



Subscriber access provided by University of Pennsylvania Libraries

# Featured Article

# Investigation on the synthesis of Shigella flexneri–specific oligosaccharides using disaccharides as potential transglucosylase acceptor substrates

Stéphane Salamone, Catherine Guerreiro, Emmanuelle Cambon, Jason M. Hargreaves, Nathalie TARRAT, Magali Remaud-Siméon, Isabelle André, and Laurence A. Mulard

J. Org. Chem., Just Accepted Manuscript • DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.5b01407 • Publication Date (Web): 04 Sep 2015 Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on September 8, 2015

# **Just Accepted**

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



The Journal of Organic Chemistry is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036

Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

#### 

# Investigation on the synthesis of *Shigella flexneri*-specific oligosaccharides using disaccharides as potential transglucosylase acceptor substrates

Stéphane Salamone,<sup>†,‡,¥</sup> Catherine Guerreiro,<sup>†,‡</sup> Emmanuelle Cambon,<sup>§,I,#</sup> Jason M. Hargreaves, <sup>†,‡</sup> Nathalie Tarrat,<sup>§,I,#</sup> Magali Remaud-Siméon,<sup>§,I,#</sup> Isabelle André,<sup>§,I,#</sup> and Laurence A. Mulard\*<sup>,†,‡</sup>

<sup>†</sup> Institut Pasteur, Unité de Chimie des Biomolécules, 28 rue du Dr Roux, 75724 Paris cedex 15,

France ; <sup>‡</sup> CNRS UMR 3523, Institut Pasteur, 75015 Paris, France ; <sup>§</sup> Université de Toulouse ;

INSA, UPS, INP ; LISBP, 135 Avenue de Rangueil, 31077 Toulouse, France ; <sup>1</sup> CNRS,

UMR5504, F-31400 Toulouse, France ; <sup>#</sup> INRA UMR792, Ingénierie des Systèmes Biologiques et des Procédés, F-31400 Toulouse, France

Corresponding author's e-mail: <a href="mailto:laurence.mulard@pasteur.fr">laurence.mulard@pasteur.fr</a>



# **Abstract:**

Chemo-enzymatic strategies hold great potential for the development of stereo- and regioselective syntheses of structurally-defined bioactive oligosaccharides. Herein, we illustrate the potential of the appropriate combination of a planned chemo-enzymatic pathway and an engineered biocatalyst for the multi-step synthesis of an important decasaccharide for vaccine development. We report the stepwise investigation, which led to an efficient chemical conversion of allyl  $\alpha$ -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 4)$ - $\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1\rightarrow 3)$ -2-deoxy-2-trichloroacetamido- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside, the product of site-specific enzymatic  $\alpha$ -D-glucosylation of a lightly protected non-natural disaccharide acceptor, into a pentasaccharide building block suitable for chain elongation at both ends. Successful differentiation between hydroxyl groups features the selective acylation of primary alcohols and acetalation of a *cis*-vicinal diol, followed by a controlled per-O-benzylation step. Moreover, we describe the successful use of the pentasaccharide intermediate in the [5+5] synthesis of an aminoethyl aglycon-equipped decasaccharide, corresponding to a dimer of the basic repeating unit from the O-specific polysaccharide of *Shigella flexneri* 2a, a major cause of bacillary dysentery. Four analogs of the disaccharide acceptor were synthesized and evaluated to reach a larger repertoire of Oglucosylation patterns encountered amongst S. *flexneri* type-specific polysaccharides. New insights on the potential and limitations of planned chemo-enzymatic pathways in oligosaccharide synthesis are provided.

# Introduction

The chemical assembly of complex oligosaccharides has progressed tremendously over the

past decades.<sup>1-5</sup> Elegant chemical synthetic methods including modular solution-phase approaches<sup>6</sup> or automated stepwise solid-phase syntheses<sup>7</sup> of oligosaccharides encompassing more than 20 residues have been reported. Nevertheless, in the absence of general rules governing synthetic carbohydrate chemistry, the development of stereoselective syntheses of structurally-defined oligosaccharides remains a challenging task. Complexity is increased owing to the impressive structural diversity of naturally occurring carbohydrates, and therefore of synthetic targets. This is especially relevant in the case of glycans of microbial origin,<sup>8</sup> in which interest is growing. Efficient protecting group manipulation toward tailoredmade building blocks on the one hand and their stereoselective chemical assembly on the other hand remain major issues, particularly with regards to the development of scalable synthetic processes or when multiple targets are involved. In some instances, enzymes have successfully emerged to circumvent these issues. Advantageously, synthesis using glycosyltransferases proceeds selectively in the absence of protecting groups,<sup>9</sup> while some glycosidases could be converted into efficient glycosynthases<sup>10</sup> or tranglycosidases.<sup>11</sup> Evidently, access to naturally occurring carbohydrate-active enzymes is expanding, and in recent years, enzymatic syntheses and - as an extension - chemo-enzymatic pathways have gained increasing attention owing to their powerful versatility in addition to high regio- and stereoselectivity.<sup>3,4,12-14</sup> Purposely-tailored biocatalysts could help bypass specific limitations encountered with chemical glycosylation, we therefore chose to explore a chemo-enzymatic route in the context of *Shigella flexneri*, a family of Gram-negative enteroinvasive bacteria and one of the causes of shigellosis – otherwise known as bacillary dysentery – in humans.

With an estimated 122,800 shigellosis-related deaths in 2010,<sup>15</sup> shigellosis is one of the diarrheal diseases causing the most burden worldwide, especially among children living in developing countries.<sup>16</sup> Rehydration is inadequate and resistance to antibiotics is increasing.<sup>17</sup>

Above all, the renewed awareness of the burden of shigellosis in the paediatric population has encouraged the search for novel vaccine strategies aimed at broad *Shigella* species and type coverage. As an alternative to important developments involving the detoxified lipopolysaccharide and/or analogues purified from biological sources,<sup>18</sup> we and others chose to investigate the impact of synthetic carbohydrates as active vaccine components.<sup>19-21</sup> Thus, synthetic oligosaccharides representing functional mimics of the O-specific polysaccharide moiety (O-SP) of the bacterial membrane-anchored lipopolysaccharides are meant to replace the natural antigens.<sup>22</sup> The efficient synthesis of tailored fragments of the *Shigella* O-SPs of interest is a pre-requisite to the identification of oligosaccharides suitable for entering vaccine design and optimization.

S. *flexneri* encompasses a large diversity of types and subtypes, which have been identified based on the structure of their O-SPs. At least 15 of the identified O-SP repeating units share the same linear tetrasaccharide backbone, which is made of three L-rhamnose residues (A, B, C) and a *N*-acetyl-D-glucosamine residue (D), 1,2-*trans* linked to one another. Type specificity is associated to the phage-encoded site selective modification of the ABCD unit with  $\alpha$ -D-glucopyranosyl residues (E), *O*-acetyl groups (Ac), and the more recently disclosed phosphoethanolamine residues (PEtN) (Figure 1).<sup>23,24</sup>



**Figure 1.** *S. flexneri* O-SP backbone (ABCD) showing type/group-specific substitution and sites of  $\alpha$ -D-glucosyl (E) appendage (in blue).

 $\alpha$ -D-Glucosylation can occur at any residue. It is always stoichiometric and is an essential feature of the most prevalent *S. flexneri* serotypes sharing the {ABCD}<sub>n</sub> backbone.<sup>23,25</sup> Concern for these important glucosyl side-chains was addressed repeatedly in the published chemical syntheses of *S. flexneri* oligosaccharides, most of which used readily available tetrabenzylglucosyl donors.<sup>26-31</sup> However, the 1,2-*cis*  $\alpha$ -linkage has precluded stereospecific glucosylation and  $\alpha/\beta$  mixtures were isolated despite parameter optimization. Evolving from tetrabenzyl donors to those more diversely protected at remote positions such as analogues equipped with ester protecting groups at O-6<sup>32</sup> and conformationally constrained precursors,<sup>33,34,35</sup> have been shown to enhance  $\alpha/\beta$  ratios. Alternatively, pre-arranged donor/acceptor systems for intramolecular aglycon delivery,<sup>36,37</sup> mannose-to-glucose sequential oxidation-reduction,<sup>38</sup> and precursors designed for hydrogen bond mediated aglycon delivery,<sup>39</sup> have been employed successfully. Furthermore, impressive progress in the area of stereocontrolled chemical 1,2-*cis* glucosylation has been achieved as exemplified by sophisticated donors enabling neighboring group participation by means of a C-2 (*S*)-

auxiliary,<sup>40</sup> or prepared in the form of precyclized 1,2-oxathiane precursors.<sup>41-43</sup> Nevertheless, despite remarkable realizations, concern emerges due to increasing donor complexity and/or potential interferences with protecting group selection, branching patterns, subsequent chain elongation, substituent manipulation, or final deprotection. For systems such as *S. flexneri*, for which diversely substituted O-SPs and fragments thereof are identified as synthetic targets, these inherent drawbacks have to be evaluated on a case-to-case basis. Instead, we chose to investigate the input of enzymatic glucosylation.

