Neutral and Anionic Monomeric Zirconium Imides Prepared via Selective C=N Bond Cleavage of a Multidentate and Sterically Demanding β -Diketiminato Ligand

Takashi Kurogi,^[a] Jiaxiang Chu,^[b] Yaofeng Chen,^{*[b]} and Daniel J. Mindiola^{*[a]}

Abstract: A sterically encumbering multidentate β -diketiminato ligand, ^{tBu}L2 (^{tBu}L2=[ArNC(tBu)CHC(tBu)NCH₂CH₂N(Me)- $CH_2CH_2NMe_2]^-$, $Ar = 2,6-iPr_2C_6H_3$), is reported in this study along with its coordination chemistry to zirconium(IV). Using the lithio salt of this ligand, Li(^{tBu}L2) (4), the zirconium(IV) precursor (^{tBu}L2)ZrCl₃ (6) could be readily prepared in 85% yield and structurally characterized. Reduction of 6 with 2 equiv of KC₈ resulted in formation of the terminal and mononuclear zirconium imide-chloride [C(tBu)CHC(t-Bu)NCH₂CH₂N(Me)CH₂CH₂NMe₂]Zr(=NAr)(Cl) (7) as the result of reductive C=N cleavage of the imino fragment in the multidentate ligand ^{tBu}L2 by an elusive Zr^{II} species (^{tBu}L2)ZrCI (**A**). The azabutadienyl ligand in 7 can be further reduced with KC₈ to afford the anionic imide by 2 e⁻ [K(THF)₂]{[CH(*t*Bu)CHC(*t*Bu)NCH₂CH₂N(Me)CH₂CH₂N(Me)CH₂]-

Zr=NAr} (8-2THF) in 42% isolated yield. Complex 8-2THF results from the oxidative addition of an amine C–H bond followed by migration to the vinylic group of the formal $[C(tBu)CHC(tBu)NCH_2CH_2N(Me)CH_2CH_2NMe_2]^-$ ligand in 7. All halides in 6 can be replaced with azides to afford (^{tBu}L2)Zr(N₃)₃ (9) which was structurally characterized, and reduction with two equiv of KC₈ also results in C=N bond cleavage of ^{tBu}L2 to form $[C(tBu)CHC(tBu)NCH_2CH_2N (Me)CH_2CH_2NMe_2]Zr(=NAr)(N_3) (10), instead of the expected$ azide disproportionation to N³⁻ and N₂. Solid-state singlecrystal structural studies confirm the formation of mononuclear and terminal zirconium imido groups in 7, 8-Et₂O, and10 with Zr=NAr distances being 1.8776(10), 1.9505(15), and1.881(3) Å, respectively.

Introduction

Whereas the ubiquitous β -diketiminato ligand has popularized the coordination sphere for early-transition metals,^[1] multidentate^[2-7] and macrocyclic^[8] β -diketiminato scaffolds are now setting the stage as unique ligands that allow for the stabilization of the reactive metal fragments containing metal-ligand multiple bonds. Specifically, this ligand class has been demonstrated to support highly polarized, and terminal imido species^[3] with even highly electropositive such as Sc³⁺; an ion which falls along the demarcation line between transition metal and rareearth. To this end, the Chen group has reported two multidentate ligands possessing the β -diketiminato scaffold, namely the tridentate ^{Me}L1 (^{Me}L1=[ArNC(Me)CHC(Me)NCH₂CH₂N(Me)₂]⁻, Ar=2,6-*i*Pr₂C₆H₃)² and tetradentate ^{Me}L2 (^{Me}L2=[ArNC(-Me)CHC(Me)NCH₂CH₂N(Me)CH₂CH₂NMe₂]⁻, Ar=2,6-*i*Pr₂C₆H₃)^{3,4}

[a]	Dr. T. Kurogi, Prof. D. J. Mindiola Denartment of Chemistry
	University of Pennsylvania 231 South 34th Street, Philadelphia, PA 19104 (USA) E-mail: mindiola@sas.upenn.edu
[b]	Dr. J. Chu, Prof. Y. Chen State Key Laboratory of Organometallic Chemistry Shanghai Institute of Organic Chemistry Chinese Academy of Sciences 345 Lingling Road, Shanghai 200032 (P. R. China) E-mail: yaofchen@mail.sioc.ac.cn
D	Supporting information and the ORCID identification number(s) for the au- thor(s) of this article can be found under: https://doi.org/10.1002/asia.201900451.



Scheme 1. Multidentate ligands having the $\beta\text{-diketiminato motif},$ where Ar represents $\textit{i}Pr_2C_6H_3.$

cartoons depicted in Scheme 1. Part of the reasoning for assembling these ligand scaffolds has been to coordinatively saturate the electropositive metal ion, block aggregation,^[9] and prevent ligand disproportionation reactions^[10] while maintaining a low-charge to allow for dianionic ligand substitution reactions, namely a highly nucleophilic moiety such as an imide. Only in certain exceptions,^[11] there is evidence for irreversible degradation of the β -diketiminato ligand.^[12] The use of pendant amino groups on these multidentate ligands stems from the fact that scandium imides^[3] can be generated or trapped with donor ligands such as pyridine or pyridine derivatives (e.g. DMAP) as exemplified by the work of Mindiola,^[13] Cui,^[14] Piers,^[15] and more recently, Mountford.^[16]

In this study, we wish to report the synthesis of a multidentate variant to the original systems ^{Me}L1 and ^{Me}L2,^{2,4} namely a ligand ^{fBu}L2 having tBu groups at the β -carbon positions of the [NCCCN] skeleton in the β -diketiminato framework (Scheme 1). In addition to obtaining and structurally characterizing a nonsolvento salt Li(^{fBu}L2), we also showcase how this multidentate

These are not the final page numbers! **77**

Wiley Online Library

Chem. Asian J. 2019, 00, 0-0

ligand can grip onto Zr^{IV} by making a mononuclear trichlorido precursor, the latter which can be reduced with 2e⁻ or 4e⁻ to make mononuclear Zr imides in neutral or anionic forms. Akin to the chemistry found for the prototypical β -diketiminato ligand,^[17] we now report a bulkier ligand ^{rBu}L2 that serves as an intramolecular imide transfer source when reducing Zr^{IV} to Zr^{II}. We demonstrate how this new tetradentate and monoanionic ligand can be transformed to either a multidentate azabuta-dienyl by 2e⁻ reduction or an unprecedented multidentate trianionic ligands by virtue of 4e⁻ reduction chemistry. Lastly, we show how the replacement of chlorides for azides does not impede the cleavage of the C=N bond when a similar Zr^{IV} precursor is reduced by two electrons.

Results and Discussion

Following the procedure reported in the literature,^[18] the N'-(2aminoethyl)-N',N,N-trimethylethan-1,2-diamine precursor $[Me_2NCH_2CH_2N(Me)CH_2CH_2NH_2]$ (1) was prepared accordingly by reduction nitrile LiAlH₄ of the precursor [Me₂NCH₂CH₂N(Me)CH₂CN] as documented by Glaser and coworkers (Scheme 2). This procedure results in greater yields of 1 as opposed to an alternative process where the N-(2-bromoethyl)phthalimide is treated with N,N,N'-trimethylethylenediamine followed by reduction of the product N-(2-bromoethyl)phthalimide with $N_2H_4 \cdot H_2O^{[19]}$ (Scheme 2). Although both protocols to form 1 involve a two-step process stemming from commercially available N,N,N'-trimethylethylenediamine, the reaction between N-(2-bromoethyl)phthalimide and N,N,N'-trimethylethylenediamine results in lower yields of phthalimide protected precursor N-(2-N,N,N'-trimethylethylenediamine-ethyl)phthalimide^[17] (Scheme 2). Consequently, we prefer the synthesis of the nitrile precursor $[Me_2NCH_2CH_2N(Me)CH_2CN]$ followed by reduction with LiAlH₄ to produce **1**.

Using compound 1 as our starting point one can condense it with 3,3-dimethyl-2-butanone tBuC(O)CH₃ in the presence of a catalytic amount of p-TsOH·H₂O (ca. 0.1 equiv) to afford the imine $[Me_2NCH_2CH_2N(Me)CH_2CH_2N = C(tBu)CH_3]$ (2) (Scheme 2). Akin to the protocol used for the preparation of Budzelaar's [ArNHC(*t*Bu)CHC(*t*Bu)NAr] (Ar = $2,6-iPr_2C_6H_3$),^[20] compound **2** was first deprotonated via slow addition of LinBu. The reaction likely traverses through most the salt Li { $Me_2NCH_2CH_2N(CH_3)CH_2CH_2NC(tBu)CH_2$ } (A), which is then quenched with the chloroimine *t*BuC(NAr)Cl^[20] (Scheme 2). However, unlike the reported preparation of [ArNHC(tBu)CHC(t-Bu)NAr],^[20] deprotonation of the imine methyl in 2 does not require the need of TMEDA encapsulated LiCH₃ or LinBu to form a more powerful base. After quenching of A with tBuC(-NAr)Cl, an oily residue and a yellow solid could be separated by work-up of the reaction. The ¹H NMR spectrum (Figure S4) of the oil revealed formation of [ArNHC(tBu)CHC(t-Bu)NCH₂CH₂N(Me)CH₂CH₂NMe₂], defined as H(^{tBu}L2) (3), but accompanied with formation of at least two other major sideproducts which we could not identify (Scheme 2). Attempts to purify 3 from the crude reaction mixture were hampered given the presence of several species in the mixture. Examination of the yellow solid by NMR spectroscopy (vide supra) revealed formation of the lithio salt Li{ArNC(tBu)CHC(t-Bu)NCH₂CH₂N(Me)CH₂CH₂NMe₂} defined as Li(^{tBu}L2) (4), which was formed from the deprotonation of H(^{tBu}L2) (3). As a result, we resorted to deprotonating 3 without purification to obtain the precipitate 4, isolated as a yellow powder in 48% yield in three-steps from 2. Gratifyingly, deprotonation of the crude 3 still allows isolation of pure 4 thus leaving behind the other neutral side products formed from the reaction of A with the



Scheme 2. Synthetic entry to the lithio salt 4 of the β -diketiminato ligand ^{rBu}L2 starting from the trisamino precursor 1, which can be prepared by two separate reactions stemming from *N*,*N*,*N*'-trimethylethylenediamine.

