# Synthesis of 1,3,4-Trisubstituted Benzenes from Morita–Baylis–Hillman Adducts of α-Bromocinnamaldehyde via [5+1] Annulation Strategy

Su Yeon Kim, Ko Hoon Kim, Jin Woo Lim, and Jae Nyoung Kim\*

Department of Chemistry and Institute of Basic Science, Chonnam National University, Gwangju 500-757, Korea. \*E-mail: kimjn@chonnam.ac.kr Received May 7, 2015, Accepted August 11, 2015, Published online November 8, 2015

**Keywords:** Morita–Baylis–Hillman adducts, Trisubstituted benzenes, [5+1] Annulation, Intramolecular 1,6-conjugate addition

The construction of suitably functionalized benzene ring in a regioselective way is important in organic synthesis. The Morita–Baylis–Hillman (MBH) adducts have been used as useful starting materials for this purpose.<sup>1-6</sup> There have been reported numerous methods including [4+2] cycloaddition,<sup>2</sup>  $6\pi$ -electrocyclization,<sup>3</sup> [3+3] annulation,<sup>4</sup> and consecutive [3+1+2] annulation protocols.<sup>5</sup> During our recent studies using the MBH adducts of cinnamaldehydes,<sup>7</sup> we reasoned out that the synthesis of 1,3,4-trisubstituted benzenes **3** could be realized by [5+1] annulation protocol<sup>8</sup> from the acetates of MBH adduct of  $\alpha$ -bromocinnamaldehyde via a sequential  $S_N2'$  reaction of primary nitroalkane, an intramolecular 1,6-conjugate addition,<sup>9</sup> and eliminations of HBr and HNO<sub>2</sub>, as shown in Scheme 1.

Thus, starting materials **1a-d** were prepared from  $\alpha$ -bromocinnamaldehydes, as shown in Scheme 2. The MBH reaction was carried out in the presence of 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2] octane (DABCO) to produce the corresponding MBH adducts in good to moderate yields (45–83%), and the following acetylation (Ac<sub>2</sub>O/DMAP) afforded **1a-d** in good yields (82–88%).<sup>10</sup>



Scheme 1. Synthetic rational of 1,3,4-trisubstituted benzene.





The reaction of MBH acetate 1a and nitroethane (2a) in the presence of Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (3.0 equiv) in DMF at room temperature for 4 h afforded the desired product 3a in moderate yield (45%) along with a small amount (5%) of unexpected phenol 4a, as shown in Scheme 3. The use of  $CH_3CN$  or  $K_2CO_3$ showed a similar result.<sup>11</sup> The reaction mechanism for the formation of **3a** would be a sequential introduction of nitroethane at the primary position of the MBH adduct by  $S_N 2'$  reaction to afford I, and the following intramolecular 1,6-conjugate addition to produce II, and the final elimination of HBr and HNO<sub>2</sub> to give **3a**. For the formation of benzene ring, the MBH acetate provided five carbons and nitroethane served one carbon. During the reaction intermediate II could be converted to IV by  $S_N 2$  reaction of the nitronate anion of nitroethane,<sup>12</sup> and the following Hass-Bender oxidation<sup>12</sup> could afford V. A subsequent elimination of HNO<sub>2</sub> and keto-enol tautomerization would provide 4a as a minor product. However, another possibility involving sequential [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement of allylic nitro intermediate and the following disproportionation process<sup>13</sup> cannot be ruled out completely at this stage.

