

Ene-thiol reaction of C3-vinylated chlorophyll derivatives in the presence of oxygen: synthesis of C3-formyl-chlorins under mild conditions

Takanori Fukusumi^a, Natsuki Takei^a, Yubi Tateno^a, Takuya Aoki^a, Ai Ando^a, Kouhei Kozakai^a, Hiroko Shima^a, Tadashi Mizoguchi^b, Satoshi Ito^a, Tsukasa Ikeda^a, Hitoshi Tamiaki^{*b} and Toru Oba^{*a}

 ^a Department of Material and Environmental Chemistry, Graduate School of Engineering, Utsunomiya University, Utsunomiya, Tochigi 321-8585, Japan
 ^b Graduate School of Life Sciences, Ritsumeikan University, Kusatsu, Shiga 525-8577, Japan

Received 20 June 2013 Accepted 29 July 2013

ABSTRACT: Reactions of thiol with the C3-vinyl group of various chlorophyll (Chl) derivatives were examined. The reactions resemble thiol-olefin co-oxidation, except that the vinyl C=C double bond was cleaved to afford a formyl group without any transition metal catalyst, and that the simple *anti*-Markovnikov adduct of thiol to olefin was obtained as a minor product. Peripheral substituents of Chl derivatives little affected the reaction, while the central metal atom of the chlorin macrocycle influenced the composition of the products. Oxygen and acid dissolved in the reaction mixture can facilitate the oxidation. Sufficiently mild conditions in this regioselective oxidation at the C3¹-position are significant in bioorganic chemistry.

KEYWORDS: aldehyde, chlorophyll, co-oxidation, olefin, thiol.

INTRODUCTION

Ene-thiol reactions are classically found in chemistry but have recently been reevaluated. Electrophilic addition, Michael addition, and radical addition of thiol across a double bond are well known. Michael reaction between a cysteine thiol and a maleimide proceeds even in water, and the reaction is widely used for cross-linking and labeling of proteins [1]. Very recently, such ene-thiol reactions have been reinvestigated and highlighted as useful click-reactions beneficial for bio-conjugation and facile synthesis of supramolecules [2–4].

When oxygen is introduced into a system involving thiol and olefin, oxidation of the olefin takes place besides the formation of simple adducts. This thiol-olefin co-oxidation (TOCO reaction) has long been studied in relation to hydrocarbon fuel instability [5, 6]. Styrene (PhCH=CH₂) for instance reacted with thiophenol (PhSH) and oxygen (O₂) to give 1-phenyl-2-(phenylsulfinyl)ethanol, PhCH(OH)CH₂S(O)Ph. Thiyl radical (PhS[•]) and molecular oxygen add to the double bond to afford a sulfanyl hydroperoxide [PhCH(O–O[•])CH₂SPh] in the early step of the co-oxidation. The unstable hydroperoxide transforms into the more stable sulfinyl ethanol. In contrast, Szmant and co-workers studied the mechanism of the reaction by NMR, and proposed that not a thiyl radical but a charge transfer complex formed by the thiol and the olefin played an essential role in the early step [7].

In the presence of oxygen, addition of a thiol across an ene double bond ($C\alpha=C\beta$) is accompanied by oxidation of the α -carbon atom and the sulfur atom at the β -position. The conventional TOCO reaction does not, however, involve cleavage of the double bond. The double bond cleavage, leading to formation of aldehydes

 $^{^{\}diamond}SPP$ full and $^{\diamond\diamond}student$ member in good standing

^{*}Correspondence to: Hitoshi Tamiaki, fax: +81 77-561-2659, email: tamiaki@fc.ritsumei.ac.jp; Toru Oba, tel/fax: +81 28-689-6147, email: tob_p206@cc.utsunomiya-u.ac.jp



М	R ₁	R ₂	R ₃	Х
Mg	CH_3	COOCH_3	$C_{20}H_{39}$	0
H_2	CH_3	Н	CH₃	0
H_2	CH_3	COOCH_3	$C_{20}H_{39}$	0
H_2	CH_3	Н	C ₂₀ H ₃₉	0
H_2	CH_3	COOCH_3	CH_3	0
Mg	СНО	COOCH_3	C ₂₀ H ₃₉	0
H_2	СНО	$COOCH_3$	C ₂₀ H ₃₉	0
H_2	CH_3	Н	CH_3	H_2
Ni	CH_3	Н	CH_3	0
Zn	CH_3	н	CH_3	0
	$\begin{array}{c} M\\ Mg\\ H_2\\ H_2\\ H_2\\ H_2\\ H_2\\ Mg\\ H_2\\ H_2\\ Ni\\ Zn \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{ccc} M & R_1 \\ Mg & CH_3 \\ H_2 & CH_3 \\ H_2 & CH_3 \\ H_2 & CH_3 \\ H_2 & CH_3 \\ Mg & CHO \\ H_2 & CHO \\ H_2 & CHO \\ H_2 & CH_3 \\ Ni & CH_3 \\ Zn & CH_3 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c ccc} M & R_1 & R_2 \\ \hline Mg & CH_3 & COOCH_3 \\ H_2 & CH_3 & H \\ H_2 & CH_3 & COOCH_3 \\ H_2 & CH_3 & H \\ H_2 & CH_3 & COOCH_3 \\ Mg & CHO & COOCH_3 \\ H_2 & CHO & COOCH_3 \\ H_2 & CHO & COOCH_3 \\ H_2 & CHO & H \\ Ni & CH_3 & H \\ Zn & CH_3 & H \\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c cccc} M & R_1 & R_2 & R_3 \\ \hline Mg & CH_3 & COOCH_3 & C_{20}H_{39} \\ H_2 & CH_3 & H & CH_3 \\ H_2 & CH_3 & COOCH_3 & C_{20}H_{39} \\ H_2 & CH_3 & H & C_{20}H_{39} \\ H_2 & CH_3 & COOCH_3 & CH_3 \\ Mg & CHO & COOCH_3 & C_{20}H_{39} \\ H_2 & CHO & H & CH_3 \\ Ni & CH_3 & H & CH_3 \\ Zn & CH_3 & H & CH_3 \\ \end{array}$