2

Chem. Asian J. **2019**, 00, 0 – 0

www.chemasianj.org



CHEMISTRY AN ASIAN JOURNAL Full Paper

electrophile tBuC(NAr)CI. After separation and washing of the salt **4**, the pentane filtrate can be concentrated which resulted in the deposit of a small amount of colorless crystals of the triazatetraene [ArNC(tBu)NC(CH₂)C(tBu)CHCHC(tBu)NAr] (**5**). We propose **5** to be a side-product that likely results from the deprotonation and degradation of the ethylene group in **2** as well as the addition of the $tBuC(NAr)^+$ carbonium to the nucleophilic nitrogen in a species such as **A** (Scheme 2). Figure 1 (bottom) depicts a solid-state structural diagram of **5**, a species we propose to be one of the side-products of the reaction between **A** and the chloroimine tBuC(NAr)CI. Since compound **5** is formed in a very low yield and is not relevant to our subsequent studies reported herein no further characterization of this side-product nor the other organic material spectroscopically observed in solution was pursued.

The NMR spectra of **4** display asymmetric features for the β -diketiminato scaffold in the ^{tBu}L2 ligand, such as two inequivalent tBu groups at 1.34 and 1.39 ppm in the ¹H NMR spectrum. The ⁷Li NMR spectrum confirmed the presence of Li⁺ at 1.73 ppm. In addition to spectroscopic data and to conclusively establish the connectivity of the ligand precursor **4**, an XRD (X-ray diffraction) study on a single crystal grown from pentane at $-35\,^{\circ}\text{C}$ was performed. As shown on the top of Figure 1, the solid-state structure of **4** reveals a lithium ion encapsulated by tetradentate ligand. Distortion of the lithium from an idealized tetrahedral geometry to trigonal pyramid is evident given the planarity of N1, N3 and N4 and small deviation of the Li atom from such an imaginary plane (N1-N3-N4), 0.235 Å (τ_4 = 0.65).^[21]

Having the lithio salt **4** in hand, we conducted a transmetallation reaction with $ZrCl_4(THF)_2$ in toluene at room temperature. After mixing the two reagents and subsequent work-up of the mixture, the zirconium trichlorido complex (^{TBu}L2)ZrCl₃ (**6**) was isolated in 85% yield as a pale yellow solid (Scheme 3).



Figure 1. Solid-state structures of compounds 4 (top) and 5 (bottom) showing thermal ellipsoids at the 50% probability level.



Scheme 3. Synthesis of complex 6 with the lithio salt 4 and subsequent reductions with two equiv of KC_8 to form 7 and 8. Plausible intermediates leading to 7 and 8 are shown in brackets.

3

Chem. Asian J. 2019, 00, 0-0

These are not the final page numbers! 77

www.chemasianj.org



Figure 2. Solid-state structure of compound **6** (left) with H-atoms omitted for clarity with the exception of H2, and the first coordination sphere at Zr^{IV} (right) showing thermal ellipsoids at the 50% probability level.

To our surprise, however, the solid-state structure of a single crystal grown from Et₂O at -35 °C revealed an eight-coordinate Zr^{IV} complex whereby the $^{tBu}L2$ not only binds through the four nitrogen sites but with coordination also occurring at the $\gamma\text{-C}$ of the [NCCCN] part in the $^{t\text{Bu}}\text{L2}$ ligand (Figure 2). The Zr–C distance of 2.612(4) Å is comparable to those of eight-coordinated zirconium alkyl complexes.^[17a, 22] In contrast to 4, the contracted C-N and elongated C-C bond distances (C-N: 1.305(5) Å, 1.337(5) Å; C-C: 1.440(6) Å, 1.418(6) Å) in the [NCCCN] skeleton exhibits bond alternation to represent a bis(imino)alkyl character of the ^{tBu}L2 ligand. As a result of this additional binding site, the [Zr(NCCCN)] ring in 6 distorts to a boat-like conformation with the metal ion and γ -C taking up the bow and stern, and with the three chloride ligands occupying a pseudo mer-configuration. The first coordination sphere around the zirconium center, shown in the right side of Figure 2, represents a distorted trigonal dodecahedral geometry.^[23] In addition to the structural data in solid-state, the ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectrum of **6** also showed the γ -C in the [NCCCN] framework at 78.1 ppm, which is significantly high field-shifted compared to that of the lithio salt 4 (91.9 ppm).

Reduction of **6** with two equiv of KC₈ in THF resulted in the formation of the distorted octahedral zirconium imide-chloride [C(*t*Bu)CHC(*t*Bu)NCH₂CH₂N(Me)CH₂CH₂NMe₂]Zr(=NAr)(Cl) (7) isolated in 70% yield as a reddish orange solid. Salient spectroscopic features for **7** include a highly downfield ¹³C{¹H} NMR spectroscopic resonance of the α -C in [ZrCCCN] at 248.3 ppm as well as the γ -C in the [ZrCCCN] at 187.0 ppm, which are comparable to those of reported azabutadienyl complexes.^[11, 17]

An XRD study of a single crystal of **7** grown from THF/pentane at -35 °C reveals mononuclear and coordinatively saturated Zr^{IV} complex with a terminal imido moiety (Zr=N, 1.8776(10) Å) (Figure 3 top and Table 1). This Zr=N bond length is comparable to those of other terminal zirconium imides reported in the literature (1.8185(17)–1.913(2) Å).^[17a,24] As the result of C=N bond reductive cleavage of ^{rBu}L2, an azabutadienyl ligand chelates to the metal center, with the two pendant amino residues remaining intact. The imido ligand is *trans* to the dimethyl amino group (N1-Zr1-N4: 171.91(4)°), whereas the remaining chlorido ligand resides *transoid* to the azabutadienyl nitrogen (Cl1-Zr1-N2: 164.64(3)°). Our group^[17] and oth-



Figure 3. Solid-state structures of compounds 7 (top) and $8-2Et_2O$ (bottom) showing thermal ellipsoids at the 50% probability level. H-atoms and cocrystallized THF have been omitted for clarity.

 $ers^{\scriptscriptstyle [10b,\,11,\,12,\,25]}$ have observed similar C=N bond reductive cleavage reactions involving the β -diketiminato scaffold and despite having pendant amino groups, the ^{tBu}L2 ligand still succumbs to reductive cleavage of the imino group. Formation of 7 most likely traverses via a transient Zr^{II} intermediate (tBuL2)ZrCl (B) (most likely not a concerted step and involving side-on coordination of the imine group to Zr), which readily splits the C=N bond of the ligand. It was found that another minor product was formed in the mixture when a slight excess of reductant was used. Optimization of the reaction conditions revealed that the additional material was being generated by the twoelectron reduction of 7. Accordingly, treating an isolated sample of 7 with two equiv of KC_8 in THF at -35 °C resulted in formation of the other Zr^{IV} species 8-2THF (observed only in traces using the original conditions) which could then be isolated in 42% yield as a yellow solid (Scheme 3). Although the ¹H NMR spectrum showed the aryl imido group, the entire spectrum is guite broad and uninformative. To establish the connectivity of this new species, single crystals were grown as an Et₂O adduct, instead of the original THF adduct 8-2THF, from a concentrated solution in Et₂O at -35 °C. Figure 3 bottom depicts the molecular structure of the ate-complex

Chem. Asian J. **2019**, 00, 0 – 0

www.chemasianj.org

4

ces (Å) and angles (°) around the Zr center.

