

A Journal of the Gesellschaft Deutscher Chemiker A Deutscher Chemiker GDCh International Edition www.angewandte.org

Accepted Article

Title: Multicatalytic Approach to the Hydroaminomethylation of α -Olefins

Authors: Steven Hanna, Jeffery C Holder, and John F. Hartwig

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 10.1002/anie.201811297 Angew. Chem. 10.1002/ange.201811297

Link to VoR: http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201811297 http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/ange.201811297

WILEY-VCH

COMMUNICATION

Multicatalytic Approach to the Hydroaminomethylation of α -Olefins

Steven Hanna, Jeffery C. Holder, and John F. Hartwig*

Abstract: We report an approach to conducting the hydroaminomethylation of diverse α -olefins with a wide range of alkyl, aryl, and heteroarylamines at low temperatures (70-80 °C) and pressures (1.0-3.4 bar) of synthesis gas. This approach is based on simultaneously using two distinct catalysts that are mutually compatible. The hydroformylation step is catalyzed by a rhodium diphosphine complex, and the reductive amination step, which is conducted as a transfer hydrogenation with aqueous, buffered sodium formate as the reducing agent, is catalyzed by a cyclometallated iridium complex. By adjusting the ratio of CO to H₂, we conducted the reaction at one atmosphere of gas with little change in yield. A diverse array of olefins and amines, including hetreroarylamines that do not react under more conventional conditions with a single catalyst, underwent hydroaminomethylation with this new system, and the pharmaceutical ibutilide was prepared in higher yield and under milder conditions than those reported with a single catalyst.

Amines are ubiquitous in industrial, biological, and synthetic chemistry. Many industrial products either contain linear amines or are synthesized from amines,^[1] and some of the most commonly prescribed pharmaceuticals contain 1-aminoalkyl groups (Scheme 1). Such amines are most commonly synthesized by the amination of alcohols, the reductive amination of aldehydes, or the reduction of amides, nitriles, or nitro compounds.^[1] However, the starting materials for these processes are often synthesized from olefins; therefore, a method for the synthesis of amines from olefins would be more direct, less expensive, and more environmentally benign than the multi-step alternatives.



Scheme 1. APIs containing aminoalkyl groups

The hydroaminomethylation of olefins is an atom-economical and operationally simple reaction involving hydroformylation of an

[a] S. Hanna, Dr. J. C. Holder, Prof. J. F. Hartwig Department of Chemistry, 718 Latimer Hall University of California, Berkeley Berkeley, CA 94708 jhartwig@berkeley.edu olefin to form an aldehyde and reductive amination of this aldehyde to form an amine.^[2] The reaction is commonly conducted with a rhodium-based catalyst ligated by a diphosphine (Scheme 2A). Several limitations, such as the high pressure of synthesis gas (usually around 60 bar) required to achieve acceptable yields,^[2a, 2b] have diminished the utility of this reaction. In addition, self-condensation of the aldehyde, hydrogenation of the aldehyde, and hydrogenation of the olefin have been reported to compete with hydroaminomethylation.^[2a]

Hydroaminomethylation is difficult to achieve, in part, because the properties of the most active catalysts for hydroformylation are guite different from those of the most active catalysts for reductive amination.[3] Moreover the reductive amination process must not be strongly inhibited by carbon monoxide. A single catalyst that meets these criteria and catalyzes hydroaminomethylations at low pressures and temperatures has not been identified. In 2003, Beller reported one of the most active and regioselective systems comprising the combination of [Rh(COD)2]BF4 with Xantphos, but these reactions were conducted with 40 bar of syngas at 125 °C (Scheme 2A).^[4] Hydroaminomethylations reported by Eilbracht, Alper, Whiteker, Zhang, and others occur under similar conditions.^[5] A set of hydroaminomethylations reported by Beller occurred at the lower temperature of 60 °C. However, these reactions were limited to those of vinylarenes, and high pressures (30 bar, 1:5 CO:H₂) were still required. ^[6]

