the utility of our direct enantioselective cyclo-

Scheme 5. Synthetic Transformation of Cyclopropyl Oxime Ethers





 3a (X = OMe): 80:20 trans/cis; 93%/86% ee
 6a: 87% yield^[a]; 80:20 trans/cis^[b]; 93%/78% ee^[c]

 3b (X = H): 81:19 trans/cis; 92%/78% ee
 6b: 86% yield; 85:15 trans/cis; 93%/79% ee

 3c (X = Me): 79:21 trans/cis; 94%/76% ee
 6c: 82% yield; 80:20 trans/cis; 94%/77% ee

 3e (X = CI): 80:20 trans/cis; 96%/99% ee
 6e: 84% yield; 80:20 trans/cis; 94%/78% ee



60% yield; 93% ee (trans)

^{*a*}Isolated yield. ^{*b*}Determined by crude ¹H NMR. ^{*c*}Determined by chiral HPLC. ^{*d*}Reaction was carried out at RT.

propyl oxime ethers in synthetic transformations, we herein report the first DIBALH-mediated and Grignard reagent mediated Beckmann rearrangement^{16,17} of **3** to obtain cyclopropyl methylamine derivatives **6a–60** and **60**', which are candidates for Serotonin 2C receptor agonists.¹⁸

All of these cases proceeded in high yield with retained enantioselectivity. The reaction of DIBALH and **30** was initially carried out at room temperature. A high yield was observed, whereas the enantioselectivity was slightly decreased (Scheme 5). To maintain the chiral environment in **6**, a low temperature is essential. At lower temperatures (-10 °C), the cyclopropyl oxime ethers were completely transformed into cyclopropyl methylamines in high yields (>80% yield) with the same ee and dr ratio. An excellent result was obtained in the reductive Beckmann rearrangement of **3m**, with 95% yield (Scheme 5).

In conclusion, we have developed the first catalytic asymmetric cyclopropanation of α -diazo oxime ethers with olefins in the presence of a Ru(II)-Pheox catalyst to obtain a variety of optically active cyclopropyl oxime derivatives in

excellent yields (up to 99%) with high diastereoselectivities (up to 95:5) and enantioselectivities (up to 98% ee). In addition, the catalytic efficiency of Ru(II)-Pheox resulted in a high TON (5500) and TOF (455). We also successfully developed a synthetic pathway for optically active cyclopropyl methyl amine derivatives as useful bioactive intermediates starting from the cyclopropyl oxime ethers.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.or-glett.9b02771.

Experimental procedures and characterization data (PDF)

Accession Codes

CCDC 1944711 contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif, or by emailing data_request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk, or by contacting The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: +44 1223 336033.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: iwasa@ens.tut.ac.jp.

ORCID 💿

Linh Da Ho: 0000-0003-1730-0600

Seiji Iwasa: 0000-0002-5012-1420

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

S.I. thanks Ikeda Bussan Co. Ltd. for the financial support and thanks Associate Professor Keiichi Noguchi (Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology) for his help concerning the X-ray equipment.

REFERENCES

 (a) Babazadeh-Qazijahani, M.; Badali, H.; Irannejad, H.; Afsarian, M. H.; Emami, S. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2014, 76, 264–273.
 (b) Parthiban, P.; Kabilan, S.; Ramkumar, V.; Jeong, Y. T. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2010, 20, 6452–6458. (c) Emami, S.; Falahati, M.; Banifatemi, A.; Shafiee, A. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2004, 12, 5881–5889.
 (2) (a) Liang, J. H.; Dong, L. J.; Wang, H.; An, K.; Li, X. L.; Yang, L.; Yao, G. W.; Xu, Y. C. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2010, 45, 3627–3635.
 (b) Parthiban, P.; Rathika, P.; Ramkumar, V.; Son, S. M.; Jeong, Y. T. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2010, 20, 1642–1647. (c) Bhandari, K.; Srinivas, N.; Keshava, G. S.; Shukla, P. K. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 2009, 44, 437–447.

(3) Chakravarti, B.; Akhtar, T.; Rai, B.; Yadav, M.; Akhtar-Siddiqui, J.; Dhar-Dwivedi, S. K.; Thakur, R.; Singh, A. K.; Singh, A. K.; Kumar, H.; et al. *J. Med. Chem.* **2014**, *57*, 8010–8025.

(4) (a) Gannarapu, M. R.; Vasamsetti, S. B.; Punna, N.; Royya, N. K.; Pamulaparthy, S. R.; Nanubolu, J. B.; Kotamraju, S.; Banda, N. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2014, 75, 143–150. (b) Emami, S.; Kebriaeezadeh, A.; Ahangar, N.; Khorasani, R. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2011, 21, 655–659. (c) Park, H. J.; Lee, K.; Park, S. J.; Ahn, B.; Lee, J. C.; Cho, H.; Lee, K. I. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2005, 15, 3307–3312. (d) Chern, J. H.; Lee, C. C.; Chang, C. S.; Lee, Y. C.; Tai, C. L.; Lin, Y. T.; Shia, K. S.; Lee, C. Y.; Shih, S. R. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2004, 14, 5051–5056. (e) Delmas, F.; Gasquet, M.; Timon-David, P.;

D

Madadi, N.; Vanelle, P.; Vaille, A.; Maldonado, J. Eur. J. Med. Chem. 1993, 28, 23-27.

