CHEMISTRY A European Journal



Accepted Article Title: Carbocatalytic Oxidative Dehydrogenative Couplings of (Hetero)Aryls by Oxidized Multi-Walled Carbon Nanotubes in Liquid Phase Authors: Tom Wirtanen, Santeri Aikonen, Mikko Muuronen, Michele Melchionna, Marianne Kemell, Fatemeh Davodi, Tanja Kallio, Tao Hu, and Juho Helaja This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Assented Article on a result of odition. Deadorn obsuld obtain

published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: Chem. Eur. J. 10.1002/chem.201903054

Link to VoR: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/chem.201903054

Supported by ACES



COMMUNICATION

Carbocatalytic Oxidative Dehydrogenative Couplings of (Hetero)Aryls by Oxidized Multi-Walled Carbon Nanotubes in Liquid Phase

Tom Wirtanen,^{[a]*} Santeri Aikonen,^[a] Mikko Muuronen,^[a] Michele Melchionna,^[b] Marianna Kemell,^[a] Fatemeh Davodi,^[c] Tanja Kallio,^[c] Tao Hu,^[d] and Juho Helaja^{[a]*}

Abstract: HNO_3 -oxidized carbon nanotubes catalyze oxidative dehydrogenative (ODH) carbon-carbon bond formation between electron-rich (hetero)aryls with O_2 as a terminal oxidant. The recyclable carbocatalytic method provides a convenient and an operationally easy synthetic protocol for accessing various benzofused homodimers, biaryls, triphenylenes and related benzofused heteroaryls that are highly useful frameworks for material chemistry applications. Carbonyls/quinones are the catalytically active site of the carbocatalyst as indicated by model compounds and titration experiments. Further investigations of the reaction mechanism with a combination of experimental and DFT methods support the competing nature of acid-catalyzed and radical cationic ODHs, and indicate that both mechanisms operate with the current material.

At present, carbocatalytic gas-phase oxidative dehydrogenative (ODH) reactions of hydrocarbons are well established and numerous studies have identified carbonyl/quinoidic groups as active sites in various carbon materials.^[1,2] Likewise, carbocatalyzed liquid-phase reactions have been recently undergoing fast developments.^[3] Graphene oxide (GO),^[4] one of the most highly oxidized forms of carbon, has been a popular choice as a carbocatalyst or in many cases as a stoichiometric oxidant (henceforth we call the latter as carbon-mediated reactions).^[5] The GO contains carboxylic, anhydride, phenolic, and lactone groups mainly on edges, while epoxy and hydroxyl groups lie on the basal plane of the material. These and other oxygen containing functional groups, together with edges and defects on the carbon framework, can contribute to both the stoichiometric and the catalytic activity of GO and other carbon materials such as carbon nanotubes (CNT) or active carbons (AC).

Most of the published liquid-phase carbocatalytic or carbonmediated reactions are functional group modifications: oxidations, reductions, or conversions based on acid-base chemistry. Meanwhile, reports on direct carbocatalytic (or carbon-mediated)

- [a] Dr. T. Wirtanen, M.Sc. S. Aikonen, Dr. M. Muuronen, Dr. M. Kemell, Dr. J. Helaja, Department of Chemistry, University of Helsinki, A. I. Virtasen aukio 1, P.O. Box 55, University of Helsinki, 00014 Finland E-mail: juho.helaja@helsinki.fi
- [b] Dr. M. Melchionna, Department of Chemical and Pharmaceutical Sciences, University of Trieste, Via L. Giorgieri 1, 34127 Trieste.
- [c] Prof. T. Kallio, M.Sc. F. Davodi Department of Chemistry and Materials Science, Aalto University, P.O Box 16100, 00076 Aalto, Finland
- [d] Dr. T. Hu, Research Unit of Sustainable Chemistry, Faculty of Technology, University of Oulu, FI-90014 Oulu, Finland

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.





c) GO catalyzed ODH homocouplings of benzofused heterocycles







✓ cheap, safe material
 ✓ prepared from renewable feedstock
 ✓ sensitive for (bio)material source





✓ clean synthetic protocol ✓ easy product isolation ✓ access to (flat) polyaromatic cycles

