## **RSC Advances**





Cite this: RSC Adv., 2015, 5, 42861

Received 3rd April 2015 Accepted 7th May 2015

DOI: 10.1039/c5ra05957g

www.rsc.org/advances

# Effect of indium-modified palladium catalysts on the hydrodechlorination of 4-chlorophenol<sup>†</sup>

Jinbo Zhao, Wanjun Li and Deren Fang\*

The influence of In addition on the activity and selectivity of supported Pd catalysts in the hydrodechlorination (HDC) of 4-chlorophenol (CP) was studied. The catalysts were characterized using temperature-programmed reduction, scanning electron microscopy, transmission electron microscopy, and hydrogen pulse chemisorption measurements. Bimetallic Pd–In/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts containing 1 wt% of Pd and with various In–Pd molar ratios were characterized by a high 4-CP conversion, which reached approximately 100% in a 2 h run. The results demonstrated that adding In to the Pd catalyst improved the dispersion of Pd particles and increased the HDC catalytic activity when the In–Pd molar ratio was less than 1/1.

### 1. Introduction

Chlorophenols (CPs) have been widely used in various products such as disinfectants, pesticides, and personal care formulations and can be detected in some aquatic environments.1 In recent years, numerous studies on the catalytic hydrodechlorination (HDC) of CPs in liquid and gas phases have been published. HDC of CPs in aqueous phase has been studied with different metallic supported catalysts. These studies showed that catalysts for the HDC of CPs are primarily supported precious metals, such as Pd,<sup>2-10</sup> Pt,<sup>11</sup> and Rh.<sup>12</sup> Among these metals, Pd is the most active and selective catalyst.13 A variety of Pd catalysts deposited on different supports such as active carbon (AC) and Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> have been synthesized.<sup>14</sup> It is reported that the catalytic properties of the Pd catalysts can be improved by adjusting the particle size of the Pd metallic phase.<sup>14</sup> The catalysts with smaller Pd particle size hold high surface to volume ratio and are resistant to deactivation from the depositing Cl through the scission of the C-Cl bonds.15 Some supported Pd-based bimetallic catalysts

showed a higher activity and superior selectivity than did pure Pd catalysts, such as Pd–Bi<sup>4</sup> and other bimetallic catalysts studies such as Pd–Fe,<sup>16</sup> and Pd–Al,<sup>17</sup> in recent literature. Therefore, search for new and full understanding of currently functioning bimetallic catalysts constitute important challenges for researches. The Pd–In bimetallic catalysts supported on Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> showed a high activity in liquid-phase denitration;<sup>18,19</sup> however, the HDC of CPs by using this catalyst was not analyzed. We prepared a series of Pd–In bimetallic catalyst supported on Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and found that it has a high activity in the HDC of CPs. In this paper, we present the results of the HDC of 4-CP on the In–Pd/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> bimetallic catalyst. The results indicated that adding In can improve the catalytic activity of the Pd/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst for the HDC of CPs, and the action principle of In is discussed.

View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

## 2. Experimental section

#### 2.1 Catalyst preparation

The Pd-In bimetallic catalysts were prepared using the sequentially incipient wetness impregnation method, and  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> with a diameter of 0.045-0.075 mm was used as a carrier. The Pd content was fixed at 1 wt%, and the In content was varied to obtain In-Pd molar ratios of 0.05/1, 0.1/1, 0.3/1, 0.5/1, 1/1, 2/1, and 3/1, and the corresponding catalysts were designated as IP-1, IP-2, IP-3, IP-4, IP-5, IP-6, and IP-7, respectively. A typical catalyst preparation method was used to impregnate the precursors of the catalysts with the designated amount of aqueous PdCl<sub>2</sub> to yield the desired Pd content and then dried at 110 °C for 2 h and calcined at 350 °C for 2 h. After cooling, the catalysts were impregnated with aqueous In(NO<sub>3</sub>)<sub>3</sub>, then dried at 110 °C for 2 h, and calcined at 350 °C for 2 h. We also prepared a pure supported In catalyst containing 3 wt% of In and a pure supported Pd catalyst containing 1 wt% of Pd by using the same method and designated them as 3% In and 1% Pd, respectively. The catalysts were reduced before HDC was performed using gaseous H<sub>2</sub> at 350 °C for 2 h.

