

Continuous Flow Magnesiation or Zincation of Acrylonitriles, Acrylates, and Nitroolefins. Application to the Synthesis of Butenolides

Maximilian A. Ganiek, Matthias R. Becker, Marthe Ketels, and Paul Knochel*

Department of Chemistry, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität, Butenandtstr. 5-13, 81377 Munich, Germany

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Scalable continuous flow procedures are reported for the metalation and downstream functionalization of β substituted acrylates. The flow conditions allow the metalation of acrylonitriles, acrylates, and nitroolefins at 0.25–2.50 mmol/ min conversion rates. Magnesiations can be performed with short residence times (1–20 min) and near-ambient temperature using TMPMgCl·LiCl. Further, high temperature zincation (\leq 90 °C) using TMPZnCl·LiCl is possible. This method allows a simple entry to 2(5*H*)-furanones by flow generation of magnesiated acrylates and a subsequent reaction with aldehydes.

The directed metalation of α_{β} -unsaturated carbonyl derivatives is an important reaction since, after quenching with various electrophiles, highly functionalized unsaturated products are obtained.¹ These compounds are useful building blocks for the synthesis of natural products and heterocycles, many of which are biologically relevant, such as tetronic acids.³ The lithiation of acrylate derivatives and nitroolefins is often complicated by polymerization and side reactions.⁴ Hence, such lithiations are usually carried out at low reaction temperatures (-78 or -110 °C).^{1a,4} The use of kinetically highly active bases such as $\text{TMPZnCl}\cdot\text{LiCl}^{5}$ (1, TMPH = 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidine), TMP₂Zn·2MgCl₂·2LiCl₂⁶ or zincate bases⁷ improves the stability of the organometallic intermediates but still requires low metalation temperatures.8 The conduction of reactions under flow conditions often improves yields and selectivities.⁹ Previously we have shown that continuous flow technology also dramatically improves metalation reactions.¹⁰ Herein, we wish to report the use of TMPZnCl·LiCl⁵ (1) and TMPMgCl·LiCl $(2)^{11}$ for the flow metalation and functionalization of various acrylates, acrylonitriles, and nitroolefins leading to, after quenching with various electrophiles, polyfunctional unsaturated products.

Our initial studies focused on the flow metalation of acrylonitriles and nitroolefins, which are notoriously known to polymerize under basic conditions. For instance, we found that 3-ethoxy-acrylonitrile (3a, E:Z = 2:1) was smoothly zincated at the α -position with TMPZnCl·LiCl (1) at 40 °C within 10 min in a flow apparatus¹² (Scheme 1). After an *in-line* quench with allyl bromide in the presence of a copper catalyst (10% CuCN·2LiCl),¹³ the expected product 4a (E:Z = 2:1) is obtained in 78% isolated yield. In contrast, a reported batch synthesis of 4a using LDA for the metalation requires a -110







 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ temperature for the lithiation and -110 to -50 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ for the subsequent allylation. 14

This zincation procedure has a broad scope (Table 1). Thus, allylation of zincated **3a** with 3-bromo-1-cyclohexene or Pd(0)-catalyzed Negishi cross-coupling¹⁵⁻¹⁷ with 4-iodoanisole gave the expected products (**4b**-**c**) in 92–96% yield (entries 1–2). Furthermore, *E*-cinnamonitrile (**3b**) reacts smoothly with TMPZnCl·LiCl (1) at 90 °C¹⁸ within 10 min, and after allylation the cinnamonitrile **4d** is obtained in 75% yield (entry 3). Repeating the allylation reaction on a 10 mmol scale does not require any reaction condition changes¹⁹ and furnishes **4d** in an improved yield of 83% after a ca. 35 min reaction time and purification. Negishi cross-coupling^{15,17} of α -zincated **3b** with 4-iodoanisole proceeds in flow within 25 min at 60 °C leading to the cinnamonitrile **4e** (99%, *E*:*Z* = 8:1, entry 4). Furthermore, quenching of zincated **3b** with benzaldehyde (10 min, 70 °C) produces the allylic alcohol in 63% as the single *E*-