Encouraged by the successful results, some representative primary nitroalkanes 2b-i were examined under the same reaction conditions, and the results are summarized in Table 1. The reactions of **1a** with 1-nitropropane (**2b**), 1-nitrobutane (**2c**), 1-nitropentane (2d), 1-nitrohexane (2e), ethyl nitroacetate (2f), 2-phenylnitroethane (2g), 2-(2-thienyl)nitroethane (2h), and methyl 4-nitrobutyrate (2i) showed similar results. The corresponding benzene derivatives 3b-i were synthesized in moderate yields (40-68%) along with phenol derivatives **4b-e** (5-9%) as minor products in some cases. For the reactions of 2f-i, the corresponding phenol derivatives were observed on TLC at the right position; however, they could not be separated in appreciable amount. The reaction of MBH acetate 1b with 2b and 2f also provided 3j (44%) and 3k (71%), respectively. The reaction of acetyl derivative 1c and 2f provided 3l (43%) in moderate yield.

However, the reaction of nitrile derivative **1d** and **2b** afforded **3m** in low yield (13%). As reported in many similar cases,<sup>1,14</sup> the  $S_N2'$  reaction of a nucleophile to MBH acetate produced a Z-form intermediate as a major as shown in Scheme 4, and the following 1,6-conjugate addition could

# Note ISSN (Print) 0253-2964 | (Online) 1229-5949



Scheme 3. One-pot synthesis of 3a and 4a.

#### Table 1. Synthesis of poly-substitutes benzenes.



<sup>a</sup> Conditions: 1 (0.5 mmol), 2 (0.5 mmol), Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (3.0 equiv), DMF, rt, 4 h.

<sup>b</sup> The yield of phenols: 4a (5%), 4b (7%), 4c (9%), 4d (7%), 4e (7%).

The formation of corresponding phenols were observed in other entries; however, they were not isolated in appreciable amounts.

<sup>c</sup> Ar is 4-fluorophenyl.

not proceed. The minor *E*-isomer might produce **3m** in low yield.

In summary, an efficient synthetic method of 1,3,4trisubstituted benzenes from Morita–Baylis–Hillman adducts has been developed. The method involved a sequential nucleophilic substitution ( $S_N 2'$ ) reaction, an intramolecular 1,6conjugate addition, and E2 elimination process.

### Experimental

The starting materials **1a-d** were prepared according to the reported method,<sup>7,10</sup> and the spectroscopic data are reported in Supporting Information.



Scheme 4. The reaction of nitrile derivative 1d.

**Typical procedure for the synthesis of 3a and 4a.** To a stirred solution of **1a** (170 mg, 0.5 mmol) and **2a** (37 mg, 0.5 mmol) in DMF (3.0 mL) was added  $Cs_2CO_3$  (489 mg, 1.5 mmol), and the reaction mixture was stirred at room

temperature for 4 h. After the usual aqueous extractive workup and column chromatographic purification process (hexanes/ EtOAc, 25:1), compound **3a** (51 mg, 45%) was obtained as colorless oil along with **4a** (6 mg, 5%) as a white solid. Other compounds were synthesized similarly, and the selected spectroscopic data of **3a-i**, **4b**, and **4c** are as follows.

**Compound 3a: 45%.** Colorless oil; IR (film) 1722, 1436, 1296 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  2.32 (s, 3H), 3.94 (s, 3H), 7.28–7.36 (m, 3H), 7.37–7.47 (m, 3H), 7.90 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.97 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz)  $\delta$  20.41, 52.07, 126.95, 127.33, 128.19, 128.85, 129.88, 131.46, 135.62, 140.90, 146.53, 167.14, one carbon was overlapped; ESIMS *m/z* 227 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>14</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 79.62; H, 6.24. Found: C, 79.81; H, 6.13.

**Compound 3b: 45%.** Colorless oil; IR (film) 1723, 1436, 1291 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  1.14 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 3H), 2.66 (q, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 3.96 (s, 3H), 7.25–7.36 (m, 3H), 7.38–7.48 (m, 3H), 7.91 (d, *J* = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 8.02 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz)  $\delta$  15.44, 26.03, 52.08, 126.71, 127.25, 128.13, 128.82, 129.11, 129.83, 130.09, 140.93, 141.88, 146.27, 167.19; ESIMS *m/z* 241 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 79.97; H, 6.71. Found: C, 80.14; H, 6.97.

