

Available online at www.sciencedirect.com

Mendeleev Commun., 2014, 24, 342–344

Mendeleev Communications

Synthesis of 1,1,1-trifluorobut-3-yn-2-ones and their reactions with N-nucleophiles

Vasiliy M. Muzalevskiy,^a Anton A. Iskandarov^a and Valentine G. Nenajdenko^{*a,b}

^a Department of Chemistry, M. V. Lomonosov Moscow State University, 119991 Moscow, Russian Federation. Fax: +7 495 932 8846; e-mail: nen@acylium.chem.msu.ru

^b A. N. Nesmeyanov Institute of Organoelement Compounds, Russian Academy of Sciences, 119991 Moscow,

Russian Federation. Fax: +7 499 135 5085; e-mail: nenajdenko@gmail.com

DOI: 10.1016/j.mencom.2014.11.009

Bromination of 4-aryl-1,1,1-trifluorobut-3-yn-2-ones gives 4-aryl-3,4-dibromo-1,1,1-trifluorobut-3-en-2-ones whose reactivity towards N-nucleophiles (hydrazine and ethylenediamine) was investigated.

The importance of fluorinated compounds for medicinal chemistry can be hardly overestimated.¹ Among them, trifluoromethylated pyrazoles (*e.g.*, Celecoxib) are important type of pharmacologically relevant compounds.^{2–5}

 α,β -Unsaturated trifluoromethyl ketones are the versatile building blocks for the synthesis of various fluorinated molecules, especially heterocyclic ones.⁶ Heterocyclization of trifluoromethyl-containing building blocks (for example, enones or 1,3-diketones) with hydrazines⁷ is a common access to trifluoromethylated pyrazoles. Here, we report the synthesis of novel fluorinated building blocks, α,β -dibromo-CF₃-enones, and their reactions with hydrazine and ethylenediamine.

The presence of three electrophilic centers (trifluoroacetyl group, activated double bond and carbon adjacent with halogens) in the structure of α , β -dibromo-CF₃-enones led us to expect high activity of these compounds towards nucleophiles to open wide possibilities of incorporating a trifluoromethyl group into target compounds with the desired position of CF₃ group. Heterocycles of various sizes can be prepared using these building blocks depending on the nature of binucleophiles (1,2-, 1,3- and 1,4-binucleophiles).

CF₃-ynones **1** were prepared from lithiated terminal alkynes and ethyl trifluoroacetate.⁸ Their bromination in CH₂Cl₂ afforded α,β -dibromo-CF₃-enones **2** in almost quantitative yields (Scheme 1).[†] The reaction was stereoselective and gave mixtures of *E*,*Z*-isomers in which content of a major isomer reached 80% (the ratio of the isomers was determined by ¹H NMR for **1b–e** or ¹⁹F NMR



Scheme 1

for **1a**). The configuration of isomers was not assigned. Compounds **2** with both electron-donating and electron-withdrawing substituents in the phenyl ring were thus prepared.

3,4-Dibromo-4-(4-chlorophenyl)-1,1,1-trifluorobut-3-en-2-one **2b**. Yield 1.905 g (97%). Major isomer: ¹H NMR, δ : 7.27 (d, 2H, Ar, *J* 8.6 Hz), 7.36 (d, 2H, Ar, *J* 8.6 Hz). ¹³C NMR, δ : 114.4, 114.9 (q, CF₃, *J* 291.9 Hz), 129.2, 130.0, 135.6, 136.7, 137.2, 179.5 (q, C=O, *J* 38.3 Hz). ¹⁹F NMR, δ : -73.9. Minor isomer: ¹H NMR, δ : 7.44 (d, 2H, Ar, *J* 8.7 Hz), 7.48 (d, 2H, Ar, *J* 8.7 Hz). ¹³C NMR, δ : 105.7, 114.9 (q, CF₃, *J* 291.9 Hz), 123.3, 129.0, 130.3, 134.8, 179.4 (q, C=O, *J* 39.1 Hz). ¹⁹F NMR, δ : -73.8.