Scheme 2. Approaches to hydroaminomethylation

R ¹	+ r, 199	HNR ² R ³ 9:	CO:H ₂ (7:33, 40 bar) [Rh(COD) ₂]BF ₄ , Xantphos, 125 °C	R ¹ //NR ² R ³		
biphasic hydroaminomethylation with two metals and one ligand						
R ¹	+	$\rm NH_3$	<u>CO:H₂ (13:65, 78 bar)</u> [Rh(COD)Cl]₂/[Ir(COD)Cl]₂ P(<i>m</i> -SO₃Ph)₃, 130 °C	R NH ₂		
(C) Xiao 2015, Han, 2017: Branched hydroaminomethylation with one metal and an organic acid						
			CO, H ₂ (as low as 1 bar),			

(A) Beller, 2003: hydroaminomethylation with a single metal and ligand

P	+	H₀NAr	Hantzsch Ester (1.5-2.4 equiv)	,NHAr
IX S		112101	Rh(CO) ₂ (acac)/Diphosphine	R'
R = regiodirecting			Chiral Phosphoric Acid	up to 99% ee
group, e.g	j. phe	nyl	-	

(D) This work: linear hydroaminomethylation with two metal catalysts

		CO:H ₂ (1:1, 3.7 bar)	
n 1	+ HNR ² R ³	pH 4.8 HCO ₂ Na buffer	
R¹ ≈ alkyl	R ² , R ³ = alkyl, aryl, H	Rh(CO) ₂ (acac)/BISBI Xiao's Catalyst 80 °C, 20 h	60-88% yield 90:10 to >99:1 <i>n:iso</i> 30 examples

We envisioned a new approach in which two catalysts, one for each step, would react by mechanisms that are distinct and independent from each other, enabling hydroaminomethylation to occur under conditions that are milder than those with a single catalyst.^[7] Beller and Luo published hydroaminomethylations conducted with a single phosphine and two metals, but these

COMMUNICATION

reactions still required high pressures of synthesis gas and high temperatures (Scheme 2B).^[8] Following a different design, Xiao and Han published hydroaminomethylations catalyzed by the combination of a rhodium-based catalyst for the hydroformylation step and a chiral phosphoric acid for the reductive amination step that form enantioenriched, branched amines from α - and β functionalized olefins (Scheme 2C). However, these systems been shown to catalyze linear-selective have not hydroaminomethylations of unfunctionalized alkenes, and the organic catalyst requires an expensive Hantzsch ester to reduce the imine intermediate.^[7a, 7b, 9]

Herein, we report a linear-selective hydroaminomethylation of α -olefins catalyzed by two distinct metal complexes and an approach to the reductive amination step not applied previously to hydroaminomethylation (Scheme 2D). A rhodium-diphosphine complex catalyzes the hydroformylation step, and a phosphine-free, cyclometallated iridium complex catalyzes the reductive amination step by transfer hydrogenation. Key features of this work include the identification of a catalyst for reductive amination that is not poisoned by CO and the use of buffered formic acid for the reduction step. With this system, aromatic, heteroaromatic, and aliphatic amines are formed in high yields and in high regioselectivities with pressures of synthesis gas and temperatures that are significantly lower than those used previously for hydroaminomethylation.

for а low-pressure. Our strategy multicatalvtic hydroaminomethylation was based on the hypotheses that the high pressures of hydrogen in existing systems for hydroaminomethylation are required to ensure that reductive amination is faster than self-condensation of the aldehyde and that the reductive amination step of hydroaminomethylation is slow because catalysts for this step tend to be inhibited by carbon monoxide. In this case, a reductive amination catalyst that is unaffected by carbon monoxide could be combined with a suitable hydroformylation catalyst to conduct hydroaminomethylations at low pressures of synthesis gas. A complex that catalyzes reductive amination by transfer hydrogenation might meet this criterion.