Institute of Applied Catalysis of Yantai University, Yantai 264005, China. E-mail: fdr@ ytu.edu.cn

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c5ra05957g

#### 2.2 Catalyst characterization

Powder XRD patterns of the solid products were studied using a Shimadzu XRD-6100 X-ray diffractometer (Cu K radiation) equipped with a computer system to perform automatic operation and data processing.

XPS was performed using a Thermo Fisher Scientific ESCALAB 250 spectrometer equipped with a standard Mg K-alpha source and a high performance Al monochromatic source. XPS spectra were referenced to the C1s peak at 284.6 eV.

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were obtained using a Hitachi S-4800 FE-SEM equipped with a computer system for performing automatic operations and data processing. The dried sample was carefully transferred to a carbon tape on the SEM template, coated using a gold coater, and analyzed using SEM at 10 kV. Energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) experiments were performed using an EX-350 EDX microanalyzer equipped with a computer system for conducting automatic operations and data processing. EDX mapping was performed to visualize elemental and spatial information regarding In and Pd on the

Table 1 The dispersion and surface area of Pd of the catalysts			
Catalysts	Molar ratios of In–Pd	Pd dispersion (%)	Pd surface area $(m^2 g^{-1})$
1% Pd	_	23.7	52.9
IP-1	0.05/1	30.9	69.0
IP-2	0.1/1	41.6	92.7
IP-3	0.3/1	37.0	82.5
IP-4	0.5/1	29.8	66.5
IP-5	1.0/1	28.3	63.2
IP-6	2.0/1	21.1	47.0
IP-7	3.0/1	12.6	28.2

 $Al_2O_3$  surface. The particle morphology of Pd and In was determined using transmission electron microscopy (TEM) on a JEOL JEM2000EXII microscope.

Temperature-programmed reduction (TPR) was performed using a TP5000 multifunction absorber. The catalyst weight was 50 mg, the particle size was 60–80 mesh, a mixture of 10%  $H_2/90\%$  N<sub>2</sub> was used as the reducing gas, and the temperature was increased from 20 to 300 °C at a rate of 5 °C min<sup>-1</sup>. H<sub>2</sub> consumption was detected using a thermal conduction detector (TCD).

 $H_2$  pulse chemisorption measurements of the catalysts were performed using a TP5000 multifunction absorber and the TCD. The catalyst weight was 0.10 g. Before the  $H_2$  pulse chemisorption measurements were performed, the catalysts were activated at 623 K for 2 h by using a flow of pure  $H_2$  at atmospheric pressure. The catalysts were then degassed at 623 K for 2 h under flowing Ar and cooled to 308 K.  $H_2$ (5 vol%) was then injected into the Ar stream flowing through the sample bed. The injection loop was 0.5 ml. In the subsequent metal dispersion calculations,  $H_2$  was assumed to be chemisorbed only on the Pd ensembles. The Pd surface areas and dispersions of the catalysts are listed in Table 1.

#### 2.3 Studies on catalytic hydrodechlorination

The HDC of 4-CP (Sigma, purity > 99.6%) was performed at atmospheric pressure in a mixing glass tank reactor with electromagnetic stirring to ensure maximum contact between the catalyst and the reactant.<sup>20</sup> After reduction, 0.2 g of the catalyst was added to 200 ml of an aqueous mixture of 4-CP (1000 ppm) and NaOH (NaOH/4-CP molar ratio = 1.1/1). H<sub>2</sub> was then introduced into the reactor at a rate of 50 ml



Fig. 1 XRD patterns of the reduced catalysts with different molar ratio of In to Pd.











(11)

 $\mathrm{min}^{-1},$  thus initiating the HDC. After 7.5 min, 1 ml of the solution was extracted and analyzed using gas chromatography with a flame ionization detector and a polyethylene

glycol capillary column. We also evaluated the activity of these catalysts in phenol hydrogenation by using the same method.