**Compound 3c: 51%.** Colorless oil; IR (film) 1723, 1436, 1294 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  0.82 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.46–1.60 (m, 2H), 2.60 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 3.94 (s, 3H), 7.24–7.33 (m, 3H), 7.36–7.50 (m, 3H), 7.90 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.99 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz)  $\delta$  13.89, 24.27, 34.91, 52.03, 126.70, 127.21, 128.10, 128.86, 128.96, 130.14, 130.46, 140.39, 141.04, 146.52, 167.19; ESIMS *m*/*z* 255 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 80.28; H, 7.13. Found: C, 80.10; H, 7.31.

**Compound 3d: 40%.** Colorless oil; IR (film) 1724, 1436, 1291, 1247 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  0.78 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.14–1.27 (m, 2H), 1.40–1.52 (m, 2H), 2.60 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 3.93 (s, 3H), 7.23–7.30 (m, 3H), 7.32–7.44 (m, 3H), 7.87 (dd, *J* = 8.1 and 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.97 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz)  $\delta$  13.75, 22.41, 32.53, 33.37, 52.05, 126.65, 127.21, 128.09, 128.87, 128.94, 130.12, 130.46, 140.64, 140.99, 146.46, 167.21; ESIMS *m*/*z* 269 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>20</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 80.56; H, 7.51. Found: C, 80.59; H, 7.82.

**Compound 3e: 42%.** Colorless oil; IR (film) 1724, 1436, 1291 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  0.80 (t, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 3H), 1.10–1.30 (m, 4H), 1.41–1.54 (m, 2H), 2.61 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2H), 3.94 (s, 3H), 7.25–7.32 (m, 3H), 7.34–7.46 (m, 3H), 7.89 (dd, *J* = 7.8 and 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.98 (d, *J* = 1.8 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz)  $\delta$  13.88, 22.26, 30.85, 31.53, 32.82, 52.05, 126.66, 127.22, 128.10, 128.86, 128.98, 130.12, 130.46, 140.70, 141.02, 146.47, 167.21; ESIMS *m*/*z* 283 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>22</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 80.82; H, 7.85. Found: C, 80.68; H, 7.96.

**Compound 3f: 68%.** Colorless oil; IR (film) 1727, 1437, 1306, 1248 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  1.03 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 3.96 (s, 3H), 4.12 (q, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.30–7.34 (m, 2H), 7.37–7.48 (m, 4H), 8.17 (dd, *J* = 8.1

and 1.8 Hz, 1H), 8.48 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz) & 13.63, 52.31, 61.20, 127.75, 128.11, 128.15, 129.03, 130.82, 130.95, 131.61, 131.85, 140.38, 146.63, 166.12, 167.92; ESIMS m/z 285 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: C, 71.82; H, 5.67. Found: C, 71.98; H, 5.43. Compound 3g: 62%. Colorless oil; IR (film) 1723, 1435, 1291, 1250 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz) δ 3.85 (s, 3H), 3.94 (s, 2H), 6.89 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 7.05–7.21 (m, 5H), 7.25-7.35 (m, 4H), 7.88 (dd, J = 6.6 and 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.90 (s, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz) δ 38.88, 52.09, 125.93, 127.37, 127.42, 128.15, 128.30, 128.66, 128.93, 129.21, 130.34, 131.61, 138.55, 140.63, 140.79, 146.91, 167.02; ESIMS *m/z* 303 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>21</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O<sub>2</sub>: C, 83.42; H, 6.00. Found: C, 83.64; H, 6.15. Compound 3h: 60%. Colorless oil: IR (film) 1723, 1436. 1290, 1256 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz) δ 3.93 (s, 3H), 4.14 (s, 2H), 6.58–6.61 (m, 1H), 6.87 (dd, J = 5.1 and 3.3 Hz, 1H), 7.11 (dd, J = 5.1 and 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.26–7.31 (m, 2H), 7.32-7.44 (m, 4H), 7.97 (dd, J = 8.1 and 1.8 Hz, 1H), 8.05 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz)  $\delta$ 33.32, 52.13, 123.88, 125.17, 126.69, 127.56, 127.72, 128.22, 128.90, 129.33, 130.40, 131.29, 137.96, 140.27, 143.83, 146.49, 166.91; ESIMS m/z 309 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>2</sub>S: C, 74.00; H, 5.23. Found: C, 74.07; H, 5.36. Compound 3i: 55%. Colorless oil; IR (film) 1723, 1436, 1294, 1249 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz) δ 2.45 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 2.98 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 3.60 (s, 3H), 3.93 (s, 3H), 7.26–7.32 (m, 3H), 7.34–7.47 (m, 3H), 7.92 (dd, J =8.1 and 1.8 Hz, 1H), 7.98 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz) & 28.12, 34.84, 51.57, 52.11, 127.41, 127.53, 128.35, 128.69, 129.25, 130.20, 130.40, 138.14, 140.42, 146.61, 166.91, 172.91; ESIMS m/z 299 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O<sub>4</sub>: C, 72.47; H, 6.08. Found: C, 72.35; H, 6.30.