Fig. 1. Molecular structures of natural chlorophylls and their derivatives **1a–10a** possessing the C3-vinyl group as the substrates of the present oxidation

or ketones, requires transition metal complexes such as Mn salen [8] and Fe porphyrin [9] in addition to the thiol and oxygen. β -Sulfanyl hydroperoxides were also assumed to be intermediates of these catalytic oxidations.

We have found that in the presence of a thiol the peripheral vinyl groups of chlorophyll-*a* (Chl-*a*, **1a**, see Fig. 1) and methyl pyropheophorbide-*a* (**2a**) were selectively converted into the formyl groups to afford Chl-*d* (**1b**) and methyl pyropheophorbide-*d* (**2b**), respectively, in substantial yields without aid of any metal catalysts (Schemes 1 and 2), which were preliminary reported [10, 11]. The reaction was carried out by simply mixing the pigments, thiophenol, and acetic acid in THF, followed by stirring the solution for several hours at room



Scheme 1. In vitro conversion of Chl-a (1a) into Chl-d (1b) using thiophenol and acetic acid

temperature. Because Chl-*a*, which is labile to strong oxidants, oxygen, and acids, was readily transformed into Chl-*d* in one-step under such mild conditions, we assume it to be a model reaction of Chl-*d* biosynthesis. Very recently, Belykh and Ashikhmina reported that a catalytic amount of alkyl thiol and oxygen gas enabled formylation of **2a** and chlorin- e_6 derivatives [12].

Molecular oxygen attracts much attention as an ideal oxidant due to its abundance, low cost, and safety, all of which benefit us from the viewpoint of green and sustainable chemistry. N-Hydroxyphthalimide is one of the catalysts that facilitate oxidation of organic substrates using molecular oxygen as an oxidant [13, 14]. Attack of phthalimide N-oxyl radical on an olefin and subsequent decomposition of the peroxide intermediate are assumed to be involved in the mechanism that converts olefins into ketones or aldehydes. Living organisms also use molecular oxygen in their metabolic processes, for instance, by using cytochrome P450 as a catalyst. Molecular oxygen coordinates to the heme iron of P450 to form the ferric peroxide [Fe(III)–OOH], followed by transformation into the oxo ferryl species [Fe(IV)=O] that oxidizes the substrates [15]. Thiol in the oxidation shown in Scheme 1 may also function as a catalyst to harness molecular oxygen. It is intriguing that no metal catalyst is needed to cleave the double bond of the vinyl group of Chl-a. This ene-thiol chemistry is worthy of investigation from the aspects of bioorganic chemistry as well as green chemistry.



Scheme 2. Reaction of the C3-vinyl double bond of Chl-*a* derivative 2a with a thiol to give 2b-2e. The values in parenthesis indicate the yields of 2b-2e (using 10 µmol of 2a, see Experimental section for the reaction conditions)

Here we report the effects of vinylated chlorin substrates, oxygen molecule, and additional acid species on the ene-thiol reaction, as a model for the enzymatic conversion of Chl-*a* into Chl-*d*. As substrates, we examined ten pigments including natural Chls and their synthetic derivatives with different peripheral substituents and central metals. All the substrates successfully underwent the oxidation of the vinyl group to give the corresponding aldehydes. The reaction also gave sulfanyl-adducts, but simple *anti*-Markovnikov-type adducts were obtained in lower yields. Thus, this reaction is in sharp contrast to the traditional TOCO reaction.

