Asymmetric Catalysis

Copper-Catalyzed Enantioselective 1,6-Boration of *para*-Quinone Methides and Efficient Transformation of *gem*-Diarylmethine Boronates to Triarylmethanes

Yazhou Lou, Peng Cao, Tao Jia, Yongling Zhang, Min Wang, and Jian Liao*

Abstract: Presented is the first enantioselective copper-catalyzed 1,6-conjugate addition of bis(pinacolato)diboron to para-quinone methides. The reaction proceeds with excellent yields and good to excellent enantioselectivities, and provides an attractive approach to the construction of optically active gem-diarylmehtine boronic esters. Additionally, the subsequent conversion of the derived potassium trifluoroborates into triarylmethanes with highly enantiospecificity was realized.

Lnantiomerically pure organoboranes are interesting pharmaceutical molecules^[1] in medical chemistry and powerful building blocks^[2] in asymmetric synthesis. Chiral dibenzylic (gem-diarylmethine) boronates, as a class of potential precursors for important triarylmethanes^[3] and gem-diaryl hydrocarbons,^[4] has been employed by Aggarwal and coworkers^[5] in the synthesis of gem-diaryl-containing pharmaceuticals.^[5d-e] However, despite the importance of these compounds, the approaches to access nonracemic gem-diarylmethine boronates are still very scarce. Lithiation/borylation of benzylic carbamates^[6] is an exclusive route which relies on either a substrate- or reagent-controlled strategy. (Scheme 1 a). For instance, Crudden and co-workers recently reported an elegant chiral bis(iPr-oxazoline)-controlled protocol to obtain chiral nonracemic gem-diarylmethine boronic esters, albeit with substantial substrate restrictions.^[7] To our knowledge, to date, catalytic asymmetric approaches to access gem-diarylmethine boronic esters, bearing a chiral benzylic C-B bond, remain unexplored.

Transition-metal catalyzed^[8] or organocatalyzed^[9] asymmetric conjugate borations of electron-deficient olefins with diboron reagents, such as bis(pinacolato)diboron [B₂(pin)₂], represent a powerful and site-selective route to access chiral alkylboranes.^[10] In particular, the use of chiral copper catalysts has been highly successful in transforming a variety of β -aryl-substituted α , β -unsaturated compounds into benzylic boronic esters with excellent levels of enantiopurity.^[11]

```
[*] Y. Z. Lou, Prof. Dr. P. Cao, T. Jia, Y. Zhang, Dr. M. Wang,
Prof. Dr. J. Liao
Chengdu Institute of Biology, Chinese Academy of Sciences
Chengdu 610041 (China)
and
University of Chinese Academy of Sciences
Beijing 100049 (China)
E-mail: jliao@cib.ac.cn
Prof. Dr. J. Liao
College of Chemical Engineering, Sichuan University
Chengdu 610065 (China)
```

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201505926.



Scheme 1. Approaches to chiral gem-diarylmethine boronates.

para-Quinone methides (*p*-QMs),^[12] which are classified as electron-deficient alkenes with a unique assembly of carbonyl and olefinic moieties, are versatile intermediates in many chemical, medicinal, and biological processes.^[13] We envisioned that copper-catalyzed enantioselective 1,6-conjugate boration of *p*-QMs could deliver nonracemic *gem*-diarylmethine boronates. (Scheme 1 b). However, *p*-QMs have been less-well studied in enantioselective catalysis, and to date, only three organocatalysis cases, including anionic polymerizations,^[14] phase-transfer catalysis,^[15] and 1,6-conjugate addition of enamines,^[16] have been reported. Herein, we report the first copper-catalyzed asymmetric 1,6-conjugate addition of B₂(pin)₂ to *p*-QMs, and stereoselective conversion of the chiral *gem*-diarylmethine boronates into enantionenriched triarylmethanes.