	6 (X = CI)	7 (X = CI)	8-2Et₂O (X = C29)	9 (X = N ₃)	10 (X = N ₃)
Zr-N1	2.325(3)	1.8776(10)	1.9506(15)	2.320(3)	1.881(3)
Zr-N2	2.176(4)	2.3613(10)	2.0986(15)	2.171(3)	2.349(3)
Zr-N3	2.522(3)	2.3773(11)	2.4495(15)	2.486(3)	2.378(3)
Zr-N4	2.677(4)	2.6314(11)	-	2.609(3)	2.609(3)
Zr-C1	-	2.3151(12)	2.2760(17)	-	2.321(3)
Zr-X	2.4753(11)	2.5044(4)	2.3791(18)	2.133(3)	2.186(3)
(X = Cl, N ₃ , C29)	2.5008(10)			2.172(3)	
	2.5608(11)			2.216(3)	
Zr out of [NC ₃ N] plane	1.785	-	-	1.781	-
Zr-C2	2.612(4)	-	-	2.629(3)	-
N1-Zr-N2	77.09(13)	94.74(4)	117.87(6)	76.86(10)	95.56(11)
N1-Zr-N3	128.15(12)	99.61(4)	116.36(6)	128.33(9)	96.94(11)
N1-Zr-N4	141.64(13)	171.91(4)	-	141.01(9)	169.22(11)
N2-Zr-N3	68.95(12)	71.45(4)	68.61(5)	70.62(10)	71.22(10)
N2-Zr-N4	136.60(12)	84.20(4)	-	138.93(10)	82.27(9)
N3-Zr-N4	69.60(12)	72.43(4)	-	71.07(9)	72.35(10)
X-Zr-X	84.22(4)	-	-	84.83(11)	-
(X = CI, N ₃ , C29)	101.29(4)			102.01(11)	
	152.61(4)			149.90(12)	
X-Zr-C1 (X = Cl, N ₃ , C29)	-	113.71(3)	95.26(6)	-	114.54(11)
X-Zr-N1	75.14(9)	98.79(3)	99.96(6)	75.07(11)	101.72(12)
(X = CI, N ₃ , C29)	83.33(8)			84.83(11)	
	132.24(9)			135.02(11)	
X-Zr-N2	84.15(10)	164.64(3)	136.50(6)	82.60(11)	159.71(11)
(X = CI, N ₃ , C29)	106.22(10)			109.05(11)	
	140.51(9)			138.00(11)	
X-Zr-N3	78.12(9)	98.98(3)	76.05(6)	78.96(11)	95.98(11)
(X = Cl, N ₃ , C29)	82.42(9)			79.17(11)	
	145.69(9)			144.58(11)	
X-Zr-N4	76.80(9)	81.38(3)	-	74.63(11)	78.78(10)
(X = Cl, N ₃ , C29)	77.01(8)			76.23(11)	
	78.25(8)			77.27(11)	

Table 1. Metric parameters in solid-state structures of compounds 6-10. Bond distan-

 $[K(OEt_2)_2]{[CH(tBu)CHC(tBu)NCH_2CH_2N(Me)CH_2CH_2N(Me)CH_2]Zr=$ NAr} (8-2Et₂O) (Table 1). Inspection of the structure immediately reveals that a methyl moiety of the amino group (Zr1-C29, 2.3791(18) Å), has been activated with the hydrogen being now shifted to the carbon of the formal azabutadienyl ligand in 7. The bond distances in the five-membered framework Zr[CCCN] showed a shorter C2-C3 bond (1.391(3) Å) and longer C1–C2 (1.478(2) Å) and C3–N2 (1.388(2) Å) bonds than those of compound 7 (1.4814(18), 1.3502 (18), 1.2974(16), respectively). A potassium counter cation coordinates to the imido nitrogen (Zr1=N, 1.9506(15) Å) as well as to the β -nitrogen at the metallated site, with two Et₂O ligands completing its coordination sphere. The formation of 8-2L (L=THF or Et₂O) from 7 and two equiv of KC₈ most likely traverses via an anionic Zr^{II} imido species (C) or Zr^{IV} ligand-based reduction species (D), which has a Zr=C double bond. Lastly, abstraction of hydrogen in a C-H bond of a methylamino group to the azametallacyclopentadienyl moiety in D results in the final product 8-2L.

We recently have found that reduction of the *trans*- Zr^{IV} bis azido precursor (PN)₂Zr(N₃)₂ (PN⁻ = *N*-(2-*i*Pr₂P-4-methylphenyl)-2,4,6-trimethylanilide) with KC₈ promoted both azide elimination and N₂ extrusion to afford an unprecedented mononuclear parent imide of zirconium, namely (PN)₂Zr=NH.^[26] We in-

CHEMISTRY AN ASIAN JOURNAL Full Paper

quired whether replacement of all chlorido ligands for azides would circumvent the reductive C=N bond cleavage pathway for a more intuitively simpler N₂ extrusion process via 2e⁻ disproportionation of N_3^- to N_2 and N^{3-} . We anticipated that reduction of the azide would expel N₂ which would require minimal reorganization energy as opposed to reductive C=N splitting via a more complex ligand rearrangement of (^{tBu}L2), thus providing entry to a hypothetical neutral zirconium nitride ($^{tBu}L2$)Zr \equiv N (E) (Scheme 4). Accordingly, treatment of complex 6 with an excess of NaN₃ in THF over 18 hours resulted in smooth formation of the tris-azido complex $(^{tBu}L2)Zr(N_3)_3$ (9) in 88% yield as a translucent solid (Scheme 4). Although the NMR spectral data of 9 display almost identical features which were observed in the trichlorido complex **6** such as the γ -C position of [NCCCN] at 77.2 ppm, the IR spectrum revealed a diagnostic $v(N_3)$ stretch at 2099 cm⁻¹ as a very strong stretch similar to reported zirconium azides^[27] and other early-transition metal tris-azido complexes.^[28] An XRD of 9 confirmed the presence of three azido ligands bound to Zr^{IV} (Zr-N_{azide}, 2.133(3)-2.216(3) Å) and with an overall similar trigonal dodecahedral geometry^[23] to the chloride precursor 6 (Figure 4, left and Table 1).

With the azido ligands in place, we then subjected complex **9** to reducing conditions akin to how complex **6** was treated with KC₈. Accordingly, adding two equiv of KC₈ to **9** in THF at -35 °C, and allowing the mixture to stir for 2 hours at room temperature resulted in formation of the azide-imide [C(tBu)CHC(tBu)NCH₂CH₂N(Me)CH₂CH₂NMe₂]Zr =



Scheme 4. Synthesis of complex **9** from salt metathesis of **6** and NaN₃ as well as subsequent two electron reduction to **10**. Formation of a hypothetical zirconium nitride **E** via reduction of **9** was not observed.

Chem. Asian J. **2019**, 00, 0-0

www.chemasianj.org

5

© 2019 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

These are not the final page numbers! **77**



Figure 4. Solid-state structures of compounds **9** (left) and **10** (right) showing thermal ellipsoids at the 50% probability level. H-atoms and co-crystallized THF have been omitted for clarity.

NAr(N₃) (**10**) isolated in 51% yield as a red solid (Scheme 4). Disappointingly, we observe no evidence for the formation of the nitride **E**, therefore implying that azide disproportionation of the intermediate the Zr^{II} (^{HBU}L2)Zr(N₃) to N₂ and N³⁻ is unfavourable with respect to C=N bond cleavage of the ^{HBU}L2, at least under our reaction conditions. Formation of **10** is based on ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectroscopic data, which resemble the chlorido analogue **7** (vide supra), as well as an IR stretch (v(N₃): 2019 cm⁻¹). In addition, an XRD study on a single crystal of **10** confirmed the monomeric and pseudo octahedral nature of the Zr center, the terminal imido group (Zr = N, 1.881(3) Å) and the reductive cleavage of the C=N bond on ^{tBu}L2 (Figure 4, right and Table 1).

Conclusions

We have shown a synthetic route to the lithio salt of a multidentate β -diketiminato ligand having pendant amino groups, Li(^{rBu}L2) (4). Using the lithio salt 4, eight-coordinated complexes of zirconium with three chlorido and azido ligands have been prepared and structurally characterized. Reduction of these zirconium(IV) complexes 6 and 9 with KC₈ resulted in formation of azabutadienyl-imido complexes 7 and 10 via degradation of the β -diketiminato scaffold by a low-valent zirconium species. Further reduction of the azabutadienyl-imide 7 by KC₈ formed an anionic imido species 8-2L, which has also been structurally characterized. Syntheses of other metal complexes and further reactivity studies of the zirconium complexes will be forthcoming.

Experimental Section

General Procedure.

All operations were performed in an M. Braun glove box or using Schlenk techniques under a nitrogen atmosphere unless otherwise stated. Anhydrous hydrocarbon solvents and CH_2CI_2 were purchased from Fisher Scientific. Stabilizer-free ethereal solvents (Et₂O and THF) were purchased from Alfa Aesar. All anhydrous hydrocarbon solvents (pentane, hexane and toluene) were purified and dried by passage through two columns of activated alumina and Q-5 drying agent in a Grubbs-type solvent system. CH_2CI_2 and

ethereal solvents were dried by passage through two columns of activated alumina. All bulk solvents were kept over 4 Å molecular sieves and sodium with the exception of CH_2CI_2 . Benzene- d_6 (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories) was dried and degassed over a potassium mirror prior to use. Chloroform-d (Cambridge Isotope Laboratories) was dried and distilled over calcium hydride prior to use. Celite and 4 Å molecular sieves were activated under vacuum overnight at 200 °C. $ZrCl_4(THF)_{2r}^{[29]} KC_{8r}^{[30]} N^1-(2-aminoethyl)-N^1,N^2,N^2-tri$ methylethane-1,2-diamine (1),^[18] and 1-chloro-2-(2,6-diisopropylphenylimino)-2,2-dimethylpropane^[31] were prepared according to the reported procedures. NaN₃ was dried by stirring in anhydrous THF overnight, washed with anhydrous Et₂O and pentane and then evacuated at room temperature overnight. All other chemicals were purchased from commercial sources and used as received. ¹H, ¹³C, ⁷Li and 2D (COSY, HSQC, and HMBC) NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AV-II 500 MHz or AV-III 400 MHz spectrometer. ¹H and ¹³C{¹H} NMR chemical shifts are reported referenced to the internal residual proton or carbon resonances of C₆D₆ $(\delta = 7.16 \text{ ppm} \text{ or } 128.06 \text{ ppm})$ or CDCl₃ $(\delta = 7.26 \text{ ppm} \text{ or }$ 77.16 ppm). ⁷Li NMR chemical shift is reported referenced to the external LiCl solution in D₂O (δ = 0.00 ppm). IR samples were prepared by a KBr plate method (JASCO Tablet Master) and IR spectra were obtained on a JASCO FT/IR-4600 spectrometer. Elemental analyses were performed by Midwest Microlab, Inc (Indiana, USA).