RESULTS

When Chl-a (1a), AcOH (1 equiv.), and PhSH (5 equiv.) were dissolved in THF, followed by stirring overnight in the air at room temperature, **1a** was converted into Chl-d (1b) successfully (Table 1). When 2a was reacted under the same conditions, 2a was fully consumed within 5 h and C3-formyl-chlorin 2b was obtained in the same yield as that of 1a to 1b. Other natural and synthetic chlorins 3a-8a (see Fig. 1) also gave their corresponding C3-formyl-chlorins **3b–8b** (see Table 1). The reaction proceeded smoothly, regardless of the esterifying group of the C17-propionate and the substituents at the C131- and C132-positions. During the oxidation, the major products were free from any degradation including hydrogen abstraction at peripheral substituents, ester hydrolysis, thioacetal formation, demetallation, and ring opening. The C3-vinyl groups of C7-formyl-chlorins, Chl-b (6a) and its free base Pheo-b

Table 1. Oxidation of C3-vinylated Chl derivatives \mathbf{na} to C3-formyl-chlorins \mathbf{nb}^{a}

Substrate		Yield (%)	(%) Product		
	$\lambda_{max}^{ b}$			$\lambda_{max}^{ b}$	$\Delta\lambda (\Delta E)^{c}$
1a (Chl- <i>a</i>)	666	31	1b (Chl- <i>d</i>) [11]	684	18 (395)
2a	668	31	2b	697	29 (623)
3a (Pheo- <i>a</i>)	668	22	3b (Pheo- <i>d</i>)	691	23 (498)
4a	667	17	4b	691	24 (521)
5a	668	25	5b	698	30 (643)
6a (Chl- <i>b</i>)	647	32	6b	667	20 (463)
7a (Pheo- <i>b</i>)	650	24	7b	678	28 (635)
8a	648	26	8b	667	19 (440)
9a	652	35	9b	680	28 (632)
10a	659	9	10b	688	29 (640)

^a Basic reaction conditions: 3-vinyl-chlorin (10 μ mol), AcOH (1 equiv.), and PhSH (5 equiv.) in THF (3 mL), in the air, at room temperature. The yields were determined by ¹H NMR spectral analysis (see Experimental section). ^b In nm in CHCl₃. ^c $\Delta\lambda$ in nm and ΔE in cm⁻¹.

(7a), were similarly transformed to give the corresponding C3,7-diformyl-chlorins **6b** and **7b**, respectively, under the above conditions. ¹H NMR spectrum of **6b** clearly showed two formyl proton signals at 11.37 and 11.43 ppm [16], demonstrating that the C7-formyl group was kept intact during the oxidation. The C7-formyl group retarded the oxidation: Chl-*b* (**6a**) took 7 h to complete the reaction, while the formylation of Chl-*a* (**1a**) was completed within 4 h, indicating that the C7-formyl-chlorins were less reactive for the present oxidation than the C7-methylated pigments. This tendency is consistent with the fact that demetallation of Zn-chlorins is retarded by electronic effects of the C7-formyl group [17].

The central metal atom of the chlorin macrocycles greatly influenced their reactivity to this oxidation. Nickel and zinc atoms were inserted into the central cavity of the free base 2a in a conventional way [18], and the obtained Ni- 9a and Zn-complexes 10a were subjected to the oxidation reaction as mentioned above. The Ni-complex 9a also afforded the corresponding Ni-formyl-chlorin 9b (35%). The value was comparable to those of Mg complex 1a to 1b and its free base 2a to 2b. On the other hand, the C=C double bond cleavage at the C3-moiety was strongly inhibited in the case of Zn-complex 10a and C3-formyl-chlorin 10b was obtained in only 9% yield. The suppression may arise from the difference in the redox property of the substrates.

Table 1 also shows the Q_y absorption maxima of **na** and **nb**. Compounds **2a–5a** possess the same π -system and gave the Q_y peaks at 667–668 nm. Conversion of the vinyl group to the formyl group induced large red-shift of these bands to 691–698 nm. The other substrates also experienced comparable red-shift by the conversion.

The reaction of 2a afforded two other major products after purification with reversed-phase HPLC: retention time $(t_R) = 21$ and 30 min. The slowly eluting $(t_R = 30)$ min) and less polar species is assigned to C3-[1-oxo-2-(phenylsulfanyl)ethyl] derivative 2c (Scheme 2) from its ¹H NMR [δ = 4.86 ppm for singlet CH₂-3²], visible $(\lambda_{\text{max}} = 687 \text{ nm for } Q_y \text{ peak})$, and MS data (m/z = 672 m)for $[M]^+$) [10]. The fast eluting ($t_R = 21 \text{ min}$) and more polar species is assignable to a 3^1 -epimeric mixture (1:1) of C3-[1-hydroxy-2-(phenylsulfanyl)ethyl] form 2d: $\lambda_{\text{max}} = 664 \text{ nm for } Q_y \text{ peak and } m/z = 674 \text{ for } [M]^+$. The molecular structure of alcohol 2d was also confirmed by the authentic sample prepared by reduction of ketone 2c with 'BuNH₂BH₃ in CH₂Cl₂. It is noted that the simple anti-Markovnikov-type adduct, C3-[2-(phenylsulfanyl)ethyl] form 2e, was obtained in a lower yield (8%). This is in sharp contrast to the fact that conventional TOCO reaction simultaneously gave simple anti-Markovnikovtype adducts in substantial yields. The typical reaction of styrene with p-chlorothiophenol at 30 °C afforded both the sulfinyl alcohol (31%) and the simple adduct (69%) [7]. This is one of the characteristics of the present reaction of Chl derivatives. The reaction conditions employed seemed to be very oxidative for Chl

Table 2. Composition of the products of 2a dependent upon the reaction conditions^a

