

Protein Analysis

Secondary-Ion Mass Spectrometry of Genetically Encoded Targets**

Ingrid C. Vreja, Selda Kabatas, Sinem K. Saka, Katharina Kröhnert, Carmen Höschen, Felipe Opazo, Ulf Diederichsen,* and Silvio O. Rizzoli*

Abstract: Secondary ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) is generally used in imaging the isotopic composition of various materials. It is becoming increasingly popular in biology, especially for investigations of cellular metabolism. However, individual proteins are difficult to identify in SIMS, which limits the ability of this technology to study individual compartments or protein complexes. We present a method for specific protein isotopic and fluorescence labeling (SPILL), based on a novel click reaction with isotopic probes. Using this method, we added ¹⁹F-enriched labels to different proteins, and visualized them by NanoSIMS and fluorescence microscopy. The ¹⁹F signal allowed the precise visualization of the protein of interest, with minimal background, and enabled correlative studies of protein distribution and cellular metabolism or composition. SPILL can be applied to biological systems suitable for click chemistry, which include most cell-culture systems, as well as small model organisms.

A number of technologies have been recently developed to investigate the chemical composition of biological samples, including matrix-assisted laser desorption/ionization (MALDI),^[1,2] laser-ablation inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (LA-ICP-MS),^[3] or secondary-ion mass spectrometry (SIMS).^[4,5] SIMS has the highest spatial resolution, approximately 30–50 nm in the lateral direction (xy plane), and down to 5–10 nm in the axial direction (z direction), when operating in the NanoSIMS configuration.^[5] NanoSIMS is based on the use of a primary ion beam, such as Cs⁺ ions, which is scanned across the surface of the

[*] I. C. Vreja,^[+] Dr. S. K. Saka, K. Kröhnert, Dr. F. Opazo,

Department of Neuro- and Sensory Physiology

Humboldtallee 23, 37073 Göttingen (Germany)

Institute for Organic and Biomolecular Chemistry

Tammannstrasse 2, 37077 Göttingen (Germany)

Prof. Dr. U. Diederichsen, Prof. Dr. S. O. Rizzoli

Department of Ecology and Ecosystem Management, Center of Life

I. C. Vreja,^[+] S. Kabatas,^[+] Dr. S. K. Saka, K. Kröhnert, Dr. F. Opazo,

Center for Nanoscale Microscopy and Molecular Physiology of the

International Max Planck Research School Molecular Biology

University Medical Center Göttingen

S. Kabatas,^[+] Prof. Dr. U. Diederichsen

and Food Sciences Weihenstephan

Brain (CNMPB), Göttingen (Germany)

Technische Universität München Freising-Weihenstephan (Germany) sample, where it causes the release of secondary ions. The isotopic nature of the released ions is analyzed by mass spectrometry, thereby providing an image of the sample composition.

NanoSIMS experiments are often descriptive, measuring the overall distribution of native isotopes in the samples.^[6,7] Other applications are based on introducing a non-native, isotopically labeled molecule into the biological sample, and measuring its distribution.^[8,9] A related procedure measures cellular turnover, by pulsing cells with an isotopically labeled metabolite, such as an amino acid or a nucleotide, and imaging its incorporation.^[10-12]

However, all of these applications suffer from one major limitation: it is difficult to identify specific proteins or specific organelles, except for a few that can be identified by their morphology.^[4,10] One proposed solution has been the correlation of NanoSIMS with fluorescence imaging.^[4] This approach is difficult, since it requires the use of two instruments, and a very precise overlap of the two types of images. Another solution has been to immunostain the samples using antibodies coupled to isotopically pure metals, such as lanthanides.^[13] These antibodies can be imaged in NanoSIMS, without the need for correlative microscopy. This technique, however, undermines to some extent the high resolution of NanoSIMS, since antibodies, and especially the ones coupled to large metal tags, incorporate relatively poorly into specimens, and find only a small fraction of the epitopes, thus resulting in a less-precise image.^[14,15]

- [⁺] These authors contributed equally to this work.
- [***] We thank Dr. Vladimir Belov (Department of NanoBiophotonics, Max Planck Institute for Biophysical Chemistry, Göttingen (Germany)) for providing Star635P-azide. We also acknowledge the technical support of Johann Lugmeier (Department Ecology and Ecosystem Management, Center of Life and Food Sciences Weihenstephan, TU München (Germany)) with the NanoSIMS measurements. We also thank William I. Zhang for help with the manuscript. I.C.V. was supported by a Dorothea Schlözer Ph.D. Fellowship from the University of Göttingen. This work was supported by grants to S.O.R. and U.D. from the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft Cluster of Excellence Nanoscale Microscopy and Molecular Physiology of the Brain (CNMPB), by grants to S.O.R. from the DFG (RI1967/3-1) and ERC (CoG NeuroMolAnatomy).