3,4-Dibromo-1,1,1-trifluoro-4-(4-methoxyphenyl)but-3-en-2-one **2c**. Yield 1.840 g (95%). Major isomer: ¹H NMR, δ : 3.82 (s, 3 H, MeO), 6.88 (d, 2 H, Ar, J 8.8 Hz), 7.29 (d, 2 H, Ar, J 8.8 Hz). ¹³C NMR, δ : 55.3, 112.4, 114.8 (q, CF₃, J 292.3 Hz), 114.2, 129.6, 130.7, 138.6, 161.7, 180.4 (q, C=O, J 38.4 Hz). ¹⁹F NMR, δ : -73.8. Minor isomer: ¹H NMR, δ : 3.86 (s, 3 H, MeO), 6.96 (d, 2 H, Ar, J 8.9 Hz), 7.53 (d, 2 H, Ar, J 8.9 Hz). ¹³C NMR, δ : 103.5, 113.8, 114.8 (q, CF₃, J 291.9 Hz), 124.9, 128.2, 130.8, 161.2, 179.8 (q, C=O, J 38.4 Hz). ¹⁹F NMR, δ : -73.6.

3,4-Dibromo-4-(4-tert-butylphenyl)-1,1,1-trifluorobut-3-en-2-one 2d. Yield 1.908 g (92%). Major isomer: ¹H NMR, δ : 1.33 (s, 9 H, Bu^t), 7.28 (d, 2 H, Ar, *J* 8.5 Hz), 7.40 (d, 2 H, Ar, *J* 8.5 Hz). ¹³C NMR, δ : 31.0, 34.9, 113.0, 114.8 (q, CF₃, *J* 292.3 Hz), 125.8, 128.7, 134.3, 138.5, 154.7, 180.2 (q, C=O, *J* 38.3 Hz). ¹⁹F NMR, δ : -73.9. Minor isomer: ¹H NMR, δ : 1.37 (s, 9H, Bu^t), 7.47 (d, 2H, Ar, *J* 8.9 Hz), 7.51 (d, 2H, Ar, *J* 8.9 Hz). ¹³C NMR, δ : 31.1, 35.0, 104.2, 114.8 (q, CF₃, *J* 291.1 Hz), 124.9, 125.5, 128.8, 133.3, 154.1, 179.8 (q, C=O, *J* 38.7 Hz). ¹⁹F NMR, δ : -73.7.

3,4-Dibromo-1,1,1-trifluoro-4-(p-tolyl)but-3-en-2-one **2e**. Yield 1.736 g (93%). Major isomer: ¹H NMR, δ : 2.38 (s, 3 H, Me), 7.19 (d, 2 H, Ar, J 8.2 Hz), 7.25 (d, 2 H, Ar, J 8.2 Hz). ¹³C NMR, δ : 21.3, 113.0, 114.6 (q, CF₃, J 292.3 Hz), 128.8, 129.5, 134.5, 138.5, 141.6, 180.2 (q, C=O, J 38.7 Hz). ¹⁹F NMR, δ : -73.9. Minor isomer: ¹H NMR, δ : 2.43 (s, 3 H, Me), 7.27 (d, 2 H, Ar, J 8.2 Hz), 7.46 (d, 2 H, Ar, J 8.2 Hz). ¹³C NMR, δ : 21.4, 104.3, 125.0, 128.8, 129.2, 133.5, 141.0. Other signals are identical to those of major isomer. ¹⁹F NMR, δ : -73.7.

 $^{^{\}dagger}$ ^{1}H (400.1 MHz), ^{13}C (100.6 MHz) and ^{19}F (376.3 MHz) NMR spectra in CDCl₃ were recorded on a Bruker AVANCE 400 MHz spectrometer. IR spectra were recorded on ThermoNicolet IR 200. The GC/MS analyses were performed with a Shimadzu GCMS-QP5050A instrument (EI, 70 eV). ESI-MS spectra were measured with a MicroTOF Bruker Daltonics instrument.

 $[\]alpha$, β -Dibromo-CF₃-enones **2** (general procedure). 1 M solution of Br₂ in CH₂Cl₂ (5.1 ml) was added dropwise to a solution of the corresponding CF₃-ynone **1** (0.005 mol) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 ml) on cooling with water bath. After stirring for 0.5 h, the volatiles were removed *in vacuo* and the residue was purified by column chromatography (silica gel, 230–400 Mesh, hexane-CH₂Cl₂, 3:1). All of products **2a–e** were obtained as yellowish viscous oils and as mixtures of isomers which were not resolved. Isomer ratios are specified in Scheme 1.

