Tetrahedron Letters 56 (2015) 5604–5606

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Tetrahedron Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/tetlet

Synthesis of tunichrome Sp-1

Michael A. Pullar, David Barker, Brent R. Copp *

School of Chemical Sciences, University of Auckland, 23 Symonds St, Auckland 1142, New Zealand

ARTICLE INFO

Article history:
Received 20 July 2015
Revised 19 August 2015
Accepted 20 August 2015
Available online 21 August 2015

Keywords:
Tunichrome
DOPA
Peptide
Marine natural product
Enamide

ABSTRACT

The first total synthesis of the ascidian blood pigment tunichrome Sp-1 is reported, with the modified pentapeptide prepared in a convergent manner using a combination of solid-phase peptide synthesis, Hunsdiecker decarboxylative iodination and Buchwald amidation reaction chemistry. The natural product was shown to exist as a mixture of *trans*- and *cis*-prolyl conformers, with the former dominating in a 5:1 ratio.

Ascidians, marine organisms of the Class Ascidiacea, are well known to produce a variety of bioactive marine natural products.[1] The 1980s and early 1990s were a particularly fruitful period, with the reporting of a number of unique structural classes of natural products that exhibited therapeutically useful biological activities (e.g., ecteinascidin-743[2] and the didemnins[3]) or were windows into the intriguing world of marine sessile invertebrate physiology (e.g., the tunichromes).[4,5] Publication of the isolation and structure elucidation of tunichrome B-1,[6–8] a modified 3,4,5-trihydroxyphenylalanine (TOPA)-containing tripeptide, was the culmination of six years of research into defining the chemical constituents of the blood pigments of *Ascidia nigra* that were thought to be responsible for the organisms ability to accumulate, amongst other metals, iron and vanadium. This research was hampered by the trace amounts of the pigment, co-occurrence with related pigments and by their sensitivity to water and oxygen. Since these initial reports, a number of related peptides have been reported from the blood cells of ascidians including *Ascidia nigra* (An-1, An-2, An-3),[9] *Ascidia ceratodes*,[4] *Phallusia mammillata* (Pm-1, Pm-2, Pm-3),[10] *Phallusia julinea*,[4,5] *Mogula manhattensis* (Mm-1, Mm-2)[9] and most recently *Styela plicata* (Sp-1).[11] Structurally, tunichromes are characterized as linear, low molecular weight peptides containing an oxidatively decarboxylated 3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine (dcΔDOPA) or 3,4,5-trihydroxyphenylalanine (dcΔTOPA) residue at the C-terminus as well as one or more 3,4-dihydroxyphenylalanine (DOPA) or 3,4,5-trihydroxyphenylalanine (TOPA) residues or their α,β-unsaturated derivatives.[4,5] In addition to the initial associative link between tunichromes and vanadium or iron in blood cells suggesting that the natural products may act as chelators or 'vanadium-trappers',[5] alternative roles of wound repair, cross-linking/tunic formation,[12] and action as a primitive clotting mechanism have also been proposed.[4,5] In an effort directed towards facilitating further studies of the role(s) played by these intriguing natural products, we now report the synthesis and structural confirmation of the most recently reported tunichrome, Sp-1 (**1**) (Fig. 1). Sp-1 was isolated in trace amounts (~800 μg) and characterized by ^{1}H, COSY and TOCSY NMR data. Edman degradation studies defined the peptide as L-DOPA-L-DOPA-Gly-L-Pro-dcΔDOPA.

We chose as a starting point to disconnect **1** at the Gly-Pro amide bond, requiring the synthesis of protected tripeptide L-DOPA-L-DOPA-Gly (**2**) and L-Pro-dihydroxystyrylenamide fragment (**3**) (Scheme 1). Tripeptide **2** was prepared by standard



1

Figure 1. Structure of tunichrome Sp-1 (**1**).

* Corresponding author. Tel.: +64 9 373 7599; fax: +64 64 373 7422.
E-mail address: b.copp@auckland.ac.nz (B.R. Copp).