© 2015 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA. This is an open access article under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution Non-Commercial NoDerivs License, which permits use and distribution in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited, the use is non-commercial and no modifications or adaptations are made.

	Göttingen (Germany)	
5784	Wiley Online Library	© 2015

I. C. Vreja^[+]

Prof. Dr. S. O. Rizzoli

E-mail: srizzol@gwdg.de

University of Göttingen

Dr. C. Höschen

E-mail: udieder@gwdg.de

2015 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

This type of problem has been solved in fluorescence imaging by the introduction of green fluorescent proteins (GFPs), which can be coupled to native proteins by genetic encoding. We sought to perform a comparable strategy for NanoSIMS imaging by introducing a specific isotope into the protein of interest. Three major conditions are required. First, the genetic encoding procedure should be sufficiently flexible to enable the introduction of the isotope in any protein, in as many types of biological samples as possible. Second, the isotope should be linked to the protein of interest through a highly specific reaction. Third, the isotope should not be present at high levels in untreated preparations, to enable a high signal-to-noise ratio.

The first and the second condition are best fulfilled by what is known as genetic-code expansion, and subsequent click-chemistry labeling (see Figure 1). This technique incor-



Figure 1. Propargyl-L-lysine (PRK) incorporation into proteins of interest and click reaction with **SK155**, a dual probe that can be used in both fluorescence and SIMS imaging.

porates unnatural amino acids (UAAs) into the proteins of interest.^[16,17] The UAAs are then revealed by coupling to different probes, such as fluorophores, for example through the coppercatalyzed azide-alkyne cycloaddition (click chemistry),^[18] or its copper-free variants. To incorporate the UAA into a specific protein, a modified version of the protein containing an Amber stop codon (TAG) is expressed together with a pair of suitable tRNA and aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase (tRNA/RS). The tRNA/RS pair introduces the UAA selectively into the protein of interest, at the site determined by the Amber codon.^[19,20] A major advantage of click chemistry over other genetic encoding procedures is that the tag, the alkyne or azide moiety present on the UAA, is extremely small, of only a few atoms in size. It is therefore less bulky than tags such as GFP, and offers several other technical advantages.^[21] The coupling of specific fluorophores or isotopes to the UAAs

takes place only after cellular fixation, when functional perturbations are no longer a concern. In principle, the experiment could even be performed without the subsequent click-chemistry reaction, by incorporating amino acids containing atoms or isotopes that are not naturally abundant in cells, such as selenocysteine,^[22] albeit this only allows the incorporation of one Se isotope per protein, which would result in a fairly low signal-to-noise ratio.

We tested the specificity of the click-based labeling in mammalian cells, by expressing tagged versions of several proteins involved in membrane fusion (SNAREs): the transmembrane syntaxin 1 and syntaxin 13, and the membrane-attached SNAP-25 (Figure S1 in the Supporting Information),^[23] which we chose based on our experience with their biology and tagging.^[24,25] The UAA propargyl-L-lysine (PRK), which contains an alkyne group, was introduced relying on the wild-type tRNA/RS pair from *Methanosarcina mazei*.^[19,20] All three proteins were detected with high specificity by this procedure (Figure S2).

To identify the proteins in NanoSIMS, we sought to couple an isotopically enriched probe to the alkyne group of the PRK (Figure 1). We found ¹⁹F to be easily identified in NanoSIMS, and to be present at low levels in normal cells, and should thus provide a high signal-to-noise ratio. We therefore

produced a clickable dipentafluorobenzyl peptide containing 13 ¹⁹F atoms, which we termed **SK155** (Figure 2). To enable convenient testing of this probe by fluorescence microscopy, we also introduced the fluorophore Abberior Star635, which contributes three of the ¹⁹F atoms.^[26] A nonapeptide sequence was designed to provide proper solubility under physiological conditions, by incorporating four negatively charged amino acids (glutamate and aspartate). Lysine amino acids with orthogonal protection were used for later side-chain attachment of the Star635-NHS fluorophore (Abberior GmbH, Germany), and an N-terminal lysine served for the linkage of two



Figure 2. SK155 contains ¹⁹F atoms as isotopic labels for SIMS, and an Abberior Star635 fluorescent moiety.