Shigella type-specific O-SP glucosylation takes place on the periplasmic side of the cytoplasmic membrane on the growing membrane-anchored O-SP chain. Glucosylation involves serotype-specific glucosyltransferases featuring several transmembrane domains and a common membrane-anchored undecaprenyl-phosphate- $\beta$ -glucose donor.<sup>44</sup> With this in mind, we chose to investigate novel chemo-enzymatic routes to diverse  $\alpha$ -D-glucosylated oligosaccharides by use of sucrose-utilizing transglucosylases. Otherwise known as glucan sucrases, the selected biocatalysts are  $\alpha$ -retaining enzymes found in Glycoside-Hydrolase families 13 (GH13) and 70 (GH70) of the CAZy classification.<sup>45</sup> Owing to a remarkable plasticity, they have been evolved into biocatalysts with novel substrate specificities.<sup>46</sup> Moreover, relying on computer-aided engineering, we have identified mutants of the amylosucrase from Neisseria polysaccharea (NpAS), which catalyze the regiospecific  $\alpha$ -D-glucosylation of two non-natural monosaccharides into motifs of interest in the context of S. flexneri.<sup>47,48</sup> Featuring a related strategy, S. Armand et al. have shown that Bacillus circulans 251 cyclodextrin glucanotransferase (CGTase, EC 2.1.4.19) was able to accommodate non-natural rhamnoside acceptors and produce  $\alpha$ -D-glucosylated products, identified as relevant building blocks for the synthesis of various S. flexneri O-SP

fragments.49

As seen from our previous achievements,<sup>47,49</sup> the strategy that we have undertaken aims at developing target-oriented synthetic routes encompassing an early stage enzymatic step. Therefore, acceptor substrates are fine-tuned in order to access a variety of glucosylation profiles compatible with subsequent chemical elongation. Having established the concept of programmed chemoenzymatic pathways to microbial carbohydrates by use of purposely tailored glycoenzymes in the case of monosaccharide acceptors,<sup>47,49</sup> our current effort aims at investigating the same approach in the case of non-natural disaccharide acceptors relevant to the design of a *S. flexneri* multivalent vaccine. The overall aim is to access oligosaccharides from several independent *S. flexneri* O-SPs starting from a single disaccharide, designed for use in acceptor reactions with properly engineered biocatalysts.

Obviously, the choice of the acceptor/transglucosylase system is a key issue governing the implementation of efficient tailored chemoenzymatic syntheses of complex glucosylated targets. Since the panel of known transglucosidases is highly diverse, acceptor selection controls the choice of the whole system. The acceptor has to fulfil several criteria, such as relevance to epidemiological data and potential for broad serotype coverage. In addition, compatibility with the chemical manipulation of the product of enzymatic glucosylation into *S. flexneri* type-specific oligosaccharides is an absolute must. Among the four possible disaccharides found on the backbone shared by most *S. flexneri* O-SPs (Figure 1), the L-rhamnosyl- $(1\rightarrow 3)$ -2-*N*-acetyl-D-glucosamine moiety (CD) emerged as the one paving the way to the largest diversity of glucosylated products representative of any one of multiple *S. flexneri* serotypes.



Scheme 1. Enzymatic glucosylation of acceptor substrate 1 into trisaccharide 2 catalyzed by GH70 glucansucrase called GBD-CD2.<sup>50</sup>

To fulfil requirements for chemical chain elongation, the lightly protected CD analogue **1** was preferred to unprotected disaccharide CD as an acceptor substrate (Scheme 1).<sup>50</sup> Allyl glycoside **1** was shown to act as an acceptor substrate for several glucansucrases from the GH70 family,<sup>50</sup> including GBD-CD2, an  $\alpha$ -transglucosylase engineered from *Leuconostoc mesenteroides* NRRL B-1299 dextransucrase (DSR-E). In particular, as a rewarding illustration of this powerful concept, we have recently communicated<sup>50</sup> on the high-yielding GBD-CD2-mediated enzymatic glucosylation of the selected disaccharide **1** into trisaccharide **2**, which features the  $\alpha$ -D-Glcp-(1 $\rightarrow$ 4)- $\alpha$ -L-Rhap glucosylation pattern characteristic for *S*. *flexneri* type II<sup>23</sup> (Scheme 1), and on the efficient conversion of the latter into a pentadecasaccharide hapten entering in the composition of a synthetic carbohydrate-based vaccine candidate against *S. flexneri* 2a (SF2a) infection.<sup>21</sup>



Scheme 2. Highlights of the synthesis of decasaccharide 5 from the product of enzymatic glucosylation 2, showing the key protected intermediates 3 and 4. The development of efficient  $[2\rightarrow3]$ ,  $[3\rightarrow4]$ , and  $[4\rightarrow5]$  transformations, respectively, corresponds to issues addressed independently in the manuscript.

Herein, we report on the stepwise investigation which led to an efficient conversion of the glucosylation product **2** into a pentasaccharide building block **4**, suitable for chain elongation at both ends (Scheme 2), as demonstrated in the synthesis of an aminoethyl aglycon-equipped dimer  $(5)^{51}$  of the basic repeating unit from SF2a O-SP.<sup>23</sup>



Figure 2. Chemical structures of the CD disaccharide acceptor analogues modified at position  $4_{\rm C}$  (6, 7) or  $1_{\rm D}$  (8, 9).

Moreover, we also report on the synthesis of analogues of the GBD-CD2 acceptor substrate 1, featuring a masked  $4_{\rm C}$ -OH (6 and 7) or modified at the reducing end (8 and 9) (Figure 2), and on their evaluation as substrates of available glucan sucrases differing in terms of substrate and regiospecificity.

# **Results and Discussion**

Having trisaccharide 2 produced in high yield from the easily accessible GBD-CD2 acceptor substrate  $1,^{50}$  our first objective was to demonstrate that the former could be converted into either a donor or an acceptor for use in glycosylation reactions. Since the CD disaccharide 1 had been designed toward this aim, the ECD trisaccharide 2 fulfils primary requirements at its D residue. It features a temporary allyl protecting group at the reducing end, and a  $2_D$ -*N*-trichloroacetyl moiety to ensure efficient anchimeric assistance in the D-A glycosylation step along with recovery of the  $2_D$ -acetamido moiety upon final deprotection. However, trisaccharide 2 also has eight free

#### The Journal of Organic Chemistry

hydroxyl groups, of which two are primary alcohols. Therefore, careful protection/deprotection sequences were required to allow elongation at the reducing end and/or at OH-3 of the C residue of precursor **2** so as to reach more complex SF2a oligosaccharides.



**Scheme 3.** Synthesis of mono-acetonide **17**: the acetonide route. *Reagents and conditions*: A-a) 2,2-Dimethoxypropane (DMP), cat *p*-toluenesulfonic acid (*p*-TSA), DMF/acetone 1:1, 7.5 h, 86%; b) 50% aq AcOH, 0 °C, 4.5 h, 89%. B-a) 2-Methoxypropene, cat camphorsulphonic acid (CSA), acetone, 2 h, 49% for **13**, 39% for **14**; b) cat I<sub>2</sub>, H<sub>2</sub>O, MeCN, 2.75 h, 76%; c) Ac<sub>2</sub>O, cat DMAP, Py, 2 h, 87%.

Owing to the *cis* configuration of the  $2_{C}$ , $3_{C}$ -diol moiety, the acetonide-protected **17** was seen as an ideal intermediate to the fully protected **3**. The higher stability of 1,3-*cis*-dioxolanes over 1,3-dioxanes towards hydrolysis is a well-acknowledged phenomenon, which has been thoroughly exemplified.<sup>52-54</sup> Following optimization of the reaction conditions, the expected chemoselectivity was obtained providing mono-isopropylidene **12** in yields up to 89% using aqueous acetic acid at 0 °C for the selective hydrolysis of the 4,6-acetal in the EC disaccharide

11, itself derived from the known methyl glycoside  $10^{55}$  (Scheme 3A). Hence, trisaccharide 2 was submitted to a similar two-step process (Scheme 3B). Treatment of octaol 2 with excess 2methoxypropene in acetone under acidic catalysis ensured its conversion into a mixture of the expected tri-isopropylidene 13 and the fully protected 14, isolated in 49% and 39% yields, respectively. Acetalation of the  $2_{\rm E}$ ,  $3_{\rm E}$ -diol under these kinetic conditions has been reported previously<sup>56</sup> but it was not perceived to be problematic due to the high lability of the 1,2-trans configuration. However, the selective deprotection of the isolated mixture of compounds 13 and 14 proved troublesome. Under mild hydrolysis conditions, ranging from conventional proticmediated hydrolysis,<sup>57,58</sup> which was efficient on the EC model **11**, to less common protocols such as the use of molecular iodine in acetonitrile<sup>59</sup> or that of silica gel-supported phosphomolybdic acid,<sup>60</sup> the deprotection pattern was similar despite different kinetics. As expected, the  $2_E, 3_E$ -Oisopropylidene was rapidly cleaved to give the sole intermediate 13. Furthermore, the 6membered  $4_{E,6_{E}}$ -acetal was rather labile providing access to tetraol 15, as unambiguously ascertained following isolation and per-acetylation into the fully protected 16. In contrast, the 1,3-dioxane masking the 4<sub>D</sub>,6<sub>D</sub>-diol was comparably stable to the 1,3-cis-dioxolane blocking positions  $2_C$  and  $3_C$ . Due to this unexpected observation, the reaction had to be monitored carefully in order to avoid complete deprotection. The I2/MeCN conditions gave the best yield of mono-acetonide 17 (76%) in only a few hours. Yet, in our hands the reaction suffered from lack of reproducibility, with yields ranging from 37% to 76%, and a more robust pathway to the fully protected 3 was required.



