Stereoselective Simmons–Smith Cyclopropanation of Chiral Enamides**

Zhenlei Song, Ting Lu, Richard P. Hsung,* Ziyad F. Al-Rashid, Changhong Ko, and Yu Tang

Enamides, unlike enamines, have remained relatively obscure in synthesis.^[1,2] The limited use of enamides could be due in part to synthetic inaccessibility; however, recent developments in copper- and palladium-catalyzed N alkenylation^[3,4] should provoke a strong interest in the development of synthetic methods based on the use of enamides as versatile building blocks. Among notable studies,^[5] there have been reports of both normal-electron-demand Diels-Alder cycloadditions^[6] and inverse-electron-demand hetero-Diels-Alder cycloadditions^[7] of enamides. We reported the epoxidation of chiral enamides in the synthesis of chiral α -hydroxyhemiaminals $(1 \rightarrow 2 \text{ in Scheme 1})$.^[8,9] The high level of diastereoselectivity in this epoxidation was surprising and led us to speculate that enamides 1 could favor the conformation shown in A,^[8,9] which provides an excellent π -facial bias for the observed stereochemical outcome.

This conformation analysis prompted us to examine the possibility of using chiral enamides **1** as unique templates for



Scheme 1. A π -facially differentiated chiral enamide template. Bz = benzoyl.

[*] Dr. Z. Song, T. Lu, Prof. Dr. R. P. Hsung, Dr. Z. F. Al-Rashid, C. Ko, Dr. Y. Tang
Division of Pharmaceutical Sciences and
Department of Chemistry
University of Wisconsin at Madison
7111 Rennebohm Hall, 777 Highland Avenue
Madison, WI 53705 (USA)
Fax: (+1) 680-262-5345
E-mail: rhsung@wisc.edu
Homepage: http://www.pharmacy.wisc.edu/facstaff/sciences/ hsungGroup/

- [**] We thank NIH-NIGMS (GM066055) and UW-Madison for financial support. We also thank Ben Kucera and Vic Young for solving the X-ray crystal structures.
 - Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://www.angewandte.org or from the author.

the development of stereoselective methods. Specifically, given the significance of cyclopropanation reactions^[10] and the lack of systematic studies undertaken in this area with chiral enamides,^[1,11] we explored a possible stereoselective Simmons–Smith cyclopropanation^[12] of chiral enamides on the basis of a related conformation analysis (see **B**, Scheme 1). We report herein a highly stereoselective Simmons–Smith cyclopropanation of chiral enamides for the synthesis of chiral aminocyclopropanes. The feasibility of this approach was quickly established

Table 1). The use of the chiral enamide **5** led to the amidocyclopropane $7^{[13]}$ in excellent yield (80%) with a diastereometic ratio of 95:5 (see later discussion on the assignment of configuration) after optimization of the reac-

 Table 1:
 Feasibility and optimization of the Simmons-Smith cyclopropanation.

0 N Ph 5		ZnEt ₂ (5.0 equiv) solvent an MS (4Å) ^[e]	, 6a/b (10.0 ec d additive , RT, 48 h	uiv) O H N H Ph 7	$ \begin{array}{c} v \\ \\ v \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ v \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ \\ $		
Entry	6	Solvent	$Additive^{[d]}$	Yield [%] ^[a,c]	d.r. ^[b,c]		
1	6a: ICH₂I	CH ₂ Cl ₂	none	40	95:5		
2	6a	CH_2Cl_2	DME	10	95:5		
3	6a	CH_2Cl_2	$ZnCl_2$	n.d.	n.d.		
4	6a	CH_2Cl_2	SnBr₄	n.d.	n.d.		
5	6b: ICH ₂ Cl	(CH ₂ Cl) ₂	none	80	95:5		

[a] Yield of the isolated product. [b] The diastereomeric ratio was determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. [c] n.d.: not determined. [d] DME = 1,2-dimethoxyethane. [e] MS = molecular sieves.

tion conditions. Key features of the reaction are the use of the more reactive dihaloalkane ICH_2Cl (Table 1, entry 5) as the methylidene source (although ICH_2I , which is cheaper, can also be used; Table 1, entry 1) and the presence of molecular sieves. The scope of this cycloaddition is summarized in Table 2.