Scheme 1. Previous and current carbocatalyzed ODH C-C bond forming couplings

carbon-carbon bond formations from non-functionalized substrates are still rare. Notably, Friedel-Crafts (FC) alkylations of styrenes and benzyl alcohols,^[6] and xanthanes (Scheme 1b)^[7] by GO in presence of air have been recently reported. From a strict mechanistic view, both reactions operate in a cascade manner: the GO catalyzed C-H oxygenation to C-OH or C-OOH is followed by an acid catalyzed carbocation formation leading eventually to FC-coupling with the aryl substrate. Moreover, analogic FC-protocol has been developed to activate allylic alcohols towards thiophene nucleophiles.^[8] Also oxidative GO-mediated homocouplings of electron-rich aryls^[9] and GO-catalyzed couplings of 2-naphtol derivates (Scheme 1c) have been described.^[10]

We have previously reported that oxidized active carbon (oAC) can catalyze the C-C bond-forming ODH reactions of indoles and analogues in the presence of O_{2} ,^[11] where experimental evidences point the reaction to proceed *via* radical

COMMUNICATION

mechanism by quinoidic oxygen centers.^[12,13] Based on this, we envisioned that carbocatalysis could be used as a heterogeneous replacement for oxidative processes that are catalyzed or mediated by molecular quinones, [13,14] transition metals, [15] hypervalent iodines,^[16] or nitrosoniums.^[17] To that end, oxidized carbon nanotubes (oCNTs) would be the most suitable candidates as they offer significant advantages over oAC such as the high mechanical strength, better stability towards oxidation by O2,^[18] and fewer micropores susceptible to catalyst deactivation.^[19] Still, we found only a few examples in the literature of liquid-phase carbocatalysis that utilize oCNTs such as the catalytic nitrobenzene reductions by Su and co-workers.^[20] Here we report for the first time that oCNTs are highly efficient catalysts for forming C-C bonds oxidatively between two unfunctionalized positions of electron-rich aryls. Furthermore, easy setup, workup, and recyclability of oCNTs allow a facile replacement of traditional methods for these reactions with abundant carbon catalyst and oxygen, which is of high urgency with relation to current guidelines on sustainability of chemical processes and endangered elements.

We selected HNO₃-oxidized multi-walled (MW)CNTs out of the several reported materials^[21] for catalysis testing as the oxidation procedure is well-studied including the spectroscopic and thermogravimetric analysis of produced functional groups on the MWCNTs.^[22,23] For the test reaction of catalysis we selected dimerization of **1a** (Table 1) to **2a** as it is one of the prototypical ODH-reactions that has been studied with different oxidative systems such as DDQ/H⁺,^[24] PIFA,^[25] MoCl₅,^[26] NaNO₂/H⁺,^[27] electrolysis,^[28] FeCl₃/SiO₂,^[29] and MnO₂/BF₃•OEt₂.^[30]

Using toluene as solvent, we screened several variables such as temperature, molarity, reaction time, loading of catalyst and the amount of MsOH additive (SI), which is known to increase oxidation potential of molecular quinones.^[31] The temperature and the amount of catalyst affected the activity most from these variables. Finally, **2a** was obtained with 82% isolated yield.

Additional experiments with different conditions or catalysts were carried out to investigate the nature of the catalysis (Table 1). In the absence of MsOH or oCNT, reaction does not proceed (entries 2 and 3). The yield also drops to 16% when reaction is performed under Ar (entry 4). Similarly, reaction time reduction to 5 h lowers the yield to 36% (entry 11). In comparison with oCNTs, different oxidatively treated AC materials perform modestly with yields of 39% and 53% (entries 8 and 9) while a slightly better yield of 63% was received with untreated AC (entry 7). Also GO gave a relatively poor yield (entry 10). The related oxidation ability of AC has been previously associated to absorbed oxygen in AC that enables some stoichiometric activity in ODH couplings.^[32] The HCI-treated CNTs provide a poor yield of 27% (entry 6). It has been shown that this treatment lowers overall oxygen content of MWCNTs.^[22]

Table 1. Deviation to the standard reaction conditions



Entry:	Deviation from standard conditions	Yield [%]
1	None	83 (82) ^[a]
2	no MsOH	0
3	no oCNTs	0
4	Ar-atmosphere	16
5	CNTs instead of oCNTs	66
6	HCI-treated CNTs instead of oCNTs	27
7	Active carbon (AC) instead of oCNTs	63
8	Air oxidized AC instead of oCNTs	39
9	HNO ₃ -oxidized AC instead of oCNTs	53
10	GO instead of oCNTs	24
11	5 h reaction time	36
12	Anisole as solvent	9

[a] Isolated yield



Scheme 2. Intermolecular coupling scope (V vs. Fc).