Synthesis of compound 2.

To a colorless solution of 3,3-dimehyl-2-butanone (3.12 g, 31.2 mmol) and **1** (4.28 g, 29.5 mmol) in toluene (100 mL) was added *p*-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate (500 mg, 2.63 mmol) as a solid at room temperature. The reaction mixture was heated at 120 °C under a dry nitrogen atmosphere equipped with a Dean-Stark apparatus and a Vigreux column. During condensation, the reaction mixture gradually changed in color to brown and water (ca. 0.5 mL) was collected in the Dean–Stark apparatus. After refluxing for 18 hours, the reaction mixture was cooled down to room temperature. The solvent was removed under vacuum at room temperature to yield a brown oil. From the brown oil, a colorless oil of pure N^1 -(2-((3,3-dimethylbutan-2-ylidene)amino)ethyl)- N^1,N^2,N^2 -trimethylethane-1,2-diamine **2** (4.73 g, 20.8 mmol, 71% yield) was obtained by distillation (200 mTorr at 80–85 °C).

¹H NMR (500 MHz, Benzene- $d_{6'}$ 300 K): $\delta = 3.36$ (t, ³ $J_{HH} = 8$ Hz, 2 H, NCH₂CH₂N), 2.83 (t, ³J_{HH}=8 Hz, 2 H, NCH₂CH₂N), 2.59 (t, ³J_{HH}=8 Hz, 2 H, NCH₂CH₂N), 2.41 (t, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 8$ Hz, 2 H, NCH₂CH₂N), 2.28 (s, 3 H, NMe), 2.13 (s, 6H, NMe₂), 1.48 (s, 3H, N=C-Me), 1.11 ppm (s, 9H, N=C-tBu). ¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-d, 300 K): δ = 3.35 (t, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 8$ Hz, 2 H, NCH₂CH₂N), 2.64 (t, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 8$ Hz, 2 H, NCH₂CH₂N), 2.55 $(t, {}^{3}J_{HH} = 8 Hz, 2 H, NCH_{2}CH_{2}N), 2.41 (t, {}^{3}J_{HH} = 8 Hz, 2 H, NCH_{2}CH_{2}N),$ 2.31 (s, 3H, NMe), 2.22 (s, 6H, NMe₂), 1.77 (s, 3H, N=C-Me), 1.07 ppm (s, 9H, N = C-tBu). ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR (126 MHz, Benzene- d_{6r} 300 K): $\delta = 173.78$ (N = C), 59.75 (NCH₂CH₂N), 58.50 (NCH₂CH₂N), 57.13 (NCH₂CH₂N), 50.79 (NCH₂CH₂N), 46.11 (N (CH₃)₂), 43.54 (NCH₃), 40.61 (N=C-C(CH₃)₃), 28.00 (N=C-C(CH₃)₃), 12.75 ppm (N=C-CH₃). IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} = 2965$ (s), 2901 (s), 2864 (s), 2765 (s), 1654 (s), 1462 (s), 1362 (m), 1130 (m), 1029 (m), 935 (w), 846 (w), 827 (w), 790 (w), 467 (w), 412 cm⁻¹ (w). Anal. Calcd. for C₁₃H₂₉N₃: C, 68.67; H, 12.86; N, 18.48. Found: C, 68.57; H, 12.98; N, 18.33.

Synthesis of compound 3.

6

Under a dry nitrogen atmosphere, a clear solution of **2** (2.00 g, 8.80 mmol) in THF (40 mL) was cooled at -78 °C. LinBu (2.5 M in hexane, 3.55 mL, 8.88 mmol) was added via a syringe into the THF solution of **2** dropwise. After addition of LinBu, the reaction mix-

Chem. Asian J. **2019**, 00, 0–0

www.chemasianj.org



ture was allowed to warm up to room temperature, resulting in a color change to pale yellow. After stirred at room temperature for 2 hours, the pale yellow solution was transferred via a cannula into 1-chloro-2-(2,6-diisopropylphenylimino)-2,2-dimethylpropane

(2.47 g, 8.83 mmol) diluted in THF (30 mL) cooled at -78 °C, resulting in a color change to yellowish orange and precipitation of a white solid (LiCl). The reaction mixture was warmed up to room temperature. After stirred at room temperature for 1 hour, all volatile materials were removed under vacuum. The orange waxy residue was dissolved in hexane (50 mL) and filtered through Celite to remove LiCl. The filtrate was concentrated to ca. 10 mL and a yellow solid was precipitated. The precipitated yellow solid was collected by filtration and dried under vacuum. The obtained yellow solid was confirmed as the lithio salt **4** (1.87 g, 3.92 mmol, 45% yield) by NMR spectroscopy and X-ray crystallography. The filtrate part was evaporated under vacuum and the crude product **3** (Figure S4) was obtained as an orange oil (1.11 g, 2.35 mmol calculated as **3**, 27% crude yield). Purification of **3** from the obtained oil by distillation or column chromatography was failed.

Synthesis of compound 4.

Route A: To a yellowish orange solution of crude **3** (1.00 g, 2.10 mmol calculated as **3**) in hexane (20 mL) was added LinBu (2.5 \mbox{m} in hexane, 0.85 mL, 2.13 mmol) dropwise at -35 °C. The reaction mixture was warmed up to room temperature, resulting in a small amount of a white powder. After stirred at room temperature for 1 hour, the yellowish orange reaction mixture was filtered through Celite to remove insoluble materials. The filtrate was dried under vacuum. The brown residue was suspended in pentane (10 mL) and a yellow solid was formed. The yellow solid was collected by filtration and dried under vacuum to yield pure compound **4** (394 mg, 826 $\mbox{µmol}$, ca. 39% yield based on crude **3**). The brown filtrate from this synthesis was cooled at -35 °C overnight. A few translucent crystals were grown and confirmed as compound **5** by X-ray crystallography.

Method B: Under a dry nitrogen atmosphere, a colorless solution of 2 (6.00 g, 26.4 mmol) in THF (80 mL) was cooled at $-78\,^\circ\text{C}$. LinBu (2.5 m in hexane, 10.8 mL, 27.0 mmol) was added via a syringe into the THF solution of 2 dropwise and the reaction mixture was at room temperature for 2 hours. The pale yellow solution was transferred via a cannula into 1-chloro-2-(2,6-diisopropylphenylimino)-2,2-dimethylpropane (7.45 g, 26.6 mmol) in THF (50 mL) cooled at -78 °C. The reaction mixture was warmed up to room temperature and stirred for 1 hour. All volatile materials were removed under vacuum. The orange residue was dissolved in hexane (100 mL) and filtered through Celite. THF (10 mL) was added into the filtrate. The mixture was cooled at $-78\,^\circ\text{C}$ and LinBu (2.5 M in hexane, 10.5 mL, 26.3 mmol) was added dropwise. After addition of LinBu, the mixture was stirred at room temperature for 1 hour. The orange mixture was filtered through Celite and the filtrate was dried under vacuum. The yellowish orange residue was suspended in pentane (20 mL). A yellow solid was collected by filtration, washed with pentane (10 mL×2) and dried under vacuum to give compound 4 (6.12 g, 12.8 mmol, 48% yield from 2). Single crystals suitable for an XRD study were obtained from a concentrated solution in pentane at -35 °C.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, Benzene-*d*₆, 300 K): δ = 7.16 (overlapped with C₆D₅H, 2H, Ar-H), 7.02 (t, ³*J*_{HH} = 8 Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 5.32 (s, 1H, NC(tBu)CHC(tBu)N), 3.65–3.53 (m, overlapped, 3H, ArCHMe₂ and NCH₂), 3.46 (m, 1H, ArCHMe₂), 2.34 (m, 2H, NCH₂), 1.93 (s, 6H, NMe₂), 1.95–1.90 (m, overlapped, 2H, NCH₂), 1.71 (s, 3H, NMe), 1.72–1.60 (m, overlapped, 1H, NCH₂), 1.45 (br, 6H, ArCHMe₂), 1.47–

1.41 (m, overlapped, 1H, NCH₂), 1.39 (s, 9H, tBu), 1.34 (s, 9H, tBu), 1.20 (d, 3H, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 6$ Hz, ArCHMe₂), 1.16 ppm (d, 3H, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 6$ Hz, ArCH*Me*₂). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, Benzene- d_{6r} 300 K): $\delta = 172.14$ (CtBu), 171.12 (CtBu), 151.60 (Ar), 140.81 (Ar), 138.54 (Ar), 122.82 (Ar), 122.65 (Ar), 119.52 (Ar), 91.89 (NC(tBu)CHC(tBu)N), 60.68 (NCH₂CH₂N), 58.03 (NCH₂CH₂N), 51.07 (NCH₂CH₂N), 46.76 (NCH₂CH₂N), 45.66 (N(CH₃)₂), 44.99 (NCH₃), 44.17 (C(CH₃)₃), 40.05 (C(CH₃)₃), 33.39 (C(CH₃)₃), 30.62 (C(CH₃)₃), 27.83 (Ar-CH(CH₃)₂), 25.98 (Ar-CH(CH₃)₂), 23.59 (Ar-CH(CH₃)₂), 22.21 ppm (Ar-CH(CH₃)₂). ⁷Li NMR (155 MHz, Benzene- d_{6r} 300 K): $\delta = 1.73$ ppm (s). IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu} = 3141$ (m), 3050 (s), 2953 (s), 2863 (s), 2786 (s), 2499 (s), 1561 (s), 1491 (s), 1455 (br), 1400 (br), 1361 (s), 1330 (s), 1286 (s), 1269 (m), 1255 (m), 1213 (m), 1183 (m), 1164 (m), 1141 (m), 1119 (m), 1102 (m), 1065 (m), 1038 (m), 1020 (m), 986 (w), 933 (w), 903 (w), 884 (m), 831 (m), 805 (w), 774 (s), 756 (s), 711 (s), 688 (s), 657 (w), 628 (w), 585 (w), 527 (w), 498 (w), 453 (w), 430 (w), 414 (w), 402 cm⁻¹ (w). Anal. Calcd. for C₃₀H₅₃N₄Li: C, 75.58; H, 11.21; N, 11.75. Found: C, 75.72; H, 11.29; N, 11.61.

