Paper

Metal-Free Insertion of Sulfoxonium Ylides into Arylamines in Water

Hua He^{a,b} Kaichuan Yan^b Jianglian Li^b Ruizhi Lai^b Yi Luo^b Mei Guan^{*a} Yong Wu^{*b}

^a West China Hospital, Sichuan University, Chengdu, 610041, P. R. of China 413046786@qq.com

H. He et al.

^b Key Laboratory of Drug-Targeting and Drug Delivery System of the Education Ministry and Department of Medicinal Chemistry, West China School of Pharmacy, Sichuan University, No. 17 Southern Renmin Road, Chengdu, Sichuan 610041, P. R. of China wyonq@scu.edu.cn

Received: 25.05.2020 Accepted after revision: 12.06.2020 Published online: 21.07.2020 DOI: 10.1055/s-0040-1707186; Art ID: ss-2020-g0285-op

Abstract Carbenoid-based N–H insertions have undergone significant development with respect to C–N bond formation in recent years. However, the existing methods suffer from unstable starting materials, expensive metal catalysts and organic solvents. Herein, insertion of sulfoxonium ylides into arylamines under metal-free conditions has been developed. The method employs water as solvent at mild temperature and is amenable to the late-stage modification of structurally complex bioactive compounds.

Key words sulfoxonium ylides, metal-free, N–H insertion, C–N formation, α -amino acid ester

Nitrogen-containing compounds are extremely important because of their ubiquity in natural products and bioactive molecules.¹ For decades, organic chemists have studied in depth the construction of C–N bonds. Metal-catalyzed C– N cross-coupling reactions have been established as one of the most general protocols.² Carbenoid based N–H insertions, on the other hand, have undergone significant development with respect to C–N bond formation in recent years. In these insertion reactions, metal–carbenoids derived from diazo compounds are most widely used (Scheme 1a).³ However, diazo compounds are toxic, unstable, and potentially explosive. It is thus highly desirable to develop more suitable carbene precursors.⁴

Sulfonium and sulfoxonium ylides have been investigated as synthetic precursors in organic transformations since they were first described in 1930.⁵ In addition to their initial applications in epoxidation, cyclopropanation, aziridination reactions, and [2,3]-sigmatropic or Stevens rearrangements,⁶ sulfoxonium ylides have recently gained prominence as potentially safer surrogates of diazo compounds in metal carbene reactions.⁷ Baldwin first reported the rhodium-catalyzed N–H insertion reaction of sulfoxoni-



Metal-free
 Water as solvent
 Up to 87% yield
 25 examples
 Suitable for late-stage modification

um ylide derived carbenoids in 1993; Mangion then investigated a more general methodology in 2009 (Scheme 1b).⁸ Although these methods offer generally better carbene precursors for N–H insertion reactions, they suffer from the need for expensive metal catalysts and organic solvents.⁹ In 2016, Burtoloso described a catalyst-free insertion of sulfoxonium ylides into aryl thiols, but the authors did not assess amine reactivity.¹⁰

To expand the applications of sulfoxonium ylides, herein, we describe a simple and metal-free N–H insertion reaction of easily prepared sulfoxonium ylides and commercially available amines, through which α -amino acid esters can be obtained (Scheme 1c). Furthermore, because of the characteristics of water as solvent and ambient reaction temperature, this strategy is more suitable for chemical biology than the metal-carbenoid N–H insertions.¹¹ It is worth mentioning that König and Metzger reported the reaction of a similar α -sulfoxonium acetate derivative with aniline in



V

the presence of HCl in 1965,¹² but the only example in this report required elevated temperature and gave a moderate yield.

We initially studied reactions of sulfoxonium vlide 1a with aniline 2a in dichloromethane (CH₂Cl₂) at 25 °C. Fortunately, the ideal α -amino acid ester **3a** was obtained in 60% yield (Table 1, entry 1). Subsequently, tetrahydrofuran (THF), dichloroethane (DCE) and other organic solvents were tested but their use failed to increase the yield (entries 2–5). However, the reaction proceeded well in water (entry 6). Considering that protonation of the ylide double bond is conducive to the nucleophilic displacement of dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO),¹⁰ we tried different acids as additives, and we found that nitric acid can effectively improve the conversion of sulfoxonium vlide 1a (entries 7-11). We further explored the temperature, reaction time, and the number of equivalents of 2a and nitric acid (for additional details see the Supporting Information), and finally established the optimal conditions (entry 12).

