ORGANOMETALLICS

Facile C–H, C–F, C–Cl, and C–C Activation by Oxatitanacyclobutene Complexes

Trang T. Nguyen, Jeffery A. Bertke, Danielle L. Gray, and Kami L. Hull*

Department of Chemistry, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign, 600 South Mathews Avenue, Urbana, Illinois 61801, United States

Supporting Information

ABSTRACT: Aryl ketones react readily with oxatitanacyclobutenes bearing pentamethylcyclopentadienyl ligands to form unique titanocene complexes resulting from Cp^* modification and C-H activation. An intermediate in this reaction is intercepted with various functional groups to form carbonyl insertion, C-F activation, and cyclopropane ring-opening products.



xa- and azametallacycles of group IV metals are important intermediates in a number of metal-mediated reactions, including hydroamination,^{1,2} reductive cyclization,^{3,4} vinyl cyclopropane ring opening,⁵ aldehyde-alkyne coupling,⁶ and aldehyde-imine coupling.⁷ The key step in many of these reactions involves insertion of the substrate's unsaturated bond into the metal-carbon bond of the metallacycles. This reactivity has been extensively studied in the cases of azametallacycles, generated from titanium and zirconium imido complexes, and more recently with oxazirconacyclobutenes.⁶ On the other hand, the reactivity of analogous oxatitanacyclobutenes toward unsaturated organic substrates has not been reported. In order to develop analogous catalytic cycles with Ti-oxo complexes, it is key to understand their fundamental reactivity and probe the decomposition pathways for these compounds. The results described herein probe the reaction between oxatitanacyclobutenes and carbonyl-containing compounds.

It is known that aldehydes and aldimines insert into the [M]-C bond of azazirconacyclobutenes,^{7a,b,8} oxazirconacyclobutenes,^{6,9} and azatitanacyclobutenes;^{7c,d} however, the insertion of aldehydes into oxatitanacyclobutenes has not been reported. Treatment of titanocene complex **1** with aldehyde resulted in rapid consumption of the starting materials. The aldehyde insertion product was not detected by ¹H NMR spectroscopy (Scheme 1); rather, a complex mixture of products was observed.¹⁰

To gain insight into the reactions of 1 with carbonyl compounds, the less reactive benzophenone was chosen to probe its reactivity. Interestingly, addition of 1 equiv of 4,4'-





bismethoxybenzophenone to a solution of 1a in C_6D_6 resulted in an immediate color change, from green to dark red, and complete conversion to a new complex was observed after 10 min at room temperature (Scheme 2). Analysis of the crude reaction mixture

Scheme 2. Reaction of Oxatitanacyclobutenes with Benzophenone



by ¹H NMR spectroscopy revealed that a single product was formed in near-quantitative yields. The ¹H NMR spectrum had an interesting pattern in the Cp* methyl region, with a singlet integrating to 15 hydrogen atoms and 5 singlets each integrating to 3 hydrogen atoms, indicating desymmetrization of one of the Cp* ligands. Additionally, a singlet, corresponding to the vinylic proton, had moved from 7.60 to 4.62 ppm. These observations were consistent with reductive elimination between a Cp* ligand and the Ti-C bond of the oxatitanacyclobutene; a related reaction was recently reported by Chirik for reductive elimination from complexes bearing the 2,3,4,5-tetramethyl-1-(trimethylsilyl)cyclopentadienyl ligand.¹¹ Further, the AA'BB' spin system of the (p-methoxy)phenyl of the aryl ring in la became a ABC spin system and a new singlet at 6.56 ppm was observed. On the basis of the ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra the structure was proposed to be titanacycle 2a. The new [Ti]-C bond is between the aryl from **1a** and the [Ti]; the hydride has transferred to the ketone, resulting in the formation of an alkoxide ligand.

Received: June 3, 2015

To confirm the structural assignment, X-ray-quality crystals were grown by slow diffusion of pentane into a THF solution of **2a**. The structure of **2a**. THF is shown in Figure 1. The titanium is



Figure 1. X-ray crystal structure of 2a·THF. Hydrogen atoms and THF carbons are omitted for clarity. Oxygen O6 is from THF. Ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

in a pseudo-square-pyramidal environment, and all of the atoms of the titanacycle are coplanar. The acyclic Ti–alkoxide (Ti1– O3) bond length of 1.8351(12) Å is shorter than the cyclic Ti– alkoxide (Ti1–O1) bond length of 1.9273(11) Å, consistent with a larger Ti–O3–C20 bond angle of 143.86(11)° in comparison to the Ti–O1–C1 bond angle of 123.33(10)°.