^{3,4-}Dibromo-1,1,1-trifluoro-4-phenylbut-3-en-2-one **2a**. Yield 1.792 g (92%). For the mixture of isomers: IR (neat, ν/cm^{-1}): 1589 (C=C), 1745 (C=O). ¹H NMR, δ : 7.34–7.56 (m, 5H, Ph). ¹³C NMR, δ : 105.0, 113.7, 114.8 (q, CF₃, J 291.9 Hz), 124.6, 128.6, 128.8, 130.5, 130.9, 136.5, 137.2, 138.0, 179.9 (q, C=O, J 38.7 Hz). ¹⁹F NMR, δ : -74.0 (major), -73.8 (minor).



Table 1 Reaction of 2a with hydrazine hydrate in different solvents.

Solvent	Yield of $3a + 4a$ (%)	Yield of 3a (%)	3a:4a ratio
THF	36	27	76:24
BuOH	68	58	86:14
EtOH	95	77	81:19
EtOH ^a	75	64	86:14
$EtOH^b$	50	40	82:18
Toluene	97	85	87:13
MeOH	72	56	77:23
Pr ⁱ OH	25	22	87:13
AcOH	20	16	80:20
CF ₃ CH ₂ OH	35	31	89:11
NEt ₃	15	6	41:59
CHCl ₃	61	46	75:25

 a 1 equiv. of $N_2H_4{\cdot}H_2O$ and 1 equiv. of AcONa. b 1 equiv. of $N_2H_4{\cdot}H_2O$ and 1 equiv. of NEt3.

We assumed that treatment of α,β -dibromo-CF₃-enones with hydrazine hydrate should afford the corresponding 3-bromo-2-trifluoromethylpyrazoles. Using compound **2a** as a model, we found that the highest yields (up to 85%, ¹⁹F NMR monitoring) of the target bromopyrazole **3a** were achieved in boiling ethanol or toluene (Scheme 2, Table 1).[‡] Surprisingly, in all cases a formation of pyrazole **4a** containing no bromine atom (up to 25%) also occurred. Structures of both products were confirmed by GC-MS (molecular ions with *m*/*z* 212 for H-pyrazole **4a** and doublet with *m*/*z* 290, 292 for bromopyrazole **3a**). Additionally ¹H, ¹³C and ¹⁹F NMR spectra of **3a** and **4a** are in total agreement with published ones. The most characteristic are signals of C³ carbons in the pyrazole ring (C–Br of **3a**, 90.2 ppm and C–H of **4a**, 101.2 ppm).

We suppose that pyrazole 4a is formed through the halophilic mechanism including an attack of a nucleophile to a bromine atom of reactant 2a. Steric hindrance caused by bromine atoms in compound 2a at the double bond creates difficulties for nucleophile attack at the double bond (Michael addition) as well as at the carbonyl group. To confirm this assumption, we performed DFT (B3LYP) quantum-chemical calculations and estimated charges on atoms in molecules of 2a, which showed that the Z isomer was more stable by 0.23 kcal mol⁻¹ but the



Figure 1 (*a*) The optimized geometry of enone **2a** and (*b*) the diagram of the electrostatic potential of the molecule.

difference was very small. The analysis of atomic charges (Mulliken and Levden) showed that both bromine atoms have a localized positive charge (Figure 1). Consequently, the calculations confirmed our assumption about the possibility of halophilic reaction for dibromoenones **2**.

Halophilic attack of hydrazine hydrate, which is also strong reducing agent, on bromine atom in **2a** gives intermediate anions **5** or **6**, which are transformed into acetylenic ketone **1a** by elimination of bromide. The subsequent reaction of **1a** with hydrazine hydrate affords pyrazole **4a**. This is confirmed by the presence of traces of acetylene **1a** in the reaction mixture (m/z 198, GC-MS, the signal at –77.8 ppm in ¹⁹F NMR spectrum). Moreover, heterocyclization of similar ynones with hydrazine hydrate to pyrazoles is known.⁹



Compound **2a** also reacted unusually with ethylenediamine[§] to form E- α , β -dibromostyrene **7** and 2-trifluoromethylimidazoline **8** as a result of C–C bond cleavage. The possible mechanism includes an attack of ethylenediamine to the carbonyl group to produce trifluoromethylimine **9**, which cyclizes into trifluoro-



[§] *Reaction of compound* **2a** *with ethylenediamine*. A mixture of compound **2a** (1.0 mmol) and ethylenediamine (1.0 ml) was kept at room temperature for 12 h. The volatiles were evaporated *in vacuo*, the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluent, hexane–CH₂Cl₂, 1:1) to afford 0.094 g (36%) of *E*-α,β-dibromostyrene **7** as a colorless oil. ¹H NMR, δ : 6.81 (s, 1H, =CH), 7.36–7.54 (m, Ar) (*cf.* ref. 13).