To test the feasibility of the 1,6-addition, we examined the reaction of the p-QM **1a** with B₂(pin)₂ using a P-diisopropyl sulfoxide phosphine^[17] (SOP; L1), and systematically screened reaction conditions, such as copper salts, solvents, additives, and bases (Table 1; see the Supporting Information for details). With 10 mol% of CuCl, L1, and NaOtBu, the reaction proceeded smoothly at -20°C in the presence of 2 equivalents of MeOH and toluene as the solvent. 1a was consumed within 15 hours and the 1,6-conjugate adduct, the dibenzylic boronic ester 2a, was afforded in excellent yield with a 93.5:6.5 e.r. (entry 1). By employing MeOK as the base, the reaction proceeded within 30 min and gave 2a with 95:5 e.r. (entry 2). Ligand screening revealed that P-substituents of SOPs have dramatic effects on the enantioselectivity. For instance, P-diethyl and P-dicyclohexyl SOPs (L2 and L3) show much worse enantiocontrol than L1, and L4 gave nearly racemic dibenzylic boronic ester (entries 3-5). The commercially available ligand (R)-BINAP was chosen to evaluate this transformation, and modest enantioselectivity was afforded (entry 6). Furthermore, the modified P-diisopropyl L6 was



Table 1: Screening of reaction conditions.[a]



R'= *i*Pr (**L5**)

R'= Cy (L6)

R= *i*Pr (**L1**) R= Cy (L3) R= Et (L2) R= Ph (L4)

(R)-BINAP

Entry	Ligand	Base	<i>t</i> [h]	Yield [%] ^[b]	e.r. ^[c]
1	LI	NaOtBu	15	98	93.5:6.5
2	L1	MeOK	0.5	96	95:5
3	L2	MeOK	0.5	86	70:30
4	L3	MeOK	0.5	74	89:11
5	L4	MeOK	0.5	85	55:45
6	(R)-BINAP	MeOK	0.5	89	75.5:24.5
7	L5	MeOK	0.5	85	95:5
8	L6	MeOK	0.5	94	95.5:4.5
9 ^[d]	L6	MeOK	4.5	91	96:4
10 ^[e]	L6	MeOK	15	86	95:5
11 ^[f]	L6	MeOK	48	97	94:6
				(65)	(>99.5:0.5)

[a] Conducted with 1a (0.2 mmol), B₂(pin)₂ (0.3 mmol), CuCl (10 mol%), ligand (11 mol%), MeOK (12 mol%), MeOH (0.4 mmol) in toluene (1.0 mL) at -20°C. [b] Yield of isolated product. [c] Determined by HPLC. [d] Conducted with 5.0 mol % of CuCl at -40 °C. [e] Conducted with 2.5 mol% of CuCl at -40 °C. [f] The reaction was performed in the presence of 1.60 g (8.1 mmol) of 1a and 0.1 mol% of CuCl at 0°C. Data within parentheses is that obtained after one crystallization.

used and it led to a slight enantiomeric excess increase (entry 8). Reaction temperature and catalyst loading were also optimized (entries 9 and 10). At -40 °C and with 5 mol % of catalyst, 1a reacted completely with B₂(pin)₂ within 4.5 hours to furnish 2a in 91% yield and 96:4 e.r. The reaction can also be run on a gram scale (1.60 g) with 0.1 mol% catalyst at 0°C, thus delivering 2a in excellent yield (2.23 g, 97%) and good enantioselectivity (94:6 e.r.). After one recrystallization from n-hexane, enantiopure 2a (>99.5:0.5 e.r.) can be obtained in 65% yield (1.50 g); entry 11).

Under the optimized reaction conditions, we examined the scope of the reaction. As shown in Table 2, a series of stable *p*-QMs with electron-neutral, electron-deficient, and electron-rich aryls reacted with B₂(pin)₂ to provide chiral gem-diarylmethine boronates (2a-m) in good yields with generally high levels of enantiopurities. Multisubstituted (2n and 20), polycyclic (2p), and heterocyclic (2s) aryls were tolerated in this reaction and resulted in excellent yields with high enantioselectivities. o-Methoxy- and o-methyl-substitutedp-QMs provided 2q and 2r, respectively, with decreased e.r. values (83:17 and 75:25), probably because of the steric effects. In addition, replacement of the bulky tert-butyl group by methyl (2t), isopropyl (2u), and phenyl (2v) groups did not



[a] Conducted with 1 (0.2 mmol), B₂(pin)₂ (0.3 mmol), CuCl (5 mol%), ligand (5.5 mol%), MeOK (6 mol%), MeOH (0.4 mmol) in toluene (1.0 mL) at -40 °C. [b] Yield of isolated product. [c] Determined by chiral HPLC. Thermal ellipsoids shown at 50% probability.

lead to better enantioselectivities. The stereocenter of the generated dibenzylic boronic esters was assigned to be S by Xray crystallographic analysis of 2a.^[18] Accordingly, a model was proposed to rationalize this stereochemical outcome. As shown in Figure 1, the planar **1a** approaches the (SOP)Cu/ Bpin species from Si-face (A) to avoid steric interactions between the phenyl ring of 1a and tert-butyl sulfinyl group of L6 (the steric hindrance is shown in B), thus (S)-2a was obtained in a large excess. For o-methoxy- or o-methyl-



Figure 1. Stereochemical models of 1.6-boration.