То test this reaction design, we studied the hydroaminomethylation of 1-decene (1a) with aniline (2a) in the presence of formic acid, the highly active and selective hydroformylation catalyst generated from Rh(CO)₂(acac) and BISBI, and various complexes known to catalyze the transfer hydrogenation of imines and iminium ions (Table 1). The most well-known catalysts for reductive amination by transfer hydrogenation consist of a metal capable of transferring a hydride and a ligand capable of transferring a proton to a polarized multiple bond.^[10] However, amine 3aa formed in poor yield from olefin 1a in the presence of ruthenium diamine complexes C1 and C2 (entries 1-4), even though these complexes are known to be active for transfer hydrogenation of imines. The group 9 congeners (catalysts C3-C5) of catalysts C1 and C2 are active for the reductive amination of aldehydes by transfer hydrogenation.^[11] However, amine 3aa formed with low regioselectivity and in poor yield in the presence of catalysts C3, C4, and C5 and in the presence of Rh(CO)₂(acac) and BISBI (entries 5-7). Control experiments indicated that complexes C3-C5 either degrade into unselective catalysts for hydroformylation or are themselves unselective catalysts for hydroformylation

under the conditions in Table 1. This reactivity of the reductive amination catalyst toward hydroformylation leads to low *n:iso* ratios of the amine product. Amine **3aa** also formed in low yields in the presence of catalyst **C6** (entry 8). All of the hydroaminomethylations conducted with catalysts **C1-C6** (entries 1-8) proceeded to high conversions; the major side products of the reactions formed from self-condensation of undecanal.

Table 1. Evaluation of conditions	for th	ne hydro	caminomethy	lation c	of 1	-decene
with aniline						



Conditions: 1-decene (0.5 mmol), aniline (0.75 mmol), H₂ source (500 µL), 9:1 PhMe:MeOH (2.5 mL), Rh(CO)₂(acac) (0.5 mol%), hydroformylation ligand (2.5 mol%), reduction catalyst (1 mol%), 80 °C, 20 h. ^aDirect Reductive Amination catalyst. ^bYield of all constitutional isomers of amine **1ab**, determined by GC against dodecane as an internal standard. ^cAqueous sodium formate buffer at pH 4.8. ^d0.25 mol% Rh(CO)₂(acac), 1.25 mol% BISBI, 0.5 mol% **C7**. ^e0.125 mol% Rh(CO)₂(acac), 0.625 mol% BISBI, 0.25 mol% **C7**. ^fWith no Rh(CO)₂(acac)

To increase the rate of reductive amination of undecanal relative to that of its self-condensation, we sought catalysts for transfer hydrogenation that might be more stable to carbon monoxide than catalysts **C1-C6**. Reports that catalyst **C7** is more active for the reduction of *N-p*-anisylketimines than catalyst **C4** and the robustness of catalyst **C7** endowed by cyclometallation prompted us to attempt hydroaminomethylations with catalyst **C7** as a catalyst for reductive amination.^[12]

Initial studies with catalyst C7 showed that amine **3aa** formed in only 30% yield from olefin **1a**, CO, H₂, and amine **2a** in the presence of catalyst C7 and the combination of $Rh(CO)_2(acac)$,

COMMUNICATION

and BISBI with a 5:2 HCO₂H:Et₃N azeotrope as the reducing agent (entry 11). On the basis of prior literature showing that the reductive amination of acetophenone with *p*-anisidine catalyzed by complex C7 occurs significantly more rapidly with an aqueous sodium formate buffer at pH 4.8 as the reducing agent than with a 5:2 HCO₂H:Et₃N azeotrope as the reducing agent,^{[13],[14]} we conducted the hydroaminomethylation of olefin 1a with amine 2a with this reducing agent in the presence of C7. Amine 3aa formed in 91% yield under these conditions (entry 10). Amine 3aa formed in lower yields in the presence of XantPhos and DPEPhos than in the presence of BISBI (entries 11-12), and the product did not the absence of formate (entry form in 13). The hydroaminomethylation occurred at lower loadings of the two catalysts with only a small sacrifice in yield (entries 14-15). Amine 3aa formed in 8% yield and 68:32 n:iso in the presence of catalyst C7 with no Rh(CO)₂(acac) present (entry 16), indicating that catalyst C7 is only slightly active towards hydroformylation under the developed conditions. Amine 3aa did not form in the absence of a reductive amination catalyst (entry 17).