Atmosphere			Yield, %		
-	3 a	0=b	SPh O 3 c	SPh HO 3 d	SPh 3mm e
Air	0	20	13	47	9
O ₂	0	43	27	9	0
Ar	6	31	19	16	3
Air (no AcOH) ^b	0	25	14	35	6

^a Basic reaction conditions: 3-vinyl-chlorin **2a** (100 μ mol), AcOH (1 equiv.), and PhSH (5 equiv.) in THF (10 mL), in the air, at room temperature. ^b **2a** (10 μ mol).

derivatives, in spite of lacking any catalyst and flushing oxygen. A Markovnikov-type adduct was detected using *p*-toluenesulfonic acid as a coexisting acid [10], but was not obtained under the present conditions employing lower acidic AcOH. Preliminary analysis demonstrated essentially the same tendency for the composition of the reaction products **nb–ne** obtained from the other chlorin substrates **1a** and **3a–9a** (see Table S1).

Oxygen gas had an additional effect on the composition of the products (see Table 2). Introduction of oxygen into the reaction mixture of **2a** enhanced the two-fold formation of **2b** and **2c**, while production of **2d** was greatly inhibited. When Ar gas was bubbled into the solution before the reaction, a small amount of substrate **2a** remained unreacted even after stirring for 24 h.

DISCUSSION

The present oxidation reaction (Scheme 2) resembles a traditional TOCO reaction, but clear differences were noted: (1) the vinyl C=C double bond was cleaved to afford a formyl group without any transition metal catalysts or bubbling of oxygen gas, and (2) the simple anti-Markovnikov adduct ne (C3-CH₂CH₂SPh) was obtained in a lower yield. Compound 2a is a good representative to examine reactivity of these Chl derivatives, because essentially the same major products were obtained as in the other derivatives. The vinyl group was selectively transformed, and less degradation of the pigments including hydrolysis of esters, demetalation, and ring opening was observed. The modification at the sole C3-vinyl group under sufficiently mild reaction conditions offers the possibility of wider application in organic synthesis and of the unveiling of unidentified reactions in bioorganic chemistry including Chl-d biosynthesis.

We assume that the oxidation examined here proceeds through a peroxide intermediate (Scheme 3) as in a TOCO reaction [10, 11]. Sequential addition of thiol and molecular oxygen to the C3-vinyl group of **na** can afford a peroxide species. Loss of an oxygen atom from the peroxide could lead to the formation of sulfanyl alcohol **nd**. Sulfanyl ketone **nc** could be obtained by additional abstraction of a hydrogen atom at the C3¹-position. It is proposed that the C3-formyl-chlorin **nb** is also derived from the peroxide intermediate. The reaction conditions are sufficiently oxidative even without flushing oxygen gas through the system. Attack of molecular oxygen to the C3¹-carbon centered radical (C3-CH[•]-CH₂SPh) would be much faster than abstraction of hydrogen from thiophenol that should provide the simple *anti*-Markovnikov adduct.

After our preliminary report [10], the formylation of **2a** and chlorin- e_6 derivatives (*ca.* 50% yields) using a catalytic amount of alkyl thiol (5~7 mole%) and oxygen gas (4~5 L/h, 1 h) was described [12]. We could not obtain such C3-formyl-chlorins in the presence of an alkyl thiol under the atmospheric conditions where Chl-d biosynthesis took place [10]. A large amount of oxygen in the system may activate reactivity of alkyl thiol and successfully enable the formylation. The fact that such an alkyl thiol catalyzes the formylation might suggest that the thiol moiety of cysteine residue can participate in the enzymatic transformation from Chl-a to Chl-d. We speculate that a postulated Chl-d synthase facilitates monooxygenation using molecular oxygen and preferentially decomposes the peroxide intermediate into the formyl-chlorin.

Not only formyl-chlorins [19, 20] but sulfanylated chlorins await future application. Supramolecular devices including artificial photosynthesis may be fabricated by conjugation of a pigment with a biomolecule by formation of a thioether linkage between a thiol and a vinyl group. It is also expected that the sulfanylated chlorins function as photosensitizing drugs of photodynamic therapy and as their synthetic intermediates.

EXPERIMENTAL

Chl-a (1a) and Chl-b (6a) were extracted from Spirulina and spinach, respectively, and were used as materials to prepare compounds **2a–5a** and **7a–10a** as described previously [18, 21–23]. Reagents were



Scheme 3. Hypothetical synthetic pathway of the reaction of the C3-vinyl double bond of Chl derivatives **na** with a thiol. A thiyl radical (PhS') attacked the C3-vinyl group, followed by addition of a molecular oxygen. The resulting hydroperoxide oxidatively decomposed into C3-formyl-chlorins **nb**, C3-[1-oxo-2-(phenylsulfanyl)ethyl] derivatives **nc**, and the C3-[1-hydroxy-2-(phenylsulfanyl)ethyl] derivatives **nd**, and C3-[2-(phenylsulfanyl)ethyl] derivatives **ne**

purchased from Kanto Chemical Co., Inc., and were used as provided. The oxidation reactions of the 3-vinylated Chl derivatives were carried out as reported earlier [10, 11]. The typical reaction of **2a** is described below.