**ACS Paragon Plus Environment** 

#### The Journal of Organic Chemistry

Scheme 4. Enzymatic *O*-acetylation of disaccharide 1. *Reagents and conditions*: a) Vinyl acetate, CAL-B, THF/Py (4:1) 45 °C, 17 h, 88%.

Toward this aim, the preliminary protection of the primary alcohols before installing the  $2_{C,3_C}$ -acetonide was investigated as an alternative. In order to extend the weight of the enzymatic input, regioselective *O*-acetylation by means of the well-known lipase B from *Candida antarctica* (CAL-B, also known as Novozym 435) in combination with vinyl acetate as donor was first attempted.<sup>61-63</sup> Once more, while the selective  $6_D$ -*O*-acetylation of the CD disaccharide 1 proceeded in high yield (88%) to give mono-acetate **18** (Scheme 4), adaptation to trisaccharide 2 was not as efficient. At best, 8% of the target  $6_D, 6_E$ -diacetate – albeit contaminated - was obtained, along with a mixture of mono-acetates and starting material (not shown). Since these attempts also clearly demonstrated that the two acetate esters did not sufficiently increase lipophilicity to allow proper isolation of the target trisaccharide, the enzymatic route was abandoned.



Scheme 5. Synthesis of mono-acetonide 17 and subsequent benzylation: the ester route. *Reagents and conditions*: a) BzCl, *sym*-Collidine, MeCN/Acetone (1:1), -40 °C, 46 h, 65%; b) DMP, CSA, Acetone, 2 h, 92%; c) MeONa, MeOH, 3.3 h, 94%; d) NaH, BnBr, DMF, -10 °C, 4 h, 78%.

Instead, we turned our attention to the selective chemical instalment of benzoate esters. Optimization of the reaction conditions enabled the development of a process that accommodated a good balance in the solubility of the starting material 2 and expected product 19, as well as a higher reactivity of primary hydroxyls over secondary ones. Thus, octaol 2 was treated with benzoyl chloride and a hindered nucleophilic catalyst (sym-collidine) in a mixture of acetone/MeCN at -40 °C for 2 days to give dibenzoate 19 in a reproducible satisfactory 65% yield. The subsequent  $2_{C_2}3_{C_2}$ -O-isopropylidenation of the latter into tetraol 20 (92%) and debenzovlation (94%) were uneventful and furnished mono-acetonide 17 in high yield (Scheme 5). When masking the remaining hydroxyl groups of the latter as benzyl ethers while avoiding Nbenzylation, the reaction was carried out at -10 °C using only a slight excess of benzyl bromide.<sup>64</sup> Indeed, available data suggest that the trichloroacetamide moiety of amino sugars is prone to Nbenzylation or even cleavage when treated according to various O-benzylation protocols.<sup>64</sup> Moreover, after quenching the sodium hydride in excess by addition of MeOH, the resulting sodium methoxide was neutralized by adding acetic acid to protect the base-labile trichloroacetamide during evaporation of the volatiles.<sup>64</sup> Under these conditions, the fully protected trisaccharide 3 was obtained in 78% yield.



ACS Paragon Plus Environment

#### The Journal of Organic Chemistry

Scheme 6. Synthesis of pentasaccharide 24 by use of donor 22. *Reagents and conditions*: a) cat  $[Ir(COD){PCH_3(C_6H_5)_2}]^+PF_6^-$ , H<sub>2</sub>, THF, 2.5 h, then I<sub>2</sub>, THF/H<sub>2</sub>O, 5 h, 80%; b) CCl<sub>3</sub>CN, cat DBU, DCE, -10 °C, 35 min, 70%; c) TMSOTf (10 mol%), DCE, -35 °C to 0 °C, 30 min, 78%.

In order to use the desired convergent [5+5] strategy to decasaccharide 5 (Scheme 1), conditions for a high yielding glycosylation at the D-A linkage were required. Until recently, the investigation of convergent syntheses to SF2a oligosaccharides involving a disconnection at the D-A linkage was ruled out in our laboratory, essentially because of problems encountered by Bundle et al. in the synthesis of S. flexneri Y O-SP fragments.<sup>65,66</sup> Instead, we favoured a disconnection at the C-D linkage.<sup>51,67</sup> However, the system described herein differs in many instances from the halide-equipped oligosaccharide donors bearing a 2<sub>D</sub>-N-phthalimido participating group at their reducing end residue, which served in the S. flexneri Y studies.<sup>65,66</sup> Hence, the next focus was on using ECD as a donor in a [3+2] glycosylation to prepare the model ECDAB pentasaccharide 24 (Scheme 6). To this end, trisaccharide 3 was submitted to a selective two-step anomeric deallylation involving conventional allyl to propen-1-yl conversion by means of the  $[Ir(COD){PCH_3(C_6H_5)_2}]^+PF_6^-$  catalyst<sup>68</sup> and subsequent iodine-mediated hydrolysis,<sup>69</sup> giving rise to hemiacetal 21 (80%). The latter was in turn activated in the form of trichloroacetimidate (TCA) 22 (70%, 93% corrected yield) by treatment with trichloroacetonitrile in the presence of a catalytic amount of DBU. Coupling of the known AB acceptor 23<sup>70</sup> and donor 22 at low temperature in DCE containing 10 mol% TMSOTf gave pentasaccharide 24 in a good 78% yield with complete  $\beta$ -selectivity.



Scheme 7. Synthesis of the B(E)CD acceptor 33. *Reagents and conditions*: a) 50% aq TFA, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 75 min, 94%; b) PhC(OMe)<sub>3</sub>, *p*-TSA, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 20 min then 50% aq TFA, 45 min, 82%; c) MeC(OMe)<sub>3</sub>, *p*-TSA, MeCN, 35 min, then 80% aq AcOH, 0 °C, 25 min; d) 28, TMSOTf (5 mol%), Et<sub>2</sub>O, -15 °C, 40 min, 81% from 25, via 27; e) H<sub>2</sub>NNH<sub>2</sub>, Py/AcOH (3:2), 0 °C, 70 min, 92%.

Having demonstrated that the product of enzymatic glucosylation 2 could be converted into a potent donor, we turned our attention to the preparation of a fully protected AB(E)CD building block 4. First, cleavage of the isopropylidene acetal in trisaccharide 3 by use of a biphasic aqueous TFA/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> system, revealed the corresponding  $2_{C,3_{C}}$ -diol 25 (94%) (Scheme 7). Next, the  $2_{C}$ -hydroxyl group was benzoylated through orthoester formation followed by regioselective acid-mediated opening,<sup>28</sup> yielding acceptor 26 (82%). The known rhamnosyl trichloroacetimidate 28,<sup>71</sup> bearing a levulinyl ester orthogonal to the benzoyl group at position 2, was the selected donor. However, none of the [26 + 28] glycosylation attempts, differing in terms of solvent

(Et<sub>2</sub>O, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, toluene) and temperature (-15 °C, 25 °C, 70 °C), led to any tetrasaccharide formation (not described). Alcohol 26 was recovered unaffected while donor 28 eventually rearranged into trichloroacetamide 29.<sup>72</sup> The total absence of glycosylated product was not anticipated, especially since a 2<sub>C</sub>-benzoyl ester had been used in the synthesis of SF2a oligosaccharides, albeit on different substrates, in our group in the past.<sup>51,67,73</sup> Interestingly, we have previously pointed to the somewhat poor reactivity of the 2<sub>D</sub>-acetamido-4<sub>D</sub>,6<sub>D</sub>-Oisopropylidene-ECD acceptor **30**, which closely resembles trisaccharide **26**, when treated with the known 2-O-acetyl rhamnosyl donor **31**,<sup>74</sup> analogous to **28**. In spite of this observation and in contrast to the present finding, the target glycosylation product had been in this case obtained with moderate to good yield.<sup>75</sup> Whereas it was tempting to correlate the low reactivity of acceptor 30 with the known remote effect of the  $2_{\rm D}$ -acetamido moiety,<sup>76</sup> we had no explanation for the present outcome involving acceptor 26. Changing the  $2_{\rm C}$ -benzoate in the latter for a  $2_{\rm C}$ -acetate provided analogue 27, also obtained as a single product from diol 25 upon regioselective opening of an intermediate orthoester.<sup>50</sup> Satisfactorily, the [27 + 28] glycosylation proceeded smoothly to give tetrasaccharide 32 in a good 81% yield (from 25), thus confirming that ECD could also serve as an acceptor. Delevulinylation of the fully protected **32** by means of hydrazine acetate then led to the B(E)CD acceptor 33 (92%).<sup>50</sup> The striking difference in reactivity between the  $2_{C}$ -O-benzoyl acceptor and its  $2_{\rm C}$ -O-acetyl counterpart, 26 and 27 respectively, was puzzling. We postulated that it could either be a consequence of a steric clash between the donor and acceptor **26**, or of an intramolecular hydrogen bond established between the  $3_{\rm C}$ -OH and the ester carbonyl moiety that would only occur in the case of the benzoate from acceptor 26. It was hypothesized that if present, the latter phenomenon would either lower the electron density on the reactive hydroxyl group or constrain the molecule in a conformation less favorable for glycosylation. This hypothesis was addressed first by proper NMR analysis of the two hydroxy-esters in an aprotic solvent, which enables the detection of both intra- and intermolecular hydrogen bonds involving hydroxyl groups.<sup>77</sup> Measuring the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum for each of the two samples in DMSO- $d_6$  solution at 10° intervals from 303 K to 353 K clearly showed linear upfield shifts of the 3<sub>C</sub>-OH, which were almost similar. The shift ranged between 0.002 and 0.008 ppm/°C, indicating that both hydroxyl groups were actually engaged in intramolecular hydrogen bonding (Figure 3).<sup>78</sup>



**Figure 3.** Temperature dependence of  $\delta(3_{\rm C}\text{-OH})$  measured by <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz) for 15 mM solutions of **26** ( $\blacklozenge$ ) and **27** ( $\blacksquare$ ) in DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>.