Synthesis of compound 6.

To a white suspension of $ZrCl_4(THF)_2$ (633 mg, 1.68 mmol) in toluene (30 mL) was added **4** (800 mg, 1.68 mmol) as solid at room temperature. The reaction mixture gradually changed in color from yellow to pale yellow. After stirred at room temperature for 3 hours, all volatile materials were removed under vacuum. The pale yellow residue was suspended in CH_2Cl_2 (30 mL) and filtered through Celite to remove insoluble materials. The pale yellow filtrate was dried under vacuum. The residue was suspended in pentane (10 mL) and the pale yellow solid was collected by filtration, washed with pentane (5 mL) and dried under vacuum to yield compound **6** (947 mg, 1.42 mmol, 85% yield). Single crystals suitable for an XRD study were obtained from a concentrated solution in Et₂O at -35 °C.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, Chloroform-*d*, 300 K): $\delta =$ 7.11 (d, ³J_{HH} = 6 Hz, 2 H, Ar-H), 6.95 (br, 1H, Ar-H), 5.38 (s, 1H, NC(tBu)CHC(tBu)N), 4.41 (br, 1H, NCH₂), 4.13 (br, 3H, NCH₂ and 2 x ArCHMe₂), 3.85 (br, 1H, NCH2), 3.34 (br, 1 H, NCH2), 2.78 (s, 6 H, NMe2), 2.75 (NMe), 2.61 (br, 1 H, NCH₂), 2.36–2.10 (br, 3 H, NCH₂), 1.53 (s, 9 H, tBu), 1.36 (br, 3 H, ArCHMe2), 1.26 (br, 3H, ArCHMe2), 1.15 (s, 9H, tBu), 1.11 (br, 3H, ArCHMe₂), 1.00 ppm (br, 3 H, ArCHMe₂). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, Chloroform-d, 300 K): $\delta = 173.08$ (CtBu), 166.37 (CtBu), 146.16 (Ar), 144.27 (Ar), 141.29 (Ar), 125.84 (Ar), 124.23 (Ar), 122.21 (Ar), 78.12 (NC(tBu)CHC(tBu)N), 63.38 (NCH₂CH₂N), 59.35 (NCH₂CH₂N), 58.95 (NCH_2CH_2N) , 52.14 $(N(CH_3)_2)$, 50.52 (NCH_2CH_2N) , 49.83 (NCH_3) , 43.56 (C(CH₃)₃), 40.40 (C(CH₃)₃), 30.83 (C(CH₃)₃), 29.64 (C(CH₃)₃), 28.20 (Ar-CH(CH₃)₂), 27.32 (Ar-CH(CH₃)₂), 25.98 (2 x Ar-CH(CH₃)₂), 24.82 (Ar-CH(CH₃)₂), 23.42 (Ar-CH(CH₃)₂). IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu} = 2962$ (s), 2867 (m), 1646 (w), 1558 (w), 1466 (s), 1387 (m), 1363 (m), 1326 (m), 1204 (m), 1042 (m), 933 (m), 784 (m), 761 (m), 623 (w), 517 (w), 432 cm⁻¹ (w). Anal. Calcd. for $C_{30}H_{53}N_4Cl_3Zr$: C, 53.99; H, 8.01; N, 8.40. Found: C, 53.79; H, 8.13; N, 8.22.

Synthesis of compound 7.

To a pale yellow solution of **6** (200 mg, 300 μ mol) in THF (5 mL) was added KC₈ (86.1 mg, 637 μ mol) in THF (5 mL) at -35 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 hours and filtered through Celite to remove insoluble materials. The reddish orange filtrate was concentrated to ca. 5 mL, layered with pentane (5 mL) and stored at -35 °C to afford compound **7**·0.5(THF) (133 mg, 210 μ mol, 70% yield) as an orange crystalline solid. The amount of the residual THF molecule in the obtained solid was determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy and elemental analysis. Single

Chem. Asian J.	2019, (00, 0 – 0	١
----------------	---------	-----------	---

www.chemasianj.org

7

crystals suitable for an XRD study were obtained from a layered solution in THF with pentane at $-35\,^\circ\text{C}.$

¹H NMR (400 MHz, Benzene- $d_{6'}$ 300 K): $\delta =$ 7.25 (d, ³ $J_{HH} =$ 7 Hz, 2 H, Ar-H), 6.96 (t, ³J_{HH} = 7 Hz, 1 H, Ar-H), 6.92 (s, 1 H, ZrC(tBu)CHC(tBu)N), 4.73 (br, 2H, ArCHMe2), 3.58 (br, 2H, 0.5 THF), 3.52-3.47 (m, 1H, NCH₂), 3.56-3.21 (m, overlapped, 2H, NCH₂), 2.96 (br t, 1H, NCH₂), 2.49 (br s, 6H, NMe2), 2.46 (s, 3H, NMe), 2.11 (br t, 1H, NCH2), 1.64 (br, 2 H, 0.5 THF), 1.56 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 7$ Hz, 6 H, ArCHMe₂), 1.49 (d, ${}^{3}J_{HH} =$ 6 Hz, 6 H, ArCHMe₂), 1.39 (s, 9 H, tBu), 1.43-1.39 (m, overlapped, 1 H, NCH₂), 1.22 (br t, 2H, NCH₂), 1.10 ppm (s, 9H, tBu). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, Benzene- d_6 /THF, 300 K): $\delta = 248.29$ (ZrCtBu), 187.04 (NCtBu), 173.06 (Ar), 152.87 (Ar), 144.28 (Ar), 129.82 (Ar), 125.98 (Ar), 122.06 (Ar), 117.22 (C(tBu)CHC(tBu)N), 59.24 (NCH₂CH₂N), 56.94 (NCH₂CH₂N), 52.31 (NCH₂CH₂N), 48.29 (NCH₂CH₂N), 47.46 (N(CH₃)₂), 43.53 (NCH₃), 40.82 (C(CH₃)₃), 40.34 (C(CH₃)₃), 31.17 (C(CH₃)₃), 30.93 (C(CH₃)₃), 26.98 (Ar-CH(CH₃)₂), 25.90 (Ar-CH(CH₃)₂), 25.11 (Ar-CH(CH₃)₂), 24.60 ppm (Ar-CH(CH₃)₂). IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu} = 2956$ (s), 2864 (s), 1625 (w), 1582 (w), 1540 (w), 1464 (s), 1420 (s), 1398 (w), 1340 (w), 1324 (s), 1284 (s), 1237 (m), 1204 (m), 1127 (m), 1101 (m), 1077 (m), 1065 (m), 1025 (m), 1006 (m), 984 (m), 949 (m), 930 (m), 893 (m), 861 (m), 802 (m), 785 (s), 761 (s), 684 (w), 632 (w), 550 (w), 517 cm $^{-1}$ (w). Anal. Calcd. for $C_{32}H_{57}N_4O_{0.5}ClZr\colon C,\ 60.77;\ H,\ 9.08;\ N,$ 8.86. Found: C, 60.87; H, 9.29; N, 8.75.

Synthesis of compound 8-2THF.