2,3,4,5,6-pentafluorobenzoic acid units. Finally, azidolysine (Fmoc-Lys(N₃)-OH) was included, providing the azide for later attachment of the label **SK155** to proteins by click chemistry. Synthesis of **SK155** (Figure S3) was provided by microwave-mediated solid-phase peptide synthesis (SPPS) of the nona-peptide on a Sieber amide resin, applying the Fmoc synthesis method with O-(benzotriazol-1-yl)-N,N,N,'N'-tetra-methyluronium hexafluorophosphate (HBTU), 1-hydroxy-



benzotriazole (HOBt) activation. The 2,3,4,5,6-pentafluorobenzoic acid units were coupled on solid support.^[27] Cleavage from the resin provided the nona-peptide (C_6F_5CO)₂-Lys-Asp-Glu-Lys(N₃)-Gly-Asp-Glu-Lys-Gly-NH₂ that was coupled to the Star635-NHS in solution. After purification by HPLC, the constitutional integrity of the label **SK155** was indicated by high-resolution mass spectrometry.

The ¹⁹F distribution overlapped well with the fluorescence signal (Figure 3A and Figure S4), as expected, and also enabled the analysis of various other parameters, both in the fluorescence and in the isotopic domains (Figure 3A). The labeling procedure was efficient (Figure 3B), and the ¹⁹F signal was found, as expected, within the areas containing the fluorescence signal associated with the protein of interest (Figure 3C).

These experiments indicate that specific protein isotopic and fluorescence labeling (SPILL) is feasible. SPILL offers two major advantages for NanoSIMS imaging. First, it alleviates the need for correlation with fluorescence microscopy to investigate specific proteins. Second, it enables the investigator to use the high axial resolution of NanoSIMS. When employing a correlation with fluorescence imaging, the axial resolution of the correlated images is determined by the optical technique, and is typically 50-fold lower than the axial resolution of NanoSIMS.^[4] This problem is avoided using SPILL.

In a proof-of-principle experiment, we combined SPILL imaging with an analysis of cellular turnover, relying on the incorporation of isotopically labeled metabolites. We treated the cells with ¹⁵N-labeled leucine, which is incorporated into all newly translated proteins, and thus indicates the turnover of the protein-based cellular structures (see Figure S5 for a timeline of the experiments). The ratio between the ¹⁵N and ¹⁴N isotopes provides an accurate measurement of turnover: the higher this ratio, the higher the incorporation of new proteins within the particular structure (Figure 4A). Relying on the high axial resolution of NanoSIMS, we probed the ¹⁵N, ¹⁴N, and ¹⁹F composition of the sample at different depths (see syntaxin 1 images in Figure 4A), which enabled us to obtain much more information than a simple correlation with the fluorescence image (Figure 4A, inset). We measured the protein metabolism of the cells at sites marked by the proteins of interest (identified by the high ¹⁹F signal). Interestingly, our measurements indicated that regions of high protein expression correlated negatively with the ¹⁵N/¹⁴N ratio, for both SNAP-25 and syntaxin 13 (Figure 4B,D), but not for syn-



Figure 3. Genetic incorporation of unnatural amino acids (UAAs) as a tool to label proteins for NanoSIMS. A) Fluorescence and NanoSIMS images of a representative cell that had incorporated PRK in syntaxin 1, and was subsequently labeled with **SK155**. A confocal image of the Star635 fluorescence (left), a ¹⁹F NanoSIMS image, and an overlay (Star635 signal in red; ¹⁹F in green). Additional fluorescence and NanoSIMS images are shown: a ¹⁴N image, and a confocal image of immunostained calnexin, an endoplasmic reticulum marker. Scale bar: 2 μ m. B) The ratio between ¹⁹F and ¹⁴N in non-transfected cells was measured and compared with cells expressing different proteins. It was significantly higher for all expressed proteins: SNAP-25 (*p*<0.01), syntaxin 1 (*p*<0.001), and syntaxin 13 (*p*<0.001; t-tests). The number of analyzed cellular regions is: 32 for non-transfected, 371 for SNAP-25, 281 for syntaxin 1, and 448 for syntaxin 13 expressing cells. C) Linear relationship between ¹⁹F/¹⁴N ratio in a cell and **SK155** (Star635) intensity in the corresponding cell regions.