[‡] Reaction of compound **2a** with hydrazine hydrate. A mixture of compound **2a** (1.0 mmol) and hydrazine hydrate (2.0 mmol) in appropriate solvent (2 ml, see Table 1) was stirred at reflux for 10–12 h. The volatiles were evaporated *in vacuo*, the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (eluent, hexane–CH₂Cl₂, 1:2). The pyrazoles **3a** and **4a** were not separated. NMR data of **3a**¹¹ and **4a**¹² are consistent with those in the literature.

⁴⁻Bromo-5-(trifluoromethyl)-3-phenyl-IH-pyrazole **3a**. ¹H NMR, δ: 7.48–7.83 (m, 5 H, Ar), 14.39 (br. s, 1H, NH). ¹³C NMR, δ: 88.8, 122.1 (q, CF₃, *J* 291.9 Hz), 126.8, 127.8, 129.0, 129.7, 139.9 (q, *C*CF₃, *J* 36.1 Hz), 142.5. ¹⁹F NMR, δ: –61.9.

⁵⁻⁽*Trifluoromethyl*)-3-phenyl-1H-pyrazole **4a**: ¹H NMR, δ: 7.48–7.83 (m, 5 H, Ar), 14.09 (br. s, 1H, NH). ¹³C NMR, δ: 101.0, 117.0, 118.4 (q, CF₃, *J* 291.9 Hz), 125.6, 127.8, 129.1, 129.3, 135.8 (q, CCF₃, *J* 36.1 Hz), 144.0. ¹⁹F NMR, δ: –61.3.

methylimidazolidine **10**, followed by cleavage of the C–C bond to furnish compounds **7** and **8**. Similar examples of cleavage of C–C bonds were described for unsaturated compounds bearing electron-withdrawing substituents in reactions with ethylenediamine.¹⁰ However, a significant difference with the published data should be noted. In the case of compound **2a** the cleavage of single C–C bond is observed, whereas previously formal cleavage of multiple C–C bonds proceeded.

In conclusion, synthesis of previously unknown α , β -dibromo-CF₃-enones by bromination of CF₃-ynones was elaborated. Their reactivity towards N-nucleophiles was examined, which opens prospects to new useful fluorinated compounds.

This work was supported by the Russian Science Foundation (grant no. 14-13-00083).

References

- 1 (a) P. Kirsch, Modern Fluoroorganic Chemistry: Synthesis, Reactivity, Applications, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2004; (b) K. Uneyama, Organofluorine Chemistry, Blackwell Publishing, Oxford, 2006; (c) J. P. Bégué and D. Bonnet-Delpon, Bioorganic and Medicinal Chemistry of Fluorine, John Wiley & Sons, Hoboken, 2008; (d) Fluorine and Health. Molecular Imaging, Biomedical Materials and Pharmaceuticals, eds. A. Tressaud and G. Haufe, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2008, pp. 553-778; (e) V. M. Muzalevskiy, V. G. Nenajdenko, A. V. Shastin, E. S. Balenkova and G. Haufe, Synthesis, 2009, 3905; (f) Fluorinated Heterocyclic Compounds: Synthesis, Chemistry, and Applications, ed. V. A. Petrov, Wiley, Hoboken, 2009; (g) O. V. Serdyuk, V. T. Abaev, A. V. Butin and V. G. Nenajdenko, Synthesis, 2011, 2505; (h) O. V. Serdyuk, V. M. Muzalevskiy and V. G. Nenajdenko, Synthesis, 2012, 2115; (i) T. Liang, C. N. Neumann and T. Ritter, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 8214; (j) J. Wang, M. Sanchez-Rosello, J. L. Acena, C. del Pozo, A. E. Sorochinsky, S. Fustero, V. A. Soloshonok and H. Liu, Chem. Rev., 2014, 114, 2432; (k) I. Ojima, J. Org. Chem., 2013, 78, 6358; (1) Fluorine in Heterocyclic Chemistry, ed. V. G. Nenajdenko, Springer, 2014.
- 2 T. D. Penning, J. J. Talley, S. R. Bertenshaw, J. S. Carter, P. W. Collins, S. Docter, M. J. Graneto, L. F. Lee, J. W. Malecha, J. M. Miyashiro, R. S. Rogers, D. J. Rogier, S. S. Yu, G. D. Anderson, E. G. Burton, J. N. Cogburn, S. A. Gregory, C. V. Koboldt, W. E. Perkins, K. Seibert, A. W. Veenhuizen, Y. Y. Zhang and P. C. Isakson, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1997, **40**, 1347.
- 3 (a) A. G. Habeeb, P. N. P. Rao and E. E. Knaus, J. Med. Chem., 2001, 44, 3039; (b) S. K. Singh, S. Vobbalareddy, S. Shivaramakrishna, A. Krishnamraju, S. A. Rajjak, S. R. Casturi, V. Akhila and Y. K. Rao, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2004, 14, 1683.