Table 2. Hydroaminomethylations of various olefins with aniline



Conditions: olefin (0.5 mmol), aniline (0.75 mmol), pH 4.8 aqueous sodium formate buffer (500 μ L), CO:H₂ (1:1, 3.4 bar), Rh(CO)₂(acac) (0.5 mol%), BISBI (2.5 mol%), Xiao's catalyst (1 mol%), 9:1 PhMe:MeOH (2.5 mL), 80 °C, 20 h. All yields reported are isolated yields. Regioselectivities were determined by GC analysis of the crude reaction mixture. ^a0.25 mmol olefin.

We attribute the high yields and regioselectivities of the reaction in entry 10 to several factors. First, Xiao's cyclometallated catalyst is not significantly inhibited by low pressures of CO; control experiments indicated that the yield of the reductive amination of undecanal catalyzed by complex C7 was the same in the absence of CO as in the presence of 1.7 bar of CO. In contrast, complexes C1, C2 and C6 were poisoned by CO, even at this low pressure (see the supporting information for details). Second, Xiao's catalyst is compatible with the aqueous HCO_2Na buffer, a mild, inexpensive hydrogen surrogate. Finally, Xiao's catalyst does not hydrogenate imines by a metal-ligand

bifunctional mechanism.^[12] Instead, Xiao's catalyst transfers a hydride to an iminium ion that is formed by protonation of an imine or enamine in an acidic medium.^[12]

The scope of olefins that undergo this hydroaminomethylation is illustrated by the examples in Table 2. Phenols (3ca), malonates (3da), nitriles (3ea), enolizable ketones (3fa), allylic acetates (3ga), allylic alcohols (3ha), and disubstituted olefins (3ka) were all tolerated. Olefins bearing electron-withdrawing groups in the allylic position reacted with excellent regioselectivities (1b, 1c, 1d, 1g, 1h); such β -functionalized olefins often undergo hydroformylations with low n:iso ratios, due to their tendencies to isomerize to internal olefins. Sterically hindered α -olefins (1g, 1i, 1j, 1k) also underwent hydroaminomethylation. As expected, the regioselectivities of the reactions of these alkenes were higher than those of reactions of less hindered alkenes. In addition, the double hydroaminomethylation of olefin 11 proceeded in high yield and with high regioselectivity.

Table 3. Hydroaminomethylations of methyl eugenol with various arylamines



Conditions: methyl eugenol (0.5 mmol), amine (0.75 mmol), pH 4.8 aqueous sodium formate buffer (500 μ L), CO:H₂ (1:1, 3.4 bar), Rh(CO)₂(acac) (0.5 mol%), BISBI (2.5 mol%), Xiao's catalyst (1 mol%), 9:1 PhMe:MeOH (2.5 mL), 80 °C, 20 h. All yields reported are isolated yields. Regioselectivities were determined by GC analysis of the crude reaction mixture. ^a1.25 mmol amine



The scope of arylamines that undergo hydroaminomethylation was studied with the olefin methyl eugenol (1b) as the coupling partner. The results are given in Table 3. Both electron-poor (2b, electron-rich (2d) anilines 2c. 2e) and underwent hydroaminomethylation. Anilines bearing ortho substituents (2f) ketones underwent and those bearing (2e) also hydroaminomethylation.

The scope of heteroarylamines that undergo hydroaminomethylation with olefin **1b** is also shown in Table 3.