http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2015.08.047
0040-4039/



Scheme 1. Reagents and conditions: Tripeptide **2** was synthesized upon chlorotrityl resin; (Resin loading) Fmoc-Gly-OH, DIPEA, CH_2Cl_2, rt, 1 h; (Fmoc-deprotection) piperidine, DMF, rt, 30 min; (Peptide coupling) Fmoc-DOPA(TBDMS)$_2$-OH, HATU, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 1 h; (Fmoc-deprotection) piperidine, DMF, rt, 30 min; (Peptide coupling) Fmoc-DOPA(TBDMS)$_2$-OH, HATU, DIPEA, DMF, rt, 1 h; (cleavage) TFE/CH_2Cl_2 (1:5, 20 mL), 2 h, 88% yield (6 steps); (i) TBDMSCl, imidazole, DMF, rt, 18 h, 87%; (ii) NIS, LiOAc, CH_2Cl_2, 0 °C, 1 h, 31%; (iii) Fmoc-Pro-NH_2, $(CH_3NHCH_2)_2$, CuI, Cs_2CO_3, dioxane, 85 °C, 18 h, 32%; (iv) EDC, HOBt, DMF, rt, 2 h, 53%; (v) piperidine, DMF, rt, 1 h, dried, then $Et_3N.3HF$, THF, rt, 1 h, 44%.

Fmoc solid-phase peptide synthesis procedures using 2-chlorotrityl resin, protected amino acids Fmoc-Gly-OH and Fmoc-DOPA (TBDMS)$_2$-OH,[13] and HATU as the coupling reagent. Cleavage from the resin was effected by 2,2,2-trifluoroethanol/CH_2Cl_2 to afford **2** in 88% yield over 6 steps. Previous syntheses of tunichromes have relied upon the use of oxidation/elimination of phenylselenide derivatives to prepare the required enamide moiety.[5,14] We elected to explore an alternative route, making use of copper-catalysed Buchwald amidation methodology.[15] Attractions of this route include the mild reaction conditions required to effect the reaction between an amino acid carboxamide and a vinyl halide, proceeding with no epimerization of the amino acid stereocentre, or isomerization of the enamide double bond.[16] The protected L-Pro-dihydroxystyrylenamide fragment **3** was prepared as shown in Scheme 1, starting with protection of 3,4-dihydroxycinnamic acid (**4**) as the TBDMS ether (**5**, 87% yield).[17] LiOAc-catalysed Hunsdiecker transformation[18] of **5** to the corresponding (*E*)-vinyl iodide (**6**, 31% yield) was carried out by reaction with *N*-iodosuccinimide in CH_2Cl_2.

Previous studies regarding the Buchwald amidation of amino acid carboxamides with vinyl halides have concluded that protection of the α-nitrogen was not required, but that some amino acid sidechains competed for reaction.[16a] Preliminary efforts to undertake amidation of vinyl iodide **6** with L-Pro-NH_2 under standard Buchwald conditions using catalytic CuI, *N*,*N*′-dimethylethylenediamine as a bidentate ligand and Cs_2CO_3 as the base[15] failed to yield any product. Suspecting that the secondary amine present in L-Pro-NH_2 could compete with *N*,*N*′-dimethylethylenediamine as a copper ligand, the reaction was repeated using Fmoc-L-Pro-NH_2 in the presence of stoichiometric CuI, affording (*E*)-enamide **3** in 32% yield. Of note was the concomitant cleavage of the Fmoc protecting group during the reaction, likely caused by the presence of the secondary amine base *N*,*N*′-dimethylethylenediamine. Peptide coupling (EDC, HOBt, DMF, 2 h) of enamide **3** and tripeptide acid **2** gave protected Sp-1 **7** in 53% yield. A two step, one-pot deprotection of the *N*-terminus (piperidine, DMF, 1 h), followed by subsequent deprotection of the catechol groups (triethylamine trihydrofluoride, THF, 1 h) gave the crude peptide that was purified by reversed-phase C18 column chromatography [H_2O/MeOH/TFA (79.99:20:0.01)], to afford tunichrome Sp-1 (**1**) in a 44% yield, present as a 5:1 mixture of *trans*- and *cis*-prolyl conformers.

The ^{1}H, COSY, and TOCSY spectroscopic data (DMSO-d_6) for tunichrome Sp-1 (**1**) was in good agreement with data for the natural product published by Tincu and Taylor[11] (see ESI). Although not mentioned in the original publication, the presence of a second set of (*E*)-enamide NH–CH=CH resonances were easily discernible in both the original ^{1}H spectroscopic data and our own. While the relatively broad appearance and overlapped nature of the ^{1}H resonances in DMSO-d_6 precluded determination of the nature of this minor component, re-acquisition and complete assignment of NMR data in CD_3OD[19] provided ample evidence to identify it as the *cis*-prolyl conformer of Sp-1 (Fig. 2). While detection of a NOESY correlation between Gly-CH_2 and Pro-δCH_2 for the major component of the mixture identified it to be the *trans*-prolyl conformer, more telling were the observation of differences in the chemical shifts of the β and γ carbons (Δβγ) of the proline residue. It has been previously noted that a proline residue that adopts a *trans*-conformation about its amide bond characteristically has a smaller Δβγ value (ca. <8) than a proline residue in the *cis*-conformation (ca. 9–15).[20] In the present case, Δβγ for the major component of the product mixture was 5.1 ppm (*trans*), while that of the minor component was 10.0 ppm (*cis*).[21]