Received: January 13, 2016

Table 1. Flow Zincation of Acrylonitriles and Nitroolefins (3) with TMPZnCl·LiCl (1) and *in-Line* Quenching with Electrophiles Leading to α -Functionalized Products (4)

entry	substrate [meta- lation conditions]	electrophile	product ^a
1	3a [40 °C; 10 min]	Br	4b : 92% $(E:Z = 2:1)^{b.g}$
2			
2	3a	MeO	4c : 96% $(E:Z = 6:1)^{c,s}$
3	Ph CN 3b [90 °C; 10 min]	<i>⊯</i> ∽∽ ^{Br}	Ph CN 4d: 75% ^b 83% ^{b,f}
4	3b	Meo	$\begin{array}{c} \text{MeO} \\ \hline \\ \text{Ph}_{box} \\ \text{CN} \\ \text{4e: } 99\% \ (E:Z=8:1)^{c.g} \end{array}$
5	3b	PhCHO	$\begin{array}{c} \text{HO} \text{Ph} \\ \text{Ph} \text{CN} \\ \text{4f: } 63\%^d \end{array}$
6	SMe Mes → NO ₂ 3c [0 °C; 5 min]	Q ↓ CI	$\begin{array}{c} SMe \\ MeS & NO_2 \\ & O \\ 4g: \ 64\%^e \end{array}$
7	3c	<i>⊮</i> ∽∽ ^{Br}	SMe Mes NO ₂ 4h: 73% ^b
8	3d [25 °C; 3.3 min]	<i>∭</i> →Br	4i : 78% ^b

^{*a*}Yield of isolated product after column chromatographical purification. ^{*b*}Obtained by a Cu-catalyzed allylation.¹³ ^{*c*}Obtained using 2 mol % $[Pd(dba)_2]$ and 4 mol % P(2-furyl)₃.¹⁵ ^{*d*}Obtained by adding 10 mol % TMSCl to PhCHO. ^{*e*}Obtained by a Cu-catalyzed acylation.¹³ ^{*f*}Yield on a 10 mmol scale. ^{*g*}Yield based on the amount of electrophile used.

stereoisomer (entry 5). The α -zincation of nitroolefins typically required temperatures from -50 to 0 °C under batch conditions.⁸ We found that the zincation of nitroolefins **3c**-**d** proceeds under continuous flow conditions at 0–25 °C and leads to new α -functionalized nitroolefins (**4g**-**i**) after *in-line* allylation or acylation (entries 6–8).

Acrylic esters require stronger bases such as TMPMgCl·LiCl (2) in order to undergo efficient metalation.⁸ Thus, β -magnesiation occurs readily without notable isomerization, polymerization, or attack of the ester if short flow residence times and temperatures between 10 and 50 °C (Table 2, entries 1–5) were used. For instance, β -(2-furyl) acrylate (5a) is cleanly β -magnesiated at 10 °C within 5 min despite the presence of several acidic protons (on the furan ring^{11a}). The thiophene analog (5d) in contrast required lower temperatures (≤ 20 °C) to suppress metalation of the heteroaromatic moiety. The resulting low conversion of 5d at such low temperatures could not be increased flow rates²⁰ (5 mL/min during

Table 2. Flow Magnesiation of Acrylate Substrates (5) with TMPMgCl·LiCl (2) Followed by *in-Line* Allylation and Acylation Leading to β -Functionalized Products (6)



^{*a*}Yield of isolated product after column chromatographical purification. ^{*b*}Obtained by a Cu-catalyzed allylation.¹³ ^{*c*}Obtained by a Cu-catalyzed or Cu-mediated acylation.¹³ ^{*d*}15 mmol scale. ^{*e*}Yield based on the amount of electrophile used.

Scheme 2. Flow Synthesis of Furan-5*H*-one (7a) from Acrylate (5e)



mixing and metalation) triggered high conversion of 5d and the desired allylated product 6f was obtained in 72% yield in the presence of 5% CuCN·2LiCl¹³ (entry 6).

To broaden the scope of the flow metalation/quenching sequence, we have investigated acylations of magnesiated acrylates with acid chlorides (entries 1-5). Remarkably, after optimization of the flow reaction conditions (temperature, flow rate, residence time, and catalyst loading) the preparation of highly electrophilic Michael acceptors such as 6a-e was performed without substantial polymerization. Thus, the flow rates chosen for the benzoylation of 5c allow the production of ca. 1 mmol of product (6d) per min (entry 4). Running the benzoylation of 5c for 15 min gives 15 mmol of enone ester 6d in 99% yield after isolation, confirming the good scalability¹⁹ of this method. Interestingly, the acylation of magnesiated 5c with methacrylol chloride allows the synthesis of the methyl ester of

Table 3. Synthesis of Butenolides (7) by Magnesiation of	of
Acrylates (5) and Quenching with Aldehydes in Flow	



^aYield of isolated product after column chromatographical purification. Quenching with the electrophile was performed at 25 °C. ^bYield on a 10 mmol scale. ^cYield based on the amount of electrophile used.