**Compound 4b: 7%.** White solid, m.p.  $94-96 \,^{\circ}$ C; IR (KBr) 3429, 1721, 1310, 1232 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  1.07 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 3H), 2.43 (q, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 3.94 (s, 3H), 4.83 (br s, 1H), 7.28 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.31 (d, *J* = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.44-7.62 (m, 5H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz)  $\delta$  15.24, 26.50, 52.15, 113.68, 121.59, 128.62, 129.49, 129.93, 130.56, 132.26, 134.19, 143.69, 152.84, 166.99; ESIMS *m*/*z* 257 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>16</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: C, 74.98; H, 6.29. Found: C, 75.11; H, 6.50.

**Compound 4c: 9%.** White solid, m.p.  $102-103 \,^{\circ}$ C; IR (KBr) 3428, 1722, 1321, 1229 cm<sup>-1</sup>; <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 300 MHz)  $\delta$  0.77 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3H), 1.37–1.54 (m, 2H), 2.37 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 2H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 4.83 (br s, 1H), 7.26 (s, 1H), 7.28 (s, 1H), 7.39–7.62 (m, 5H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 75 MHz)  $\delta$  13.89, 24.05, 35.29, 52.15, 113.62, 122.26, 128.58, 129.43, 129.97, 130.33, 132.52, 134.22, 142.16, 152.87, 167.00; ESIMS *m*/*z* 271 [M+H]<sup>+</sup>. Anal. Calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>18</sub>O<sub>3</sub>: C, 75.53; H, 6.71. Found: C, 75.29; H, 6.94.

Acknowledgments. This research was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (2014R1A1A2053606). Spectroscopic data were obtained from the Korea Basic Science Institute, Gwangju branch.

**Supporting Information.** Additional supporting information is available in the online version of this article.

## References

- For general reviews on Morita-Baylis-Hillman reaction, see:

   (a) D. Basavaiah, A. J. Rao, T. Satyanarayana, *Chem. Rev.* 2003, 103, 811;
   (b) D. Basavaiah, B. S. Reddy, S. S. Badsara, *Chem. Rev.* 2010, 110, 5447;
   (c) V. Singh, S. Batra, *Tetrahedron* 2008, 64, 4511;
   (d) V. Declerck, J. Martinez, F. Lamaty, *Chem. Rev.* 2009, 109, 1;
   (e) E. Ciganek, In Organic Reactions, Vol. 51, L. A. Paquette Ed., John Wiley & Sons, New York, NY, 1997, p. 201;
   (f) J. N. Kim, K. Y. Lee, *Curr. Org. Chem.* 2002, 6, 627;
   (g) K. Y. Lee, S. Gowrisankar, J. N. Kim, *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.* 2005, 26, 1481;
   (h) S. Gowrisankar, H. S. Lee, S. H. Kim, K. Y. Lee, J. N. Kim, *Tetrahedron* 2009, 65, 8769;
   (i) M. Shi, F.-J. Wang, M.-X. Zhao, Y. Wei, The Chemistry of the Morita-Baylis-Hillman Reaction, RSC Publishing, Cambridge, 2011.
- For synthesis of poly-substituted benzenes from MBH adducts via [4+2] cycloaddition protocol, see:(a) C. H. Lim, S. H. Kim, K. H. Park, J. Lee, J. N. Kim, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2013**, *54*, 387; (b) E. S. Kim, K. H. Kim, S. H. Kim, J. N. Kim, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2009**, *50*, 5098.
- For synthesis of poly-substituted benzenes from MBH adducts via 6π-electrocyclization protocol, see:(a) C. H. Lim, S. H. Kim, K. H. Kim, J. N. Kim, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2013, 54, 2476; (b) J. W. Lim, K. H. Kim, S. H. Kim, J. N. Kim, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2012, 53, 5449; (c) J. W. Lim, K. H. Kim, S. H. Kim, J. N. Kim, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2013, 54, 2595.
- For synthesis of poly-substituted benzenes from MBH adducts via [3+3] annulation protocol, see:(a) D. Y. Park, K. Y. Lee, J. N. Kim, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2007, 48, 1633; (b) D. Y. Park, S. J. Kim, T. H. Kim, J. N. Kim, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2006, 47, 6315; (c) J. W. Lim, S. H. Kim, J. Yu, J. N. Kim, *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.* 2013, 34, 3503; (d) D. Y. Park, K. Y. Lee, S. Gowrisankar, J. N. Kim, *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.* 2008, 29, 701.
- For synthesis of poly-substituted benzenes from MBH adducts via [3+1+2] annulation protocol, see:(a) M. J. Lee, K. Y. Lee, S. Gowrisankar, J. N. Kim, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2006, 47, 1355;
   (b) D. Y. Park, S. Gowrisankar, J. N. Kim, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2006, 47, 6641;
   (c) S. C. Kim, H. S. Lee, Y. J. Lee, J. N. Kim, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2006, 47, 5681;
   (d) S. C. Kim, K. Y. Lee, H. S. Lee, J. N. Kim, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2006, 47, 5681;
   (d) S. C. Kim, K. Y. Lee, H. S. Lee, J. N. Kim, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2006, 62, 3128.
- For other routes of poly-substituted benzene derivatives from MBH adducts, see:(a) C. R. Reddy, U. Dilipkumar, M. D. Reddy, Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 3792; (b) P.-Y. Chen, H.-M. Chen, L.-Y. Chen, J.-Y. Tzeng, J.-C. Tsai, P.-C. Chi, S.-R. Li, E.-C. Wang, Tetrahedron 2007, 63, 2824.
- For synthetic applications of MBH adducts of cinnamaldehydes, see:(a) K. H. Kim, S. H. Kim, S. Park, J. N. Kim, *Tetrahedron* 2011, 67, 3328; (b) K. H. Kim, S. Lee, J. Lee, M. J. Go, J. N. Kim, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2013, 54, 5739; (c) B. M. Trost,

M. K. Brennan, *Org. Lett.* **2007**, *9*, 3961; (d) N. M. Garrido, M. R. Sanchez, D. Diez, F. Sanz, J. G. Urones, *Tetrahedron Asymmetry* **2011**, *22*, 872; For the preparation of MBH adducts of cinnamaldehydes, see:(e) K. H. Kim, H. S. Lee, Y. M. Kim, J. N. Kim, *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *32*, 1087 and further references cited therein.