Compound 2a (10 µmol) was dissolved in dry tetrahydrofuran (THF, 3 mL) and the solution was chilled in an ice water bath. Thiophenol (PhSH, 5 equiv.) was added to this solution and stirred for 45 min. Then, acetic acid (AcOH, 1 equiv.) was added to the mixture, and stirred for 24 h at room temperature. The mixture was neutralized by 5% aq. NaHCO₃, and the pigments were extracted with CHCl₃. The organic layer was washed with brine, dried over Na₂SO₄, and evaporated to dryness. The residue was analyzed by ¹H NMR (Varian VNMR-500), MALDI-TOF-MS (Bruker autoflex II), and UV-vis (JASCO V-550). The composition of 2a-2e in the reaction mixture was calculated based on the ¹H NMR data (Fig. 2). Areas of proton signals specific for respective compounds (C3-moieties, CH-5, CH-10, and CH-20) were divided by the total area of the C13²-protons and the results were averaged from triplicate experiments.

The major products (**2b**, 37 min; **2c**, 30 min; **2d**, 21 min) were isolated from the mixtures using a reversedphase HPLC [column, Nomura Chemical Develosil ODS-HG5 ($20\phi \times 150$ mm); eluent, methanol; pump, JASCO PU-2089; detector, JASCO MD-2018; flow rate, 3 mL/min; room temperature] and analyzed by their ¹H NMR, HRMS (Bruker micrOTOF II), and UV-vis spectra. The data of **2b** were identical to the reported data [10, 21]. The NMR and UV-vis spectra of novel compounds **2c**, **2d** and **2e** were similar to those of known



Fig. 2. A partial ¹H NMR spectrum of a reaction mixture recorded after 24-h oxidation. Compound **2a** was fully consumed and products **2b–2e** were observed. Characteristic signals of the respective products are indicated

analogs (*e.g.* C3-acetyl, C3-hyrdoxymethyl, and C3-ethyl chlorins) [21, 23, 24], and were listed below.

Since the introduction of oxygen or argon gas into the above reaction mixture involving 10 μ mol of **2a** gave scattered results for the composition of products, the gases were bubbled into a relatively large scale of **2a** (100 μ mol and THF, 10 mL) before 24-h stirring to give less variable data.

Methyl 3-devinyl-3-[1-oxo-2-(phenylsulfanyl)ethyl]pyropheophorbide-*a* (2c). UV-vis (CHCl₃): λ_{max} , nm 687 (relative intensity, 0.53), 628 (0.08), 550 (0.12), 516 (0.12), 419 (1.00), 390 (0.87). ¹H NMR (500 MHz; CDCl₃; Me₄Si): $\delta_{\rm H}$, ppm -2.03 (1H, s, pyrrole-N*H*) (another NH was too broad to be observed), 1.71 (3H, t, *J* = 8 Hz, *CH*₃-8²), 1.84 (3H, d, *J* = 7 Hz, *CH*₃-18¹), 2.26–2.36, 2.52–2.79 (4H, m, *CH*₂-17¹, 17²), 3.18 (3H, s, *CH*₃-7¹), 3.56 (3H, s, *CH*₃-2¹), 3.61 (3H, s, *CH*₃-17⁵), 3.71 (3H, s, *CH*₃-12¹), 3.72 (2H, q, *J* = 8 Hz, *CH*₂-8¹), 4.37 (1H, dt, *J* = 8, 2 Hz, *CH*₁-3²), 5.18, 5.33 (2H, 2d, *J* = 20 Hz, *CH*₂-13²), 7.18–7.22 (3H, m, *CH*-3', 4', 5' of phenyl), 7.38–7.42 (2H, m, *CH*-2', 6' of phenyl), 8.75 (1H, s, *CH*-20), 9.60 (1H, s, *CH*-10), 9.67 (1H, s, *CH*-5). HRMS (APCI): *m/z* 673.2846 (calcd. for [M + H]⁺ 673.2849).

Methyl 3-devinyl-3-[1-hydroxy-2-(phenylsulfanyl)ethyl]pyropheophorbide-a (2d). UV-vis (CHCl₃): λ_{max} , nm 664 (relative intensity, 0.51), 608 (0.09), 540 (0.10), 508 (0.10), 412 (1.00). ¹H NMR (500 MHz; CDCl₃; Me₄Si): $\delta_{\rm H}$, ppm -1.83 (1H, s, pyrrole-NH) (another NH was too broad to be observed), 1.70 (3H, t, J = 8 Hz, CH_3 - 8^{2}), 1.80/1.81 (3H, d, J = 7 Hz, CH_{3} -18¹), 2.22–2.36, 2.52– 2.75 (4H, m, CH₂-17¹, 17²), 3.13/3.14 (3H, s, CH₃-7¹), 3.36/3.38 (3H, s, CH₃-2¹), 3.61 (3H, s, CH₃-17⁵), 3.68 (3H, s, CH_3 -12¹), 3.68 (2H, q, J = 8 Hz, CH_2 -8¹), 3.78–3.94 (2H, m, CH_2 -3²), 4.30 (1H, m, CH-17), 4.48 (1H, br-q, J = 7 Hz, CH-18), 5.12, 5.27 (2H, 2d, J = 20 Hz, CH_2 -13²), 6.24 (1H, m, CH-3¹), 7.32–7.37 (1H, m, CH-4' of phenyl), 7.40–7.44 (2H, m, CH-3', 5' of phenyl), 7.62-7.66 (2H, m, CH-2', 6' of phenyl), 8.53/8.54 (1H, s, CH-20), 9.44/9.46 (1H, s, CH-5), 9.54 (1H, s, CH-10) (the 3¹-OH signal was not detected due to proton exchange with trace water). HRMS (APCI): m/z 675.3001 (calcd. for $[M + H]^+$ 675.3006). Alternative preparation of 2d was conducted by reduction of compound 2c using tert-butylamine borane complex in the previously described manner [16, 17].