(5) (a) Mirjafary, Z.; Abdoli, M.; Saeidian, H.; Boroon, S.; Kakanejadifard, A. RSC Adv. **2015**, *5*, 79361–79383. (b) Moody, C. J. Chem. Commun. **2004**, 1341–1351.

(6) Buchner, E.; Feldmann, L. Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 1903, 36, 3509-3517.

(7) (a) Kang, Z.; Wang, Y.; Zhang, D.; Wu, R.; Xu, X.; Hu, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 1473–1478. (b) Lin, X.; Tang, Y.; Yang, W.; Tan, F.; Lin, L.; Liu, X.; Feng, X. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 3299– 3305. (c) Haubenreisser, S.; Woste, T. H.; Martinez, C.; Ishihara, K.; Muniz, K. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 1–6. (d) Guzman, P. E.; Lian, Y.; Davies, H. M. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 13083– 13087. (e) Xu, X.; Zavalij, P. Y.; Hu, W.; Doyle, M. P. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 11522–11524. (f) Lourdusamy, E.; Yao, L.; Park, C. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 7963–7967.

(8) (a) Kidonakis, M.; Stratakis, M. Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 4086-4089.
(b) Wang, D.; Szabó, K. J. Org. Lett. 2017, 19, 1622-1625. (c) Liu, L.; Zhang, J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2016, 45, 506-516. (d) Ford, A.; Miel, H.; Ring, A.; Slattery, C. N.; Maguire, A. R.; McKervey, M. A. Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 9981-10080.

(9) (a) Shatzmiller, S.; Bercovici, S. Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1992, 1992, 877–878. (b) Romeiro, G. A.; Pereira, L. O. R.; Souza, M. C. B. V.; Ferreira, V. F.; Cunha, A. C. Tetrahedron Lett. 1997, 38, 5103–5106. (10) (a) Loy, N. S. Y.; Choi, S.; Kim, S.; Park, C. M. Chem. Commun. 2016, 52, 7336–7339. (b) Loy, N. S. Y.; Kim, S.; Park, C. M. Org. Lett. 2015, 17, 395–397. (c) Kuruba, B. K.; Shariff, N.; Vasanthkumar, S.; Emmanuvel, L. Synth. Commun. 2015, 45, 2454–2461. (d) Qi, X.; Xu, X.; Park, C. M. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 3996–3998. (e) Jiang, Y.; Khong, V. Z. Y.; Lourdusamy, E.; Park, C. M. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 3133–3135. (f) Qi, X.; Jiang, Y.; Park, C. M. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 7848–7850. (g) Lourdusamy, E.; Yao, L.; Park, C. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 7963–7967. (h) Wang, J.; Stefane, B.; Jaber, D.; Smith, J. A. I.; Vickery, C.; Diop, M.; Sintim, H. O. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 3964–3968.

(11) Choi, S.; Ha, S.; Park, C. M. Chem. Commun. 2017, 53, 6054–6064.

(12) Chanthamath, S.; Iwasa, S. Acc. Chem. Res. 2016, 49, 2080–2090.

(13) (a) Diphenyl cyclopropane ketone **5** was synthesized according to Nicolas's procedure^{13b} using *p*-nitro-Ru(II)-diphenyl-Pheox catalyst.^{13c} (b) Nicolas, I.; Maux, P. L.; Simonneaux, G. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2008**, 49, 2111–2113. (c) Chi, L. T. L.; Suharto, A.; Da, H. L.; Chanthamath, S.; Shibatomi, K.; Iwasa, S. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2019**, 361, 951–955.

(14) Kakei, H.; Sone, T.; Sohtome, Y.; Matsunaga, S.; Shibasaki, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **200**7, 129, 13410–13411.

(15) The X-ray measurement was performed with the X-ray equipment at the institution of instrumental analysis in Tokyo University of Agriculture and Technology.



(16) Imaizumi, T.; Okano, K.; Tokuyama, H. In *Organic Syntheses*; Xu, L. T., Ma, D., Ed.; Wiley-VCH, 2016; Vol. 93, pp 1–13.

(17) Mukhopadhyay, P. P.; Miyata, O.; Naito, T. Synlett 2007, 9, 1403–1406.

(18) Zhang, G.; Cheng, J.; McCorvy, J. D.; Lorello, P. J.; Caldarone, B. J.; Roth, B. L.; Kozikowski, A. P. *J. Med. Chem.* **2017**, *60*, 6273–6288.