(12)

## 3. Results and discussion

By using  $H_2$  pulse chemisorption measurements, we calculated the metal Pd dispersion and surface area.<sup>20,21</sup> The Pd surface areas and dispersions of the catalysts are listed in Table 1. Compared with the 1% Pd monometallic catalyst, bimetallic catalysts with In–Pd molar ratios of 0.05/1, 0.1/1, 0.3/1, 0.5/1, and 1/1 (IP-1, IP-2, IP-3, IP-4, and IP-5, respectively) exhibited incrementally higher Pd dispersions and surface areas. However, bimetallic catalysts with In–Pd molar ratios of 2/1 and 3/1 (IP-6 and IP-7) exhibited lower Pd dispersions and surface areas, indicating that adding In changed the Pd dispersions and surface areas of the bimetallic catalysts. Adding an appropriate amount of In increased the Pd dispersions and surface areas of the Pd-based bimetallic catalysts compared with those of the Pd monometallic catalysts.



Fig. 3 The TPR profiles of the catalysts.



Fig. 4 XPS spectra for Pd3d of catalysts 1% Pd, IP-2 and IP-7.

Fig. 1 shows the XRD patterns of the catalysts. Diffraction peaks of the  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> support at 2 $\theta$  equals to 31.9, 37.6, 39.5, 45.9 and 67.1 were expected in the XRD patterns of Pd/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Pd–In/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (CAS no. 1344-28-1), but Pd and In has not detected. Because of the low content of Pd and In in the catalysts, the reflection peak of which were covered by the background of  $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub>, and was difficult to observe.

Fig. 2 shows the results of TEM, which demonstrated that the particle sizes of Pd on the catalysts were in the range of 2–5 nm or that specific point was 2.71 nm for 1% Pd, 2.26 nm for IP-2 (In–Pd = 0.1/1) and 4.22 nm for IP-7 (In–Pd = 3/1). The results demonstrated that a small amount of In addition to the Pd/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalyst could improve the dispersion of Pd on the surface of the catalyst. The SEM/EDS images (ESI†) show that Pd and In coexist in the same microzones of IP-2 and IP-7; this indicates that In and Pd were supported on Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and formed a Pd–In pseudoalloy on the catalysts.<sup>20</sup>



Fig. 5 XPS spectra forIn3d of catalysts 3% In, IP-2 and IP-7.



Fig. 6 The influence of different catalysts on the conversion of 4-CP.

Fig. 3 shows the H<sub>2</sub>-TPR profiles of the catalysts. The TPR profiles of the 1% Pd monometallic catalysts showed only one reduction peak at 345 K, whereas the 3% In monometallic catalysts showed two reduction peaks at 555 K and 600 K, which may correspond to the reduction of In<sup>3+</sup> to In<sup>2+</sup> and In<sup>2+</sup> to In<sup>0</sup>, respectively. The TPR profiles of the Pd-In bimetallic catalysts were similar to those of the 1% Pd monometallic catalyst but differed from those of the 3% In monometallic catalyst. The reduction mechanism of the Pd-In bimetallic catalysts may involve the reduction of PdO initially, followed by the reduction of In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> by the hydrogen atom dissociatively adsorbed on the surface of Pd through the overflow effect and formed close contact mixed particle of Pd and In in the case of lower In-Pd molar ratio; a few Pd-In pseudoalloy particles formed after the reduction in the case of higher In-Pd molar ratio, because of the interaction between PdO and In2O3.19 When the In-Pd molar ratio was bigger than 0.1/1 the reduction peaks was shifted to the higher temperature than pure Pd monometallic catalyst, this may indicate that there are some obstacles to the reduction of PdO particle owing to the existence of In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> particle in



Fig. 7 The influence of the catalysts on the hydrogenation products.

between the PdO particle. Because the reduction of PdO must be precede over  $In_2O_3$  particle. In the case of 1% Pd monometallic catalyst the reduction rate of PdO is fast due to the reduced metal Pd can dissociative adsorb hydrogen molecular and the hydrogen atom on the surface of Pd may migrate to the neighboring PdO through the overflow effect so as to accelerate the reduction of remainder PdO in the particle. In the case of bimetallic Pd–In/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> catalysts, the PdO particle was separated by  $In_2O_3$  particle and reduced in accordance with the order of first PdO then  $In_2O_3$ , while the reduction of  $In_2O_3$  is very difficult than PdO, so the reduction peak of them was shifted to the higher temperature.