In general, the nature of the chiral amide, which serves as an auxiliary, does not appear to be critical for high stereoselectivity (Table 2, entries 1–3). However, the Close auxiliary^[14] is less effective in terms of selectivity (Table 2, entries 4 and 5), although reactions with this chiral amide were faster. This result is in accord with the electrophilic nature of Simmons–Smith cyclopropanation and the fact that enamides substituted with the Close auxiliary are more electron rich^[15] than those substituted with Evans-type auxiliaries.^[16] Furthermore, chiral enamides with 1,1-disubstituted (Table 2,



Communications

Entry	Chiral en	amide	Cyclopropane product			Yield [%] ^[a]	d.r. ^[b]
1 2	O OTBS	8: R = Ph 9: R = Bn	O H OTBS	16 : R = Ph 17 : R = Bn	48 72	50 ^[c] 60 ^[c]	94:6 >95:5
3	O N Ph Ph	10	Ph Ph Ph	18	48	61	> 95 : 5
4 5	O N N Ph	11: Ar = PMB 12: Ar = Ph	-N H Ar Ph	19 : Ar = PMB 20 : Ar = Ph	1 5	92 70	72:28 80:20
6	O N Ph	13	N Ph	21	1	72	> 95 : 5
7	O Et N Ph	14	O N Ph	22	72 ^[d]	40	88:12
8	O N Ph	15		23	24 ^[e]	80	> 95:5
9 10		24 : R = <i>n</i> -C ₆ H ₁₃ 25 : R = Ph		27 : $R = n - C_6 H_{13}$ 28 : $R = Ph$	48 48	57 64	> 95:5 > 95:5
11 12		5 : R = <i>n</i> -C ₅ H ₁₁ 26 : R = Ph		7: R= <i>n</i> -C₅H ₁₁ 29: R=Ph	48 48 ^[g]	80 50	95:5 ^[f] 83:17

Table 2: Stereoselective cyclopropanation of chiral enamides.^[h]

[a] Yield of the isolated product. [b] The diastereomeric ratio was determined by ${}^{1}H/{}^{13}C$ NMR spectroscopy. [c] Desilylation was observed, and the yield was reduced as a result. [d] The reaction was carried out at 50 °C. [e] The reaction was carried out at room temperature for 12 h, and then at 50 °C for 12 h. [f] See Table 1. [g] The reaction was carried out at room temperature for 12 h, and then at 50–80 °C for 36 h. [h] Bn = benzyl, PMB = *p*-methoxybenzyl, TBS = *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl.

entry 6) and with trisubstituted double bonds(entries 7 and 8) were suitable substrates for the cyclopropanation.

The Z enamides 24 and 25 were also suitable substrates for the Simmons–Smith cyclopropanation (Table 2, entries 9 and 10). The stereoselectivity of the cyclopropanation appeared to be higher with Z enamides than with E enamides, especially when a phenyl substituent was present on the alkene (Table 2, entries 9 and 10 versus 11 and 12). We determined the configuration of the products on the basis of the X-ray crystal structures of 19 and 28 (Figure 1) and were intrigued to find that both E and Z enamides appear to favor cyclopropanation at the same π face.

To rationalize these observations, we carried out PM3 calculations with Spartan Model and found that *E* enamides (R = alkyl or aryl) indeed assume the minimized conformation E_I (drawn with ChemDraw for clarity),^[13] as we had speculated earlier during our epoxidation studies (Scheme 2).^[8,9] The other locally minimized conformation found was E_2 . Conformation E_I is favored over E_2 by 1.24 kcal mol⁻¹, although in both conformations the alkene is almost coplanar with the oxazolidinone ring, which allows delocalization of the nitrogen lone pair into the alkene. E_I is probably favored as a result of the dipole–dipole interaction



Figure 1. X-ray crystal structures of cyclopropanes 19 and 28.

present in E_2 ^[17] Consequently, conformation E_1 provides the necessary π -facial differentiation for the observed stereochemical outcome; E_2 is a possible source of the other diastereomer.