COMMUNICATION

Heteroarylamines are widespread in pharmaceuticals and agrochemicals, but hydroaminomethylations with such regents are limited.^[15] For reference, we conducted the reaction of olefin 1b with 2-aminopyridine (2g) under standard conditions for hydroaminomethylation (125 °C, 40 bar 1:5 CO:H₂) with the single catalyst formed from the combination of [RhCOD2]BF4 and Xantphos. Only trace amounts of amine 3bg formed; the major species present in the crude reaction mixture was the starting olefin 1b.^[16] In contrast, the reactions of methyl eugenol with heteroarylamines, including aminopyridines (2a. 2h). aminoindoles aminoquinolines (2i). (**2i**. 2k). and aminodibenzofurans (21) occurred in good yield under the developed with conditions we two catalvsts. Even heteroarylamines capable of chelating metal catalysts (2k) reacted. This contrast in reactivity demonstrates the unusual compatibility of the new system for hydroaminomethylation with biologically important heteroarenes.

Alkylamines might be expected to be quenched by the acidic buffer containing formic acid, but both primary and secondary alkylamines underwent hydroaminomethylation under the conditions we developed with two catalysts and a pH 4.8 formate buffer (Table 4). Both cyclic (**2m**, **2n**, **2o**, **2p**) and acyclic (**2q**, **2r**, **2s**) secondary amines underwent the hydroaminomethylation. Sterically hindered aliphatic amines were less reactive towards hydroaminomethylation than unhindered aliphatic amines, presumably due to slow reduction of sterically hindered iminium ions. Primary aliphatic amines (**2t**) also underwent hydroaminomethylation to form secondary amines without the formation of tertiary amines.

Table 4. Hydroaminomethylations of methyl eugenol with various aliphatic amines



Conditions: olefin (0.5 mmol), alkylamine (0.6 mmol), pH 4.8 aqueous sodium formate buffer (250 μ L), CO:H₂ (1:1, 3.4 bar), Rh(CO)₂(acac) (0.5 mol%), BISBI (2.5 mol%), Xiao's catalyst (1 mol%), 9:1 PhMe:MeOH (5 mL), 80 °C, 20 h. All yields reported are isolated yields. Regioselectivities were determined by GC analysis of the crude reaction mixture, ^a2.5 equiv octylamine.



To demonstrate further the applicability of this work to the preparation of medicinally relevant amines, we synthesized amine **3mt**, the active ingredient in a drug sold under the generic name ibutilide (Scheme 3). Under the conditions in Scheme 3, olefin **1m** underwent hydroaminomethylation in 76% yield. Previous examples of this hydroaminomethylation occurred in significantly

lower yields and required pressures of syngas that are much higher than those in the current work. $\ensuremath{^{[5e]}}$



Conditions for Hydroaminomethylation: olefin (0.5 mmol), alkylamine (0.6 mmol), pH 4.8 aqueous sodium formate buffer (250 μ L), CO:H₂ (1:1, 3.4 bar), Rh(CO)₂(acac) (1 mol%), BISBI (5 mol%), Xiao's catalyst (2 mol%), 9:1 PhMe:MeOH (5 mL), 80 °C, 20 h.

Scheme 3. Synthesis of Ibutilide

Finally, the hydroaminomethylations reported in this work can be conducted easily on large scales and at atmospheric pressure of syngas. The hydroaminomethylation of 6 mmol of methyl eugenol with aniline gave 1.24 g of amine **3ba** (71% yield, Scheme 4. By adjusting the ratio of CO to H₂ to 1:2 CO:H₂, the reaction of 1-decene (**1a**) with aniline (**2a**) formed *N*-undecyl aniline (**3aa**) in 65% yield at a total pressure of only 1 atm at 70 °C (Scheme 5).



Conditions: methyl eugenol (6 mmol), aniline (9 mmol), pH 4.8 aqueous sodium formate buffer (6 mL), CO:H₂ (1:1, 3.4 bar), Rh(CO)₂(acac) (0.5 mol%), BISBI (2.5 mol%), Xiao's catalyst (1 mol%), 9:1 PhMe:MeOH (30 mL), 80 °C, 20 h.