Carbonyl compounds are known to insert into the metalcarbon bond of titanacyclobutenes bearing the unsubstituted Cp ligand to form six-membered metallacycles in good yields.¹² The difference in reactivity between those complexes and titanacycles 1 is explained by the increased steric interactions at the metal center. Interestingly, benzophenone imine undergoes a similar reductive elimination reaction, while no reaction was observed when other Lewis basic groups, including triphenylphosphine, tributylphosphine, triphenylphosphine oxide, 4-dimethylaminopyridine, benzonitrile, ethyl benzoate, and N,N-dimethylbenzamide, were added to a solution of 1 in C_6D_6 by ¹H NMR.¹⁰ Analogous oxazirconacyclobutenes bearing a Cp* ligand have a larger Cp*-Ti-Cp* bond angle⁹ in comparison to that of 1,¹³ suggesting a less crowded metal center. These complexes form six-membered metallacycle insertion products^{6,9} and have been reported to undergo C-H activation to form a five-membered metallacycle similar to 2;¹⁴ however, this transformation is only affected at temperatures exceeding 150 °C. The details of such conversion are not known, but various intermediates, including zwitterion, diradical, and free zirconium-oxo, have been invoked.

In Chirik's recent report, it was concluded that the silyl group was essential to the transformation, as an oxatitanacyclobutene complex with all-alkyl-substituted Cp ring did not exhibit the same reactivity.¹¹ While complexes **1a**,**b** are stable for extended times in solution at up to 60 °C, the addition of the ketones is important to trigger this transformation.

A tentative mechanism for this transformation is illustrated in Scheme 3. In the first step, due to the high oxophilicity of titanium, coordination of the carbonyl oxygen to **1b** promotes the reductive elimination between the Cp* ring and the Ti–C bond, to afford intermediate **A**. Reductive elimination of the unsubstituted Cp ligand in titanocene complexes has also been previously reported.¹⁵ The presence of a Ti–vinyl linkage may be crucial for this reactivity, as a Ti–vinyl bond is slower to insert into hindered carbonyls, relative to a Ti–alkyl bond.¹⁶ From **A**,

Scheme 3. Proposed Mechanism for C-H Activation



titanium inserts into the carbonyl C=O bond and the modified Cp* ligand dissociates from the metal, forming the proposed intermediate **B**. Titanium-ketone intermediates have been proposed in the reaction of bis(cyclopentadienyl)-titanacyclobutane with tetrakis(trifluoromethyl)-cyclopentadienone¹⁷ and the cyclization reaction of enones.^{3a} With the phenyl ring now proximal, C-H activation occurs to form a stable five-membered metallacycle and an alkoxide ligand, generating product **2b**.

The proposed mechanism is supported by deuterium labeling experiments. Three isotopically labeled isomers of **1b**, prepared from $Cp*_{2}Ti=O(pyr)$ and the corresponding alkynes, were combined with 4,4'-bismethoxybenzophenone in $C_{6}D_{6}$ (Scheme 4). Deuteration at the alkene carbon in metallacycle **1c** (Scheme

Scheme 4. Labeling Study^a



^{*a*}In situ yield determined by ¹H NMR spectroscopy and comparison to an internal standard.

4a) results in labeling of the alkene proton in 2c, supporting the direct reductive elimination between one Cp* ligand and a Ti–C bond. Further, as Scheme 4b illustrates, one of the ortho C–D bonds is transferred selectively to form the new Ti–OCD(Ph)₂ bond of 1d. Interestingly, when only one ortho position was deuterated, as in 1e (Scheme 4c), a very large intramolecular KIE of >9 was observed, as determined by integration in the ¹H NMR spectrum.¹⁰

It was hypothesized that blocking the ortho positions in oxametallacycle 1 would inhibit C–H activation and allow for the direct observation of intermediate **B**. However, reactions of titanocene–oxo with 2,6-difluorophenylacetylene and 2,4,6-trimethoxyphenylacetylene generated hydroxyacetylide complexes instead of the desired titanacycles. The selective formation of the hydroxyacetylides over oxatitanacyclobutenes is attributed to the increased steric repulsion between the Cp* and the ortho substituents.¹³ Meta substituents are also known to slow the rate of C–H activation;¹⁸ If was synthesized and treated with 4,4'-bismethoxybenzophenone (Scheme 5). Excitingly, 50% con-

Scheme 5. Formation of Double-Insertion Product



version to reductive elimination was seen by ¹H NMR spectroscopy; the metallacycle and Ti–alkoxide resulting from C–H activation were not observed. When 2 equiv of the benzophenone was added to a solution of 1f in C_6D_6 , quantitative conversion to product 3 was observed by ¹H NMR spectroscopy. The proposed mechanism for the formation of 3 is that, upon the formation of intermediate **B**, another 1 equiv of the ketone undergoes rapid insertion into the Ti–C bond, as the C–H activation is slowed by the proximal CF₃ groups.