Figure 4. Local energy minima conformations adopted by the  $2_{\rm C}$ -O-benzoyl acceptor 26 (a and b) and by the  $2_{\rm C}$ -O-acetyl acceptor 27 (c). For clarity, benzyl ethers are truncated and represented as magenta circles. Distances between atoms are given in Å.

In contrast, *ab initio* quantum chemical calculations of the two disaccharides shed light on their different conformational behaviors. In the case of the  $2_{\rm C}$ -*O*-benzoyl trisaccharide **26**, two local energy minima were found upon optimization using the DFT/B3LYP/6-31G(3df,3pd) level (Figure 4a and 4b, Supporting Information Tables S1 and S2), corresponding to geometries that

mainly differ in the orientation of the  $3_{\rm C}$ -OH. In the first situation, the hydroxyl group is involved in a hydrogen bonding interaction with the carbonyl of the vicinal ester, as hypothesized (Figure 4a). In the other minimal energy conformation, which is also more stable by  $\Delta E = 4.8$  kcal/mol, the 3<sub>C</sub>-OH interacts with the endocyclic oxygen from the branched glucosyl residue E (Figure 4b). In contrast, the  $2_{\rm C}$ -O-acetyl trisaccharide 27 adopts a single energy minimum conformation, which closely resembles the more stable conformation in trisaccharide 26. Analogously, it is stabilized by a hydrogen bond between the  $3_{\rm C}$ -OH group and the endocyclic oxygen from residue E (Figure 4c, Supporting Information Table S3). All attempts to localize an energy minimum in which the  $3_{\rm C}$ -OH was involved in a hydrogen bond with the acetate carbonyl moiety in trisaccharide 27 were fruitless as calculations repeatedly converged toward one single energy well. The calculated Mulliken charges on the 3<sub>C</sub>-oxygen atom for the observed energy minima conformations are 0.76 and 0.85 (Figures 4a and 4b, respectively) for the benzoylated 26 and 0.86 for the acetylated 27, respectively. Since the  $3_{\rm C}$ -OH of the most stable conformations of the two hydroxyl-esters have similar charges, it could be assumed that the hydrogen bonding had no crucial influence on the electron density of the  $3_{\rm C}$ -oxygen involved in the B-C linkage. However, the most stable conformation of the benzoylated 26 clearly showed steric hindrance at the  $3_{\rm C}$ -OH brought by the aromatic ring of the vicinal benzoyl group, which could be held accountable for its lower reactivity.



Scheme 8. Synthesis of tetrasaccharides B(E)CD 36 and 37. *Reagents and conditions*: a) 34, TMSOTf (9 mol%), toluene, 70 °C, 60 min, 20%, contaminated; (b) TMSOTf (5 mol%), toluene, -15 °C, 10 min, 80%.

With these new data in hand, the "steric hindrance" hypothesis was investigated more deeply by coupling acceptor **26** with the less hindered tri-*O*-acetyl rhamnosyl donor<sup>79</sup> **34** (Scheme 8). Despite the harsh conditions, a substantial amount of unreacted acceptor was recovered (70%), while most of the donor was hydrolyzed into the known hemiacetal<sup>80</sup> **35**. Nevertheless, a new product was isolated, even though impure, which was tentatively identified as the coupling product **36**, based on mass spectrometry analysis (HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>) calculated for  $C_{84}H_{92}Cl_3NO_{23}Na$ [M+Na]<sup>+</sup>, 1610.5023, found *m/z* 1610.5002). It is noteworthy that from this point forward, some NMR signals from the A or B rhamnoses in the B(E)CD tetrasaccharides and larger oligosaccharides would either be broadened or absent. The coupling of donor **28** and the less hindered diol **25**, unambiguously furnished the 2<sub>C</sub>-*O*-rhamnosyl tetrasaccharide **37** (Scheme 8). This outcome is in total agreement with data from Kong and Du, who found that glycosylation at

the 3-OH of 2,3,4-triol rhamnoside acceptors is strongly favored, whereas in the case of 2,3-diol rhamnosides, glycosylation occurs preferentially at the axial 2-OH.<sup>81</sup>



**Figure 5.** Conformation of the local energy minimum of diol **25** highlighting steric hindrance around the  $3_{\rm C}$ -OH (view **a**) and accessibility of the  $2_{\rm C}$ -OH (view **b**). Distances between atoms are given in Å. The  $2_{\rm C}$ -OH group is encircled.

Molecular modeling of diol **25** using the DFT/B3LYP/6-31G(3df,3pd) level indicated two energy minima corresponding to geometries that mainly differ in the orientation of the  $3_{\rm C}$ -OH. In the first minimal energy conformation (Supporting Information Table S4), the hydroxyl group is involved in a hydrogen bonding interaction with the  $2_{\rm C}$ -oxygen. In the other major conformation (Figure 5, Supporting Information Table S5), which is also more stable by 7 kcal/mol, the  $3_{\rm C}$ -OH interacts with the endocyclic oxygen of residue E as also observed for trisaccharides **26** and **27**.

# The Journal of Organic Chemistry

The energy difference between these two conformers is such that only the lower local minimum is occupied. In the corresponding conformer, the charges on the oxygen atoms at positions  $2_{\rm C}$  and  $3_{\rm C}$  are similar, indicating that charge effects do not account for the observed regioselectivity. Rather, the latter is thought to arise from steric hindrance at the equatorial  $3_{\rm C}$  oxygen atom (Figure 5a). Indeed, the  $3_{\rm C}$ -OH takes part in 3 hydrogen bonds. It is in close proximity of H-1<sub>E</sub> and also interacts with the phenyl ring of the  $6_{\rm E}$ -benzyl ether. In comparison to this highly constrained situation, the  $2_{\rm C}$ -OH group is easily accessible (Figure 5b, black circle).



Scheme 9. Synthesis of the target decasaccharide 5. *Reagents and conditions*: a) 28, TMSOTf (7 mol%), Et<sub>2</sub>O, -15 °C, 86%; b) 1,5-Cyclooctadiene bis(methyldiphenylphosphine) iridium

#### The Journal of Organic Chemistry

hexafluorophosphate ([Ir]), H<sub>2</sub>, THF, 2.5 h, then I<sub>2</sub>, THF/H<sub>2</sub>O (3:1), 4.5 h, 93%; c) CCl<sub>3</sub>CN, cat DBU, DCE, -10 °C, 40 min, 97%; d) CF<sub>3</sub>C(NPh)Cl, Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, Acetone, rt, 2 h, 83%; e) **40**, Bromoethanol, TMSOTf (10 mol%), DCE, 0 °C, 25 min, then NaI, NaN<sub>3</sub>, DMF, 80 °C, 2 h, 78%; f) H<sub>2</sub>NNH<sub>2</sub>, AcOH/Py (2:3), 0 °C, 90%; g) **41**, TMSOTf (20 mol%), toluene, -10 °C, 2 h, 82%; h) MeONa, MeOH, 21 h, 71%; i) H<sub>2</sub>, Pd(OH)<sub>2</sub>, *t*BuOH/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O, 3 d, 58%.