Scheme 4. Hydroaminomethylation on a 6-mmol scale

	PhNH ₂ (2a) CO:H ₂ (1:2, 1 atm)	
n-C ₇ H ₁₅	HCO ₂ Na Buffer	n-C ₇ H ₁₅ NHPh
1a	Nii(CO)2(acac), DISBI, CI	65%, 96:4

Conditions: 1-decene (0.15 mmol), aniline (0.23 mmol), pH 4.8 aqueous sodium formate buffer (250 μ L), CO:H₂ (1:2, 15 psi), Rh(CO)₂(acac) (2 mol%), BISBI (8 mol%), Xiao's catalyst (2 mol%), 9:1 PhMe:MeOH (750 μ L), 70 °C, 64 h. Scheme 5. Hydroaminomethylation at atmospheric pressure

In summary, we have developed a scalable, linear-selective, dual-catalytic hydroaminomethylation of α -olefins occurring at low temperature and pressure by exploiting the combination of a catalyst for hydroformylation and a catalyst for reductive amination that is active under carbon monoxide and that reduces imines by transfer hydrogenation. The pressures and temperatures of the reaction are the lowest reported for linearselective hydroaminomethylations, and the reactions can even be conducted at atmospheric pressure of synthesis gas. The reaction occurs with a broad range of olefins and amines and is uniquely suitable for the preparation of a wide range of medicinally relevant heteroarylamines. Efforts to further increase the activity of dual catalysts and the scope of reactants are ongoing.

COMMUNICATION

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Director, Office of Science, U.S. Department of Energy, under contract No. DE-AC02-05CH1123. Jeffrey C. Holder thanks the National Institutes of Health for support through 5F32GM112312.

Keywords: hydroaminomethylation • bimetallic • multicatalytic • transfer hydrogenation • hydroformylation • reductive amination