To an orange solution of **7**·0.5(THF) (100 mg, 158 µmol) in THF (10 mL) was added KC₈ (48.1 mg, 356 µmol) in THF (5 mL) at -35 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 hours and filtered through Celite to remove insoluble materials. The dark brown filtrate was concentrated to ca. 1 mL, layered with pentane (5 mL) and stored at -35 °C to afford compound **8-2THF** (49.3 mg, 826 µmol, 42% yield) as yellow crystals. Single crystals suitable for an XRD study were obtained from a concentrated solution in Et₂O at -35 °C as an Et₂O adduct **8-2Et₂O**.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, Benzene- $d_{6'}$ 300 K): $\delta =$ 7.09 (d, ³ $J_{HH} =$ 6 Hz, 2 H, Ar-H), 6.69 (t, ³J_{HH}=6 Hz, 1 H, Ar-H), 4.82 (br, 1 H, C(tBu)CHC(tBu)N), 4.30-3.70 (br, overlapped, 5H, ArCHMe₂ and NCH₂), 3.56 (br, THF), 3.31 (br, 1H, NCH₂), 2.56 (br, 1H, NCH₂), 2.25–1.90 (br, overlapped, 4H, NMe and NCH₂), 1.84 (br, 3H, NMe), 1.50 (br, 6H, ArCHMe₂), 1.40 (br, THF), 1.35 (br, 6H, ArCHMe2), 1.31 (br s, 18H, tBu), 1.30-1.20 (overlapped, 4H, NCH₂ and ZrCH₂N), 0.56 ppm (br, 1H, ZrCH). Poor solubility and fluxional behavior of 8-2THF in solution prevent us from acquiring the ¹³C NMR and VT-NMR spectra. IR (KBr): $\tilde{v} =$ 2954 (s), 2865 (s), 2807 (w), 2700 (w), 1637 (br), 1579 (s), 1525 (w), 1459 (s), 1407 (s), 1354 (s), 1343 (s), 1282 (s), 1259 (w), 1237 (w), 1209 (w), 1172 (w), 1136 (w), 1109 (w), 1068 (w), 1050 (w), 1016 (w), 969 (w), 916 (m), 846 (w), 777 (w), 752 (m), 712 (w), 637 (w), 602 (w), 573 (w), 512 (w), 458 (w), 446 cm⁻¹ (w). Anal. Calcd. for C₃₈H₆₉N₄O₂KZr: C, 61.32; H, 9.34; N, 7.53. Found: C, 60.91; H, 9.14; N, 7.61. The elemental analysis data shows a low carbon value probably due to incomplete combustion.

Synthesis of compound 9.

To a pale yellow solution of **6** (160 mg, 240 μ mol) in THF (10 mL) was added NaN₃ (84.1 mg, 1.29 mmol) in THF (5 mL) at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 18 hours, resulting in a color change from pale yellow to colorless. The reaction mixture was filtered through Celite. The colorless filtrate was concentrated to ca. 1 mL, layered with pentane (5 mL) and stored at $-35\,^{\circ}$ C to afford compound **9** (146 mg, 212 μ mol, 88% yield) as a translucent crystalline solid. Single crystals suitable

for an XRD study were obtained from a layered solution in THF with pentane at $-35\,^\circ\text{C}.$

¹H NMR (400 MHz, Benzene- $d_{6'}$ 300 K): δ = 7.16 (overlapped with C_6D_5H , 2H, Ar-H), 7.03 (t, ${}^{3}J_{HH} = 7$ Hz, 1H, Ar-H), 5.53 (s, 1H, NC(tBu)CHC(tBu)N), 3.98 (br, 1H ArCHMe2), 3.70 (m, 1H, NCH2), 3.48 (br t, 1H, NCH₂), 3.11 (br t, 1H, NCH₂), 2.63 (br t, 1H, NCH₂), 2.33 (br, 1H ArCHMe₂), 2.30-2.25 (overlapped, m, 2H, NCH₂), 2.28 (s, 6H, NMe₂), 2.08 (NMe), 1.61 (overlapped, m, 1H, NCH₂), 1.62 (br, 3H, ArCHMe₂), 1.52 (br, 3H, ArCHMe₂), 1.33 (s, 9H, tBu), 1.30-1.28 (overlapped, m, 1H, NCH₂), 1.16 (br, 3H, ArCHMe₂), 0.97 ppm (br, 3H, ArCHMe₂). ¹³C{¹H} NMR (101 MHz, Benzene- d_6 /THF, 300 K): $\delta =$ 174.80 (CtBu), 166.62 (CtBu), 145.71 (Ar), 142.63 (Ar), 140.62 (Ar), 126.49 (Ar), 124.88 (Ar), 123.20 (Ar), 77.21 (NC(tBu)CHC(tBu)N), 65.25 (NCH₂CH₂N), 59.01 (NCH₂CH₂N), 58.24 (NCH₂CH₂N), 49.94 (N(CH₃)₂), 45.39 (NCH₂CH₂N), 43.67 (NCH₃), 43.67 (C(CH₃)₃), 40.17 (C(CH₃)₃), 31.19 (C(CH₃)₃), 29.56 (C(CH₃)₃), 28.41 (Ar-CH(CH₃)₂), 27.68 (Ar-CH(CH₃)₂), 25.74 (Ar-CH(CH₃)₂), 25.39 (Ar-CH(CH₃)₂), 25.05 (Ar-CH(CH₃)₂), 23.51 ppm (Ar-CH(CH₃)₂). IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu} = 3059$ (m), 3025 (s), 2968 (s), 2926 (s), 2870 (s), 2099 (s), 1543 (br), 1466 (s), 1392 (s), 1349 (m), 1329 (m), 1285 (m), 1256 (w), 1237 (w), 1203 (m), 1176 (m), 1164 (m), 1141 (m), 1129 (m), 1102 (m), 1078 (m), 1063 (m), 1049 (m), 1029 (m), 1008 (m), 961 (m), 935 (m), 911 (w), 892 (w), 847 (w), 805 (w), 785 (s), 770 (w), 738 (w), 696 (w), 624 (w), 601 (w), 590 (w), 576 (w), 506 (w), 494 cm⁻¹ (w). Anal. Calcd. for C₃₀H₅₃N₁₃Zr: C, 52.44; H, 7.78; N, 26.50. Found: C, 52.56; H, 7.91; N, 26.21.

Synthesis of compound 10.

To a white suspension of **9** (300 mg, 437 µmol) in THF (10 mL) was added KC₈ (65.1 mg, 482 µmol) in THF (5 mL) at -35 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 hours and filtered through Celite to remove insoluble materials. The reddish orange filtrate was concentrated to ca. 5 mL, layered with pentane (5 mL) and stored at -35 °C to afford compound **10** THF (151 mg, 224 µmol, 51% yield) as an orange crystalline solid. The amount of the residual THF molecule in the obtained solid was determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy and elemental analysis. Single crystals suitable for an XRD study were obtained from a concentrated solution in THF at -35 °C.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, Benzene- d_{6} , 300 K): δ = 7.24 (d, ³ J_{HH} = 7 Hz, 2 H, Ar-H), 6.93 (t, ³J_{HH} = 7 Hz, 1 H, Ar-H), 6.88 (s, 1 H, ZrC(tBu)CHC(tBu)N), 4.52 (br, 2 H, ArCHMe₂), 3.58 (br, 4 H, THF), 3.48-3.44 (m, 1 H, NCH₂), 3.33-3.12 (m, overlapped, 2H, NCH₂), 2.47 (br t, 1H, NCH₂), 2.28 (br s, 6H, NMe2), 2.19 (s, 3H, NMe), 2.01 (br t, 1H, NCH2), 1.60 (br, 2H, 0.5 THF), 1.65–1.60 (overlapped, 1 H, NCH₂), 1.53 (br, 6 H, ArCHMe₂), 1.42 (br, 6H, ArCHMe₂), 1.31 (s, 9H, tBu), 1.18 (br d, 2H, NCH₂), 1.10 ppm (s, 9H, *t*Bu). ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR (101 MHz, Benzene-*d*₆/THF, 300 K): $\delta = 152.89$ (Ar), 143.70 (Ar), 130.10 (Ar), 121.68 (Ar), 116.83 (C(tBu)CHC(tBu)N), 61.61 (NCH₂CH₂N), 59.30 (NCH₂CH₂N), 57.30 (NCH2CH2N), 52.24 (NCH2CH2N), 48.19 (N(CH3)2), 46.27 (NCH3), 41.35 (C(CH₃)₃), 41.08 (C(CH₃)₃), 31.01 (C(CH₃)₃), 29.81 (C(CH₃)₃), 27.33 (Ar-CH(CH₃)₂), 25.76 (Ar-CH(CH₃)₂), 24.52 (Ar-CH(CH₃)₂), 24.44 ppm (Ar- $CH(CH_3)_2$). Two resonances of the [C(tBu)] groups could not be located due to poor solubility of the compound. IR (KBr): $\tilde{\nu} = 3040$ (m), 2956 (s), 2861 (s), 2091 (s), 1581 (m), 1459 (s), 1417 (s), 1363 (s), 1334 (s), 1282 (s), 1258 (m), 1204 (w), 1175 (w), 1128 (w), 1105 (w), 1084, 1065 (s), 1022 (w), 1000 (w), 965 (w), 944 (m), 930 (w), 893 (w), 857 (w), 781 (s), 748 (s), 718 (w), 656 (w), 601 (w), 551 (w), 512 (w), 481 (w), 456 cm⁻¹ (w). Anal. Calcd. for $C_{34}H_{61}N_7OZr$: C, 60.49; H, 9.11; N, 14.52. Found: C, 60.31; H, 9.19; N, 14.66.

Chem. Asian J. **2019**, *00*, 0 – 0

www.chemasianj.org

8

Crystallographic studies.