*penicillic acid*²¹ in 63% yield (entry 5). Applying fast flow rates (5 mL/min) during the acylation suppressed in this case side reactions to an acceptable extent.²⁰

Many butenolides are biologically active,³ and their synthesis by the addition of β -metalated acrylates to aldehydes is wellknown.^{1a,2a,4b-d} Whereas β -lithiated acrylates often give low yields upon addition to an aldehyde,^{4b,c} their β -magnesiated counterparts react smoothly to the desired products.⁸ For Scheme 3. Synthesis of 3,4,5-substituted Butenolides (8) by Continuous Flow Metalation of the 3-Position



example, *E*-ethyl 2-dimethylamino acrylate (5e) is quantitatively magnesiated with TMPMgCl·LiCl (2) at room temperature within 10 min in continuous flow (Scheme 2).

In-line quenching with benzaldehyde leads to butenolide 7a (85–91%) after lactonization (Scheme 2). This butenolide synthesis has a broad scope, and a range of furan-2(5H)-ones (7b-m) have been prepared in an analogous manner in 61-98% yield (Table 3). Electron-poor and -rich aromatic aldehydes (entries 1–2; 4–5), as well as $\alpha_{,\beta}$ -unsaturated (entry 8), benzylic (entry 9), or aliphatic aldehydes (entries 3, 10, 12) were used as electrophiles. Scaling up of the reactions leading to 7h and 7l to 10 mmol (entries 7 and 11) proceeded without loss of yield after reaction times of 4 min (7h) and 40 min (71). Since furan-2(5H)-ones with substituents in the 3position are occurring in bioactive molecules,³ we have further developed flow metalations of butenolides 7 (Scheme 3) in this position. Thus, the high temperature zincation (70 °C, 5 min) of butenolide 7h using TMPZnCl·LiCl (1) leads to bisarylic tetronates 8a and 8c in 59-87% yield after cross-coupling^{15,16} with the corresponding aryl iodides (Scheme 3). Furthermore, magnesiation (50 °C, 10 min) of 7a was achieved with TMPMgCl·LiCl (2), leading to the corresponding 3,4,5substituted butenolide (8b) after Cu(I)-catalyzed allylation¹³ in 65% yield. This method offers novel substitution patterns under mild and practical conditions and employs easily accessible starting materials.

In summary, we have demonstrated a practical flow magnesiation and zincation of acrylate derivatives allowing the synthesis of highly substituted unsaturated molecules and butenolides of potential biological relevance. Despite the sensitive nature of some intermediates, flow technology enables the use of high temperatures without the production of extensive amounts of side products. The scale-up of these reactions is achieved without any further optimization by running the flow reactions for longer time periods. In some cases, a beneficial effect of working at high flow rates (\geq 5 mL/min)²⁰ was noted. Further extensions to the flow metalation of sensitive substrates are currently being investigated in our laboratories.

Organic Letters

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.or-glett.6b00086.

Detailed experimental procedures and characterization data for new compounds (PDF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: paul.knochel@cup.uni-muenchen.de.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank the DFG (SFB 749 and DIP) for financial support. We thank Vapourtec Ltd. for helpful technical support and Rockwood Lithium GmbH (Frankfurt), BASF AG (Ludwigshafen) for the generous gift of chemicals. M.K. would like to thank the Foundation of the German Economy for financial support.

REFERENCES

(a) Schmidt, R. R.; Betz, R. Synthesis 1982, 1982, 748.
 (b) Sengupta, S.; Snieckus, V. J. Org. Chem. 1990, 55, 5680.
 (c) Reed, M. A.; Chang, M. T.; Snieckus, V. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 2297. For alternative preparations of alkenyl organometals, see:
 (d) Dagousset, G.; François, C.; León, T.; Blanc, R.; Sansiaume-Dagousset, E.; Knochel, P. Synthesis 2014, 46, 3133.