Table 3: Palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling of gem-diarylmethine trifluoroborates with ArOTf.^[a]



[a] Reaction condition of the cross-coupling reaction: **2** (0.07 mmol, e.r. > 99.5:0.5 for **2a**), ArOTf (0.07 mmol), Pd(OAc)₂ (10 mol%), PCy₃ (20 mol%), K₂CO₃ (0.2 mol) in toluene/H₂O(1.1 mL, v/v=10:1) at 60°C for 15 h. [b] Yield of isolated product. [c] Determined by chiral HPLC. [d] $es = ee_{Product}/ee_{S.M} \times 100\%$. Tf = trifluoromethanesulfonyl, THF = te-trahydrofuran.

substituted *p*-QMs, an assumed orthogonal orientation of cyclohexadiene ring with the sterically bulky aryl ring might render the enantioface discrimination elusive, thus resulting in moderate e.r. values of 1,6-borylative adducts.

To demonstrate the utility of this enantioselective 1,6-boration in asymmetric synthesis, we sought to transform the 1,6-adducts into enantionenriched triaryls by stereospecific Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling reactions.^[19] Firstly, all attempts at the palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling of 2a with aryl halides or pseudohalides failed, and is probably a result of the facile decomposition of the dibenzylic palladium intermediate when bearing a phenolic hydroxy group. Fortunately, we can

readily transfer boronic esters to potassium trifluoroborates and then protect the phenols with a methyl group in a one-pot procedure in satisfactory yields (Table 3). The new dibenzylic trifuoroborates can be readily coupled with aryltrifluoromethanesulfonates (ArOTf) in the presence of catalytic amounts of $Pd(OAc)_2$ and PCy_3 under milder reaction conditions. By using this method, a series of enantioenriched triarylmethanes were successfully constructed with high enantiospecificity.^[20] Electronic properties of ArOTf have a slight influence on yield as well as specificity, and the cross-coupling procedure was tolerated for a wide range of functional groups, such as carbonyl(3ab), formyl (3ac), nitro (3ad), and alkoxy (3ae and 3af). This method also enables efficient synthesis of four different functionalized triarylmethanes (3bb, 3be, 3eb, 3ee). The current method, using ArOTf as electrophiles, represents a practical cross-coupling technique owing to the availability of phenols and their lack of toxicity compared to aryl halides.^[7,19b,21,22]

By exposing **3aa** to a mixture of Tf₂O and TfOH, the enantioenriched triarylmethane was readily converted into the de*-tert*-butylated triarylmethane **4a** in 67 % yield with a slight loss of stereopurity (Scheme 2). The absolute configuration of **4a** was determined to be *R* by comparison of the optical rotation with the literature value.^[20c] It is noteworthy that both enantiomers (*R* and *S*) of the triarylmethanes can be obtained from the 1,6- adducts **2**. Thus whilst coupling of the trifluoroborate **5** with ArOTf occurred with inversion,^[23] the opposite stereochemistry (retention) was observed when coupling the dibenzylic neopentyl glycol boronic ester **6** with aryliodide.^[7] (Scheme 3).



Scheme 2. De-tert-butylation of triarylmethane.



Scheme 3. Stereospecific cross-coupling experiments. TMS = trimethylsilyl.



In summary, we have demonstrated that copper-catalyzed asymmetric 1,6-addition of $B_2(pin)_2$ to the *p*-QMs proceeds with excellent yield and good to excellent enantioselectivities. For the first time, enantioenriched *gem*-diarylmethine borontaes were prepared by a catalytic asymmetric method. Subsequently, the derived chiral potassium trifluoroborates were converted into enantioenriched triarylmethanes in good yield and with high enantiospecificity. Additional asymmetric transformations of *gem*-diarylmethine boronates are underway in our laboratory.

Acknowledgements

We thank the NSFC (No. 21472184, 21272226, 21202160, and 21402186) for financial support.