- For the construction of six-membered ring via a [5+1] annulation approach, see:(a) X. Bi, D. Dong, Q. Liu, W. Pan, L. Zhao, B. Li, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 4578; (b) Z. Fu, M. Wang, Y. Dong, J. Liu, Q. Liu, J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 6105; (c) Y. Li, X. Xu, J. Tan, C. Xia, D. Zhang, Q. Liu, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 1775; (d) H. Wang, Y.-L. Zhao, C.-Q. Ren, A. Diallo, Q. Liu, Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 12316; (e) L. Pan, Q. Liu, Synlett 2011, 1073; (f) B. M. K. Tong, H. Chen, S. Y. Chong, Y. L. Heng, S. Chiba, Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 2826; (g) N. Sakai, K. Tamura, K. Shimamura, R. Ikeda, T. Konakahara, Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 836; (h) T. Sasada, Y. Aoki, R. Ikeda, N. Sakai, T. Konakahara, Chem. Eur. J. 2011, 17, 9385; (i) S. Roy, M. Amireddy, K. Chen, Tetrahedron 2013, 69, 8751.
- For selected examples of intramolecular 1,6-conjugate additions, see:(a) J. M. Sorbetti, K. N. Clary, D. A. Rankic, J. E. Wulff, M. Parvez, T. G. Back, J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 3326; (b) T. G. Back, D. A. Rankic, J. M. Sorbetti, J. E. Wulff, Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 2377; (c) J. E. Toth, P. L. Fuchs, J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 2594; (d) S. Nara, H. Toshima, A. Ichihara, Tetrahedron Lett. 1996, 37, 6745; (e) R. W. Bates, P. Song, Synthesis 2009, 655; (f) R. W. Bates, R. H. Snell, S. Winbush, Synlett 2008, 1042; (g) T. Gallagher, I. Derrick, P. M. Durkin, C. A. Haseler, C. Hirschhauser, P. Magrone, J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 3766; (h) O. E. Hutt, L. N. Mander, J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 10130.
- 10. For synthetic details of starting materials, see supporting information. The synthesis of MBH acetate of (*Z*)-2-bromohex-2-enal was examined; however, the MBH reaction with methyl acrylate failed.
- Many conditions were examined in order to increase the yield of 3a; however, the yield was not increased due to the formations of many side products including a rearranged primary acetate of 1a and a trace amount of unreactive Z-form S<sub>N</sub>2' intermediate.
- (a) H. B. Hass, M. L. Bender, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1949, 71, 1767;
   (b) T. A. Klein, J. M. Schkeryantz, Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 4535;
   (c) P. G. Gildner, A. A. S. Gietter, D. Cui, D. A. Watson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 9942;
   (d) B. M. Trost, J. Richardson, K. Yong, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 2540.
- For the [2,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement of allylic nitro compounds and a following disproportionation process, see:
   (a) E. Dumez, J. Rodriguez, J.-P. Dulcere, *Chem. Commun.* 1999, 2009;
   (b) C. Alameda-Angulo, B. Quiclet-Sire, E. Schmidt, S. Z. Zard, *Org. Lett.* 2005, *7*, 3489;
   (c) S. Z. Zard, *Helv. Chim. Acta.* 2012, *95*, 1730;
   (d) J. Boivin, L. E. Kaim, J. Kervagoret, S. Z. Zard, *J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun.* 1989, 1006.
- For the selective formation of Z-isomer in S<sub>N</sub>2' reaction of MBH adduct of acrylonitrile, see:(a) M. Nayak, S. Batra, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2009, 3505; (b) J. S. Yadav, M. K. Gupta, S. K. Pandey, B. V. S. Reddy, A. V. S. Sarma, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2005, 46, 2761; (c) Y. J. Im, J. E. Na, J. N. Kim, *Bull. Korean Chem. Soc.* 2003, 24, 511.

Bull. Korean Chem. Soc. 2015, Vol. 36, 2932–2935 @ 2015 Korean Chemical Society, Seoul & Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim www.bkcs.wiley-vch.de 2935