On the other hand, for Z enamides, the conformation shifts distinctly from the coplanar motif in Z_1 to favor Z_2 ($\Delta E \approx 1.0-1.3 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$), in which the oxazolidinone ring has been rotated about the C–N bond to alleviate allylic strain: The direction of rotation is such that the phenyl substituent is moved away from the R group on the alkene. Despite the conformational change relative to the *E* enamide, the bottom



Scheme 2. Analysis of π -facial bias in chiral *E* and *Z* enamides.

face in Z_2 remains accessible sterically. Thus, the same π -facial selectivity is observed in the cyclopropanation as for the *E* enamide. Furthermore, when R = Ph (see Z_3), the dihedral angle between the alkene and the oxazolidinone ring is 47.4°, and the phenyl ring attached to the β carbon atom is also almost orthogonal to the alkene. These groups could shield the top face further (relative to that of E_3) in a synergistic manner, which could thus lead to higher diastereoselectivity.

Finally, not least because of the biological significance of chiral aminocyclopropanes, especially in the design of antiviral agents,^[18] there remains a genuine need to develop efficient approaches to their synthesis.^[19,20] We therefore pursued this unique opportunity to establish a useful synthetic application of the Simmons–Smith cyclopropanation of chiral amides.

Toward this goal, the chiral Z enamide **32** was prepared by a two-step protocol that we reported recently for the synthesis of Z enamides in a stereoselective manner:^[21] Cu-catalyzed amidation^[22] of the alkynyl bromide **31**, followed by Lindlar hydrogenation of the ynamide^[23] intermediate (Scheme 3). The Simmons–Smith cyclopropanation of **32** (1.66 mmol) proceeded in high yield in the presence of either ICH₂Cl or ICH₂I, although the diastereomeric ratio of the product was lower with the former. Reduction of the major isomer gave



Scheme 3. An approach to chiral aminocyclopropanes.

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 4069-4072

the chiral aminocyclopropane **34**, which was used in the synthesis of the de novo cyclopropyl nucleoside (-)-**35**.^[18a]

We have described herein a highly stereoselective Simmons–Smith cyclopropanation of chiral enamides and the application of this methodology to the preparation of a chiral aminocyclopropane. These studies not only illustrate the potential of enamides as viable chiral templates in organic synthesis, but also provide a solution to the design of efficient syntheses of chiral aminocyclopropanes.

Experimental Section

Typical procedure (Table 2, entry 10): Molecular sieves (4 Å, 50.0 mg) and ICH2Cl (140.0 µL, 1.89 mmol, 10.0 equiv) were added to a solution of the chiral enamide 25 (50.0 mg, 0.189 mmol) in anhydrous ClCH₂CH₂Cl (1.9 mL), and the resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 30 min. A solution of ZnEt₂ (0.94 mL, 1.0 m in hexane, 0.94 mmol, 4.9 equiv) was then added carefully dropwise. After further stirring at room temperature for 48 h, TLC analysis showed that 25 had been consumed entirely. The reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous NaHCO3 (5 mL) and then extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (2×10 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with saturated aqueous NaCl (2×5 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford the crude product. Purification of the crude residue by flash column chromatography on silica gel (eluent gradient: 15-33% EtOAc/hexanes) afforded the major isomer 28 (30.0 mg, 64%) as a white solid. $R_{\rm f} =$ 0.14 (20 % EtOAc/hexanes); m.p. 113–113.5 °C; $[\alpha]_{D}^{23} = -87.5$ (c = 0.8, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 1.43$ (ddd, J = 7.5, 7.5, 9.0 Hz, 1 H), 1.92 (ddd, J = 4.5, 7.5, 7.5 Hz, 1 H), 2.11 (ddd, J = 7.5, 7.5, 9.0 Hz, 1H), 2.44 (ddd, J=4.5, 7.5, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 3.61 (dd, J=4.5, 9.0 Hz, 1 H), 3.95 (dd, J=4.5, 9.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.05 (dd, J=9.0, 9.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.10–7.40 ppm (m, 10 H); 13 C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 11.7$, 22.7, 31.5, 60.0, 69.6, 126.9, 127.2, 127.8, 128.6, 129.2, 129.5, 136.9, 138.5, 158.8 ppm; IR (film): $\tilde{\nu} = 1740$ (s), 1407 (m), 1126 (s), 1051 (m), 1031 (m), 1011 cm⁻¹ (m); MS (ESI): m/z (%): 302 (100) $[M+Na]^+$, 280 (40) $[M+H]^+$; HRMS (ESI): m/z calcd for C₁₈H₁₇NO₂Na: 302.1157; found: 302.1163.