Keywords: asymmetric catalysis · boron · copper · cross-coupling · synthetic methods

How to cite: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 12134–12138 Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 12302–12306

- a) S. J. Baker, J. W. Tomshob, S. J. Benkovicc, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2011, 40, 4279; b) S. J. Baker, C. Z. Ding, T. Akama, Y.-K. Zhang, V. Hernandez, Y. Xia, *Future Med. Chem.* 2009, 1, 1275; c) W. Yang, X. Gao, B. Wang, *Med. Res. Rev.* 2003, 23, 346; d) M. P. Groziak, *Am. J. Ther.* 2001, 8, 321.
- [2] Reviews: a) B. W. Glasspoole, C. M. Crudden, *Nat. Chem.* 2011, 3, 912; b) E. Swift, E. R. Jarvo, *Tetrahedron* 2013, 69, 5799; c) D. Leonori, V. K. Aggarwal, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2015, 54, 1082; *Angew. Chem.* 2015, 127, 1096.
- [3] Reviews: a) D. F. Duxbury, Chem. Rev. 1993, 93, 381; b) M. Beija, C. A. M. Afonso, J. M. G. Martinho, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 2410; c) V. Nair, S. Thomas, S. C. Mathew, K. G. Abhilash, Tetrahedron 2006, 62, 6731; d) M. S. Shchepinov, V. A. Korshun, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2003, 32, 170; Selective literatures: e) M. K. Parai, G. Panda, V. Chaturvedi, Y. K. Manju, S. Sinha, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2008, 18, 289; f) R. A. Al-Qawasmeh, Y. Lee, M. Cao, X. Gu, A. Vassilakos, J. A. Wright, A. Young, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 2004, 14, 347; g) R. Palchaudhuri, V. Nesterenko, P. J. Hergenrother, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 10274; h) G. Panda, Shagufta, J. K. Mishra, V. Chaturvedi, A. K. Srivastava, R. Srivastava, B. S. Srivastava, R. Sharma, R. Mishra, A. K. Balapure, P. S. R. Murthy, G. Panda, Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2006, 14, 1497.
- [4] a) A. L. McRae, K. T. Brady, *Expert Opin. Pharmacother.* 2001, 2, 883; b) C. J. Hills, S. A. Winter, J. A. *Balfour, Drugs* 1998, 55, 813; c) K. P. Bogeso, A. V. Christensen, J. Hyttel, T. Liljefors, *J. Med. Chem.* 1985, 28, 1817; d) J. Hyttel, J. J. Larsen, *J. Neurochem.* 1985, 44, 1615; e) M. Gordaliza, P. A. García, J. M. Miguel del Corral, M. A. Castro, M. A. Gómez-Zurita, *Toxicon* 2004, 44, 441.
- [5] a) J. L. Stymiest, V. Bagutski, R. M. French, V. K. Aggarwal, *Nature* 2008, 456, 778; b) A. Ros, V. K. Aggarwal, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2009, 48, 6289; *Angew. Chem.* 2009, 121, 6407; c) S. Nave, R. P. Sonawane, T. G. Elford, V. K. Aggarwal, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2010, 132, 17096; d) S. Roesner, J. M. Casatejada, T. G. Elford, R. P. Sonawane, V. K. Aggarwal, *Org. Lett.* 2011, 13, 5740; e) S. Roesner, V. K. Aggarwal, *Can. J. Chem.* 2012, 90, 965; f) A. Bonet, M. Odachowski, D. Leonori, S. Essafi, V. K. Aggarwal, *Nat. Chem.* 2014, 6, 584.