In summary, we have described the first total synthesis of the natural product tunichrome Sp-1 (**1**), verified the structure that was proposed by Tincu and Taylor and characterized the originally



Figure 2. Structure of *cis*-prolyl tunichrome Sp-1.

present, but not reported, *cis*-prolyl conformer. The route used is amenable to the synthesis of un-natural analogues of **1** that will prove useful for investigation of the metal chelating and oxidation/reduction properties of the tunichromes.

Acknowledgment

We acknowledge the University of Auckland for funding.

Supplementary data

Supplementary data (experimental details and compound characterization) associated with this article can be found, in the online version, at http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2015.08.047. These data include MOL files and InChiKeys of the most important compounds described in this article.

References and notes

1. Davidson, B. S. *Chem. Rev.* **1993**, *93*, 1771–1791.
2. (a) Wright, A. E.; Forleo, D. A.; Gunawardana, G. P.; Gunasekera, S. P.; Koehn, F. E.; McConnell, O. J. *J. Org. Chem.* **1990**, *55*, 4508–4512; (b) Rinehart, K. L.; Holt, T. G.; Fregeau, N. L.; Stroh, J. G.; Keifer, P. A.; Sun, F.; Li, L. H.; Martin, D. G. *J. Org. Chem.* **1990**, *55*, 4512–4515; (c) Erba, E.; Bergamaschi, D.; Bassano, L.; Damia, G.; Ronzoni, S.; Faircloth, G. T.; D'Incalci, M. *Eur. J. Cancer* **2001**, *37*, 97–105.
3. (a) Rinehart, K. L.; Gloer, J. B.; Hughes, R. G.; Renis, H. E.; McGovern, J. P.; Swynenberg, E. B.; Stringfellow, D. A.; Kuentzel, S. L.; Li, L. H. *Science* **1981**, *212*, 933–935; (b) Vera, M. D.; Joullie, M. M. *Med. Res. Rev.* **2002**, *22*, 102–145.
4. Smith, M. J.; Kim, D.; Horenstein, B.; Nakanishi, K.; Kustin, K. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1991**, *24*, 117–124.
5. Taylor, S. W.; Kammerer, B.; Bayer, E. *Chem. Rev.* **1997**, *97*, 333–346.
6. Bruening, R. C.; Oltz, E. M.; Furukawa, J.; Nakanishi, K.; Kustin, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1985**, *107*, 5298–5300.
7. Bruening, R. C.; Oltz, E. M.; Furukawa, J.; Nakanishi, K.; Kustin, K. *J. Nat. Prod.* **1986**, *49*, 193–204.
8. Note that between the publication of Refs. 6 and 7, the name of tunichrome B-1 was changed to An-1.
9. Oltz, E. M.; Bruening, R. C.; Smith, M. J.; Kustin, K.; Nakanishi, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 6162–6172.
10. Bayer, E.; Schiefer, G.; Waidelich, D.; Scippa, S.; de Vincentiis, M. *Angew. Chem.* **1992**, *104*, 102–104.
11. Tincu, J. A.; Taylor, S. W. *J. Nat. Prod.* **2002**, *65*, 377–378.
12. (a) Sugumaran, M.; Robinson, W. E. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. B* **2012**, *163*, 1–25; (b) Cai, M.; Sugumaran, M.; Robinson, W. E. *Comp. Biochem. Physiol. B* **2008**, *151*, 110–117.