After finding the optimal conditions to synthesize α amino acid ester **3**, we next evaluated the scope of the reaction by employing structurally different sulfoxonium ylides and amines. Anilines containing electron-donating or -withdrawing substituents at different positions proceeded smoothly (Scheme 2). Among them, methoxy-, methyl- and chloro-substituted anilines afforded the corresponding α amino acid esters **3b–j** in moderate to good yields. We

Table 1	Optimization of	f the Reaction Cond	ditions ^a	
ĺ	COOEt +	NH ₂ additive solvent T (°C) 2	Hh t 24 h 3a	COOEt
Entry	Additive	Solvent	Т (°С)	Yield (%) ^b
1	-	CH ₂ Cl ₂	25	60
2	-	THF	25	51
3	-	DCE	25	32
4	-	DMF	25	trace
5	-	HOAc	25	26
6	-	H ₂ O	25	62
7	НСООН	H ₂ O	25	65
8	1-AdCOOH	H ₂ O	25	41
9	HNO ₃	H ₂ O	25	72
10	HCI	H ₂ O	25	63
11	H_2SO_4	H ₂ O	25	39
12 ^c	HNO ₃	H ₂ O	35	81

^a Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.1 mmol), **2a** (0.3 mmol), additive (20 mol%), solvent (2 mL) for 24 h at the specified temperature under air.

^b Isolated yield.

^c Additive (0.5 equiv).



Scheme 2 Scope of sulfoxonium ylides and amines. *Reagents and conditions*: **1** (0.1 mmol), **2** (0.3 mmol), HNO₃ (50 mol%), H₂O (2 mL), 24 h, 35 °C under air. Isolated yields given. ^a Additive (2.0 equiv).

found that *ortho*-substituted substrates exhibited lower yields, possibly due to steric hindrance. Especially, **3g** and **3j** were only obtained in good yields with higher equivalent of HNO₃. Bromine- and fluorine- substituted anilines gave slightly lower yields when compared to chloro-substituted aniline (**3k**-**1**). Considering the ubiquity of poly-substituted aromatic amines, we further investigated some disubstituted aniline substrates and found that substrates with two electron-donating substituents had little effect on the yield (**3m**, **3n**), but the substrate with two electron-withdrawing substituents affected the yield of the reaction (**3o**). Surprisingly, nitro-substituted substrates were not suitable for this reaction (**3p**). When anilines were replaced with naphthylamines, the corresponding products **3q** and **3r** were only obtained in relatively low yields. In addition to aromatic

Paper

H. He et al.

primary amines, aromatic secondary amines could also be amenable to the reaction (3s, 3t). Interestingly, the indole substrate did not afford the desired α-amino acid ester under the optimal conditions; instead, it provided the 3-substituted product 3u. Aliphatic amines were not good candidates for this reaction. Subsequently, sulfoxonium ylides possessing different substituents were also investigated (**3v**–**y**). Unfortunately, both α -carbonyl sulfoxonium ylides and imidoyl sulfoxonium ylide failed to undergo N-H insertion reaction under these conditions. We speculate that the electron-withdrawing group in the α -position of sulfoxonium vlide may increase its stability, but, on the other hand. it may also reduce its reactivity. Donor-acceptor sulfoxonium ylides have a suitable balance of stability and activity, but the α -carbonvl sulfoxonium vlide is too stable to react in this reaction.

To demonstrate the practical utility of the method as a synthetic tool, a 10-fold scale-up of the reaction was conducted and the yield was only slightly reduced (Scheme 3).



Notably, this metal-free approach could be used for latestage functionalization of structurally complex bioactive compounds. For example, cholesterol-derived sulfoxonium ylide **4** reacted with aniline **2a** to afford the desired α -amino acid ester **5** in 41% yield (Scheme 4).

In summary, we have developed a N–H insertion of sulfoxonium ylides under metal-free conditions that affords the corresponding α -amino acid esters through C–N formation. This strategy does not require unstable starting materials or metal catalysts and is amenable to the late-stage modification of structurally complex bioactive compounds. In contrast to the N–H insertions of metal–carbenoids that are commonly used, we believe this water-mediated insertion Paper

reaction, which proceeds at mild temperature, may open a new window to constructing C–N bonds in the field of biochemistry.