Crystallographic data (CCDC 1898832-1898838) are summarized in Table S1–S7. Suitable crystals for X-ray analyses were placed on the end of a Cryoloop coated in NVH oil. The X-ray intensity data collection was carried out on a Bruker APEXII CCD area detector for 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8-2Et₂O and a Bruker D8QUEST CMOS area detector for **9** and **10** using graphite-monochromated $Mo_{\kappa\alpha}$ radiation ($\lambda =$ 0.71073 Å) at 100(2) K. Preliminary indexing was performed from a series of twenty-four for 4, 5, 6, 7, and 8-2Et₂O, or thirty-six for 9 and 10, 0.5° rotation frames with exposures of 10 seconds. Rotation frames were integrated using SAINT,^[32] producing a listing of non-averaged F^2 and $\sigma(F^2)$ values. The intensity data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects and for absorption using SADABS.[33] The initial structure was determined by the direct method on SHELXS.^[34] The further structure determination was performed by Fourier transform method and refined by least squares method on SHELXL.^[34] All reflections were used during refinement. Non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically and hydrogen atoms were refined using riding models. For 4, the amino-ethylene group was disordered over two positions. For 8-2Et₂O, one of the iPr groups was disordered over two positions. One of the solvent molecules on K⁺ was disordered as a mixture of Et₂O and THF in a 74:26 ratio, refined by FVAR constants. The thermal ellipsoids were fixed by SHELXL restraints. For 10, the co-crystallized THF molecule was disordered over two positions. The thermal ellipsoids were fixed by SHELXL restraints. These results were checked using the IUCR's CheckCIF routine. For 4 and 10, the absolute structures could not be determined in chiral space groups and the alerts in the output are related to their Flack parameters. The other alerts in the output are related to the disordered groups and crystal solvents. CCDC 1898832-1898838 contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre.

Acknowledgements

For funding, we thank the University of Pennsylvania and the Chemical Sciences, Geosciences, and Biosciences Division, Office of Basic Energy Sciences, Office of Science, U.S. Department of Energy (DEFG02-07ER15893), and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No. 21732007). D.J.M. acknowledges some financial support for a Chinese Academy of Sciences President's International Fellowship for Visiting Scientists.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Keywords: azides · imides · reduction · zirconium

- [1] a) L. Bourget-Merle, M. F. Lappert, J. R. Severn, *Chem. Rev.* 2002, *102*, 3031–3065; b) D. J. Mindiola, P. L. Holland, T. H. Warren, *Inorg. Synth.* 2010, *35*, 1–55.
- [2] a) X. Xu, X. Xu, Y. Chen, J. Sun, Organometallics 2008, 27, 758-763; b) X.
 Xu, Y. Chen, G. Zou, Z. Ma, G. Li, J. Organomet. Chem. 2010, 695, 1155-1162; c) J. Zhou, J. Chu, Y. Zhang, G. Yang, X. Leng, Y. Chen, Angew.
 Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 4243-4246; Angew. Chem. 2013, 125, 4337-4340; d) E. Lu, Y. Chen, X. Leng, Organometallics 2011, 30, 5433-5441; e) E. Lu, Y. Chen, J. Zhou, X. Leng, Organometallics 2012, 31, 4574-4578; f) Y. Lv, C. E. Kefalidis, J. Zhou, L. Maron, X. Leng, Y. Chen, J. Am.

Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 14784–14796; g) C. Wang, J. Zhou, X. Zhao, L. Maron, X. Leng, Y. Chen, Chem. Eur. J. 2016, 22, 1258–1261; h) Y. Lv, J. Zhou, X. Leng, Y. Chen, New J. Chem. 2015, 39, 7582–7588; i) C. Wang, L. Xiang, Y. Yang, J. Fang, L. Maron, X. Leng, Y. Chen, Chem. Eur. J. 2018, 24, 5637–5643; j) G. Feng, C. Du, L. Xiang, I. der Rosal, G. Li, X. Leng, E. Y.-X. Chen, L. Maron, Y. Chen, ACS Catal. 2018, 8, 4710–4718; k) X. Liu, L. Xiang, E. Louyriac, L. Maron, X. Leng, Y. Chen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 138–142.

- [3] a) E. Lu, Y. Li, Y. Chen, Chem. Commun. 2010, 46, 4469-4471; b) E. Lu, J. Chu, Y. Chen, M. V. Borzov, G. Li, Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 743-745; c) E. Lu, Q. Zhou, Y. Li, J. Chu, Y. Chen, X. Leng, J. Sun, Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 3403-3405; d) J. Chu, E. Lu, Z. Liu, Y. Chen, X. Leng, H. Song, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 7677-7680; Angew. Chem. 2011, 123, 7819-7822; e) J. Chu, X. Han, C. E. Kefalidis, J. Zhou, L. Maron, X. Leng, Y. Chen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 10894-10897; f) X. Han, L. Xiang, C. A. Lamsfus, W. Mao, E. Lu, L. Maron, X. Leng, Y. Chen, Chem. Eur. J. 2017, 23, 14728-14732; g) J. Chu, C. Wang, L. Xiang, X. Leng, Y. Chen, Organometallics 2017, 36, 4620-4625; h) E. Lu, J. Chu, Y. Chen, Acc. Chem. Res. 2018, 51, 557-566.
- [4] a) J. Chu, C. E. Kefalidis, L. Maron, X. Leng, Y. Chen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 8165–8168; b) J. Chu, Q. Zhou, Y. Li, X. Leng, Y. Chen, Sci. China Chem. 2014, 57, 1098–1105.
- [5] a) A. M. Neculai, H. W. Roesky, D. Neculai, J. Magull, *Organometallics* 2001, 20, 5501–5503; b) D. Neculai, H. W. Roesky, A. M. Neculai, J. Magull, H.-G. Schmidt, M. Noltemeyer, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 2002, 643–644, 47–52; c) G. B. Nikiforov, H. W. Roesky, T. Labahn, D. Vidovic, D. Neculai, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2003, 433–436; d) D. Neculai, H. W. Roesky, A. M. Neculai, J. Magull, R. Herbst-Irmer, B. Walfort, D. Stalke, *Organometallics* 2003, 22, 2279–2283; e) D. Neculai, A. M. Neculai, H. W. Roesky, R. Herbst-Lrmer, B. Walfort, D. Stalke, *Dalton Trans.* 2003, 2831–2834; f) A. M. Neculai, D. Neculai, H. W. Roesky, J. Magull, *Polyhedron* 2004, 23, 183–187; g) Y. Champouret, S. Gulli, J.-C. Daran, R. Poli, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2012, 1672–1679.
- [6] a) X. Xu, Y. Chen, G. Zhou, J. Sun, *Dalton Trans.* 2010, *39*, 3952–3958;
 b) W. D. Morris, P. T. Wolczanski, J. Sutter, K. Meyer, T. R. Cundari, E. B. Lobkovsky, *Inorg. Chem.* 2014, *53*, 7467–7484; c) W.-J. Chuang, H.-Y. Chen, W.-T. Chen, H.-Y. Chang, M. Y. Chiang, H.-Y. Chen, S. C. N. Hsu, *RSC Adv.* 2016, *6*, 36705–36714; d) W.-J. Chuang, S.-P. Hsu, K. Chand, F.-L. Yu, C.-L. Tsai, Y.-H. Tseng, Y.-H. Lu, J.-Y. Kuo, J. R. Carey, H.-Y. Chen, H.-Y. Chen, M.-Y. Chiang, S. C. N. Hsu, *Inorg. Chem.* 2017, *56*, 2722–2735; e) K. Chand, C.-L. Tsai, H.-Y. Chen, W.-M. Ching, S.-P. Hsu, J. R. Carey, S. C. N. Hsu, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2018, 1093–1098.
- [7] a) D. S. Laitar, C. J. N. Mathison, W. M. Davis, J. P. Sadighi, Inorg. Chem. 2003, 42, 7354-7356; b) M. H. Chisholm, J. C. Gallucci, K. Phomphrai, Inorg. Chem. 2004, 43, 6717-6725; c) N. W. Aboelella, B. F. Gherman, L. M. R. Hill, J. T. York, N. Holm, V. G. Young, C. H. Cramer, W. B. Tolman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 3445-3458; d) X. Liu, X. Shang, T. Tang, N. Hu, F. Pei, D. Cui, X. Chen, X. Jing, Organometallics 2007, 26, 2747-2757; e) F. Dulong, O. Bathily, P. Thuery, M. Ephritikhine, T. Cantat, Dalton Trans. 2012, 41, 11980-11983; f) F. Dulong, P. Thuéry, M. Ephritikhine, T. Cantat, Organometallics 2013, 32, 1328-1340; g) R. Olejník, Z. Padelková, A. Fridrichová, M. Horácek, J. Merna, A. Ruzicka, J. Organomet. Chem. 2014, 759, 1-10; h) P. Xu, Y. Yao, X. Xu, Chem. Eur. J. 2017, 23, 1263-1267; i) Z. Engelmann, S. Yao, E. R. Farquhar, T. Szilvási, U. Kuhlmann, P. Hildebrandt, M. Driess, K. Ray, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 297-301; Angew. Chem. 2017, 129, 303-307; j) K. Chand, C.-L. Tsai, H.-Y. Chen, W.-M. Ching, S.-P. Hsu, J. R. Carey, S. C. N. Hsu, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2017, 1093-1098.
- [8] a) V. K. Goedken, S.-M. Peng, Y.-A. Park, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1974, 96, 284–285; b) V. L. Goedken, S.-M. Peng, J. A. Molin-Norris, Y.-A. Park, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 8391–8400; c) D. A. Place, G. P. Ferrara, J. J. Harland, J. C. Dabrowiak, J. Heterocycl. Chem. 1980, 17, 439–443; d) S. Ciurli, C. Floriani, A. Chiesi-Villa, C. Guastini, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1986, 1401–1403; e) S. Ciurli, E. M. Meyer, C. Floriani, A. Chiesi-Villa, C. Guastini, Angew. Chem. 1987, 281–283; f) C. Floriani, S. Ciurli, A. Chiesi-Villa, C. Guastini, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 1987, 26, 70–72; Angew. Chem. 1987, 99, 86–88; g) C. Floriani, M. Nazzati, S. Ciurli, A. Chiesi-Villa, C. Guastini, J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. 1988, 1361–1365; h) S. C. Dunn, A. S. Batsanov, P. Mountford, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1994, 2007–2008; i) A. J. Blake, P. Mountford, G. I.