COMMUNICATION

Next, we explored different intermolecular couplings of (hetero)arenes (Scheme 2). The coupling product **2c** was obtained in a high 86% yield after 15 h at 60 °C, and **2b** was obtained in a 62% yield after 24 h at 70 °C. These yields correlated well with the oxidation potentials of the substrates. We found out the oxidation potential limit for substrate to be reactive is *ca*. 1.1 V (Fc): this is best seen by comparing two borderline substrates, **1d** and **1e**, with oxidation potentials of 1.11 V and 1.05 V, respectively. **1d** was not reactive, while 5% yield of coupling product of **1e** was obtained. The lower oxidation potentials of arenes **1a-c** (0.67 – 0.83 V) seem to favor the couplings. In accordance, the low oxidation potentials of heteroarenes **1f** and **1g** (0.84 V and 0.78 V, respectively) are in agreement with their facile conversion to **2f** and **2g** (without MsOH) with 88% and 72% yields, respectively.

Likewise, oCNTs can catalyze several intramolecular cyclodehydrogenations with slightly modified reaction conditions (Scheme 3). Cyclization of **3a** to **4a** proceeds with an excellent 91% yield, while **3b** was converted to **4b** with an 88% yield. Interestingly, **3c** required a very long reaction time and after 6 days, 29% yield was obtained together with 60% of unreacted starting material. To our pleasure, **4d**, which has been indicated as potential substructure for p-type organic semiconductors^[33] and promising framework for optoelectonic applications,^[34] was obtained in an 84% yield. Similarly, **4f**, substructure found in molecules with interesting chiroptical properties for *e.g.* spintronic devices,^[35] was obtained in 82% yield. Also, **4e** could be obtained in 21% yield. This framework has been used in a host-material for C₆₀-fullerenes.^[36]

whether oCNTs behave similarly, the catalyst was treated with phenyl hydrazine (PH) to selectively block the carbonyl/quinone groups of oCNTs.[37] When PH-oCNTs were used as catalyst, yields of 2a and 4a dropped to 0%. Furthermore, when oCNTs were replaced with tetracene, anthraquinone or 9,10phenanthrenequinone, yields received were 0%, 3%, and 21% of 2a, respectively (Figure 1, top). Recently, these compounds have been used as model compounds for zig-zag edges and carbonyls/quinones.^[7,38] These experiments indicate that carbonyls/quinones are the catalytically active sites. The presence of C=O groups (0.74% at) as well as O=C-O (5.55% at) and C-OH groups (3.84% at) were confirmed with XPS surface analysis of oCNT (Figure 1, bottom). Also no metals were detected on the surface in the same XPS survey. The EDXanalysis, however, showed that CNT-materials contain ca. 1 wt% of embedded Co and Mo that could not be removed by HCl or HNO₃ treatments (SI). Similar abundance of these metal impurities were found in ICP-OES analysis of untreated CNTs. HCI-washed CNTs and oCNTs. Importantly, both HCI and HNO3 treatments decrease the amount of the metallic impurities whereas HCI treatment decreases and HNO₃ treatment increases the vield of the test reaction. With ICP-MS, we also detected low quantities of potentially catalytic metals such as Ni (<1000 ppm), Cu (<200 ppm), Pd (<0.4 ppm), while the lowest amounts were found for oCNT (Table S2, SI). Notably, the strong correlation between the catalytic activity and quinoidic groups is clear based on several experiments, i.e., XPS, model compound study, C=O blocking experiment, catalytic studies with other carbon materials, and electrochemistry.



Scheme 3. Intramolecular coupling scope of the reaction (V vs. Fc).

Concerning the catalytic active site, we have previously observed a correlation between the catalytic activity of the oAC and the amount of carbonyl groups in it.^[12] In order to trace





Two previously discussed intramolecular mechanisms were studied computationally for *o*-terphenyls **3a–c** in Scheme $3:^{[14,31,39]}$ acid-catalyzed mechanism operating *via* arenium ion (**B-D**, Figure 2), and mechanism operating via radical cation (**E-F**, Figure 2). We do not consider the widely accepted gas-phase quinoidic hydrogen atom abstraction ODH-mechanism^[40] to be important in our acidic conditions. To understand how different quinoidic groups with varying electronic properties affect the mechanism, we studied two catalysts that were experimentally tested with the intermolecular reaction: 9,10-