Unless noted, all reactions were carried out in flame-dried glassware with magnetic stirring under an atmosphere of air. Solvents used were of analytical purity. The product purification was done using silica gel column chromatography. NMR spectra were recorded with a Varian spectrometer (400 MHz for ¹H, 101 MHz for ¹³C and 376 MHz for ¹⁹F). Chemical shifts are reported in δ units (ppm) referenced to an internal SiMe₄ standard for ¹HNMR and chloroform-d (δ = 77.16 ppm) for ¹³C NMR. HRMS spectra were recorded with a Waters Q-TOF Premier. Melting points were measured with YRT-3 melting point apparatus (Shantou Keyi Instrument & Equipment Co., Ltd., Shantou, China).

Compounds 3 and 5; General Procedure

To a flame-dried reaction tube equipped with a magnetic stir bar was added the sulfoxonium ylide **1** (0.1 mmol), aryl amine **2** (0.3 mmol) and 68% HNO₃ (0.05 mmol). Then H₂O (2 mL) was added and the mixture was stirred at 35 °C for 24 h. The solvent was then removed under reduced pressure and the residue was purified by silica gel chromatography (EtOAc/petroleum ether, 1:20) to give pure product.

Ethyl 2-Phenyl-2-(phenylamino)acetate (3a)

Yield: 81% (20.7 mg); light-yellow oil.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.52 (dt, *J* = 8.4, 2.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.40–7.30 (m, 3 H), 7.17–7.10 (m, 2 H), 6.71 (tt, *J* = 7.4, 1.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.60–6.56 (m, 2 H), 5.08 (s, 1 H), 4.32–4.09 (m, 2 H), 1.22 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3 H).

¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 172.0, 146.1, 137.9, 129.4, 129.0, 128.4, 127.4, 118.2, 113.5, 62.0, 61.0, 14.2.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₆H₁₇NNaO₂: 278.1151; found: 278.1158.

Ethyl 2-((4-Methoxyphenyl)amino)-2-phenylacetate (3b)

Yield: 83% (23.7 mg); light yellow solid; mp 84.9-86.5 °C.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.50 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.34 (dt, *J* = 14.1, 7.2 Hz, 3 H), 6.73 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 2 H), 6.54 (d, *J* = 8.9 Hz, 2 H), 5.01 (s, 1 H), 4.28–4.09 (m, 2 H), 3.71 (s, 3 H), 1.21 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3 H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 172.2, 152.6, 140.4, 138.0, 128.9, 128.3, 127.4, 115.0, 114.9, 61.8, 61.8, 55.8, 14.2.

HRMS (ESI): $m/z \ [M + Na]^+$ calcd for $C_{17}H_{19}NNaO_3$: 308.1257; found: 308.1260



© 2020. Thieme. All rights reserved. Synthesis 2020, 52, A-F

Syn thesis

H. He et al.

Ethyl 2-((3-Methoxyphenyl)amino)-2-phenylacetate (3c)

Yield: 75% (21.4 mg); light-yellow solid; mp 98.2-100.4 °C.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.50 (d, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.34 (dt, *J* = 14.0, 7.0 Hz, 3 H), 7.03 (t, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.27 (dd, *J* = 8.2, 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 6.19 (dd, *J* = 8.0, 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 6.12 (d, *J* = 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 5.06 (s, 1 H), 4.29–4.07 (m, 2 H), 3.71 (s, 3 H), 1.22 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3 H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 171.9, 160.8, 147.5, 137.8, 130.1, 128.9, 128.3, 127.3, 106.5, 103.4, 99.6, 62.0, 60.9, 55.1, 14.2.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₇H₁₉NNaO₃: 308.1257; found: 308.1261.

Ethyl 2-((2-Methoxyphenyl)amino)-2-phenylacetate (3d)

Yield: 62% (17.7 mg); light-yellow solid; mp 55.7-57.4 °C.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.53–7.49 (m, 2 H), 7.37–7.27 (m, 3 H), 6.78 (dd, *J* = 7.6, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.73 (td, *J* = 7.6, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.66 (td, *J* = 7.6, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.36 (dd, *J* = 7.6, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 5.06 (s, 1 H), 4.30–4.07 (m, 2 H), 3.89 (s, 3 H), 1.21 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3 H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 171.9, 147.2, 137.9, 136.1, 128.9, 128.3, 127.4, 121.2, 117.5, 110.8, 109.7, 61.8, 60.9, 55.6, 14.2.

HRMS (ESI): $m/z \ [M + Na]^*$ calcd for $C_{17}H_{19}NNaO_3$: 308.1257; found: 308.1265.