Methyl 3-devinyl-3-[2-(phenylsulfanyl)ethyl]pyropheophorbide-a (2e). UV-vis (CHCl₃): λ_{max} , nm 661 (relative intensity, 0.48), 605 (0.08), 537 (0.09), 505 (0.09), 412 (1.00). ¹H NMR (500 MHz; CDCl₃; Me₄Si): $\delta_{\rm H}$, ppm -1.70, 0.49 (2H, 2s, pyrrole-N*H* × 2), 1.70 (3H, t, J = 8 Hz, CH_3-8^2), 1.80 (3H, d, J = 7 Hz, CH_3-18^1), 2.22–2.36, 2.51–2.73 (4H, m, CH_2 -17¹, 17²), 3.19 (3H, s, CH₃-7¹), 3.29 (3H, s, CH₃-2¹), 3.60 (3H, s, CH₃-17⁵), 3.68 (3H, s, CH_3 -12¹), 3.70 (2H, q, J = 8 Hz, CH_2 -8¹), 3.64, 4.14 (4H, m, CH_2 -3¹, 3²), 4.28 (1H, dt, J = 7, 2 Hz, CH-17), 4.47 (1H, dq, J = 2, 7 Hz, CH-18), 5.10, 5.30 $(2H, 2d, J = 20 \text{ Hz}, CH_2 - 13^2), 7.36 (1H, t, J = 7 \text{ Hz}, CH - 4')$ of phenyl), 7.42 (2H, t, J = 7 Hz, CH-3', 5' of phenyl), 7.64 (2H, d, J = 7 Hz, CH-2', 6' of phenyl), 8.48 (1H, s, CH-20), 9.10 (1H, s, CH-5), 9.51 (1H, s, CH-10). HRMS (APCI): m/z 659.3078 (calcd. for $[M + H]^+$ 659.3056). Alternatively, 2e was synthesized by radical addition of PhSH initiated by AIBN; detail of this reaction will be presented elsewhere carriage return.

The other 3-formyl-chlorins **1b** and **3b–10b** were prepared similarly as mentioned above. NMR spectra of trace compounds were obtained by liquid MAS technique using the "Nano probe" (Varian). NMR spectra of metal complexes **1**, **9** and **10** were measured in CDCl₃ containing 10% CD₃OD to prevent aggregation. ¹H NMR, HRMS, and UV-vis data of novel compounds **4b**, **7b** and **8b** were analyzed based on spectral data of known analogs [10, 11, 16, 21–34], and are listed below. Spectral data of the other products are listed in Supplementary material. Preparation of 3-vinyl-chlorins **1a–3a** [25, 26], **4a** [27], **5a–6a** [25, 26], **7a** [26], **8a** [23, 28], **9a** [29], and **10a** [30] as well as 3-formyl-chlorins **1b** [11, 31], **2b** [10, 21], **3b** [31], **5b** [32, 33], **6b** [16], **9b** [29], and **10b** [34] were confirmed by the literature data.

Pvropheophytin-d (4b). Compound 4a (10 µmol) was reacted with PhSH (5 equiv.) and AcOH (1 equiv.) in dry THF (3 mL) for 24 h at room temperature, as mentioned above. The desired product 4b was isolated from the reaction mixture using a normal-phase HPLC (t_R = 15.5 min; column, Senshu-Pak 5251N ($20\phi \times 250$ mm); eluent, hexane/2-propanol/methanol = 100/8/4; pump, JASCO PU-2089; detector, JASCO MD-2018; flow rate, 5 mL/min; room temperature) and analyzed by ¹H NMR, HRMS, and UV-vis spectroscopies. UV-vis (CHCl₃): λ_{max} , nm 691 (relative intensity, 0.76), 629 (0.07), 549 (0.14), 517 (0.15), 420 (1.00), 385 (0.84). ¹H NMR (500 MHz; CDCl₃; Me₄Si): $\delta_{\rm H}$, ppm -2.02 (1H, s, pyrrole-NH) (another NH was too broad to be observed). 0.77, 0.78 $(6H, 2d, J = 7 Hz, P15 - (CH_3)_2), 0.84 (6H, d, J = 7 Hz, P7,$ P11-CH₃), 1.62 (3H, s, P3-CH₃), 1.74 (3H, t, J = 8 Hz, CH_3-8^2), 1.84 (3H, d, J = 7 Hz, CH_3-18^1), 2.2–2.8 (4H, m, CH_2 -17¹, 17²), 3.76 (2H, q, J = 8 Hz, CH_2 -8¹), 3.35, 3.74, 3.79 (9H, 3s, CH₃-2¹, 7¹, 12¹), 4.39 (1H, m, CH-17), 4.48–4.62 (3H, m, P1- H_2 + CH-18), 5.21 (1H, t, J = 6Hz, P2-*H*), 5.19, 5.35 (2H, 2d, J = 20 Hz, CH_2 -13²), 8.85 (1H, s, CH-20), 9.65, 10.35 (2H, 2s, CH-5, 10), 11.58 (1H, s, CHO-3¹). (Some protons of phytyl group were not clearly assigned.) HRMS (APCI): m/z 815.5473 (calcd. for [M + H]⁺ 815.5475).