COMMUNICATION

phenanthrenequinone (PhQ, Figures 1 and 2) and anthraquinone (AQ, Figures 1 and S4). Protonated catalyst, PhQ, oxidizes the substrates exergonically (E) and reversibly, and the radical cation (E) present the free energy minimum for the reactions, see Figure 2. The formed radical cation can cyclize directly to form the C-C bond with activation free energies of 24.7, 25.5, and 38.8 kcal/mol in Figure 2, for 3a, 3b, and 3c, respectively. While the barriers for 3a and 3b are in accordance with the experimental yields: 91% and 88%, respectively, the activation free energy for radical cationic C-C bond formation for 3c is considered too high. The acid-catalysed C-C bond formation, however, is lower in energy and thus obtained activation free energy for 3c is 34.1 kcal/mol, while the radical cationic mechanism is still operative with 3a (24.7 kcal/mol) and 3b (25.5 kcal/mol). Therefore, we consider that substrates with activated nucleophilic bond-forming carbon, *i.e.*, 3a and 3b, react via radical cationic mechanism, while for 3c the arenium cation mechanism is prevalent. Furthermore, depending on the proton affinity and redox potentials of the oxidant, the oxidation (E) can become endergonic (AQ in Figure S4) and favour arenium cation mechanism for all 3a-c. or even more exergonic and drive the reaction via radical cationic C-C bond formation also with 3c, see ortho-benzoquinone in Figure S4. The shift in operative mechanism is thus not only dependent on the substrate but also on the nature of the quinoidic groups of the oCNT. Moreover, we observed aromatic proton-deuterium exchange during the coupling of 1a to 2a under acidic conditions in d_{12} -toluene (SI), which is in accordance with the reversibly nature of the oxidation and the protonation.



Figure 2. Energy profiles with phenanthrenequinonium cation for oxidation induced (to left) and acid-catalyzed (to right) C-C bond formation for **3a** (black square), **3b** (green circle), and **3c** (red triangle). Favored pathway is presented with solid line. See SI for full details.

Recyclability of the carbocatalyst was tested on a cyclodehydrogenation of **3a** to **4a** with ~230 mg of catalyst. In the first step, yield of 81% of **4a** was obtained after 13 h. In the second cycle, yield of 73% was recorded. However, in this case, reaction

time had to be increased to 1 d for a full conversion. The 3rd, 4th and 5th cycle gave 72%, 77% and 75% yields after 1 d, respectively, confirming the good recyclability of the catalyst. The XPS analysis of catalyst after five cycles shows an increase of oxygen content, specifically in the abundance of C-O groups (3.8% to 12.2%), but also in reduced amounts of C=O groups (0.74% to 0.18%, SI). This could be interpreted as wearing of active sites of oCNT. However, for a great part, the C-O content increase can be explained by the absorption of some starting material / product (**3a/4a**) on oCNTs that is also observed by a mass increase of the recycled catalyst. Correspondingly, some C-O group can arise from reduction of C=O (quinone to hydroquinone conversion), which is expected to be reversible under reaction conditions.

To further elucidate the acid additive effects on the redox behaviour of oCNTs, we measured cyclic voltammograms (CV) from differently functionalized CNTs in various H_2SO_4 concentrations (Figure 3 and SI). The CV recorded for untreated CNT is very close to those previously reported in the literature.^[41] The oxidative treatment increases the overall current densities. Electrochemical response in 100 – 400 mV (vs. SCE) potential region has been associated to the *o*-quinones in graphite electrode materials.^[42] Notably, upon an increase of the acid concentration, a gradual shift of reduction peak potentials are observed in both regions *i.e.* protons participate in the oCNT redox process (See further discussion in SI).



Figure 3. CV of HCl treated CNT and oCNT measured in various $H_2 SO_4$ concentrations. Scan rate: 50 mV/s.

In conclusion, we have devised oCNT catalysis for a diverse set of electron rich substrates to complete ODH C-C coupling reactions utilizing O_2 as a terminal oxidant. To our knowledge, this is the first example of a C-C coupling by CNT catalysis. The catalyst can be used in the synthesis of several important frameworks for materials chemistry and it displays recyclability. Catalytic activity is associated to the presence of quinoidic oxygens and is improved by MsOH additive. We anticipate that the development of oCNT-materials with better oxidative powers facilitate the discovery of new carbocatalytic reactions.