The XPS spectra of Pd 3d (Fig. 4) demonstrated that in all of the three catalysts, Pd 3d had two main peaks at 335.1 eV and 340.3 eV, which correspond to the binding energy of Pd  $3d_{5/2}$ and Pd 3d<sub>3/2</sub> of Pd<sup>0</sup>, respectively.<sup>23</sup> This demonstrated that the addition of In to Pd monometallic catalyst could not changed the state of the metal Pd. The XPS spectra of In 3d (Fig. 5) demonstrated that in all of the three catalysts, In 3d had two main peaks at 444.1 eV and 451.1 eV, which correspond to the binding energy of In 3d<sub>5/2</sub> and In 3d<sub>3/2</sub> of In<sup>0</sup>, respectively.<sup>24,25</sup> Combining the results of TEM, XPS and TPR, one may come to the conclusion that in the reduction process the In<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> has been reduced to the metal atom In and formed close contact mixed particle of Pd and In in the case of lower In-Pd molar ratio; a few Pd-In pseudoalloy particles formed after the reduction in the case of higher In-Pd molar ratio otherwise it cannot interpret why the Pd particle size of sample IP-7 is bigger than the sample 1% Pd. From the H<sub>2</sub>-TPR profiles it is demonstrated the indium oxide was really reduced with PdO at the same time. Certainly, perfect alloy or core shell structure was not formed in our catalysts unlike at work of Deepak et al.26-28 in which the sample was made by micelle method which can provide a large number of similar composition of particles as well as they can guarantee the uniform distribution of two kinds of elements in the particle. We will further carry out research in the future in this respect.

The HDC catalysis performance of the catalysts is shown in Fig. 6. A great difference obviously existed in the catalytic activity between the various catalysts. The HDC catalytic activity of the catalysts followed the order IP-2 > IP-3 > IP-1 > IP-4 > IP-5 > 1% Pd > IP-6 > IP-7 > 3% In. When the In–Pd molar ratios were 0.05/1, 0.1/1, 0.3/1, 0.5/1, and 1/1, 4-CP was completely hydrodechlorinated within 75 min; however, when the In/Pd molar ratios were 2/1 and 3/1, 4-CP was not completely hydrodechlorinated even within 120 min. This indicated that adding the appropriate amount of In to modify the Pd monometallic catalyst can improve the catalytic activity. According to the



Scheme 1 The equation of the HDC of 4-CP.

results shown in Table 1, the catalytic activity was correlated with the Pd dispersions and surface areas of the catalysts. The Pd dispersions and surface areas of the catalysts followed the order IP-2 > IP-3 > IP-1 > IP-4 > IP-5 > 1% Pd > IP-6 > IP-7, which is consistent with the catalytic activity order of the catalysts. Among all the catalysts, IP-2 had the highest Pd dispersion and surface area; therefore, IP-2 showed the highest catalytic activity in the HDC of 4-CP. No conversion of 4-CP was observed using the 3% In monometallic catalyst. Therefore, the active center for the HDC of 4-CP was Pd.

According to the gas chromatography–mass spectrometry analysis, cyclohexanone was another product, in addition to phenol, in the HDC of 4-CP in an aqueous phase. This indicated that the HDC of 4-CP was a tandem reaction in which 4-CP was initially subjected to hydrogenolysis over the 1% Pd and Pd–In bimetallic catalysts to form phenol, and the phenol was further hydrogenated to form cyclohexanone; the reaction pathway is shown in Scheme 1.<sup>20,22</sup>

The selectivity of the products is shown in Fig. 8. The selectivity of PhOH followed the order IP-7 > IP-6 > IP-5 > IP-4 > IP-3 > 1% Pd > IP2 > IP-1, whereas the selectivity of cyclohexanone followed the reverse order. Variation tendencies of the selectivity of phenol gradually decreased as the reaction time increased for all catalysts (Fig. 7a). However, the