Ethyl 2-Phenyl-2-(p-tolylamino)acetate (3e)

Yield: 63% (17.0 mg); light-yellow solid; mp 74.4-76.9 °C.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.50 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.38–7.27 (m, 3 H), 6.94 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 6.49 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 5.05 (s, 1 H), 4.28–4.09 (m, 2 H), 2.20 (s, 3 H), 1.21 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3 H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 172.1, 143.8, 138.0, 130.0, 128.9, 128.28, 127.4, 127.3, 113.7, 61.9, 61.2, 20.5, 14.2.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₇H₁₉NNaO₂: 292.1308; found: 292.1311.

Ethyl 2-Phenyl-2-(m-tolylamino)acetate (3f)

Yield: 80% (21.5 mg); light-yellow solid; mp 108.4-110.5 °C.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCI_3$): δ = 7.51 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.34 (dt, J = 14.0, 7.2 Hz, 3 H), 7.01 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.53 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.43 (s, 1 H), 6.37 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.07 (s, 1 H), 4.89 (s, 1 H), 4.30–4.08 (m, 2 H), 2.24 (s, 3 H), 1.22 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3 H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 172.0, 146.2, 139.1, 138.0, 129.2, 128.9, 128.3, 127.3, 119.2, 114.4, 110.5, 61.9, 60.9, 21.7, 14.2.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₇H₁₉NNaO₂: 292.1308; found: 292.1317.

Ethyl 2-Phenyl-2-(o-tolylamino)acetate (3g)

Yield: 84% (22.6 mg); light-yellow oil.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.51 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.33 (dt, J = 14.0, 7.2 Hz, 3 H), 7.07 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.97 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.64 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 6.34 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 5.10 (s, 1 H), 4.29–4.10 (m, 2 H), 2.28 (s, 3 H), 1.21 (d, J = 7.2 Hz, 3 H).

¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 172.1, 144.2, 137.9, 130.3, 128.9, 128.3, 127.3, 127.1, 122.6, 117.8, 110.8, 62.0, 60.9, 17.7, 14.2.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₇H₁₉NNaO₂: 292.1308; found: 292.1316.

Ethyl 2-((4-Chlorophenyl)amino)-2-phenylacetate (3h)

Yield: 54% (15.6 mg); yellow solid; mp 87.9-89.2 °C.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.47 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.40–7.27 (m, 3 H), 7.06 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 6.47 (d, *J* = 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 5.01 (s, 1 H), 4.31–4.07 (m, 2 H), 1.21 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3 H).

 $^{13}C\{^{1}H\}$ NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 171.6, 144.6, 137.4, 129.2, 129.0, 128.5, 127.3, 122.8, 114.6, 62.1, 60.9, 14.2.

HRMS (ESI) $m/z \,[M + Na]^+$ calcd for $C_{16}H_{16}CINNaO_2$: 312.0762; found: 312.0765.

Ethyl 2-((3-Chlorophenyl)amino)-2-phenylacetate (3i)

Yield: 87% (25.2 mg); light-yellow solid; mp 84.7–86.2 °C.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.48 (dd, *J* = 8.4, 1.6 Hz, 2 H), 7.40–7.29 (m, 3 H), 7.01 (t, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1 H), 6.69–6.39 (m, 3 H), 5.03 (s, 1 H), 4.29–4.08 (m, 2 H), 1.22 (t, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 3 H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 171.6, 147.2, 137.3, 135.1, 130.3, 129.1, 128.5, 127.3, 118.1, 113.3, 111.7, 62.1, 60.6, 14.2.

HRMS (ESI): $m/z \,[M + Na]^+$ calcd for $C_{16}H_{16}CINNaO_2$: 312.0767; found: 312.0770.

Ethyl 2-((2-Chlorophenyl)amino)-2-phenylacetate (3j)

Yield: 74% (21.4 mg); light-yellow solid; mp 59.5-62.6 °C.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.53–7.47 (m, 2 H), 7.41–7.27 (m, 4 H), 6.99 (ddd, J = 8.0, 7.6, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.62 (td, J = 7.6, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.38 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 5.69 (s, 1 H), 5.09 (s, 1 H), 4.35–4.06 (m, 2 H), 1.23 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3 H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 171.3, 142.1, 137.3, 129.4, 129.0, 128.5, 127.8, 127.2, 119.8, 118.1, 112.2, 62.1, 60.7, 14.2.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₆H₁₆ClNNaO₂: 312.0767; found: 312.0762.