X-ray-quality crystals of 3 were obtained from a toluene solution layered with pentane. As seen in Figure 2, the solid-state



Figure 2. X-ray crystal structure of 3. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

structure of **3** shows a five-membered metallacycle with two molecules of ketone having undergone a pinacol coupling. The metal is in a three-legged piano-stool geometry, with a slightly puckered metallacycle. The acyclic alkoxide oxygen has an almost linear geometry, with the Ti-O7-C31 angle of $171.44(11)^{\circ}$ suggesting a four-electron alkoxide ligand. Complex **2a**, in comparison, has a bent alkoxide with a Ti-O3-C20 angle of $143.86(11)^{\circ}$.

Formation of complexes 2 demonstrates the ability of titanocenes 1 to undergo C–H activation. When C–H activation is slow, as with 1f, intermediate B reacts with a second ketone to

form pinacol-type product 3. These intriguing results suggested the possibility of a more general C–X activation (Scheme 6),

Scheme 6. Intramolecular Trapping of B with an Adjacent Reactive C–X Bond



providing that the C–X bond activation from **B** is faster than C– H activation and carbonyl insertion. Trifluoromethyls are known to undergo C–F activation with titanocene complexes via an intermediate similar to **B**.¹⁷ Reaction of **1a** with 1 equiv of trifluoroacetophenone (Scheme 7) resulted in an immediate

Scheme 7. Reaction of Oxatitanacyclobutene with CX₃COPh^{*a,b*}



^aIsolated yield. ^bReaction run in pentane.

color change from green to yellow. ¹H NMR spectroscopy reveals the same pattern expected from reductive elimination of Cp*, as observed in Scheme 1; however, the phenyl ring bearing the methoxy group remains symmetric, suggesting that C–H activation did not occur. In addition, ¹⁹F NMR spectroscopy shows two doublets at –99.96 and –116.07 ppm, suggesting the presence of an olefinic CF₂ group. Analogous reactivity was observed with 2,2,2-trichloroacetophenone, which afforded **4b** in 51% isolated yield. The formation of **4a,b** is consistent with the mechanism outlined in Scheme 6. The driving force for this process could be the formation of a strong Ti–X bond. The ketone plays an important role, as treatment of **1a** with ethyl trifluoroacetate or octafluorotoluene¹⁹ resulted in no reaction.

Single crystals suitable for X-ray crystallography were grown by slow diffusion of pentane into a toluene solution of **4a**. As depicted in Figure 3, **4a** has a piano-stool geometry, with the three leg positions occupied by one fluorine and two alkoxide ligands. One of the alkoxides results from reductive elimination of Cp* and the ring carbon from **1a**; the other results from C–F activation of the reactive trifluoromethyl. Both of these ligands show strong deviation from sp² geometry with Ti–O1–C11 and Ti–O2–C19 angles of 156.06(14) and 167.36(14)°, respectively, suggesting the multiple-bonding nature of the Ti–O linkages.

Next, the ability of **B** to undergo C–C bond activation was studied with phenyl cyclopropyl ketone. The proposed transformation in Scheme 6 would result in the formation of an oxatitanacyclohexene complex. Related oxatitanacyclohexenes generated via carbonyl insertion into the Ti–C bonds of titanacyclobutenes are known to be stable.^{12,16b} Indeed, treatment of **1a** with 4-methoxyphenyl cyclopropyl ketone



Figure 3. X-ray crystal structure of 4a. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Ellipsoids are drawn at the 50% probability level.

resulted in the formation of titanacycle 5 (Scheme 8). When cyclobutyl phenyl ketone was used, an immediate color change

Scheme 8. Formation of Cyclopropane-Opened Product



from green to bright red was observed. The 1 H NMR spectrum indicated consumption of starting materials and formation of an intractable mixture of products; the C–C activation product was not observed.

In summary, aryl ketones promote facile reductive elimination of the usually inert Cp* ligand, providing a rare example of this reactivity in all-alkyl-substituted Cp-based ligands. Reactions proceed with the formation of a highly reactive Ti-ketone complex, capable of C-X activation (X = H, F, Cl, C). These transformations proceed readily at room temperature to generate a number of novel titanium complexes. In addition, these results indicate potential catalyst decomposition pathways in titanocene-catalyzed reactions; coordination of a Lewis basic group, e.g., a ketone, aldehyde, or imine, to the metal center could promote the reductive elimination and thus catalyst deactivation.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.organo-met.Sb00470.

Experimental procedures, characterization data, and crystallographic data (PDF)

Crystallographic data for 2a, 3, and 4a (CIF)

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail for K.L.H.: kamihull@illinois.edu.

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

The authors thank the University of Illinois, Urbana-Champaign, and the Petroleum Research Fund for their generous support.