13. Sever, M. J.; Wilker, J. J. *Tetrahedron* **2001**, *57*, 6139–6146.
14. Horenstein, B. A.; Nakanishi, K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**, *111*, 6242–6246.
15. (a) Jiang, L.; Job, G. E.; Klapars, A.; Buchwald, S. L. *Org. Lett.* **2003**, *5*, 3667–3669; (b) Pandey, A. K.; Sharma, R.; Shivahare, R.; Arora, A.; Rastogi, N.; Gupta, S.; Chauhan, P. M. S. *J. Org. Chem.* **2013**, *78*, 1534–1546.
16. (a) Cesati, R. R.; Dwyer, G.; Jones, R. C.; Hayes, M. P.; Yalamanchili, P.; Casebier, D. S. *Org. Lett.* **2007**, *9*, 5617–5620; (b) Toumi, M.; Couty, F.; Evano, G. *J. Org. Chem.* **2007**, *72*, 9003–9009.
17. Barcelos, R. C.; Pelizzaro-Rocha, K. J.; Pastre, J. C.; Dias, M. P.; Ferreira-Halder, C. V.; Pilli, R. A. *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* **2014**, *87*, 745–758.
18. (a) Georgiades, S. N.; Clardy, J. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2008**, *18*, 3117–3121; (b) Hunsdiecker, H.; Hunsdiecker, C. *Ber.* **1942**, *75*, 291–297.
19. A 1:5 mixture of *cis*-prolyl tunichrome *Sp*-1* and *trans*-prolyl tunichrome Sp-1 was characterized; * are used to denote shifts for the *cis* conformer that differ from the corresponding signal in the *trans*-conformer: IR ν_{max} (ATR) 3284, 2973, 1666, 1638, 1513, 1187, 1130, 954, 721 cm^{-1}; $[\alpha]^{20}_{D}$ −71 (*c* 1.0, CH_3OH); ^1H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d_6) δ 10.27* (1H, d, *J* = 9.9 Hz, dcΔDOPA(5)-NH), 9.96 (1H, br d, *J* = 10.0 Hz, dcΔDOPA(5)-NH), 8.94–8.62 (6H, m, 2 × DOPA(1)-OH, 2 × DOPA(2)-OH, 2 × dcΔDOPA(5)-OH), 8.74–8.68 (1H, m, DOPA(2)-NH) 8.18 (1H, t, *J* = 4.9 Hz, Gly(3)-NH), 7.91 (2H, br s, DOPA(1)-NH_2), 7.10* (1H, dd, *J* = 14.6, 10.0 Hz, dcΔDOPA(5)-αCH), 7.05 (1H, dd, *J* = 14.6, 10.0 Hz, dcΔDOPA(5)-αCH), 6.78–6.47 (9H, m, DOPA(1)-ArH, DOPA(2)-ArH, dcΔDOPA(5)-ArH), 6.13* (1H, d, *J* = 14.6 Hz, dcΔDOPA(5)-βCH), 6.05 (1H, d, *J* = 14.6 Hz, dcΔDOPA-βCH), 4.62–4.51 (1H, m, DOPA(2)-αCH), 4.32 (1H, dd, *J* = 8.3, 3.8 Hz, Pro(4)-αCH), 4.04 (1H, dd, *J* = 17.1, 5.5 Hz, Gly(3)-αCH_2a), 3.90 (1H, dd, *J* = 17.1, 4.9 Hz, Gly(3)-αCH_2b), 3.85–3.81 (1H, br m, DOPA(1)-αCH), 3.61–3.35 (1H, br m, Pro(4)-δCH_2), 3.04–2.99 (1H, m, DOPA(1)-βCH_2a), 2.96–2.85 (1H, m, DOPA(2)-βCH_2a), 2.68–2.59 (2H, m, DOPA(1)-βCH_2b, DOPA(2)-βCH_2b), 2.15–2.05 (1H, m, Pro(4)-βCH_2a), 1.99–1.84 (2H, m, Pro(4)-γCH_2), 1.91–1.79 (1H, m, Pro(4)-βCH_2b); ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-d_6) δ 171.0 (DOPA(2)-C═O), 169.5 (Pro(4)-C═O), 168.2 (DOPA(1)-C═O), 166.9 (Gly(3)-C═O), 145.5 (DOPA(1)-Ar-O^b), 145.2 (DOPA(1)-Ar-O^b), 144.9 (DOPA(2)-Ar-O^b), 144.6 (DOPA(2)-Ar-O^b), 144.4 (dcΔDOPA(5)-Ar-O^b), 143.8 (dcΔDOPA(5)-Ar-O^b), 