Ethyl 2-((3-Fluorophenyl)amino)-2-phenylacetate (3k)

Yield: 53% (14.5 mg); light-yellow solid; mp 90.6-92.1 °C.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.48 (dt, *J* = 8.4, 2.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.40–7.29 (m, 3 H), 7.05 (td, *J* = 8.1, 6.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.42–6.32 (m, 2 H), 6.22 (dt, *J* = 11.4, 2.3 Hz, 1 H), 5.12 (s, 1 H), 5.02 (s, 1 H), 4.32–4.08 (m, 2 H), 1.22 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3 H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 171.6, 164.0 (d, $J_{\text{C-F}}$ = 243.1 Hz), 147.8 (d, $J_{\text{C-F}}$ = 10.8 Hz), 137.3, 130.4 (d, $J_{\text{C-F}}$ = 10.1 Hz), 129.0, 128.5, 127.3, 109.4 (d, $J_{\text{C-F}}$ = 2.4 Hz), 104.6 (d, $J_{\text{C-F}}$ = 21.5 Hz), 100.3 (d, $J_{\text{C-F}}$ = 25.5 Hz), 62.1, 60.7, 14.2.

¹⁹F NMR (376 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = -112.72.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₆H₁₆FNNaO₂: 296.1057; found: 296.1061.

Ethyl 2-((4-Bromophenyl)amino)-2-phenylacetate (31)

Yield: 67% (22.4 mg); light-yellow oil.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.49–7.44 (m, 2 H), 7.38–7.30 (m, 3 H), 7.19 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 6.43 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 2 H), 5.01 (s, 1 H), 4.28–4.09 (m, 2 H), 1.21 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H).

¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 171.6, 145.0, 137.3, 132.1, 129.0, 128.5, 127.3, 115.1, 109.9, 62.1, 60.8, 14.2.

HRMS (ESI): *m*/*z* [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₆H₁₆BrNNaO₂: 356.0257; found: 356.0265.

Ethyl 2-(Benzo[d][1,3]dioxol-5-ylamino)-2-phenylacetate (3m)

Yield: 80% (23.9 mg); brown oil.

Svn	TI.	3
J VII		

Paper

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.48 (d, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.34 (dt, *J* = 13.4, 7.2 Hz, 3 H), 6.59 (d, *J* = 8.3 Hz, 1 H), 6.21 (d, *J* = 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 5.99 (dd, *J* = 8.3, 2.2 Hz, 1 H), 5.85–5.77 (m, 2 H), 4.98 (s, 1 H), 4.28–4.08 (m, 2 H), 1.21 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3 H).

H. He et al.

¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 172.0, 148.4, 141.8, 140.1, 137.8, 128.9, 128.4, 127.3, 108.7, 105.3, 100.7, 96.7, 61.9, 61.76, 14.2.

HRMS (ESI): $m/z \ [M + Na]^+$ calcd for $C_{17}H_{17}NNaO_4$: 322.1050; found: 322.1051.

Ethyl 2-((2,4-Dimethylphenyl)amino)-2-phenylacetate (3n)

Yield: 81% (23.0 mg); light-yellow solid; mp 90.6-92.6 °C.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.51 (d, J = 7.0 Hz, 2 H), 7.33 (dt, J = 14.4, 7.0 Hz, 3 H), 6.90 (s, 1 H), 6.78 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1 H), 6.26 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1 H), 5.08 (s, 1 H), 4.30–4.10 (m, 2 H), 2.26 (s, 3 H), 2.19 (s, 3 H), 1.22 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 172.2, 141.8, 138.1, 131.2, 128.9, 128.2, 127.3, 127.3, 126.9, 122.7, 111.0, 61.9, 61.1, 20.47, 17.6, 14.2.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₂H₂₁NNaO₂: 308.1465; found: 308.1466.

Ethyl 2-((3,5-Dichlorophenyl)amino)-2-phenylacetate (30)

Yield: 61% (19.8 mg); light-yellow solid; mp 116.8–119.2 °C.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.45 (d, J = 6.8 Hz, 2 H), 7.36 (dt, J = 10.5, 6.8 Hz, 3 H), 6.66 (s, 1 H), 6.41 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 2 H), 5.20 (brs, 1 H), 4.99 (s, 1 H), 4.29–4.08 (m, 2 H), 1.22 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 171.2, 147.6, 136.7, 135.6, 129.2, 128.7, 127.2, 118.0, 111.7, 62.3, 60.4, 14.2.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₆H₁₅Cl₂NNaO₂: 346.0372; found: 346.0371.