(2) (a) Schmidt, R. R. In Natural Product Chemistry; Rahman, A., Ed.; Springer: 1986, Berlin. (b) Name Reactions in Heterocyclic Chemistry; Li, J. J., Corey, E. J., Eds.; Wiley: Hoboken, NJ, 2005. (c) Roque, D. R.; Neill, J. L.; Antoon, J. W.; Stevens, E. P. Synthesis 2005, 2497. (f) Kao, T.; Syu, S.; Jhang, Y.; Lin, W. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 3066. (g) Bonnamour, J.; Bolm, C. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 2012. (h) Patel, B. H.; Mason, A. M.; Barrett, A. G. M. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 5156.

(3) (a) Zografos, A. L.; Georgiadis, D. Synthesis 2006, 2006, 3157.
(b) Vieweg, L.; Vieweg, L.; Reichau, S.; Schobert, R.; Leadlay, P. F.; Süssmuth, R. D. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2014, 31, 1554.

(4) (a) Schmidt, R. R.; Talbiersky, J.; Russegger, P. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1979**, 20, 4273. (b) Feit, B. A.; Melamed, U.; Schmidt, R. R.; Speer, H. *Tetrahedron* **1981**, 37, 2143. (c) Harrowven, D. C.; Poon, H. S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1994**, 35, 9101. (d) Harrowven, D. C.; Poon, H. S. *Tetrahedron* **1996**, 52, 1389.

(5) (a) Mosrin, M.; Knochel, P. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 1837. (b) Mosrin, M.; Monzon, G.; Bresser, T.; Knochel, P. Chem. Commun. 2009, 5615. (c) Bresser, T.; Mosrin, M.; Monzón, G.; Knochel, P. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 4686. (d) Crestey, F.; Knochel, P. Synthesis 2010, 2010, 1097. (e) Monzon, G.; Knochel, P. Synlett 2010, 2010, 304. (g) Bresser, T.; Monzon, G.; Mosrin, M.; Knochel, P. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2010, 14, 1299. (h) Duez, S.; Steib, A. K.; Manolikakes, S. M.; Knochel, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 7686. (i) Klier, L.; Bresser, T.; Nigst, T. A.; Karaghiosoff, K.; Knochel, P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 13584. (j) Unsinn, A.; Ford, M. J.; Knochel, P. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 1128. (k) Crestey, F.; Zimdars, S.; Knochel, P. Synthesis 2013, 45, 3029. (l) Barl, N. M.; Malakhov, V.; Mathes, C.; Lustenberger, P.; Knochel, P. Synthesis 2015, 47, 692.

(6) (a) Wunderlich, S. H.; Knochel, P. Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 7829; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 7685. (b) Wunderlich, S. H.; Knochel, P. Chem. Commun. 2008, 47, 6387. (c) Wunderlich, S. H.; Knochel, P. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 4705. (d) Mosrin, M.; Knochel, P. Chem. - Eur. J. 2009, 15, 1468. (e) Kienle, M.; Dunst, C.; Knochel, P. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 5158. (f) Dunst, C.; Kienle, M.; Knochel, P. Synthesis 2010, 2010, 2313.

(7) (a) Mulvey, R. E.; Mongin, F.; Uchiyama, M.; Kondo, Y. Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 3876; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 3802.
(b) Blair, V. L.; Blakemore, D. C.; Hay, D.; Pryde, D. C.; Hevia, E. Tetrahedron Lett. 2011, 52, 4590. (c) Armstrong, D. R.; Crosbie, E.; Hevia, E.; Robertson, S. D.; Mulvey, R. E.M; Ramsay, D. L. Chem. Sci. 2014, 5, 3031.