- 4 (a) S. K. Singh, P. G. Reddy, K. S. Rao, B. B. Lohray, P. Misra, S. A. Rajjak, Y. K. Rao and A. Venkateswarlu, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2004, 14, 499; (b) S. K. Singh, S. Vobbalareddy, S. R. Kalleda, S. A. Rajjak, S. R. Casturi, S. R. Datla, R. N. V. S. Mamidi, R. Mullangi, R. Bhamidipati, R. Ramanujan, V. Akella and K. R. Yelewarapu, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2004, 2, 2442.
- 5 M. A. Chowdhury, K. R. A. Abdellatif, Y. Dong, D. Das, M. R. Suresh and E. E. Knaus, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2009, **52**, 1525.
- 6 (a) V. G. Nenaidenko and E. S. Balenkova, *Zh. Org. Khim.*, 1992, 28, 600 (in Russian); (b) V. G. Nenajdenko, I. F. Leshcheva and E. S. Balenkova, *Tetrahedron*, 1994, 50, 775; (c) V. G. Nenajdenko, A. L. Krasovsky, M. V. Lebedev and E. S. Balenkova, *Synlett*, 1997, 1349; (d) A. L. Krasovsky, V. G. Nenajdenko and E. S. Balenkova, *Tetrahedron*, 2001, 57, 201; (e) V. G. Nenajdenko, A. L. Krasovsky and E. S. Balenkova, *Tetrahedron*, 2001, 57, 201; (e) V. G. Nenajdenko, A. L. Krasovsky and E. S. Balenkova, *Tetrahedron*, 2007, 63, 12481; (f) A. Yu. Rulev, V. M. Muzalevskiy, E. V. Kondrashov, I. A. Ushakov, A. R. Romanov, V. N. Khrustalev and V. G. Nenajdenko, *Org. Lett.*, 2013, 15, 2726; (g) V. G. Nenajdenko, A. V. Sanin and E. S. Balenkova, *Molecules*, 1997, 2, 186; (h) V. G. Nenajdenko, A. V. Sanin and E. S. Balenkova, *Russ. Chem. Rev.*, 1999, 68, 437 (*Usp. Khim.*, 1999, 68, 483); (i) S. V. Druzhinin, E. S. Balenkova and V. G. Nenajdenko, *ARKIVOC*, 2011, 246.
- 7 (a) S. Fustero, M. Sánchez-Roselló, P. Barrio and A. Simón, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **111**, 6084; (b) S. Fustero, A. Simón-Fuentes and J. F. Sanz-Cervera, *Org. Prep. Proced. Int.*, 2009, **41**, 253.
- 8 S. Sasaki, Y. Ikekame, M. Tanayama, T. Yamauchi and K. Higashiyama, *Synlett*, 2012, 2699.
- 9 (a) R. J. Linderman and S. Kirollos, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1989, 30, 2049;
 (b) B. G. Jones, S. K. Branch, A. S. Thompson and M. D. Threadgill, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans.* 1, 1996, 2685.
- (a) V. G. Nenajdenko, V. M. Muzalevskiy, A. V. Shastin, E. S. Balenkova, E. V. Kondrashov, I. A. Ushakov and A. Yu. Rulev, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2010, **75**, 5679; (b) S. Roy, M. P. Davydova, R. Pal, K. Gilmore, G. A. Tolstikov, S. F. Vasilevsky and I. V Alabugin, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2011, **76**, 7482.
- 11 S. L. Jeon, J. H. Choi, B. T. Kim and I. H. Jeong, J. Fluorine Chem., 2007, 128, 1191.
- 12 M. A. P. Martins, P. H. Beck, D. N. Moreira, L. Buriol, C. P. Frizzo, N. Zanatta and H. G. Bonacorso, J. Heterocycl. Chem., 2010, 47, 301.
- 13 K. Schuh and F. Glorius, Synthesis, 2007, 2297.

Received: 15th September 2014; Com. 14/4465