Fig. 8 The influence of different catalysts on the conversion of PhOH.

selectivity of cyclohexanone gradually increased for all the catalysts (Fig. 7b). According to these results, the catalytic activities of the catalysts followed the order IP-2 > IP-3 > IP-1 > IP-4 > IP-5 > 1% Pd > IP-6 > IP-7 for the hydrogenolysis of the Cl-C bond; whereas the catalytic activities for the hydrogenation of phenol followed the order IP-1 > IP-2 > 1% Pd > IP-5 > IP-6 > IP-7 in an aqueous solution (Fig. 8). This demonstrated that the supported In catalyst has no catalytic activity for the hydrogenation of phenol. Therefore the catalytic active center for both the hydrogenolysis of the Cl-C bond and the hydrogenation of the C=C double bond of phenol was Pd. Fig. 7b and 8 showed that the tendencies of the hydrogenation of phenol and the selectivity of cyclohexanone were similar. This shows that the Pd monometallic catalyst and Pd-In bimetallic catalysts differed in their catalytic activities in the hydrogenolysis of the C-Cl bond of 4-CP and in the hydrogenation of the C=C double bond of phenol. Compared with that of the 1% Pd monometallic catalyst, the cyclohexane selectivity of the Pd-In bimetallic catalysts gradually decreased with an increase in the In content of the catalyst. These results illustrated that catalysts having a high In content (In-Pd > 0.1) can inhibit cyclohexanone formation during the HDC of 4-CP. The TPR results showed that the Pd-In bimetallic catalysts had only one reduction peak, indicating that Pd-In pseudoalloy formed in the catalysts in the case of higher In-Pd molar ratio. From Fig. 4, we can conclude that the Pd-In pseudoalloy formation in the Pd-In bimetallic catalysts did not favor the hydrogenation of phenol to form cyclohexanone. The Pd-In pseudoalloy formation reduced the quantity of Pd active centers, thereby affecting the hydrogenation of the benzene ring of phenol. The hydrogenolysis of the C-Cl bond of 4-CP may require fewer Pd active centers compared with the hydrogenation of the benzene ring of phenol.<sup>20</sup> By combining the results of TPR and H<sub>2</sub> pulse chemisorption (Table 1), we concluded that metal In dispersed around Pd particles adequately, effectively separating the Pd particles and preventing further clustering of the Pd particles when the In-Pd molar ratio was low. When the In-Pd molar ratios were higher, the metal In covered the surface of Pd and formed some amounts of the Pd-In pseudoalloy (Scheme 2); this reduced the surface area of Pd. According to these results, Pd is the sole active center for both reactions, reducing activity.



Scheme 2 Schematic diagram of atomic arrangement.



Fig. 9 The influence of reuse times of catalysts on the conversion of 4-CP.



Fig. 10 The influence of reuse times of catalysts on the hydrogenation products.

The ability to reuse the new catalysts has been made for eight times and the results (Fig. 9 and 10) showed that the catalyst can be reused for many times.

## 4. Conclusion

A series of Pd–In/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> bimetallic catalysts was prepared, and their catalytic performance in the HDC of 4-CP in an aqueous phase was studied. Compared with the Pd monometallic catalyst, Pd catalysts with the appropriate amounts of In added exhibited increased Pd dispersions and surface areas and considerably increased catalytic activity in the HDC of 4-CP. However, adding an excessive amount of In to the Pd–In bimetallic catalysts inhibited the HDC of 4-CP. In addition, with an increase in the In content of the Pd–In bimetallic catalysts, the conversion of phenol through hydrogenation was gradually reduced; that is, the close contact mixed particle of Pd and In in the Pd–In bimetallic catalysts inhibited the hydrogenation of phenol. The stability of catalytic activity of Pd–In/ $\gamma$ -Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> bimetallic catalysts in the HDC of 4-CP is higher and can be reused many times.