(8) Bresser, T.; Knochel, P. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 1914. (9) For a general introduction, see: (a) Flash Chemistry: Fast Organic Synthesis in Microsystems; Yoshida, J., Ed.; Wiley: Chichester, 2008. (b) Brzozowski, M.; O'Brien, M.; Ley, S. V.; Polyzos, A. Acc. Chem. Res. 2015, 48, 349. For recent developments, see: (c) Chen, M.; Ichikawa, S.; Buchwald, S. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 263; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 265. (d) Zhang, Y.; Born, S. C.; Jensen, K. F. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2014, 18, 1476. (e) Brodmann, T.; Koos, P.; Metzger, A.; Knochel, P.; Ley, S. V. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2012, 16, 1102. (f) Ley, S. V.; Fitzpatrick, D. E.; Ingham, R. J.; Myers, R. M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 3449; Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 3514. (g) Painter, T. O.; Thornton, P. D.; Orestano, M.; Santini, C.; Organ, M. G. Chem. - Eur. J. 2011, 17, 9595. (h) Somerville, K.; Tilley, M.; Li, G.; Mallik, D.; Organ, M. G. Org. Process Res. Dev. 2014, 18, 1315. (i) Webb, D.; Jamison, T. F. Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 568. (j) He, Z.; Jamison, T. F. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 3353. (k) Nagaki, A.; Kim, H.; Yoshida, J.-i. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 7833. (1) Kim, H.; Nagaki, A.; Yoshida, J.-i. Nat. Commun. 2011, 2, 264. (m) Nagaki, A.; Imai, K.; Ishiuchi, S.; Yoshida, J.-i. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 1914. (n) Ushakov, D. B.; Gilmore, K.; Kopetzki, D.; McQuade, D. T.; Seeberger, P. H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 557; Angew. Chem. 2014, 126, 568.

(10) (a) Petersen, T. P.; Becker, M. R.; Knochel, P. Angew. Chem.
2014, 126, 8067; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 7933. (b) Becker, M. R.; Ganiek, M. A.; Knochel, P. Chem. Sci. 2015, 6, 6649. (c) Becker, M. R.; Knochel, P. Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 12681; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.
2015, 54, 12501.

(11) (a) Krasovskiy, A.; Krasovskaya, V.; Knochel, P. Angew. Chem. 2006, 118, 3024; Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2006, 45, 2958. (b) Mosrin, M.; Knochel, P. Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 2497. (c) Nafe, J.; Knochel, P. Synthesis 2015, 48, 103.

(12) Equipment from Vapourtec Ltd. (E-Series; http://www. vapourtec.co.uk/) was used for all reactions; see Supporting information for details.

(13) Knochel, P.; Yeh, M. C. P.; Berk, S. C.; Talbert, J. J. Org. Chem. 1988, 53, 2390.

(14) Smirnow, D.; Hopkins, P. Synth. Commun. 1986, 16, 1187.

(15) (a) Negishi, E.-i.; Valente, L. F.; Kobayashi, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1980, 102, 3298. (b) Negishi, E.-i; Kobayashi, M. J. Org. Chem. 1980, 45, 5223. (c) Negishi, E.-i. Acc. Chem. Res. 1982, 15, 340. For P(2furyl)₃, see: (d) Farina, V.; Krishnan, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 9585.

(16) For previous flow cross-coupling reactions, see: (a) Noel, T.; Kuhn, S.; Musacchio, A. J.; Jensen, K. F.; Buchwald, S. L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2011, 50, 5943.

(17) A Pd-catalyst seems to cause isomerization of the intermediate zinc reagents (compare Table 1, entries 1-4).

(18) A mechanical back pressure regulator was used, which allows in principle unlimited scalability (unlike sealed tube batch reactors). Compare: (a) Noel, T.; Maimone, T. J.; Buchwald, S. L. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 8900. For a reactor design without mechanical constrictions: (b) Sauks, J. M.; Mallik, D.; Lawryshyn, Y.; Bender, T.; Organ, M. Org. Process Res. Dev. **2014**, *18*, 1310.

(19) For large-scale (micro)flow reactions, see also: (a) Ullah, F.;
Samarakoon, T.; Rolfe, A.; Kurtz, R. D.; Hanson, P. R.; Organ, M. G. *Chem. - Eur. J.* 2010, *16*, 10959. (b) Browne, D. L.; Baumann, M.;
Harji, B. H.; Baxendale, I. R.; Ley, S. V. Org. Lett. 2011, *13*, 3312.
(c) Newby, J. A.; Huck, L.; Blaylock, D. W.; Witt, P. M.; Ley, S. V.;
Browne, D. L. Chem. - Eur. J. 2014, *20*, 263.

(20) For detailed optimization and the influence of the flow rate in these reactions, see the Supporting Information.

(21) Yates, I. E.; Porter, J. K. Appl. Environ. Microb. 1982, 44, 1072.