COMMUNICATION

- [14] A Scholl review: M. Grzybowski, K. Skonieczny, H. Butenschön, D. T. Gryko, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 9900-9930.
- [15] H. Yi, G. Zhang, H. Wang, Z. Huang, J. Wang, A. K. Singh, A. Lei, *Chem. Rev.* 2017, *117*, 9016-9085.
- [16] T. Dohi, K. Morimoto, Y. Kiyono, A. Maruyama, H. Tohma, Y. Kita, *Chem. Commun.* 2005, 2930-2932.
- [17] a) F. Radner, J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 702-704; b) L. Bering, F. M. Paulussen, A. P. Antonchick, Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 1978-1981.
- [18] B. Frank, A. Rinaldi, R. Blume, R. Schlögl, D. S. Su., Chem. Mater. 2010, 22, 4462-4470.
- [19] M. F. R. Pereira, J. J. M. Orfao, J. L. Figueiredo, Appl. Catal. A 1999, 184, 153-160.
- [20] a) S. C. Wu, G. D. Wen, J. Wang, J. F. Rong, B. N. Zong, R. Schlögl, D. S. Su, *Catal. Sci. Technol.* **2014**, *4*, 4183-4187; b) S. C. Wu, G. D. Wen, R. Schlögl, D. S. Su, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* **2015**, *17*, 1567-1571.
- [21] M. Melchionna, S. Marchesan, M. Prato, P. Fornasiero, *Catal. Sci. Technol.* 2015, 5, 3859-3875.
- [22] V. Datsyuk, M. Kalyva, K. Papagelis, J. Parthenios, D. Tasis, A. Siokou, I. Kallitsis, C. Galiotis, *Carbon* 2008, 46, 833-840.
- [23] K. A. Wepasnick, B. A. Smith, K. E. Schrote, H. K. Wilson, S. R. Diegelmann, D. H. Fairbrother, *Carbon* **2011**, *48*, 24-36.
- [24] L. Zhai, R. Shukla, W. Rathore, Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 3474-3477.
- [25] H. Tohma, H. Morioka, S. Takizawa, M. Arisawa, Y. Kita, *Tetrahedron* 2001, 57, 345-352
- [26] S. B. Beil, I. Uecker, P. Franzmann, T. Müller, S. R. Waldvogel. Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 4107-4110.
- [27] B. Su, L. Li, Y. Liu, Q. Wang, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2012, 354, 383-387.
- [28] S. B. Beil, T. Müller, S. B. Sillart, P. Franzmann, A. Bomm, M. Holtkamp, U. Karst, W. Schade, S. R. Waldvogel, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2018, 57, 2450-2454.
- [29] T. C. Jempty, K. A. Z. Gogins, Y. Mazur and L. L. Miller, J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 4545-4551
- [30] J. Yang, S. Sun, Z. Zeng, H. Zheng, W. Li, H. Lou, L. Liu, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2014, 12, 7774-7779.
- [31] L. Zhai, R. Shukla, S. H. Wadumethrige and W. Rathore, J. Org. Chem., 2010, 75, 4748-4760.
- [32] M. Periasamy, M. Shanmugaraja, P. O. Reddy, M Ramusagar, G. A. Rao, J. Org. Chem. 2017, 82, 4944-4948.
- [33] R. S. Sahoo, S. Sahu, S. Sharma, *ChemistrySelect* **2018**, *3*, 4624-4634.
- [34] M. Daniels, F. de Jong, K. Kennes, C. Martín, J. Hofkens, M. V. der Auweraer, W. Dehaen, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2018, 4683-4688.
- [35] Y. Yamamoto, H. Sakai, J. Yuasa, Y. Araki, T. Wada, T. Sakanoue, T. Takenobu, T. Kawai, T. Hasobe., *Chem. Eur. J.* **2016**, *22*, 4263-4273.
- [36] T. Wang, Z-Y. Li, A-L. Xie, X-Y. Yao, X-P. Cao, D. Kuck, J. Org. Chem. 2011, 76, 3231-3238.
- [37] W. Qi, W. Liu, B. Zhang, X. Gu, X. Guo, D. Su, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 14224-14228.
- [38] S. Wu, G. Wen, X. Liu, B. Zhong, D. S. Su, ChemCatChem 2014, 6, 1558-1561.
- [39] P. Rempala, J. Kroulík, B. T. King, J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 5067-5081;
- [40] X. Y. Sun, P. Han., B. Li, S. J. Mao, T. F. Liu, S. Ali, Z. Lian, D. S. Su, Chem. Commun. 2018, 54, 864-875.
- [41] Wang, G., Liang, R., Liu, L. & Zhong, B. Electrochim. Acta 2014, 115, 183-188.
- [42] M. Lu, R. G. Compton, Analyst 2014, 139, 2397-4403.