## References

- 1 S. Ramamoorthy, *Chlorinated Organic Compounds in the Environment*, CRC Press, Boca Raton, 1997.
- 2 G. Yuan and M. A. Keane, Catal. Commun., 2003, 4, 195-201.
- 3 E. Diaz, A. F. Mohedano, J. A. Casas, L. Calvo, M. A. Gilarranz and J. J. Rodriguez, *Appl. Catal.*, *B*, 2011, **106**, 469–475.
- 4 I. Witońska, A. Królak and S. Karski, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 2010, **331**, 21–28.
- 5 C. H. Xia, Y. Liu, J. Xu, J. B. Yu, W. Wei and X. M. Liang, *Catal. Commun.*, 2009, **10**, 456–458.
- 6 Z. M. de Pedro, E. Diaz, A. F. Mohedano, J. A. Casas and J. J. Rodriguez, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2011, **103**, 128–135.
- 7 C. B. Molina, A. H. Pizarro, J. A. Casas and J. J. Rodriguez, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2014, **148–149**, 330–338.
- 8 H. Y. Deng, G. Y. Fan, C. Y. Wang and L. Zhang, *Catal. Commun.*, 2014, **46**, 219–223.
- 9 N. S. Babu, N. Lingaiah, N. Pasha, J. V. Kumar and P. S. Sai Prasad, *Catal. Today*, 2009, **141**, 120–124.
- 10 A. Pintar, J. Batista and I. Muševic, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2004, 52, 49–60.
- 11 T. Yoneda, T. Aoyama, T. Takido and K. Konuma, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2013, **142–43**, 344–353.
- 12 J. A. Baeza, L. Calvo, M. A. Gilarranz and J. J. Rodriguez, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2014, **240**, 271–280.
- 13 J. A. Baeza, L. Calvo, M. A. Gilarranz, A. F. Mohedano, J. A. Casas and J. J. Rodriguez, *J. Catal.*, 2012, 293, 85–93.
- 14 Y. L. Ren, G. Y. Fan and C. Y. Wang, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2014, 274, 32–40.
- 15 J. Baeza, L. Calvo, M. Gilarranz and J. Rodriguez, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2014, 271–280.
- 16 H. L. Lien and W. X. Zhang, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2007, 77, 110–116.
- 17 C. B. Molina, L. Calvo, M. A. Gilarranz, J. A. Casas and J. J. Rodriguez, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2009, **172**, 214–223.

- 18 S. Ambonguilat, H. Gallard, A. Garron, F. Epron and J. P. Croue, *Water Res.*, 2006, **40**, 675–682.
- 19 F. A. Marchesini, S. Irusta, C. Querini and E. Miro, *Appl. Catal.*, *A*, 2008, **348**, 60–70.
- 20 D. R. Fang, W. J. Li, J. B. Zhao, S. Liu, X. X. Ma, J. G. Xu and C. H. Xia, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, 4, 59204–59210.
- 21 A. Pintar, J. Batista and I. Muševic, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2004, **52**, 49–60.
- 22 C. H. Xia, J. Xu, W. Z. Wu and X. M. Liang, *Catal. Commun.*, 2004, 5, 383–386.
- 23 M. A. Alvarez-Montero, L. M. Gómez-Sainero, J. Juan-Juan, A. Linares-Solano and J. J. Rodriguez, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2010, 162, 599–608.

- 24 X. Liu, W. Z. Lang, L. L. Long, C. L. Hu, L. F. Chu and Y. J. Guo, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2014, 247, 183–192.
- 25 M. Tahir and N. S. Amin, Appl. Catal., B, 2015, 162, 98-109.
- 26 F. L. Deepak, G. C. Garcia, R. Esparza, H. Barron and M. J. Yacaman, *J. Cryst. Growth*, 2011, 325, 60–67.
- 27 Z. Y. Li, J. Yuan, Y. Chen, R. E. Palmer and J. P. Wilcoxon, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2005, **87**, 243103.
- 28 P. Sathishkumar, R. S. Mangalaraja, S. Rozas, H. D. Mansilla, M. A. Gracia-Pinilla and S. Anandan, *Ultrason. Sonochem.*, 2014, 21, 1675–1681.