Ethyl 2-(Naphthalen-2-ylamino)-2-phenylacetate (3q)

Yield: 26% (7.9 mg); yellow oil.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.64 (t, J = 8.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.58–7.49 (m, 3 H), 7.41–7.28 (m, 4 H), 7.18 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.97 (dd, J = 8.8, 2.4 Hz, 1 H), 6.66 (s, 1 H), 5.21 (s, 1 H), 4.33–4.11 (m, 2 H), 1.24 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 171.9, 143.7, 137.5, 135.0, 129.2, 129.0, 128.4, 127.8, 127.7, 127.3, 126.4, 126.1, 122.4, 118.1, 105.8, 62.0, 60.9, 14.2.

HRMS (ESI): $m/z \ [M + Na]^+$ calcd for $C_{20}H_{19}NNaO_2$: 328.1308; found: 328.1312.

Ethyl 2-(Naphthalen-1-ylamino)-2-phenylacetate (3r)

Yield: 25% (7.6 mg); brown oil.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.06–8.00 (m, 1 H), 7.82–7.76 (m, 1 H), 7.58 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 2 H), 7.57–7.43 (m, 2 H), 7.35 (dt, J = 12.3, 6.7 Hz, 3 H), 7.24–7.16 (m, 2 H), 6.34 (dd, J = 6.9, 1.6 Hz, 1 H), 5.24 (s, 1 H), 4.34–4.14 (m, 2 H), 1.25 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 172.0, 141.2, 137.6, 134.4, 129.0, 128.8, 128.4, 127.3, 126.5, 126.0, 125.1, 123.6, 120.2, 118.1, 105.7, 62.1, 61.0, 14.2.

HRMS (ESI): $m/z \ [M + Na]^+$ calcd for $C_{20}H_{19}NNaO_2$: 328.1308; found: 328.1310.

Ethyl 2-(Methyl(phenyl)amino)-2-phenylacetate (3s)

Yield: 54% (14.5 mg); light-yellow oil.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.43–7.34 (m, 3 H), 7.34–7.27 (m, 4 H), 6.89 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 2 H), 6.82 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1 H), 5.65 (s, 1 H), 4.35–4.21 (m, 2 H), 2.81 (s, 3 H), 1.28 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3 H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl_3): δ = 172.0, 150.0, 136.1, 129.4, 128.8, 128.6, 128.2, 118.1, 113.58, 65.9, 61.2, 34.7, 14.4.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₇H₁₉NNaO₂: 292.1308; found: 292.1315.

Ethyl 2-(Indolin-1-yl)-2-phenylacetate (3t)

Yield: 47% (13.2 mg); light-yellow oil.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.46–7.32 (m, 5 H), 7.13–6.99 (m, 2 H), 6.69 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 6.47 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 5.27 (s, 1 H), 4.34–4.15 (m, 2 H), 3.66 (q, J = 8.9 Hz, 1 H), 3.21–3.09 (m, 1 H), 3.02–2.84 (m, 2 H), 1.25 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 3 H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 171.4, 151.1, 135.4, 130.4, 128.80, 128.8, 128.4 127.2, 124.8, 118.4, 106.9, 64.0, 61.2, 50.0, 28.3, 14.4.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₈H₁₉NNaO₂: 304.1308; found: 304.1310.

Ethyl 2-(1H-Indol-3-yl)-2-phenylacetate (3u)

Yield: 66% (18.4 mg); light-yellow oil.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 8.11 (s, 1 H), 7.49–7.40 (m, 3 H), 7.38–7.27 (m, 4 H), 7.22–7.15 (m, 2 H), 7.07 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 5.25 (s, 1 H), 4.27–4.17 (m, 2 H), 1.26 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 3 H).

¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 173.1, 138.8, 136.4, 128.6, 128.5, 127.3, 126.8, 123.3, 122.4, 119.8, 119.2, 114.0, 111.3, 61.3, 49.1, 14.3. HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₈H₁₇NNaO₂: 302.1151; found: 302.1154.

Ethyl 2-(4-Chlorophenyl)-2-(phenylamino)acetate (3v)

Yield: 67% (19.4 mg); colorless oil.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.45 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.32 (d, *J* = 8.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.12 (t, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2 H), 6.71 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1 H), 6.53 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 2 H), 5.03 (s, 1 H), 4.27–4.11 (m, 2 H), 1.22 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3 H). ¹³C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 171.4, 145.8, 136.5, 134.2, 129.4, 129.1, 128.7, 118.4, 113.5, 62.2, 60.3, 14.2.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₆H₁₆ClNNaO₂: 312.0762; found: 312.0770.