Received: February 14, 2007 Published online: April 25, 2007

Keywords: aminocyclopropanes · chiral enamides · conformation analysis · cyclopropanation · diastereoselectivity

- For reviews, see: a) *The Chemistry of Enamines* (Ed.: Z. Rappoport), Wiley, New York, **1994**; b) J. K. Whitesell, M. A. Whitesell, *Synthesis* **1983**, 517–536; c) P. W. Hickmott, *Tetrahedron* **1982**, *38*, 1975–2050; d) P. W. Hickmott, *Tetrahedron* **1982**, *38*, 3363–3446.
- [2] M. R. Tracey, R. P. Hsung, J. Antoline, K. C. M. Kurtz, L. Shen, B. W. Slafer, Y. Zhang in *Science of Synthesis: Houben-Weyl Methods of Molecular Transformations* (Ed: S. M. Weinreb), Thieme, Stuttgart, Germany, **2005**, chap. 21.4.
- [3] For reviews on metal-mediated C-N and C-O bond formation, see: a) J. R. Dehli, J. Legros, C. Bolm, Chem. Commun. 2005, 973-986; b) S. V. Ley, A. W. Thomas, Angew. Chem. 2003, 115, 5558-5607; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2003, 42, 5400-5449; c) J. F. Hartwig, Angew. Chem. 1098, 110, 2154-2177; Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 2046-2067; d) J. P. Wolfe, S. Wagaw, J.-F. Macoux, S. L. Buchwald, Acc. Chem. Res. 1998, 31, 805-818.
- [4] For recent elegant approaches to the synthesis of enamides, see:
 a) C. Han, R. Shen, S. Su, J. A. Porco, Jr., Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 27–30;
 b) X. Pan, Q. Cai, D. Ma, Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 1809–1812;

© 2007 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

Communications

c) J. L. Brice, J. E. Meerdink, S. S. Stahl, *Org. Lett.* **2004**, *6*, 1845–1848; d) R. Shen, C. T. Lin, E. J. Bowman, B. J. Bowman, J. A. Porco, Jr., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 7889–7901; e) L. Jiang, G. E. Job, A. Klapars, S. L. Buchwald, *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5*, 3667–3669; f) R. Shen, C. T. Lin, J. A. Porco, Jr., *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 5650–5651; g) R. Shen, J. Porco, Jr., *Org. Lett.* **2000**, *2*, 1333–1336.

- [5] For some applications involving enamides, see: a) A. Padwa, M. D. Danca, Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 715–717; b) G. Abbiati, F. Clerici, M. L. Gelmi, A. Gambini, T. Pilati, J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 6299–6304; c) P. Lander, L. S. Hegedus, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 8126–8132.
- [6] a) T. J. Greshock, R. L. Funk, Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 3511-3514;
 b) J. R. Fuchs, R. L. Funk, Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 3349-3351; c) Y. He, R. L. Funk, Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 3689-3692; for an example of the Diels-Adler cycloaddition of a chiral 1-amidodiene, see:
 d) R. Robiette, K. Cheboub-Benchaba, D. Peeters, J. Marchand-Brynaert, J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 9809-9812.
- [7] a) F. Gohier, K. Bouhadjera, D. Faye, C. Gaulon, V. Maisonneuve, G. Dujardin, R. Dhal, *Org. Lett.* 2007, *9*, 211–214; b) S. Tardy, A. Tatibouët, P. Rollin, G. Dujardin, *Synlett* 2006, 1425–1427, and reference therein; see also: c) A. Palasz, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2005, *3*, 3207–3212.
- [8] H. Xiong, R. P. Hsung, L. Shen, J. M. Hahn, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2002, 43, 4449-4453.
- [9] Other studies carried out independently were described thereafter; see: a) W. Adam, S. G. Bosio, B. T. Wolff, Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 819-822; for subsequent studies, see: b) J. Sivaguru, H. Saito, T. Poon, T. Omonuwa, R. Franz, S. Jockusch, C. Hooper, Y. Inoue, W. Adam, N. J. Turro, Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 2089-2092, and references therein.
- [10] For a leading review, see: H. Lebel, J.-F. Marcoux, C. Molinaro, A. B. Charette, *Chem. Rev.* 2003, 103, 977–1050.
- [11] a) D. Seebach, G. Stucky, E. Pfammatter, *Chem. Ber.* 1989, *122*, 2377–2389; b) D. Seebach, G. Stucky, *Angew. Chem.* 1988, *100*, 1398–1404; *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* 1988, *27*, 1351–1353; c) for a related account, see: T. Akiba, O. Tamura, M. Hashimoto, Y. Kobayashi, T. Katoh, K. Nakatani, M. Kamada, I. Hayakawa, S. Terashima, *Tetrahedron* 1994, *50*, 3905–3914, and references therein; d) O. Tamura, M. Hashimoto, Y.