We then moved on to the preparation of the [AB(E)CD]<sub>2</sub> decasaccharide (Scheme 9). To this end, acceptor 33 was coupled with donor 28 allowing the formation of the pentasaccharide 38 (86%). Deallylation of the latter gave hemiacetal **39** (93%), which was in turn converted to the key trichloroacetimidate 40 (97%). In order to equip the acceptor for site-selective conjugation, donor 40 was glycosylated with bromoethanol and the bromine atom was substituted with sodium azide to reach the fully protected azidoethyl glycoside 43 (78%). A delevulinylation step led to acceptor 44 (90%), which was then glycosylated with the same key donor 40. Although the reaction was run at 40 °C, very little condensation was detected. Instead, the donor rapidly evolved into the trichloro-oxazoline 45. Satisfactorily, subsequent addition of TMSOTf to reach 15 mol% allowed the full consumption of the acceptor. Yet, despite several attempts at purifying the fully protected 46 by column chromatography using different elution systems, the latter could not be isolated as pure material. Indeed, a MALDI analysis clearly indicated that the decasaccharide was slightly contaminated with trichloroacetamide 42 (HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>) calculated for  $C_{111}H_{120}Cl_6N_2O_{26}Na [M+Na]^+ 2129.62$ , found m/z 2129.37), a rearranged form of donor 40. Attempts at purifying the decasaccharide at the next step were disappointing (not shown). For that reason. trichloroacetimidate was changed for the corresponding Nphenyltrifluoroacetimidoyl (PTFA) donor 41,<sup>50</sup> obtained in 83% yield from hemiacetal 39. Gratifyingly, the glycosylation between the new donor 41 and acceptor 44 performed at -10 °C

gave a good 82% yield of the desired decasaccharide **46**. Methanolysis of the latter into triol **47** (72%) required unoptimized harsh conditions as previously experienced for a closely related decasaccharide.<sup>51</sup> Treatment of triol **47** with Pd(OH)<sub>2</sub>/C under a hydrogen atmosphere enabled the concomitant benzyl ether hydrogenolysis, trichloroacetamide hydrodechlorination and azide reduction to give, in 58% yield following RP-HPLC purification, the [AB(E)CD]<sub>2</sub> target **5**, which corresponds to a two-unit segment of the *S. flexneri* 2a O-SP equipped with a linker enabling site selective conjugation.



**Figure 6.** *S. flexneri* type-specific oligosaccharides in reach from CD following regioselective  $\alpha$ -D-glucosylation at the positions indicated by the arrows.<sup>23</sup>

Capitalizing on the excellent outcome of the enzymatic regioselective  $\alpha$ -D-glucosylation of the CD disaccharide **1** and the successful conversion of the obtained ECD **2** into relevant synthetic fragments of the O-SP from *S. flexneri* 2a as exemplified by decasaccharide **5**, a functional mimic of the homologous O-SP,<sup>22</sup> we questioned the potential of acceptor **1** as a precursor to  $\alpha$ -D-glucosylation patterns characteristic of O-SPs from other *S. flexneri* serotypes (Figure 6). In particular, the  $\alpha$ -D-glucosylation at O-4<sub>D</sub> and O-6<sub>D</sub> would open the way to oligosaccharides representative of O-SPs from *S. flexneri* type I and type IV, respectively.<sup>23</sup> In the following part of this article, we report on preliminary investigations toward this aim. To probe this hypothesis,

#### The Journal of Organic Chemistry

minor modifications of the original acceptor 1 were considered, so as to identify any novel regioselectivity by use of GBD-CD2 and *Np*AS, a glucan sucrase from GH13 family,<sup>82</sup> in combination with sucrose as donor. The former naturally forms  $\alpha$ -(1 $\rightarrow$ 2) glucosidic bonds, whereas the latter catalyzes the  $\alpha$ -(1 $\rightarrow$ 4) linkage synthesis.



Scheme 10. Synthesis of the CD disaccharides 6 and 7, encompassing a masked 4<sub>C</sub>-OH. *Reagents and conditions*: a) 2-Methoxypropene, DMP, cat CSA, DMF/Acetone 1:1, 36 h, 75%;
b) NaH, MeI, THF, 3 h, 89%; c) 50% aq TFA, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 35 min, 82%; d) (COCl)<sub>2</sub>, DMSO, Et<sub>3</sub>N, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -78 °C→ rt, 75 min, 84%; e) 80% aq AcOH, 80 °C, 15 h, 69%.

The most straightforward approach to a modified CD acceptor was to mask the  $4_{\rm C}$ -OH in the starting allyl glycoside **1**. To this end, two different targets were envisaged. The  $4_{\rm C}$ -OH was blocked in the form of a methyl ether (**6**), and alternatively it was oxidized into a  $4_{\rm C}$ -oxo moiety, therefore also interfering with the  ${}^{1}C_{4}$  ring conformation of L-rhamnose C, to give allyl glycoside **7** bearing conformational properties different from that of native **1** (Scheme 10). Toward this aim,

di-*O*-isopropylidenation of disaccharide **1** gave the common intermediate **48** (75%), which underwent *O*-methylation with methyl iodide in THF<sup>83</sup> to give the fully protected **49** (89%). It is noteworthy that performing the reaction in THF and using sodium hydride as the base was essential. Indeed, methylation attempts in DMF containing silver oxide or sodium hydride, or in THF containing silver oxide, resulted in partial *N*-methylation of the trichloroacetamide moiety (not shown), as already observed by Scharf and Jütten in their synthesis of evernitrose.<sup>84</sup> This phenomenon is attributed to the strong inductive effect of the trichloroacetyl group, which enhances the acidity of the amide proton. The fully protected **49** was then submitted to acidmediated acetal hydrolysis, leading to the expected 4<sub>C</sub>-OMe disaccharide **6** (82%). Alternatively, alcohol **48** was oxidized using Swern conditions into ketone **50** (84%), which was then deacetalated into the 4<sub>C</sub>-oxo derivative **7** (69%).

We attempted to force glucosylation of disaccharide CD at positions different from  $4_{\rm C}$ -OH. To this end, acceptor reaction with disaccharides **6** and **7**, both lacking a free  $4_{\rm C}$ -OH, were performed with GBD-CD2. The LC-MS follow-up of the enzymatic conversion showed that no glucosylation product was formed (not shown) indicating that blocking  $4_{\rm C}$ -OH does not facilitate any alternative glucosylation at another position.





#### The Journal of Organic Chemistry

Scheme 11. Synthesis of the methyl thioglycoside 8. *Reagents and conditions*: a) Ac<sub>2</sub>O, cat DMAP, Py, 14 h, 94%; b) [Ir], H<sub>2</sub>, THF, 4 h, then I<sub>2</sub>, THF/H<sub>2</sub>O (3:1), 16 h, 85%; c) CCl<sub>3</sub>CN, Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 60 min, then TMS-SMe, TMSOTf (5 mol%), 16 h, 50% for 53, 31% for 54; d) MeONa, MeOH, 90 min, 91%.

A novel set of CD acceptor disaccharides, in this case differing from substrate 1 at their reducing end, was also envisioned to eliminate the possible steric hindrance of the allyl group with some amino acid residues constituting the active site that could prevent glucosylation by NpAS (docking results not shown). For that reason, the allyl aglycon in disaccharide 1 was replaced, while keeping in mind that any group introduced at the anomeric position of the D residue should provide an access to a CD donor. On the one hand, introduction of a smaller thiomethyl group gave disaccharide 8, and on the other hand the allyl moiety in the key precursor 1, was simply cleaved to give the reducing analog 9, the opened form of which could lead to a complete rearrangement of the substrate inside the enzyme active site. Hence, per-acetylation of disaccharide 1 gave fully protected 51 (94%), and subsequent deallylation and reaction with methyl trimethylsilyl sulfide gave rise to mainly oxazoline 53 (50%) but also to the expected methyl thioglycoside 54, albeit in an unoptimized 31% yield (Scheme 11). Finally, methanolysis gave the deprotected disaccharide 8 (91%).



Scheme 12. Synthesis of the reducing disaccharide 9. A: from 48. *Reagents and conditions*: a) [Ir], H<sub>2</sub>, THF, 75 min, then I<sub>2</sub>, THF/H<sub>2</sub>O (3:1), 3 h; b) AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O 1:1, 80 °C, 3 h, 15% (over 2 steps). B: from 1. *Reagents and conditions*: a) TBSOTf, Py 60 °C, 15 h, 86%; b) [Ir], H<sub>2</sub>, THF, 2.5 h then I<sub>2</sub>, THF/H<sub>2</sub>O (2:1), 60 min, 84%; c) Et<sub>3</sub>N·3HF, THF, 50 °C, 26 h, 70%.

For the preparation of analog 9, direct deallylation of disaccharide 1 was attempted under several conditions, including  $[Ir]/H_2/I_2$  or PdCl<sub>2</sub>/AcONa/AcOH, but none of them proved successful. Moreover, methanolysis of lactol **52** mediated by sodium methoxide or K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> resulted in complete disaccharide degradation. Additionally, using the same conditions as for pentasaccharide **38** deallylation of di-*O*-isopropylidene **48** followed by acidic hydrolysis gave the expected lactol 9, albeit in poor yield (15% over two steps) (Scheme 12A). For those reasons, an alternative route was used (Scheme 12B). Thus, per-silylation of allyl glycoside **1** gave the fully protected intermediate **55** (86%). NMR data revealed a 3:2 equilibrium between two rotamers coexisting owing to the bulkiness of the five TBS groups. Deallylation of the persilylated **55** was uneventful (84%) and consecutive desilylation under mild conditions<sup>85</sup> provided the desired disaccharide **9** in an acceptable 70% yield. In contrast to its protected precursor, this novel

#### The Journal of Organic Chemistry

potential acceptor substrate did not show any conformational constraints as inferred from NMR data.

Having disaccharides modified at their reducing end – 8 and 9 – in hand, we examined their potential as acceptor substrates for NpAS under standard conditions in the presence of excess sucrose. The LC-MS profiles of the enzymatic reaction mixtures revealed that NpAS could not glucosylate these novel CD analogues (not shown), indicating that the reduction of the substituent size at C-1 did not influence the outcome of the enzymatic process.