5786 www.angewandte.org © 2015 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 5784–5788



Figure 4. Genetic labeling of proteins and SIMS imaging offer detailed information on how protein metabolism correlates with the presence of a specific protein. A) A series of NanoSIMS images taken at different depth planes in a **SK155**-labeled cell expressing syntaxin 1. ¹⁹F is shown on the upper row; the ¹⁵N/¹⁴N ratio on the lower row. Inset: for comparison, the fluorescent signal of **SK155** in the same cell. Scale bar: 2 μ m. B) An analysis of ¹⁵N/¹⁴N ratios as a function of ¹⁹F levels. The number of analyzed cellular regions is 371 for SNAP-25, 281 for syntaxin 1, and 448 for syntaxin 13 samples. A downward trend is observed for all three types of staining, which is statistically significant for SNAP-25 and syntaxin 13 (p < 0.01, t-tests), but not for syntaxin 1.

taxin 1 (Figure 4 C). Owing to problems in correlating images with different axial resolutions, no significant trends could be observed when comparing the fluorescence signal of the proteins to an average $^{15}N/^{14}N$ ratio image obtained by overlapping the images taken at different depths (data not shown). This also constitutes an advantage of NanoSIMS imaging over techniques such as stimulated Raman scattering,^[28] which measures turnover with a far lower axial resolution. Albeit, unlike NanoSIMS, these methods are non-invasive, and allow the imaging of living cells.

The results suggest that the plasma membrane and the early endosomes, where SNAP-25 and syntaxin 13 are located, have a slower metabolism than other parts of the cell. The turnover of the endoplasmic reticulum, where syntaxin 1 is trapped in BHK cells,^[29] appears to be similar to the average cell turnover. While the biological significance of these findings awaits testing in other cellular systems, we conclude that the SPILL procedure is an efficient tool for the SIMS-based investigation of specific proteins. The requirements for SPILL are simple: the biological system should allow UAA encoding and click chemistry. This has been already achieved in bacteria, yeast, mammalian cells, *Caenorhabditis elegans*,^[30] and *Drosophila melanogaster*,^[31] which

implies that a large field of experimentation is open to this technology.

Keywords: click chemistry · isotopic labeling ·

protein engineering \cdot secondary-ion mass spectrometry (SIMS) \cdot unnatural amino acid

How to cite: Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 5784–5788 Angew. Chem. 2015, 127, 5876–5880

- R. M. Caprioli, T. B. Farmer, J. Gile, Anal. Chem. 1997, 69, 4751–4760.
- [2] S. Trimpin, M. L. Deinzer, J. Am. Soc. Mass Spectrom. 2005, 16, 542–547.
- [3] J. S. Becker, J. Mass Spectrom. 2013, 48, 255-268.
- [4] S. K. Saka, A. Vogts, K. Kröhnert, F. Hillion, S. O. Rizzoli, J. T. Wessels, *Nat. Commun.* 2014, 5, 3664.
- [5] C. Lechene, F. Hillion, G. McMahon, D. Benson, A. M. Kleinfeld, J. P. Kampf, D. Distel, Y. Luyten, J. Bonventre, D. Hentschel, K. M. Park, S. Ito, M. Schwartz, G. Benichou, G. Slodzian, J. Biol. 2006, 5, 20.
- [6] N. Musat, H. Halm, B. Winterholler, P. Hoppe, S. Peduzzi, F. Hillion, F. Horreard, R. Amann, B. B. Jørgensen, M. M. M. Kuypers, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2008, *105*, 17861–17866.

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2015, 54, 5784–5788 © 2015 The Authors. Published by Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim www.angewandte.org 5787