Kobayashi, T. Katoh, K. Nakatani, M. Kamada, I. Hayakawa, T. Akiba, S. Terashima, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, *33*, 3483–3490; e) J. Voigt, M. Noltemeyer, O. Reiser, *Synlett* **1997**, 202–204.

- [12] a) H. E. Simmons, R. D. Smith, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1958, 80, 5323-5324; b) J. Nishimura, J. Furukawa, N. Kawabata, M. Kitayama, *Tetrahedron* 1971, 27, 1799-1806.
- [13] See the Supporting Information for procedures, characterization of products, and molecular modeling.
- [14] W. J. Close, J. Org. Chem. 1950, 15, 1131-1134.
- [15] C. R. Berry, R. P. Hsung, J. E. Antoline, M. E. Petersen, C. Rameshkumar, J. A. Nielson, *J. Org. Chem.* 2005, 70, 4038– 4042.
- [16] D. A. Evans, *Aldrichimica Acta* **1982**, *15*, 23–32.
- [17] For a related example, see: C. Rameshkumar, H. Xiong, M. R. Tracey, C. R. Berry, L. J. Yao, R. P. Hsung, *J. Org. Chem.* 2002, 67, 1339–1345.
- [18] a) R. Csuk, Y. von Scholz, *Tetrahedron* 1994, 50, 10431-10442;
 b) M. G. Lee, J. F. Du, M. W. Chun, C. K. Chu, *J. Org. Chem.* 1997, 62, 1991-1995.
- [19] For a leading review on aminocyclopropanes, see: a) F. Gnad, O. Reiser, *Chem. Rev.* 2003, *103*, 1603–1623; see also: b) A. Alami, M. Calmes, J. Danis, R. Jacquier, *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.* 1993, *130*, 5–24; c) K. Burgess, K.-K. Ho, D. Moye-Sherman, *Synlett* 1994, 575–583.
- [20] For some examples, see: a) G. Bégis, T. D. Sheppard, D. E. Cladingboel, W. B. Motherwell, D. A. Tocher, *Synthesis* 2005, 3186–3188; b) T. Abellán, B. Mancheño, C. Nájera, J. M. Sansano, *Tetrahedron* 2001, 57, 6627–6640, and references therein; c) M. Calmes, J. Daunis, F. Escale, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry* 1996, 7, 395–396; d) R. M. Williams, G. J. Fegley, *J. Org. Chem.* 1993, 58, 6933–6935.
- [21] X. Zhang, Y. Zhang, J. Huang, R. P. Hsung, K. C. M. Kurtz, J. Oppenheimer, M. E. Petersen, I. K. Sagamanova, M. R. Tracey, *J. Org. Chem.* **2006**, *71*, 4170–4177.
- [22] For a leading reference, see: Y. Zhang, R. P. Hsung, M. R. Tracey, K. C. M. Kurtz, E. L. Vera, Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 1151– 1154.
- [23] For a leading review on ynamides, see: J. A. Mulder, K. C. M. Kurtz, R. P. Hsung, *Synlett* 2003, 1379–1390.