It can be inferred from these results that non-natural acceptor substrate recognition by available biocatalysts is not a clear-cut process and that finding native glucansucrases able to glucosylate acceptor **1** at  $4_D$ -OH as in *S. flexneri* type I or at  $6_D$ -OH as in *S. flexneri* type IV is not straightforward. In such particular cases, enzyme engineering technologies combined with computer-aided design remain the best option. The potential of these strategies has recently been illustrated to reshape the active site of *Np*AS and generate catalysts to effect glucosylation of non-natural monosaccharide acceptor substrates<sup>47,48</sup> or a lightly protected DA disaccharide acceptor<sup>86</sup> with the requested stereo- and regiospecificities to achieve the chemo-enzymatic synthesis of other serotype-specific *S. flexneri* oligosaccharides.

# Conclusion

This study is part of a program aimed at developing a synthetic carbohydrate-based vaccine against shigellosis. Toward this aim, the development of highly convergent synthetic strategies to several oligosaccharides identified as powerful functional mimics of a selected set of *S. flexneri* type specific O-SPs was undertaken. In addition to the study of purely chemical synthetic routes to the target oligosaccharides, we are investigating original chemo-enzymatic strategies involving an early enzymatic  $\alpha$ -D-glucosylation step by use of tailored glucansucrases acting on non-

natural lightly-protected acceptors. Herein, we have reported the 4-step chemical conversion of trisaccharide 2, obtained from the GBD-CD2-mediated  $\alpha$ -D-glucosylation at OH-4<sub>C</sub> of disaccharide 1 into a fully orthogonally protected ECD intermediate 3. Differentiation between hydroxyl groups in trisaccharide **3** resulted from the selective benzovlation of primary alcohols under controlled conditions on the one hand and of the efficient selective isopropylidenation of cis-vicinal diols on the other hand. Trisaccharide 3 was evolved into trichloroacetimidate 22 and into an acceptor, paving the way for chain elongation. While the former was easily validated as a donor to give pentasaccharide 24, the identification of a suitable ECD acceptor revealed important steric hindrance at  $OH-3_C$ . The latter issue was overcome by the use of a small acetyl protecting group at  $OH-2_C$  providing acceptor 27. Efficient chain elongation at  $OH-3_C$  of acceptor 27 with residues B and A, respectively, provided the fully protected pentasaccharide 38. Following conversion of this key intermediate into PTFA donor 44 and acceptor 42, a [5+5] glycosylation step gave the fully protected decasaccharide 46 in high yield, which was next completely deprotected into the known aminoethyl-equipped glycoside 5. This achievement demonstrates the feasibility of the programmed chemo-enzymatic synthesis of S. flexneri oligosaccharides by use of glucansucrases in combination with carefully designed lightly protected acceptors, a disaccharide in this case. Broadening the programmed chemo-enzymatic concept onto four analogues of acceptor 1 also showed the limits of exploiting enzyme-natural promiscuity and that enzyme engineering toward novel tailored biocatalysts is required to address other S. *flexneri* serotypes.

# **Experimental Section**

**Chemical synthesis**: Purchased reagents and solvents were used as received. Air and moisture sensitive reactions were performed in dried glassware under argon. Anhyd toluene, Et<sub>2</sub>O, DCE,

CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, THF, DMF, MeCN, MeOH and Py were delivered and stored on molecular sieves (MS). NaH (60% dispersion in mineral oil) was washed with anhyd pentane under a stream of argon before use. 4Å MS were activated before use by heating at 250 °C under vacuum. Analytical TLC was performed with silica gel 60 F254, 0.25 mm pre-coated TLC plates. Compounds were visualized using UV<sub>254</sub> and/or charring with orcinol (1 mg·mL<sup>-1</sup>) in 10% ag H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>. Flash column chromatography was carried out using silica gel (particle size 40-63 µm or 15-40 µm). NMR spectra were recorded at 303 K and at 400 MHz (<sup>1</sup>H) and 100 MHz (<sup>13</sup>C) equipped with a Broadband Observe probe. Signal assignments were based on <sup>1</sup>H, COSY, DEPT-135, HSOC, <sup>13</sup>C, <sup>13</sup>C gated decoupling and HMBC experiments. Signals are reported as m (multiplet), s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), pt (pseudo triplet), dd (doublet of doublet), dq (doublet of quadruplet), br s (broad singlet), br d (broad doublet), br t (broad triplet) and coupling constants are reported in hertz (Hz). Spectra were recorded in CDCl<sub>3</sub>, CD<sub>3</sub>OD, DMSO-d<sub>6</sub> and D<sub>2</sub>O. Chemical shifts are reported in ppm ( $\delta$ ) relative to residual solvent peak, CHCl<sub>3</sub> in the case of CDCl<sub>3</sub>, MeOH in the case of CD<sub>3</sub>OD, HOD and 4,4-dimethyl-4-silapentane-1-sulfonic acid (DSS) in the case of D<sub>2</sub>O, DMSO in the case of DMSO-*d*<sub>6</sub>, at 7.26/77.16, 3.31/49.0, 4.79/0.0 and 2.50/39.5 ppm for the <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C spectra, respectively. Of the two magnetically non-equivalent geminal protons at C-6, the one resonating at lower field is denoted H-6a, and the one at higher field is denoted H-6b. Sugar residues are serially lettered according to the lettering of the repeating unit of the S. flexneri 2a O-SP and identified by a subscript in the listing of signal assignments. HRMS were recorded in the positive-ion electrospray ionisation (ESI+) mode. Solutions were prepared using 1:1 MeCN/H<sub>2</sub>O containing 0.1% formic acid or MeOH/water containing 10 mM ammonium acetate in the case of sensitive compounds. HR-MALDI-TOF-MS were recorded in the positive-ion reflector mode using 2,5-dihydroxybenzoic acid as the matrix.

Solutions were prepared in MeCN/0.1% aq TFA. Optical rotations were obtained using the sodium D line at ambient temperature.

 $(4,6-O-isopropylidene-\alpha-D-glucopyranosyl)-(1\rightarrow 4)-2,3-O-isopropylidene-\alpha-L-$ Methvl *rhamnopyranoside* (11). To a solution of disaccharide 10<sup>55</sup> (1.10 g, 3.23 mmol) in a 1:1 mixture of acetone and DMF (9 mL) were added DMP (2.4 mL, 19.5 mmol, 6.0 equiv) and p-TSA (37 mg, 0.19 mmol, 0.06 equiv). The reaction mixture was stirred at rt for 7.5 h. Et<sub>3</sub>N was added and volatiles were evaporated and coevaporated repeatedly with water, then MeOH, and CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, under vacuum. Column chromatography of the residue (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH, 100:0  $\rightarrow$  95:5) gave the di-O-isopropylidene 11 (1.17 g, 2.78 mmol, 86%) as a white foam.  $R_f = 0.32$  (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 9.5:0.5);  $[\alpha]_{D}^{23} = 66.4 \circ (c \ 1.0; \ CHCl_3)$ . <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  4.98 (d,  $J_{1,2} = 4.1 \ Hz, \ 1H, \ H-1_E)$ , 4.84 (s, 1H, H-1<sub>c</sub>), 4.15-4.10 (m, 2H, H-2<sub>c</sub>, H-3<sub>c</sub>), 3.90-3.84 (m, 2H, H-5<sub>E</sub>, H-6a<sub>E</sub>), 3.78-3.68 (m, 3H, H-3<sub>E</sub>, H-5<sub>C</sub>, H-6b<sub>E</sub>), 3.59 (dt,  $J_{2,3} = 9.4$  Hz, 1H, H-2<sub>E</sub>), 3.52 (pt,  $J_{3,4} = J_{4,5} = 9.2$  Hz, 1H, H- $4_{\rm E}$ ), 3.40 (dd,  $J_{4.5} = 9.8$  Hz,  $J_{3.4} = 6.8$  Hz, 1H, H- $4_{\rm C}$ ), 3.37 (s, 3H, OCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.72 (br s, 1H, OH<sub>3E</sub>), 2.24 (d,  $J_{2.0H} = 9.4$  Hz,  $OH_{2E}$ ), 1.51 (s, 3H,  $H_{iPr}$ ), 1.50 (s, 3H,  $H_{iPr}$ ), 1.44 (s, 3H,  $H_{iPr}$ ), 1.35 (s, 3H,  $H_{iPr}$ ), 1.33 (d,  $J_{5.6} = 6.3$  Hz, 1H, H-6<sub>C</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  109.4 (C<sub>iPr-C</sub>), 99.8 (C<sub>iPr-E</sub>), 99.2 (C-1<sub>E</sub>), 98.1 (C-1<sub>C</sub>), 80.7 (C-4<sub>C</sub>), 76.7 (C-2<sub>C</sub>), 76.0 (C-3<sub>C</sub>), 73.4 (2C, C-2<sub>E</sub>, C-4<sub>E</sub>), 72.4 (C-3<sub>E</sub>), 64.8 (C-5<sub>C</sub>), 63.5 (C-5<sub>E</sub>), 62.4 (C-6<sub>E</sub>), 55.0 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 29.2, 28.2, 26.5, 19.3 (4C, C<sub>iPr</sub>), 17.8 (C-6<sub>C</sub>). HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>) m/z calcd for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>32</sub>O<sub>10</sub>Na [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> 443.1893; found 443.1861.