Angewandte Communications

- [7] A. W. Thompson, R. A. Foster, A. Krupke, B. J. Carter, N. Musat, D. Vaulot, M. M. M. Kuypers, J. P. Zehr, *Science* 2012, *337*, 1546–1550.
- [8] J. F. Frisz, K. Lou, H. A. Klitzing, W. P. Hanafin, V. Lizunov, R. L. Wilson, K. J. Carpenter, R. Kim, I. D. Hutcheon, J. Zimmerberg, M. L. Kraft, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2013, *110*, E613–E622.
- [9] J. F. Frisz, H. A. Klitzing, K. Lou, I. D. Hutcheon, P. K. Weber, J. Zimmerberg, M. L. Kraft, J. Biol. Chem. 2013, 288, 16855– 16861.
- [10] M. L. Steinhauser, A. P. Bailey, S. E. Senyo, C. Guillermier, T. S. Perlstein, A. P. Gould, R. T. Lee, C. P. Lechene, *Nature* 2012, 481, 516–519.
- [11] S. E. Senyo, M. L. Steinhauser, C. L. Pizzimenti, V. K. Yang, L. Cai, M. Wang, T.-D. Wu, J.-L. Guerquin-Kern, C. P. Lechene, R. T. Lee, *Nature* 2013, 493, 433–436.
- [12] D.-S. Zhang, V. Piazza, B. J. Perrin, A. K. Rzadzinska, J. C. Poczatek, M. Wang, H. M. Prosser, J. M. Ervasti, D. P. Corey, C. P. Lechene, *Nature* **2012**, *481*, 520–524.
- [13] M. Angelo, S. C. Bendall, R. Finck, M. B. Hale, C. Hitzman, A. D. Borowsky, R. M. Levenson, J. B. Lowe, S. D. Liu, S. Zhao, Y. Natkunam, G. P. Nolan, *Nat. Med.* **2014**, *20*, 436–442.
- [14] F. Opazo, M. Levy, M. Byrom, C. Schäfer, C. Geisler, T. W. Groemer, A. D. Ellington, S. O. Rizzoli, *Nat. Methods* 2012, 9, 938–939.
- [15] J. Ries, C. Kaplan, E. Platonova, H. Eghlidi, H. Ewers, *Nat. Methods* 2012, 9, 582–584.
- [16] C. C. Liu, P. G. Schultz, Annu. Rev. Biochem. 2010, 79, 413-444.
- [17] S. Milles, E. Lemke, *Bioessays* 2013, 35, 65-74.
- [18] H. C. Kolb, M. G. Finn, K. B. Sharpless, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2001, 40, 2004–2021; Angew. Chem. 2001, 113, 2056–2075.

- [19] S. Milles, S. Tyagi, N. Banterle, C. Koehler, V. VanDelinder, T. Plass, A. P. Neal, E. A. Lemke, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 5187–5195.
- [20] D. P. Nguyen, H. Lusic, H. Neumann, P. B. Kapadnis, A. Deiters, J. W. Chin, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 8720-8721.
- [21] K. Horisawa, Front. Physiol. 2014, 5, 1-6.
- [22] J. L. Leonard, D. M. Leonard, Q. Shen, A. P. Farwell, P. E. Newburger, J. Cell. Biochem. 1996, 61, 410-419.
- [23] R. Jahn, R. H. Scheller, Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 2006, 7, 631– 643.
- [24] N. D. Halemani, I. Bethani, S. O. Rizzoli, T. Lang, *Traffic* 2010, 11, 394-404.
- [25] P. Hoopmann, A. Punge, S. V. Barysch, V. Westphal, J. Bückers, F. Opazo, I. Bethani, M. Lauterbach, S. W. Hell, S. O. Rizzoli, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 2010, 107, 19055–19060.
- [26] K. Kolmakov, V. N. Belov, J. Bierwagen, C. Ringemann, V. Müller, C. Eggeling, S. W. Hell, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2010, *16*, 158–166.
- [27] K. Tomita, S. Oishi, H. Ohno, N. Fujii, *Biopolymers* 2008, 90, 503-511.
- [28] L. Wei, Y. Shen, F. Xu, F. Hu, J. K. Harrington, K. L. Targoff, W. Min, ACS Chem. Biol. 2015, DOI: 10.1021/cb500787b.
- [29] S. Martinez-Arca, V. Proux-Gillardeaux, P. Alberts, D. Louvard, T. Galli, J. Cell Sci. 2003, 116, 2805–2816.
- [30] L. Davis, J. W. Chin, Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol. 2012, 13, 168-182.
- [31] T. S. Elliott, F. M. Townsley, A. Bianco, R. J. Ernst, A. Sachdeva, S. J. Elsässer, L. Davis, K. Lang, R. Pisa, S. Greiss, K. S. Lilley, J. W. Chin, *Nat. Biotechnol.* **2014**, *32*, 465–472.

Received: December 4, 2014 Published online: March 17, 2015