Chem. Asian J. **2019**, 00, 0 – 0

www.chemasianj.org

© 2019 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

These are not the final page numbers! **77**

Nikonov, D. Swallow, Chem. Commun. **1996**, 1835–1836; j) J. L. Kisko, T. Hascall, G. P. Parkin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1997**, *119*, 7609–7610.

- [9] a) S. Yuan, L. Zhang, D. Liu, W.-H. Sun, *Macromol. Res.* 2010, *18*, 690–694; b) S. Yuan, S. Bai, H. Tong, X. Wei, D. Liu, W.-H. Sun, *J. Organomet. Chem.* 2011, *370*, 215–223.
- [10] a) L. A. MacAdams, W.-K. Kim, L. M. Liable-Sands, I. A. Guzei, A. L. Rheingold, K. H. Theopold, Organometallics 2002, 21, 952–960; b) K. D. Conroy, W. E. Piers, M. Parvez, Organometallics 2009, 28, 6228–6233.
- [11] A. H. Obenhuber, T. L. Gianetti, X. Berrebi, R. G. Bergman, J. Arnold, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 2994–2997.
- [12] C. Camp, J. Arnold, *Dalton Trans.* **2016**, *45*, 14462–14498.
- [13] a) J. Scott, F. Basuli, A. R. Fout, J. C. Huffman, D. J. Mindiola, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 8502–8505; Angew. Chem. 2008, 120, 8630– 8633; b) B. F. Wicker, H. Fan, A. K. Hickey, M. G. Crestani, J. Scott, M. Pink, D. J. Mindiola, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 20081–20096; c) B. F. Wicker, J. Scott, A. R. Fout, M. Pink, D. J. Mindiola, Organometallics 2011, 30, 2453–2456; d) B. F. Wicker, M. Pink, D. J. Mindiola, Dalton Trans. 2011, 40, 9020–9025.
- [14] W. Rong, J. Cheng, Z. Mou, H. Xie, D. Cui, Organometallics 2013, 32, 5523-5529.
- [15] T. Chu, W. E. Piers, J. L. Dutton, M. Parvez, Organometallics 2013, 32, 1159-1165.
- [16] B. A. Clough, S. Mellino, E. Clot, P. Mountford, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 11165 – 11183.
- [17] a) F. Basuli, U. J. Kilgore, D. Brown, J. C. Huffman, D. J. Mindiola, Organometallics 2004, 23, 6166–6175; b) F. Basuli, J. C. Huffman, D. J. Mindiola, Inorg. Chim. Acta 2007, 360, 246–254.
- [18] B. Feldscher, H. Theil, A. Stammler, H. Bögge, T. Glaser, *Dalton Trans.* 2014, 43, 4102–4114.
- [19] H. Ford, C.-H. Chang, E. J. Behrman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1981**, 103, 7773 7779.
- [20] P. H. M. Budzelaar, A. B. van Oort, A. G. Orpen, Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 1998, 1485 – 1494.
- [21] L. Yang, D. R. Powell, R. P. Houser, Dalton Trans. 2007, 955-964.
- [22] a) D. Walther, R. Fischer, M. Friedrich, P. Gebhardt, H. Görls, Chem. Ber.
 1996, 129, 1389–1393; b) Z. Ziniuk, I. Goldberg, M. Kol, Inorg. Chem.
 Commun. 1999, 2, 549–551; c) T. Kurogi, M. Kamitani, B. C. Manor, P. J.
 Carroll, D. J. Mindiola, Organometallics 2017, 36, 74–79.
- [23] J. K. Brugett, R. Hoffmann, R. C. Fay, Inorg. Chem. 1978, 17, 2553-2568.
- [24] a) P. J. Walsh, F. J. Hollander, R. G. Bergman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 8729-8731; b) R. D. Profilet, C. H. Zambrano, P. E. Fanwick, J. J. Nash, I. P. Rothwell, Inorg. Chem. 1990, 29, 4362-4364; c) D. J. Arney, M. A. Bruck, S. R. Huber, D. E. Wigley, Inorg. Chem. 1992, 31, 3749-3755; d) C. P. Schaller, C. C. Cummins, P. T. Wolczanski, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1996, 118, 591-611; e) R. L. Zuckerman, S. W. Krska, R. G. Bergman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2000, 122, 751-761; f) A. J. Blake, P. E. Collier, L. H. Gade, P.

Mountford, J. Lloyd, S. M. Pugh, M. Schubart, M. E. G. Skinner, D. J. M. Trösch, *Inorg. Chem.* **2001**, *40*, 870–877; g) T.-G. Ong, D. Wood, G. P. A. Yap, D. S. Richeson, *Organometallics* **2002**, *21*, 1–3; h) T. Watanabe, Y. Ishida, T. Matsuo, H. Kawaguchi, *Dalton Trans.* **2010**, *39*, 484–491; i) T. Gehrmann, J. L. Fillol, H. Wadepohl, L. H. Gade, *Organometallics* **2012**, *31*, 4504–4515; j) G. T. Plundrich, H. Wadepohl, E. Clot, L. H. Gade, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2016**, *22*, 9283–9292; k) T. Kurogi, M. V. Mane, S. Zheng, P. J. Carroll, M.-H. Baik, D. J. Mindiola, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 1978–1981; *Angew. Chem.* **2018**, *130*, 1996–1999.

- [25] a) G. Bai, P. Wei, D. W. Stephan, Organometallics 2006, 25, 2649–2655;
 b) H. Hamaki, N. Takeda, N. Tokitoh, Organometallics 2006, 25, 2457–2464;
 c) H. Hamaki, N. Takeda, N. Tokitoh, Inorg. Chem. 2007, 46, 1795–1802;
 d) N. C. Tomson, J. Arnold, R. G. Bergman, Organometallics 2010, 29, 5010–5025;
 e) K.-C. Chang, C.-F. Lu, P.-Y. Wang, D.-Y. Lu, H.-Z. Chen, T.-S. Kuo, Y.-C. Tsai, Dalton Trans. 2011, 40, 2324–2331;
 f) A. H. Obenhuber, T. L. Gianetti, R. G. Bergman, J. Arnold, Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 1278–1281;
 g) T. D. Lohrey, R. G. Bergman, J. Arnold, Inorg. Chem. 2016, 55, 11993–12000.
- [26] L. N. Grant, B. Pinter, J. Gu, D. J. Mindiola, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 17399–17403.
- [27] a) P. Deokar, M. Vasiliu, D. A. Dixon, K. O. Christe, R. Haiges, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 14350–14354; Angew. Chem. 2016, 128, 14562– 14566; b) Y. Nakanishi, Y. Ishida, H. Kawaguchi, Inorg. Chem. 2016, 55, 3967–3973.
- [28] a) R. Haiges, M. Vasiliu, D. A. Dixon, K. O. Christe, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 9101–9105; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 9229–9233; b) R. Haiges, J. Skoinitzki, Z. Fang, D. A. Dixon, K. O. Christe, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 15550–15555; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 15771–15776; c) R. Haiges, M. Vasiliu, D. A. Dixon, K. O. Christe, Dalton Trans. 2016, 45, 10523–10529.
- [29] L. E. Manzer, Inorg. Synth. 1982, 21, 136.
- [30] B. Pinter, K. T. Smith, M. Kamitani, E. M. Zolnhofer, B. L. Tran, S. Fortier, M. Pink, G. Wu, B. C. Manor, K. Meyer, M.-H. Baik, D. J. Mindiola, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2015, *137*, 15247–15261.
- [31] T. van Dijk, M. K. Rong, J. E. Borger, M. Nieger, J. C. Slootweg, K. Lammertsma, Organometallics 2016, 35, 827–835.
- [32] SAINT, Bruker AXS Inc., Madison, WI, USA, 2009.
- [33] G. M. Sheldrick, SADABS, University of Göttingen, Germany, 2007.
- [34] a) G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. A 2008, 64, 112–122; b) G. M. Sheldrick, Acta Crystallogr. Sect. C 2015, 71, 3–8.

Manuscript received: April 11, 2019 Revised manuscript received: May 13, 2019 Version of record online:

www.chemasianj.org

KK These are not the final page numbers!

FULL PAPER





Takashi Kurogi, Jiaxiang Chu, Yaofeng Chen,* Daniel J. Mindiola*



Neutral and Anionic Monomeric Zirconium Imides Prepared via Selective C=N Bond Cleavage of a Multidentate and Sterically Demanding β-Diketiminato Ligand



A sterically encumbering multidentate β -diketiminato ligand, ^{tBu}L2, is reported in this study along with its coordination chemistry to zirconium(IV). Using the lithio salt of this ligand, Li(^{tBu}L2), the zirconium(IV) chloride and azide could be

prepared and structurally characterized. Reduction of the ^{tBu}L2 zirconium(IV) complexes by KC₈ resulted in formation of mononuclear neutral and anionic zirconium imides via reductive cleavage of the imino group in the ^{tBu}L2 ligand.