- [6] For seminal examples, see: a) E. Beckmann, V. Desai, D. Hoppe, Synlett 2004, 2275; b) E. Beckmann, D. Hoppe, Synthesis 2005, 217; c) M. J. McGrath, P. O'Brien, Synthesis 2006, 2233; d) J. L. Stymiest, G. Dutheuil, A. Mahmood, V. K. Aggarwal, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 7491; Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 7635.
- [7] S. C. Matthew, B. W. Glasspoole, P. Eisenberger, C. M. Crudden, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 5828.
- [8] For selected copper-catalyzed conjugated borations, see: a) K. Takahashi, T. Ishiyama, N. Miyaura, Chem. Lett. 2000, 982; b) H. Ito, H. Yamanaka, J.-I. Tateiwa, A. Hosomi, Tetrahedron Lett. 2000, 41, 6821; c) S. Mun, J.-E. Lee, J. Yun, Org. Lett. 2006, 8, 4887; d) J.-E. Lee, J. Yun, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 145; Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 151; e) V. Lillo, A. Prieto, A. Bonet, M. M. Díaz-Requejo, J. Ramírez, P. J. Pérez, E. Fernández, Organometallics 2009, 28, 659; f) J. C. H. Lee, R. McDonald, D. G. Hall, Nat. Chem. 2011, 3, 894; g) I. Ibrahem, P. Breistein, A. Córdova, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 12036; Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 12242; h) A. R. Burns, J. S. Gonzalez, H. W. Lam, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 10827; Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 10985; i) Y. Luo, I. D. Roy, A. G. E. Madec, H. W. Lam, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 4186; Angew. Chem. 2014, 126, 4270; j) V. Hornillos, C. Vila, E. Otten, B. L. Feringa, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 7867; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 7978; For rhodium-, nickel-, and palladium-catalyzed asymmetric conjugated borations, see: k) T. Shiomi, T. Adachi, K. Toribatake, L. Zhou, H. Nishiyama, Chem. Commun. 2009, 5987; I) V. Lillo, M. J. Geier, S. A. Westcott, E. Fernández, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2009, 7, 4674; m) K. Toribatake, L. Zhou, A. Tsuruta, H. Nishiyama, Tetrahedron 2013, 69, 3551.
- [9] a) A. Bonet, H. Gulyás, E. Fernández, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 5130; Angew. Chem. 2010, 122, 5256; b) I. Ibrahem, P. Breistein, A. Cordova, Chem. Eur. J. 2012, 18, 5175; c) H. Wu, S. Radomkit, J. M. O'Brien, A. H. Hoveyda, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 8277; d) S. Radomkit, A. H. Hoveyda, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 3387; Angew. Chem. 2014, 126, 3455.
- [10] Selected reviews and highlights: a) E. Hartmann, D. J. Vyas, M. Oestreich, *Chem. Commun.* 2011, 47, 7917; b) A. D. J. Calow, A. Whiting, *Org. Biomol. Chem.* 2012, 10, 5485; c) J. A. Schiffner, K. Müther, M. Oestreich, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2010, 49, 1194; *Angew. Chem.* 2010, 122, 1214; d) L. Mantilli, C. Mazet, *ChemCatChem* 2010, 2, 501.
- [11] For selected recent examples, see: a) I.-H. Chen, L. Yin, W. Itano, M. Kanai, M. Shibasaki, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 11664; b) J. M. O'Brien, K.-s. Lee, A. H. Hoveyda, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 10630; c) D. Hirsch-Weil, K. A. Abboud, S. Hong, Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 7525; d) A. L. Moure, R. Gomez Arrayas, J. C. Carretero, Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 6701; e) E. Hartmann, M. Oestreich, Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 2406; f) L. Zhao, Y. Ma, W. Duan, F. He, J. Chen, C. Song, Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 5780; g) A. D. J. Calow, A. S. Batsanov, E. Fernández, C. Sol, A. Whiting, Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 11401; h) S. Kobayashi, P. Xu, T. Endo, M. Ueno, T. Kitanosono, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 12763; Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 12935; i) T. Iwai, Y. Akiyama, M. Sawamura, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2013, 24, 729; j) Z.-T. He, Y.-S. Zhao, P. Tian, C.-C. Wang, H.-Q. Dong, G.-Q. Lin, Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 1426; k) C. T. Check, K. P. Jang, C. B. Schwamb, A. S. Wong, M. H. Wang, K. A. Scheidt, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 4264; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 4338; l) J.-B. Xie, S. Lin, J. Luo, J. Wu, T. R. Winna, G. Li, Org. Chem. Front. 2015, 2, 42.
- [12] For reviews on the chemistry of p-QMs, see: a) A. B. Turner, Q. Rev. Chem. Soc. 1964, 18, 347; b) "Quinone Methides": H.-U. Wagner, R. Gompper in The Chemistry of the Quinonoid Compounds, Vol. 2 (Ed.: S. Patai), Wiley, New York, 1974, chap. 18, pp. 1145; c) M. G. Peter, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1989, 28, 555; Angew. Chem. 1989, 101, 572; d) T. Itoh, Prog. Polym. Sci. 2001, 26, 1019; e) Quinone Methides (Ed.: S. E.



Rokita), Wiley, Hoboken, **2009**; f) M. M. Toteva, J. P. Richard, *Adv. Phys. Org. Chem.* **2011**, *45*, 39.