Ethyl 2-(4-Cyanophenyl)-2-(phenylamino)acetate (3w)

Yield: 79% (22.9 mg); light-yellow oil.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.65 (s, 3 H), 7.16–7.08 (m, 2 H), 6.80–6.65 (m, 2 H), 6.50 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2 H), 5.11 (s, 1 H), 4.29–4.12 (m, 2 H), 1.23 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3 H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 170.5, 145.4, 143.5, 132.7, 129.5, 128.1, 118.6, 115.2, 113.5, 112.3, 62.6, 60.6, 14.11.

HRMS (ESI): $m/z \ [M + Na]^+$ calcd for $C_{17}H_{16}N_2NaO_2$: 303.1104; found: 303.1102.

Ethyl 2-(Phenylamino)-2-(p-tolyl)acetate (3x)

Yield: 84% (22.6 mg); light-yellow oil.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.38 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2 H), 7.19–7.07 (m, 4 H), 6.69 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1 H), 6.57 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2 H), 5.03 (s, 1 H), 4.29–4.08 (m, 2 H), 2.34 (s, 3 H), 1.22 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3 H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 172.1, 146.2, 138.1, 134.8, 129.6, 129.3, 127.2, 118.1, 113.5, 61.9, 60.6, 21.3, 14.2.

H. He et al.

HRMS (ESI): m/z [M + Na]⁺ calcd for C₁₇H₁₉NNaO₂: 292.1308; found: 292.1311.

Isobutyl 2-Phenyl-2-(phenylamino)acetate (3y)

Yield: 86% (24.4 mg); white solid; mp 73.9-76.3 °C.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCI_3$): δ = 7.51 (d, *J* = 6.9 Hz, 2 H), 7.33 (dt, *J* = 15.0, 7.0 Hz, 3 H), 7.12 (t, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 2 H), 6.69 (t, *J* = 7.3 Hz, 1 H), 6.57 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 2 H), 5.08 (s, 1 H), 3.96–3.87 (m, 2 H), 1.86 (dq, *J* = 13.3, 6.8 Hz, 1 H), 0.81 (d, *J* = 6.8 Hz, 6 H).

 ^{13}C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 172.0, 146.1, 138.0, 129.3, 128.9, 128.3, 127.3, 118.1, 113.5, 71.8, 60.9, 27.8, 18.9, 18.9.

HRMS (ESI): $m/z \ [M + Na]^+$ calcd for $C_{18}H_{21}NNaO_2$: 306.1465; found: 306.1463.

Cholesteryl 2-Phenyl-2-(phenylamino)acetate (5)

Yield: 41% (24.4 mg); light-yellow solid; mp 148.2–151.5 °C.

¹H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCI_3$): δ = 7.50 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2 H), 7.33 (dt, J = 14.5, 7.6 Hz, 3 H), 7.12 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2 H), 6.69 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1 H), 6.56 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 2 H), 5.33 (dd, J = 37.9, 5.1 Hz, 1 H), 5.04 (s, 1 H), 4.94 (s, 1 H), 4.64 (tt, J = 11.4, 5.1 Hz, 1 H), 2.36 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1 H), 2.18–1.78 (m, 6 H), 1.62–1.32 (m, 12 H), 1.25–1.01 (m, 9 H), 0.99 (s, 3 H), 0.91 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 3 H), 0.89–0.84 (m, 6 H), 0.67 (s, 3 H).

 $^{13}\mathsf{C}$ NMR (150 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 171.4, 146.2, 139.4, 139.3, 137.9, 137.9, 129.4, 128.9, 128.9, 128.3, 127.3, 123.2, 123.0, 118.1, 113.5, 75.6, 61.0, 56.8, 56.3, 50.1, 42.4, 39.8, 39.7, 38.2, 37.0, 36.7, 36.3, 35.9, 32.0, 32.0, 28.4, 28.2, 27.9, 24.4, 24.0, 23.0, 22.7, 21.2, 19.5, 18.9, 12.0.

HRMS (ESI): $m/z \ [M + Na]^+$ calcd for $C_{41}H_{57}NNaO_2$: 618.4282; found: 618.4284.

Funding Information

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (grant numbers 81573286 and 81373259).

Supporting Information

Supporting information for this article is available online at https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0040-1707186.