3-Devinyl-3-formyl-pheophytin-*b* (7b). Compound 7a (10 µmol) was reacted with PhSH (5 equiv.) and AcOH (1 equiv.) in dry THF (3 mL) for 24 h at room temperature, as mentioned above. The desired product 7b was isolated from the reaction mixture using a normalphase HPLC (t_R = 30.0 min; column, Senshu-Pak 5251N $(20\phi \times 250 \text{ mm})$; eluent, hexane/2-propanol/methanol = 100/8/4; pump, JASCO PU-2089; detector, JASCO MD-2018; flow rate, 5 mL/min; room temperature) and analyzed by ¹H NMR, HRMS, and UV-vis spectroscopies. UV-vis (CHCl₃): λ_{max} , nm 678 (relative intensity, 0.29), 536 (0.11), 454 (1.00). ¹H NMR (500 MHz; CDCl₃; Me_4Si): δ_H , ppm -1.87 (1H, s, pyrrole-NH) (another NH) was too broad to be observed). 0.78, 0.80 (6H, 2d, J = 6Hz, P15-(CH_3)₂), 0.84 (6H, d, J = 6 Hz, P7, P11- CH_3), 1.58 (1H, m, P15-H), 1.58 (3H, s, P3-CH₃), 1.84–1.90 (6H, m, CH_3 -8², 18¹), 2.2–2.7 (4H, m, CH_2 -17¹, 17²), 3.76, 3.76, 3.93 (9H, 3s, CH_3 -2¹, 12¹, 13²-COOCH₃), 4.15 (2H, q, J = 8 Hz, CH_2 -8¹), 4.29 (1H, m, CH-17), 4.55 $(1H, dq, J = 2, 8 Hz, CH-18), 4.40-4.53 (2H, m, P1-H_2),$ 5.14 (1H, t, J = 7 Hz, P2-*H*), 6.32 (1H, s, CH-13²), 9.82 (1H, s, CH-20), 9.85, 10.99 (2H, 2s, CH-5, 10), 11.27, 11.67 (2H, 2s, CHO-3¹, 7¹). (Some protons of phytyl group were not clearly assigned.) HRMS (APCI): m/z 887.5377 (calcd. for [M + H]⁺ 887.5323).

Methyl 13^1 -deoxo-mesopyropheophorbide-d (8b). Compound 8a (10 µmol) was reacted with PhSH (5 equiv.) and AcOH (1 equiv.) in dry THF (3 mL) for 24 h at room temperature, as mentioned above. The desired product **8b** was isolated from the reaction mixture by silica-gel column chromatography (dichloroethane/diethyl ether = 100/3) and analyzed by ¹H NMR, HRMS, and UV-vis spectroscopies. UV-vis (CHCl₃): λ_{max} , nm 667 (relative intensity, 0.34), 612 (0.06), 552 (0.08), 515 (0.12), 419 (1.00), 334 (0.26), 311 (0.24). ¹H NMR (500 MHz; CDCl₃; Me₄Si): $\delta_{\rm H}$, ppm -2.62, -1.15 (2H, 2s, pyrrole-NH × 2), 1.74 (3H, t, J = 8 Hz, CH_3-8^2), 1.84 (3H, d, J = 8 Hz, CH_3-8^2) 18¹), 2.22–2.36, 2.58–2.84 (4H, m, CH_2 -17¹, 17²), 3.42, 3.46, 3.59, 3.84 (12H, 4s, CH₃-2¹, 7¹, 12¹, 17⁵), 3.81 (2H, q, J = 8 Hz, CH_2-8^1), 3.98–4.11 (2H, m, CH_2-13^1), 4.44 (1H, dt, J = 8, 2 Hz, CH-17), 4.60 (1H, dq, J = 2, 8 Hz, CH-18), 4.78, 4.90 (2H, ddd, J = 3, 7, 16 Hz, CH_2 -13²), 8.96 (1H, s, CH-20), 9.39, 10.47 (2H, 2s, CH-5, 10), 11.66 (1H, s, CHO-3¹). HRMS (APCI): *m/z* 537.2874 (calcd. for $[M + H]^+$ 537.2866).

Acknowledgements

This work was partially supported by a research project (to TO) of Utsunomiya University Center for Optical Research & Education, and by Grants-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (Nos. 21510132 and 25410082 to TO) and (A) (No. 2245030 to HT) from Japan Society of the Promotion of Science (JSPS). We also thank for Mr. Kinoshita (Ritsumeikan University) and Mr. Roppongi (Collaboration Center for Research and Development of Utsunomiya University) for their help with experiments.