128.3 (DOPA(2)-γC^c), 127.8 (dcΔDOPA(5)-γC^c), 125.5 (DOPA(1)-γC^c), 120.6 (dcΔDOPA(5)-αCH^d), 120.4 (DOPA(1)-ArH^d), 120.0 (DOPA(2)-Ar-H^d), 117.0 (dcΔDOPA(5)-ArH), 116.8 (DOPA(1)-ArH^d), 116.7 (DOPA(2)-ArH^d), 115.9 (dcΔDOPA(5)-ArH^d), 115.6 (DOPA(1)-ArH^d), 115.3 (DOPA(2)-ArH^d), 112.7 (dcΔDOPA(5)-βCH), 111.9 (dcΔDOPA(5)-ArH), 59.8 (Pro(4)-αCH), 54.6 (DOPA(2)-αCH), 53.6 (DOPA(1)-αCH), 46.0 (Pro(4)-δCH_2), 41.5 (Gly(3)-αCH_2), 37.1 (DOPA(2)-βCH_2), 36.6 (DOPA(1)-βCH_2), 29.4 (Pro(4)-βCH_2), 24.4 (Pro(4)-γCH_2); ^1H NMR (500 MHz, CD_3OD) δ 7.23* (1H, d, *J* = 14.6 Hz, dcΔDOPA(5)-αCH), 7.16 (1H, d, *J* = 14.6 Hz, dcΔDOPA(5)-αCH), 6.80–6.50 (9H, m, DOPA(1)-ArH, DOPA(2)-ArH, dcΔDOPA(5)-ArH), 6.23* (1H, d, *J* = 14.6 Hz, dcΔDOPA-βCH), 6.16 (1H, d, *J* = 14.6 Hz, dcΔDOPA-βCH), 4.66 (1H, t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, DOPA(2)-αCH), 4.60–4.51* (1H, m, Pro(4)-αCH), 4.44 (1H, dd, *J* = 8.7, 4.2 Hz, Pro(4)-αCH), 4.08–4.00 (1H, m, DOPA(1)-αCH), 4.05–3.98 (2H, m, Gly(3)-αCH_2), 3.65–3.58 (1H, m, Pro(4)-δCH_2a), 3.56–3.47 (1H, m, Pro(4)-δCH_2b), 3.06–2.96 (2H, m, DOPA(1)-βCH_2a, DOPA(2)-βCH_2a), 2.90 (1H, dd, *J* = 14.0, 7.5 Hz, DOPA(1)-βCH_2b), 2.79 (1H, dd, *J* = 14.0, 8.5 Hz, DOPA(2)-βCH_2b), 2.39–2.33* (1H, m, Pro(4)-βCH_2a), 2.26–2.18 (1H, m, Pro(4)-βCH_2a), 2.18–2.09* (1H, m, Pro(4)-βCH_2b), 2.10–1.97 (2H, m, Pro(4)-γCH_2), 2.06–1.94 (1H, m, Pro(4)-βCH_2b) 1.96–1.88* (1H, m, Pro(4)-γCH_2); ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CD_3OD) δ 173.1 (DOPA(2)-C═O), 171.9 (Pro(4)-C═O), 171.2* (Pro(4)-C═O), 169.5 (DOPA(1)-C═O^a), 169.4 (Gly(3)-C═O^a), 146.6 (DOPA(1)-Ar-O^b), 146.4 (DOPA(1)-Ar-O^b), 146.1 (DOPA(2)-Ar-O^b), 146.0 (DOPA(2)-Ar-O^b), 145.7 (dcΔDOPA(5)-Ar-O^b), 145.2 (dcΔDOPA(5)-Ar-O^b), 129.7 (DOPA(2)-γC^c), 129.6 (dcΔDOPA(5)-γC^c), 126.5 (DOPA(1)-γC), 122.0 (DOPA(1)-ArH), 121.7 (DOPA(2)-Ar-H), 121.1 (dcΔDOPA(5)-αCH), 119.1 (dcΔDOPA(5)-ArH), 117.6 (DOPA(1)-ArH^d), 117.4 (DOPA(2)-ArH^d), 116.8 (dcΔDOPA(5)-ArH^d), 116.5 (DOPA(1)-ArH^d), 116.3 (DOPA(2)-ArH^d), 116.1 (dcΔDOPA(5)-βCH^d), 113.2 (dcΔDOPA(5)-ArH), 61.9 (Pro(4)-αCH), 61.2* (Pro(4)-αCH), 56.3 (DOPA(2)-αCH), 55.5 (DOPA(1)-αCH), 47.9 (Pro(4)-δCH_2), 47.7* (Pro(4)-δCH_2), 43.1 (Gly(3)-αCH_2), 43.0* (Gly(3)-αCH_2), 38.2 (DOPA(1)-βCH^e_2), 37.9 (DOPA(2)-βCH^e_2), 33.4* (Pro(4)-βCH_2), 30.8 (Pro(4)-βCH_2), 25.7 (Pro(4)-γCH_2) 23.4* (Pro(4)-γCH_2); (+)-HRESIMS m/z 664.2615 $[M+H]^+$ (Calcd for $C_{33}H_{38}N_5O_{10}$, 664.2613).
20. Wahyudi, H.; Tantisantisom, W.; Liu, X.; Ramsey, D. M.; Singh, E. K.; McAlpine, S. R. *J. Org. Chem.* **2012**, *77*, 10596–10616.
21. All NMR resonances could be assigned to either the *cis*- or *trans*-prolyl conformers, showing that no diastereomers had formed during the Buchwald coupling step.