Acknowledgements

Financial support from Academy of Finland [project no. 129062 (J.H.)] is acknowledged. Ilkka Vesavaara is acknowledged for ICP-OES and ICP-MS measurements. Lukas Enders is granted for the performance of GO reference experiment. The Finnish National Centre for Scientific Computing (CSC) is recognized for computational resources.

Keywords: carbon nanotubes • C-C coupling • heterogeneous catalysis • oxidative dehydrogenative coupling

- Selected gas-phase catalysis reviews: a) F. Rodriguez-Reinoso, *Carbon* 1998, 36, 159-175; b) J. L. Figueiredo, M. F. R. Pereira, *Cat. Today* 2010, 150, 2-7; c) D. S. Su, J. Zhang, B. Frank, A. Thomas, X. Wang, J. Paraknowitsch, R. Schlögl, *ChemSusChem* 2010, 3, 169-180.
- [2] a) W. Qi, D. Su, ACS Catal. 2014, 4, 3212-3218; b) D. S. Su, S. Perathoner, G. Centi, Chem. Rev. 2013, 113, 5782-5816.
- [3] Liquid phase catalysis reviews: a) D. R. Dreyer, C. W. Bielawski, Chem. Sci. 2011, 2, 1233-1240; b) D. S. Su, G. Wen, S. Wu, F. Peng, R. Schlögl, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2017**, *56*, 936-964.
- [4] GO catalysis reviews: a) C. Su, K. P. Loh, Acc. Chem. Res. 2013, 46, 2275-2285; b) D. R. Dreyer, A. D. Todd, C. W. Bielawski, Chem. Soc. Rev. 2014, 43, 5288-5531.
- [5] S. Presolski, M. Pumera, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 16713-16715.
- [6] F. Hu, M. Patel, F. Luo, C. Flach, R. Mendelsohn, E. Garfunkel, H. He, Michal Szostak, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 14473-14480.
- [7] H. Wu, C. Su, R. Tandiana, C. Liu, C. Qiu, Y. Bao, J. Wu, Y. Xu, J. Lu, D. Fan and K. P. Loh, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2018**, *57*, 10848-10853.
- [8] L. Favaretto, J. An, M. Sambo, A. De Nisi, C. Bettini, M. Melucci, A. Kovtun, A. Liscio, V. Palermo, A. Bottoni, F. Zerbetto, M. Calvaresi, M. Bandini, Org. *Lett.* 2018, 20, 3705-3709.
- [9] K. Morioku, N. Morimoto, Y. Takeuchi, Y Nishina, *Sci. Rep.* 2016, *6*, 25824.
 [10] M. Shaikh, A. Sahu, A. K. Kumar, M. Sahu, S. K. Singh, K. V. S. Ranganath,
- Green Chem. 2017, 19, 4533-4537.
 [11] Initial observation of formation 3,3'- coupled biindole by partially oxidized AC: J. E. Perea-Buceta, T. Wirtanen, O.-V. Laukkanen, M. K. Mäkelä, M. Nieger, M. Melchionna, N. Huittinen, J. A. Lopez-Sanchez, J. Helaja,
- Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 11835-11839. [12] T. Wirtanen, M. K. Mäkelä, J. Sarfraz, P., Ihalainen, S., Hietala, M.,
- Melchionna, J. Helaja, *Adv. Synth. Cat.* **2015**, *357*, 3718-3726. [13] T. Wirtanen, M. Muuronen, J. Hurmalainen, H. M. Tuononen, M. Nieger, J.
- Helaja, Org. Chem. Front. 2016, 3, 1738-1745.

COMMUNICATION

Entry for the Table of Contents Catalysis with carbon material: HNO3-oxidized carbon nanotubes catalyze oxidative dehydrogenative (ODH) carbon-carbon bond formation between electron-rich (hetero)aryls with O_2 as a terminal oxidant. ODH T. Wirtanen, * S. Aikonen, M. Muuronen, M. Melchionna, M. Kemell, F. Davodi, T. Kallio, T. Hu, J. Helaja* Page No. – Page No. **Carbocatalytic Oxidative Dehydrogenative Couplings of** (Hetero)Aryls by Oxidized Multi-Walled Carbon Nanotubes in Liquid Phase

CCEDted Manuscr