Supporting information

Spectral data of by-products are given in the supplementary material. This material is available free of charge *via* the Internet at http://www.worldscinet.com/jpp/jpp.shtml.

REFERENCES

- 1. Haugland RP. Handbook of Fluorescent Probes and Research Chemicals, Molecular Probes, Inc.: Eugene, 1998.
- Hoyle CE and Bowman CN. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010; 49: 1540–1573.
- 3. Hoogenboom R. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010; **49**: 3415–3417.
- Valkevich EM, Guenette RG, Sanchez NA, Chen Y-C, Ge Y and Strieter ER. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2012; 134: 6916–6919.
- 5. Oswald AA. J. Org. Chem. 1961; 26: 842-846.

- Oswald AA, Griesbaum K and Hudson BE. J. Org. Chem. 1963; 28: 2355–2361.
- D'Souza VT, Nanjundiah R, Baeza HJ and Szmant HH. J. Org. Chem. 1987; 52: 1725–1728.
- Baucherel X, Uziel J and Juge S. J. Org. Chem. 2001; 66: 4504–4510.
- Kurek SS, Michorczyk P and Balisz A-M. J. Mol. Catal. A 2003; 194: 237–248.
- Oba T, Uda Y, Matsuda K, Fukusumi T, Ito S, Hiratani K and Tamiaki H. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2011; 21: 2489–2491.
- Fukusumi T, Matsuda K, Mizoguchi T, Miyatake T, Ito S, Ikeda T, Tamiaki H and Oba T. *FEBS Lett*. 2012; **586**: 2338–2341.
- Belykh DV and Ashikhmina EV. *Macrocycles* 2012;
 5: 56–58.
- Ishii Y, Sakaguchi S and Iwahama T. Adv. Synth. Catal. 2001; 343: 393–427.
- 14. Lin R, Chen F and Jiao N. Org. Lett. 2012; 14: 4158–4161.
- 15. Mizutani M and Sato F. Arch. Biochem. Biophys. 2011; **507**: 194–203.
- Tsuchiya T, Mizoguchi T, Akimoto S, Tomo T, Tamiaki H and Mimuro M. *Plant Cell Physiol*. 2012; 53: 518–527.
- Hirai Y, Sasaki S, Tamiaki H, Kashimura S and Saga Y. J. Phys. Chem. B 2011; 115: 3240–3244.
- Watanabe T, Machida K, Suzuki H, Kobayashi M and Honda K. *Coord. Chem. Rev.* 1985; 64: 207–224.
- Paolesse R, Pandey RK, Forsyth TP, Jaquinod L, Gerzevske KR, Nurco DJ, Senge MO, Licoccia S, Boschi T and Smith KM. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996; 118: 3869–3882.
- Feofanov A, Grichine A, Karmakova T, Pljutinskaya A, Lebedeva V, Filyasova A, Yakubovskaya R, Mironov A, Egret-Charlier M and Vigny P. *Photochem. Photobiol.* 2002; **75**: 633–643.
- Tamiaki H, Amakawa M, Shimono Y, Tanikaga R, Holzwarth AR and Schaffner K. *Photochem. Photobiol.* 1996; 63: 92–99.
- 22. Oba T, Masada Y and Tamiaki H. *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.* 1997; **70**: 1905–1909.
- 23. Tamiaki H, Yagai S and Miyatake T. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 1998; **6**: 2171–2178.
- Tamiaki H, Takeuchi S, Tsuzuki S, Miyatake T and Tanikaga R. *Tetrahedron* 1998; 54: 6699–6718.
- Abrham RJ and Rowan AE. In *Chlorophylls*, Scheer H. (Ed.) CRC Press: Boca Raton, 1991; pp 797–834.
- 26. Cross GL, Katz JJ, Pennington FC, Thomas MR and Strain HH. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1964; 85: 3809–3821.
- 27. Pennington FC, Strain HH, Svec WA and Katz JJ. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1964; **86**: 1418–1426.
- 28. Smith KM, Goff DA and Simpson DJ. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1985; **107**: 4946–4954.
- 29. Morishita H and Tamiaki H. Spectrochim. Acta, Part A 2009; 72: 274–279.

- 30. Katterle M, Holzwarth AR and Jesorka A. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2006; 414–422.
- 31. Mizoguchi T, Shoji A, Kunieda M, Miyashita H, Tsuchiya T, Mimuro M and Tamiaki H. *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.* 2006; **5**: 291–299.
- 32. Belykh DV, Buravlev EV, Mal'shakova MV, Parshukova NN, Kopylov EA, Gruzdev IV and Kuchin AV. *Chem. Nat. Compd.* 2011; **47**: 85–90.
- 33. Fischer R, Engel N, Henseler A and Gossauer A. *Helv. Chim. Acta* 1994; **77**: 1046–1050.
- Tamiaki H, Fukai K, Shimazu H, Nishide K, Shibata Y, Itoh S and Kunieda M. *Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.* 2008; 7: 1231–1237.