*Methyl*  $\alpha$ -*D*-glucopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow$ 4)-2,3-O-isopropylidene- $\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranoside (12). A solution of di-*O*-isopropylidene derivative 11 (246 mg, 583 µmol) in 50% aq AcOH (2.0 mL) was stirred at 0 °C for 3.5 h, then at rt for 1 h. Toluene was added and volatiles were evaporated. The residue was purified by column chromatography (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH, 100:0 $\rightarrow$ 90:10) to give the mono-*O*-isopropylidene 12 (199 mg, 523 µmol, 89%) as a white foam. R<sub>f</sub> = 0.26 (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH

9:1);  $[\alpha]^{23}_{D} = 65.0 \circ (c \ 1.0; \ CHCl_3)$ . <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO-*d*6)  $\delta$ 4.79-4.77 (m, 3H, H-1<sub>C</sub>, H-1<sub>E</sub>, OH<sub>4E</sub>), 4.73-4.71 (m, 2H, OH<sub>2E</sub>, OH<sub>3E</sub>), 4.17-4.14 (m, 1H, OH<sub>6E</sub>), 4.08 (d,  $J_{2,3} = 5.7 \ Hz$ , 1H, H-2<sub>C</sub>), 3.95 (br t, 1H, H-3<sub>C</sub>), 3.63-3.48 (m, 4H, H-5<sub>C</sub>, H-5<sub>E</sub>, H-6a<sub>E</sub>, H-6b<sub>E</sub>), 3.39 (dt,  $J_{2,3} = 9.4 \ Hz$ ,  $J_{1,2} = 4.7 \ Hz$ , 1H, H-2<sub>E</sub>), 3.26-3.14 (m, 3H, H-4<sub>C</sub>, H-3<sub>E</sub>, H-4<sub>E</sub>), 1.42 (s, 3H, H<sub>iPr</sub>), 1.26 (d,  $J_{5,6} = 6.3 \ Hz$ , 1H, H-6<sub>C</sub>), 1.25 (s, 3H, H<sub>iPr</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO-*d*6)  $\delta$  108.3 (C<sub>iPr</sub>), 99.7 (C-1<sub>E</sub>), 97.1 (C-1<sub>C</sub>), 79.9 (C-4<sub>C</sub>), 76.6 (C-3<sub>C</sub>), 75.3 (C-2<sub>C</sub>), 73.1 (C-2<sub>E</sub>), 72.1 (2C, C-3<sub>E</sub>, C-5<sub>E</sub>), 69.5 (C-4<sub>E</sub>), 64.7 (C-5<sub>C</sub>), 60.1 (C-6<sub>E</sub>), 54.1 (OCH<sub>3</sub>), 27.9, 26.2 (2C, C<sub>iPr</sub>), 17.3 (C-6<sub>C</sub>). HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>) *m/z* calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>28</sub>O<sub>10</sub>Na [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> 403.1580; found 403.1625; *m/z* calcd for C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>56</sub>O<sub>20</sub>Na [2M + Na]<sup>+</sup> 783.3263; found 783.3432.

Allyl (4,6-O-isopropylidene- $\alpha$ -D-glucopyranosyl)-(1 $\rightarrow$ 4)-(2,3-O-isopropylidene- $\alpha$ -Lrhamnopyranosyl)-(1 $\rightarrow$ 3)-2-deoxy-4,6-O-isopropylidene-2-trichloroacetamido- $\beta$ -D-

glucopyranoside (13), and allyl (2,3:4,6-di-O-isopropylidene- $\alpha$ -D-glucopyranosyl)-(1 $\rightarrow$ 4)-(2,3-O-isopropylidene- $\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1 $\rightarrow$ 3)-2-deoxy-4,6-O-isopropylidene-2-

*trichloroacetamido-β-D-glucopyranoside* (14). To a solution of trisaccharide 2 (299 mg, 0.44 mmol) in acetone (10 mL), stirred under an argon atm, were added CSA (20 mg, 0.09 mmol, 0.2 equiv) and 2-methoxypropene (253 µL, 2.64 mmol, 6.0 equiv). After stirring for 2 h at rt, Et<sub>3</sub>N (13 µL, 0.09 mmol, 0.2 equiv) was added and the reaction mixture was concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography (cHex-EtOAc, 70:30→50:50 to elute the first compound then  $30:70\rightarrow0:100$  to elute the second compound) to give the fully protected trisaccharide 14 (143 mg, 0.17 mmol, 39%), followed by diol 13 (171 mg, 0.22 mmol, 49%). The two compounds were isolated as white amorphous solids. Diol 13 had R<sub>f</sub> = 0.20 (cHex/EtOAc 3:7).  $[\alpha]^{23}_{D} = +26^{\circ}$  (*c* 1.0; CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 6.70 (d,  $J_{NH,2} = 8.6$  Hz, 1H, NH), 5.88-5.78 (m, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.29-5.24 (m,  $J_{trans} = 17.3$  Hz, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.21-5.18 (m,  $J_{cis} = 10.4$  Hz, 1H,
CH=C*H*<sub>2</sub>), 5.06 (s, 1H, H-1<sub>C</sub>), 4.97 (d,  $J_{1,2} = 4.2$  Hz, 1H, H-1<sub>E</sub>), 4.75 (d,  $J_{1,2} = 8.4$  Hz, 1H, H-1<sub>D</sub>), 4.35-4.30 (m, 1H, -OCH<sub>2AII</sub>), 4.11-4.04 (m, 4H, -OCH<sub>2AII</sub>, H-2<sub>C</sub>, H-3<sub>C</sub>, H-3<sub>D</sub>), 4.00-3.94 (m, 2H, H-6a<sub>D</sub>, H-5<sub>C</sub>), 3.89-3.78 (m, 3H, H-6b<sub>D</sub>, H-6a<sub>E</sub>, H-5<sub>E</sub>), 3.77-3.64 (m, 4H, H-4<sub>D</sub>, H-6b<sub>E</sub>, H-2<sub>D</sub>, H-3<sub>E</sub>), 3.62-3.56 (m, 1H, H-2<sub>E</sub>), 3.51 (pt,  $J_{3,4} = J_{4,5} = 9.2$  Hz, 1H, H-4<sub>E</sub>), 3.38-3.31 (m, 2H, H-4<sub>C</sub>, H-5<sub>D</sub>), 2.58 (bs, 1H, OH), 2.05 (bs, 1H, OH), 1.51 (s, 6H, H<sub>iPr</sub>), 1.45 (s, 3H, H<sub>iPr</sub>), 1.44 (s, 3H, H<sub>iPr</sub>), 1.41 (s, 3H, H<sub>iPr</sub>), 1.27 (bs, 6H, H-6<sub>C</sub>, H<sub>iPr</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  162.2 (NHCO), 133.4 (CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 118.4 (CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 109.3, 99.9, 99.8 (3C, C<sub>iPr</sub>), 99.4 (C-1<sub>D</sub>), 99.2 (C-1<sub>E</sub>), 98.1 (C-1<sub>C</sub>), 92.6 (CCl<sub>3</sub>), 80.8 (C-4<sub>C</sub>), 76.5, 76.4, 76.0 (3C, C-2<sub>C</sub>, C-3<sub>C</sub>, C-3<sub>D</sub>), 73.5 (C-4<sub>E</sub>), 73.4 (C-2<sub>E</sub>), 73.0 (C-4<sub>D</sub>), 72.3 (C-3<sub>E</sub>), 70.5 (OCH<sub>2AII</sub>), 67.6 (C-5<sub>D</sub>), 65.3 (C-5<sub>C</sub>), 63.5 (C-5<sub>E</sub>), 62.3, 62.2 (2C, C-6<sub>D</sub>, C-6<sub>E</sub>), 59.0 (C-2<sub>D</sub>), 29.3, 29.2, 28.2, 26.5, 19.4, 19.3 (6C, C<sub>iPr</sub>), 17.9 (C-6<sub>C</sub>). HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>) *m/z* calcd for C<sub>32</sub>H<sub>48</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>15</sub>Na [M + Na]<sup>+</sup> 814.1987; found 814.1950.