- [13] a) A. A. Larsen, Nature 1969, 224, 25; b) D. Hamels, P. M. Dansette, E. A. Hillard, S. Top, A. Vessières, P. Herson, G. Jaouen, D. Mansuy, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 9124; Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 9288; c) G. B. Messiano, T. da Silva, I. R. Nascimento, L. M. X. Lopes, Phytochemistry 2009, 70, 590; d) R. Dehn, Y. Katsuyama, A. Weber, K. Gerth, R. Jansen, H. Steinmetz, G. Höfle, R. Müller, A. Kirschning, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 3882; Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 3968; e) C. Sridar, J. D'Agostino, P. F. Hollenberg, Drug. Circ. Drug. Metab. Dispos. 2012, 40, 2280.
- [14] a) N. Nakagaki, T. Uno, M. Kubo, T. Itoh, J. Polym. Sci. Part A 2009, 47, 5923; b) S. Lizuka, N. Nakagaki, T. Uno, M. Kubo, T. Itoh, Macromolecules 2010, 43, 6962; c) R. Nakagawa, T. Uno, M. Kubo, T. Itoh, Polym. Bull. 2012, 68, 1831; d) T. Nagai, T. Uno, M. Kubo, T. Itoh, J. Polym. Sci. Part A 2012, 50, 466.
- [15] W. Chu, L. Zhang, X. Bao, X. Zhao, C. Zeng, J. Du, G. Zhang, F. Wang, X. Ma, C. Fan, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 9229; *Angew. Chem.* **2013**, *125*, 9399.
- [16] L. Caruana, F. Kniep, T. K. Johansen, P. H. Poulsen, K. A. Jørgensen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 15929.
- [17] a) J. Chen, D. Li, H. Ma, L. Cun, J. Zhu, J. Deng, J. Liao, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2008**, 49, 6921; b) T. Jia, P. Cao, D. Wang, Y. Lou, J. Liao, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2015**, 21, 4918; c) D. Wang, P. Cao, B. Wang, T. Jia, Y. Lou, M. Wang, J. Liao, *Org. Lett.* **2015**, 17, 2420.
- [18] CCDC 1415208 (2a) contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre.
- [19] a) V. Bagutski, A. Ros, V. K. Aggarwal, *Tetrahedron* 2009, 65, 9956; b) G. A. Molander, S. R. Wisniewski, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2012, 134, 16856; c) G. A. Molander, W. Febo-Ayala, M. Ortega-Guerra, *J. Org. Chem.* 2008, 73, 6000; d) G. A. Molander, J.

Ham, B. Canturk, Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 821; e) G. A. Molander, N. M. Ellis, J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 7491.

- [20] For asymmetric synthesis of triarylmethanes, see: a) B.-F. Shi, N. Maugel, Y.-H. Zhang, J.-Q. Yu, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 4882; Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 4960; b) F.-L. Sun, X.-J. Zheng, Q. Gu, Q.-L. He, S.-L. You, Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 47; c) B. L. H. Taylor, M. R. Harris, E. R. Jarvo, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 7790; Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 7910; d) M. R. Harris, L. E. Hanna, M. A. Greene, C. E. Moore, E. R. Jarvo, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 3303; e) Q. Zhou, H. D. Srinivas, S. Dasgupta, M. P. Watson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 3307; f) Y. Huang, T. Hayashi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 7556.
- [21] a) D. Imao, B. W. Glasspoole, V. S. Laberge, C. M. Crudden, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 5024; b) L. Chausset-Boissarie, K. Ghozati, E. LaBine, J. L.-Y. Chen, V. K. Aggarwal, C. M. Crudden, Chem. Eur. J. 2013, 19, 17698; c) B. M. Partridge, L. Chausset-Boissarie, M. Burns, A. P. Pulis, V. K. Aggarwal, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 11795; Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 11965; d) T. Ohmura, T. Awano, M. Suginome, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 13191.
- [22] a) P. B. D. d. L. Mare, *Electrophilic Halogenation*, Cambridge University, New York, **1976**; b) P. J. Stang, M. Hanack, L. R. Subramanian, *Synthesis* **1982**, 85.
- [23] Inversion stereochemistry of palladium-catalyzed cross-coupling was observed. See: L. Li, S. Zhao, A. Joshi-Pangu, M. Diane, M. R. Biscoe, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 14027. For a discussion on retention versus inversion in Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling see Ref. [2c].

Received: June 28, 2015 Revised: July 27, 2015 Published online: August 28, 2015