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Walsh, P. J.; Baranger, A. M.; Bergman, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
1992, 114, 1708. (b) McGrane, P. L.; Livinghouse, T. J. Org. Chem.
1992, 57, 1323. (c) Haak, E.; Bytschkov, I.; Doye, S. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 3389. (d) Johnson, J. S.; Bergman, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc.
2001, 123, 2923. (e) Cao, C.; Ciszewski, J. T.; Odom, A. T. Organometallics 2001, 20, 5011. (f) Tillack, A.; Castro, I. G.; Hartung, C. G.; Beller, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2002, 41, 2541. (g) Ong, T.-G.; Yap, G. P. A.; Richeson, D. S. Organometallics 2002, 21, 2839. (h) Bytschkov, T.; Doye, S. Tetrahedron Lett. 2002, 43, 3715. (i) Li, C.; Thomson, R. K.; Gillon, B.; Patrick, B. O.; Schafer, L. L. Chem. Commun. 2003, 2462. For recent reviews see: (j) Severin, R.; Doye, S. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2007, 36, 1407–1420. (k) Bytschkov, I.; Doye, S. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 2003, 935–946. (l) Hazari, N.; Mountford, P. Acc. Chem. Res. 2005, 38, 839–849. (m) Odom, A. L. Dalton Trans. 2005, 225–233.

(2) For other amino functionalization reactions, see: (a) Fairfax, D.; Stein, M.; Livinghouse, T.; Jensen, M. *Organometallics* **1997**, *16*, 1523– 1525. (b) Kablaoui, N. M.; Hicks, F. A.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1996**, *118*, 5818–5819. Duncan, D.; Livinghouse, T. *Organometallics* **1999**, *18*, 4421–4428.

(3) (a) Kablaoui, N. M.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1996**, 118, 3182–3191. (b) Sturla, S. J.; Buchwald, S. L. Organometallics **2002**, 21, 739–748.

(4) For a recent review see: Micalizio, G. C. Early Transition Metal Mediated Reductive Coupling Reactions. In *Comprehensive Organic Synthesis II*, 2nd ed.; Knochel, P., Ed.; Elsevier: Amsterdam, 2014; pp 1660–1737.

(5) Macklin, T. K.; Micalizio, G. C. Nat. Chem. 2010, 2, 638-643.

(6) Kortman, G. D.; Orr, M. J.; Hull, K. L. Organometallics 2015, 34, 1013–1016.

(7) (a) Ruck, R. T.; Bergman, R. G. Organometallics 2004, 23, 2231– 2233. (b) Ruck, R.; Zuckerman, R. L.; Krska, S. W.; Bergman, R. G. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2004, 43, 5372–5374. (c) Basuli, F.; Aneetha, H.; Huffman, J. C.; Mindiola, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 17992– 17993. (d) Aneetha, H.; Basuli, F.; Bollinger, J.; Huffman, J. C.; Mindiola, D. J. Organometallics 2006, 25, 2402–2404.

(8) (a) Hanna, T. A.; Baranger, A. M.; Walsh, P. J.; Bergman, R. G. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 3292–3293. (b) Hanna, T. A.; Baranger, A. M.; Bergman, R. G. J. Org. Chem. 1996, 61, 4532–4541.

(9) Vaughan, G. A.; Hillhouse, I. P. G. L.; Rheingold, A. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1990**, *112*, 7994–8001.

(10) See the Supporting Information.

(11) Pappas, I.; Chirik, P. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 6241–6244.

(12) Meinhart, J. D.; Grubbs, R. H. Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. **1988**, 61, 171–180.

(13) Polse, J. L.; Andemen, R. A.; Bergman, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1995, 117, 5393-5394.

(14) Carney, M. J.; Walsh, P. J.; Hollander, F. J.; Bergman, R. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1989**, 111, 8751–8753.

(15) (a) Rosenthal, U.; Lefeber, C.; Arndt, P.; Tillack, A.; Baumann, W.; Kempe, R.; Burlakov, V. V. J. Organomet. Chem. 1995, 503, 221–223. (b) Tillack, A.; Baumann, W.; Ohff, A.; Lefeber, C.; Spannenberg, A.; Kempe, R.; Rosenthal, U. J. Organomet. Chem. 1996, 520, 187–193. (16) (a) Doxsee, K. M.; Mouser, J. K. M. Organometallics 1990, 9, 3012–3014. (b) Doxsee, K. M.; Mouser, J. K. M. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 1687–1690. (c) Pappas, I.; Chirik, P. J. Polyhedron 2014, 84, 67–73. (17) Burk, M. J.; Staley, D. L.; Tumas, W. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1990, 11, 809.

(18) Kalyani, D.; Sanford, M. S. Org. Lett. 2005, 7, 4149-4152.

(19) Bailey, B. C.; Huffman, J. C.; Mindiola, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 5302–5303.