- a) K. S. Hayes, *Appl. Catal., A* **2001**, *221*, 187-195; b) P. Roose, K. Eller,
 E. Henkes, R. Rossbacher, H. Höke in *Amines, Aliphatic*, **2015**.
- a) D. Crozet, M. Urrutigoity, P. Kalck, *ChemCatChem* 2011, *3*, 1102-1118; b) C. D. Chen, X.-Q.; Zhang, X., Org. Chem. Front. 2016, *3*, 1359–1370; c) P. Kalck, M. Urrutigoïty, *Chem. Rev.* 2018, *118*, 3833-3861.
- [3] J. F. Hartwig in Organotransition Metal Chemistry: From Bonding to Catalysis, 1 ed., University Science Books, Mill Valley, California, 2009, 774.
- [4] M. Ahmed, A. M. Seayad, R. Jackstell, M. Beller, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 10311-10318.
- [5] a) A. Seayad, M. Ahmed, H. Klein, R. Jackstell, T. Gross, M. Beller, Science 2002, 297, 1676-1678; b) M. Ahmed, R. P. J. Bronger, R. Jackstell, P. C. L. Kamer, P. W. N. M. van Leeuwen, M. Beller, Chem. Eur. J. 2006. 12. 8979-8988: c) T. O. Vieira, H. Alper, Chem. Commun. 2007, 2710-2711; d) G. Liu, K. Huang, C. Cai, B. Cao, M. Chang, W. Wu, X. Zhang, Chem. Eur. J. 2011, 17, 14559-14563; e) J. R. Briggs, J. Klosin, G. T. Whiteker, Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 4795-4798; f) G. T. Whiteker, Top. Catal. 2010, 53, 1025-1030; g) G. Liu, K. Huang, B. Cao, M. Chang, S. Li, S. Yu, L. Zhou, W. Wu, X. Zhang, Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 102-105; h) L. Wu, I. Fleischer, R. Jackstell, M. Beller, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 3989; i) G. Liu, Z. Li, H. Geng, X. Zhang, Catal. Sci. Technol. 2014, 4, 917-921; j) J. Liu, C. Kubis, R. Franke, R. Jackstell, M. Beller, ACS Catalvsis 2016. 6. 907-912: k) M. A. Subhani, K.-S. Mueller, P. Eilbracht, Adv. Synth. Catal. 2009, 351, 2113-2123; I) A. Schmidt, M. Marchetti, P. Eilbracht, Tetrahedron 2004, 60, 11487-11492.
- [6] L. Routaboul, C. Buch, H. Klein, R. Jackstell, M. Beller, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2005, 46, 7401-7405.
- [7] For multicatalytic strategies for the combination of hydroformylation with the hydrogenation of aldehydes, see the following publications: a) K. Takahashi, M. Yamashita, K. Nozaki, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 18746-18757; b) T. Kohei, Y. Makoto, I. Takeo, N. Koji, N. Kyoko, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2010, 49, 4488-4490; c) Y. Yuki, K. Takahashi, Y. Tanaka, K. Nozaki, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 17393-17400.
- [8] a) B. Zimmermann, J. Herwig, M. Beller, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1999**, 38, 2372-2375; b) Y. Wang, C. Zhang, M. Luo, H. Chen, X. J. Li, *Arkivoc* **2008**, *xi*, 165-174.
- [9] a) B. Villa-Marcos, J. Xiao, *Chinese J. Catal.* **2015**, *36*, 106-112; b) J. M. Han, L. Xing-Han, Y. Zhi, *Org. Lett.* **2017**, *19*, 1076.
- [10] a) D. Wang, D. Astruc, *Chem. Rev.* 2015, *115*, 6621-6686; b) M. S. Takao Ikariya in *Bifunctional Molecular Catalysis, Vol.* 37, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, 2011, 31-53; c) P. A. D. Gordon, C. John, *Dalton Trans.* 2016, *45*, 6741-7180.
- [11] J. Blacker, J. Martin, in Asymmetric Catalysis on Industrial Scale, Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, 2004, pp. 201-216.
- [12] a) C. Wang, A. Pettman, J. Bacsa, J. Xiao, *Angew. Chem.* 2010, *122*, 7710-7714; b) D. Talwar, N. P. Salguero, C. M. Robertson, J. Xiao, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2014, *20*, 245-252; c) W. T. Xiao, L. Chunho, W. Xiaofeng, Jianliang, *Synlett* 2013, *25*, 81-84.
- [13] Q. Lei, Y. Wei, D. Talwar, C. Wang, D. Xue, J. Xiao, Chem. Eur. J. 2013, 19, 4021-4029.
- [14] The precise pH of the buffer strongly influences the outcomes of reductive aminations with Xiao's catalyst. Under basic conditions, neither imines nor ketones are protonated, and the reduction does not occur. In contrast, under highly acidic conditions, amines, ketones, and imines are protonated and both the chemoselectivity for the reduction of C=N bonds and the rate of the reductive amination are low. See reference 14.

- [15] Beller has shown that 1,1-diphenylethylene undergoes hydroaminomethylations with piperidines bearing remote pyridyl and pyrimidyl groups, but this olefin undergoes hydroaminomethylation with 4-aminopyridine in low yield. M. Ahmed, C. Buch, L. Routaboul, R. Jackstell, H. Klein, A. Spannenberg, M. Beller, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2007, 13, 1594-1601.
- [16] Reaction Conditions: 0.5 mmol methyl eugenol, 0.5 mmol 2aminopyridine, 40 bar CO:H2 (1:5), [Rh(COD)₂]BF₄ (0.1 mol%), Xantphos (0.4 mol%), 1.5 mL PhMe:MeOH (1:1), 125 °C, 20 h

COMMUNICATION



Two cats make HAM: Two distinct, mutually compatible catalysts enable the hydroaminomethylation of alkenes at or near atmospheric pressure of syngas and just 80 °C. The hydroformylation step is catalyzed by a rhodium diphosphine complex, and the reductive amination step, which is conducted as a transfer hydrogenation with aqueous, buffered sodium formate as the reducing agent, is catalyzed by a cyclometallated iridium complex.

Steven Hanna, Jeffery C. Holder, and John F. Hartwig*

Page No. – Page No.

Multicatalytic Approach to the Hydroaminomethylation of α-Olefins