References

 (a) Hili, R.; Yudin, A. K. Nat. Chem. Biol. 2006, 2, 284. (b) Amino Group Chemistry: From Synthesis to the Life Sciences; Ricci, A., Ed.; Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2008.

- (2) (a) Ullmann, F. Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 1903, 36, 2382.
 (b) Goldberg, I. Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. 1906, 39, 1691. (c) Bariwal, J.; van der Eycken, E. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 9283. (d) Bhunia, S.; Pawar, G. G.; Kumar, S. V.; Jiang, Y.; Ma, D. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 16136. (e) Coman, S. M.; Parvulescu, V. I. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2015, 19, 1327. (f) R.-C., P., Buchwald S. L.; Chem. Rev.; 2016, 116: 12564
- (3) (a) Gillingham, D.; Fei, N. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2013, 42, 4918.
 (b) Abu-Elfotoh, A.-M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2017, 58, 4750.
 (c) Arredondo, V. S.; Hiew, C.; Gutman, E. S.; Premachandra, I. D.; Van Vranken, D. L. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 4156.
 (d) Aviv, I.; Gross, Z. Chem. Eur. J. 2008, 14, 3995. (e) Luo, H.; Wu, G.; Zhang, Y.; Wang, J. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 14503.
 (f) Sreenilayam, G.; Fasan, R. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 1532.
 (g) Zhu, Y.; Liu, X.; Dong, S.; Zhou, Y.; Li, W.; Lin, L.; Feng, X. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 1636.
- (4) (a) Jia, M.; Ma, S. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 9134. (b) Xia,
 Y.; Wang, J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2017, 46, 2306.
- (5) Ingold, C. K.; Jessop, J. A. J. Chem. Soc. **1930**, 713.
- (6) (a) Li, A.-H.; Dai, L.-X.; Aggarwal, V. K. Chem. Rev. 1997, 97, 2341. (b) Aggarwal, V. K.; Winn, C. L. Acc. Chem. Res. 2004, 37, 611. (c) Kaiser, D.; Klose, I.; Oost, R.; Neuhaus, J.; Maulide, N. Chem. Rev. 2019, 119, 8701. (d) Lu, L.-Q.; Li, T.-R.; Wang, Q.; Xiao, W.-J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2017, 46, 4135. (e) Xia, X.-D.; Lu, L.-Q.; Liu, W.-Q.; Chen, D.-Z.; Zheng, Y.-H.; Wu, L.-Z.; Xiao, W.-J. Chem. Eur. J. 2016, 22, 8432.
- (7) (a) Bayer, A.; Vaitla, J. Synthesis 2018, 51, 612. (b) Burtoloso, A. C.
 B.; Dias, R. M. P.; Leonarczyk, I. A. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2013, 5005.
- (8) (a) Baldwin, J. E.; Adlington, R. M.; Godfrey, C. R. A.; Gollins, D. W.; Vaughan, J. G. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1993, 1434.
 (b) Mangion, I. K.; Nwamba, I. K.; Shevlin, M.; Huffman, M. A. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 3566.
- (9) (a) Mangion, I. K.; Ruck, R. T.; Rivera, N.; Huffman, M. A.; Shevlin, M. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 5480. (b) Mangion, I. K.; Weisel, M. Tetrahedron Lett. 2010, 51, 5490. (c) Phelps, A. M.; Chan, V. S.; Napolitano, J. G.; Krabbe, S. W.; Schomaker, J. M.; Shekhar, S. J. Org. Chem. 2016, 81, 4158. (d) Vaitla, J.; Bayer, A.; Hopmann, K. H. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 4277.
- (10) Dias, R. M.; Burtoloso, A. C. Org. Lett. **2016**, *18*, 3034.
- (11) (a) Ball, Z. T. Acc. Chem. Res. 2013, 46, 560. (b) Chen, Z.; Popp, B. V.; Bovet, C. L.; Ball, Z. T. ACS Chem. Biol. 2011, 6, 920. (c) Chen, Z.; Vohidov, F.; Coughlin, J. M.; Stagg, L. J.; Arold, S. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 10138. (d) Antos, J. M.; McFarland, J. M.; lavarone, A. T.; Francis, M. B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 6301. (e) Sreenilayam, G.; Fasan, R. Chem. Commun. 2015, 51, 1532. (f) Tishinov, K.; Schmidt, K.; Haussinger, D.; Gillingham, D. G. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 12000.
- (12) Konig, H.; Metzger, H. Chem. Ber. 1965, 98, 3733.