The fully protected **14** had  $R_f = 0.25$  (cHex/EtOAc 7:3).  $[\alpha]^{23}_D = +16^\circ$  (*c* 1.0; CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.68 (d,  $J_{NH,2} = 8.6$  Hz, 1H, NH), 5.88-5.78 (m, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.29-5.24 (m,  $J_{trans} = 17.3$  Hz, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.22 (d,  $J_{1,2} = 3.1$  Hz, 1H, H-1<sub>E</sub>), 5.21-5.18 (m,  $J_{cis} = 10.5$  Hz, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.07 (s, 1H, H-1<sub>C</sub>), 4.75 (d,  $J_{1,2} = 8.4$  Hz, 1H, H-1<sub>D</sub>), 4.35-4.30 (m, 1H, -OCH<sub>2All</sub>), 4.13-4.03 (m, 4H, H-2<sub>C</sub>, -OCH<sub>2All</sub>, H-3<sub>C</sub>, H-3<sub>D</sub>), 4.00-3.94 (m, 3H, H-3<sub>E</sub>, H-5<sub>C</sub>, H-6a<sub>D</sub>), 3.90-3.72 (m, 6H, H-2<sub>D</sub>), H-4<sub>E</sub>, H-5<sub>E</sub>, H-6b<sub>D</sub>, H-6a<sub>E</sub>, H-6b<sub>E</sub>), 3.66 (pt, J = 9.3 Hz, 1H, H-4<sub>D</sub>), 3.48 (dd,  $J_{2,3} = 9.1$  Hz, 1H, H-2<sub>E</sub>), 3.38-3.30 (m, 2H, H-4<sub>C</sub>, H-5<sub>D</sub>), 1.54 (s, 3H, H<sub>iPr</sub>), 1.50 (s, 3H, H<sub>iPr</sub>), 1.44 (bs, 9H, H<sub>iPr</sub>), 1.41 (s, 3H, H<sub>iPr</sub>), 1.40 (s, 3H, H<sub>iPr</sub>), 1.28 (s, 3H, H<sub>iPr</sub>), 1.24 (d,  $J_{5,6} = 6.3$  Hz, 3H, H-6<sub>C</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  162.1 (NHCO), 133.4 (CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 118.4 (CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 111.5, 109.3 (2C, C<sub>iPr</sub>-c), 99.9, 99.8 (2C, C<sub>iPr-D</sub>), 99.4 (C-1<sub>D</sub>), 98.7 (C-1<sub>E</sub>), 97.9 (C-1<sub>C</sub>), 92.6 (CCl<sub>3</sub>), 82.2 (C-4<sub>C</sub>), 77.3 (C-2<sub>E</sub>), 76.8, 76.0, 75.5 (3C, C-2<sub>C</sub>, C-3<sub>C</sub>, C-3<sub>D</sub>), 74.1 (C-3<sub>E</sub>), 73.9 (C-4<sub>E</sub>), 73.0 (C-4<sub>D</sub>), 70.6 (OCH<sub>2All</sub>), 67.7 (C-5<sub>D</sub>), 65.3 (C-5<sub>C</sub>), 65.2 (C-5<sub>E</sub>), 62.6 (C-6<sub>D</sub>), 62.3 (C-6<sub>E</sub>), 59.2 (C-2<sub>D</sub>), 29.2 (2C,

 $C_{iPr}$ ), 28.2, 27.1, 26.5, 26.4, 19.4, 19.3 (6C,  $C_{iPr}$ ), 17.5 (C-6<sub>C</sub>). HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>) *m/z* calcd for  $C_{35}H_{52}Cl_3NO_{15}Na [M + Na]^+ 854.2300$ ; found 854.2335.

 $\alpha$ -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow$ 4)-(2,3-O-isopropylidene- $\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1 $\rightarrow$ 3)-2-Allyl deoxy-4,6-O-isopropylidene-2-trichloroacetamido-B-D-glucopyranoside (15). A suspension of fully protected 14 (342 mg, 411  $\mu$ mol) in AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O (1:1 v/v, 6 mL) was stirred at rt for 15 h. The reaction mixture was concentrated then repeatedly co-evaporated with cyclohexane and toluene. The residue was purified by column chromatography (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH, 95:5 $\rightarrow$ 80:20) to elute first tetraol 15 (63 mg, 85 µmol, 20%) as a white amorphous solid, then hexaol 17 (191 mg, 268 µmol, 65%) as a white amorphous solid.  $R_f = 0.66 (CH_2Cl_2/MeOH 8.5:1.5)$ .  $[\alpha]^{23}_D = +29^\circ (c$ 1.0; CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (MeOD)  $\delta$  5.92-5.83 (m, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.31-5.25 (m, J<sub>trans</sub> = 17.2 Hz, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.17-5.13 (m,  $J_{cis}$  = 10.5 Hz, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.07 (br s, 1H, H-1<sub>c</sub>), 4.92 (d,  $J_{1,2}$  = 3.8 Hz, 1H, H-1<sub>E</sub>), 4.69-4.67 (m, 1H, H-1<sub>D</sub>), 4.33-4.27 (m, 1H,  $-OCH_{2AII}$ ), 4.12-4.05 (m, 3H, H-2<sub>C</sub>), H-3<sub>C</sub>, -OCH<sub>2All</sub>, 4.04-3.97 (m, 1H, H-5<sub>C</sub>), 3.96-3.90 (m, 3H, H-2<sub>C</sub>, H-3<sub>C</sub>, H-6a<sub>D</sub>), 3.87-3.84 (m, 2H, H-5<sub>E</sub>, H-6b<sub>D</sub>), 3.80-3.76 (m, 2H, H-6a<sub>E</sub>, H-6b<sub>E</sub>), 3.71-3.62 (m, 2H, H-4<sub>D</sub>, H-3<sub>E</sub>), 3.47-3.40  $(m, 2H, H-2_E, H-4_E), 3.37-3.29 (m, 2H, H-4_C, H-5_D), 1.56 (s, 3H, H_{iPr}), 1.49 (s, 3H, H_{iPr}), 1.43 (s, 3H, H_{iPr}), 1.44 (s, 3$ 3H, H<sub>iPr</sub>), 1.31 (d,  $J_{5,6}$  = 6.2 Hz, 3H, H-6<sub>C</sub>), 1.26 (s, 3H, H<sub>iPr</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (MeOD)  $\delta$ 164.4 (NHCO), 135.1 (CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 117.5 (CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 110.1 (C<sub>iPr-C</sub>), 101.6 (C-1<sub>D</sub>), 101.5 (C-1<sub>E</sub>), 101.0 (C<sub>iPr-D</sub>), 99.4 (C-1<sub>c</sub>), 99.4 (CCl<sub>3</sub>), 82.5 (C-4<sub>c</sub>), 78.7 (C-3<sub>D</sub>), 78.2 (C-3<sub>c</sub>), 77.3 (C-2<sub>c</sub>), 74.8 (C-3<sub>D</sub>), 74.1 (C-4<sub>D</sub>), 73.7 (C-2<sub>E</sub>), 73.2 (C-5<sub>E</sub>), 71.3 (2C, C-4<sub>E</sub>, OCH<sub>2All</sub>), 68.7 (C-5<sub>D</sub>), 66.6 (C-5<sub>C</sub>), 63.1 (C-6<sub>D</sub>), 62.1 (C-6<sub>E</sub>), 59.4 (C-2<sub>D</sub>), 29.6, 28.5, 26.6, 19.3 (4C, C<sub>iPr</sub>), 18.3 (C-6<sub>C</sub>). HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>) *m/z* calcd for  $C_{29}H_{44}Cl_3NO_{15}Na [M + Na]^+$  774.1674; found 774.1688.

Allyl  $(2,3,4,6-tetra-O-acetyl-\alpha-D-glucopyranosyl)-(1\rightarrow 4)-(2,3-O-isopropylidene-\alpha-L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1\rightarrow 3)-2-deoxy-4,6-O-isopropylidene-2-trichloroacetamido-\beta-D-$ 

glucopyranoside (16). To a solution of tetraol 15 (267 mg, 354 µmol) in anhyd py (10 mL), stirred under an argon atm, was added DMAP (4 mg, 33 µmol, 0.1 equiv). The reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C, acetic anhydride (1.0 mL, 10.6 mmol, 30 equiv) was added, and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt. After being stirred for 2 h, the reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C and the reaction was quenched by slow addition of MeOH (10 mL). Volatiles were evaporated and the remaining Py was removed by repeated co-evaporation with toluene. The residue was purified by column chromatography (cHex-EtOAc,  $75:25 \rightarrow 60:40$ ) to give tetraacetate 16 (283 mg, 307  $\mu$ mol, 87%) as a white amorphous solid. R<sub>f</sub> = 0.46 (cHex/EtOAc 1:1).  $[\alpha]^{23}_{D} = +49^{\circ} (c \ 1.0; \text{ CHCl}_3)$ . <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta 6.75 (d, J_{\text{NH},2} = 8.7 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}, \text{NH}), 5.87-5.78 (m, 10.15)$ 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.47 (pt, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H, H-3<sub>E</sub>), 5.29-5.23 (m,  $J_{\text{trans}} = 17.2$  Hz, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.21-5.17 (m,  $J_{cis} = 10.4$  Hz, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.14 (pt, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H, H-4<sub>E</sub>), 5.08 (d,  $J_{1,2} = 3.8$ Hz, 1H, H-1<sub>E</sub>), 5.05 (s, 1H, H-1<sub>C</sub>), 4.92 (dd,  $J_{2,3} = 10.2$  Hz, 1H, H-2<sub>E</sub>), 4.73 (d,  $J_{1,2} = 8.4$  Hz, 1H, H-1<sub>D</sub>), 4.36-4.27 (m, 3H, H-5<sub>E</sub>, H-6a<sub>E</sub>, -OCH<sub>2All</sub>), 4.10-4.03 (m, 5H, H-2<sub>C</sub>, H-3<sub>C</sub>, H-3<sub>D</sub>, H-6b<sub>E</sub>, - $OCH_{2AII}$ ), 3.97-3.89 (m, 2H, H-6a<sub>D</sub>, H-5<sub>C</sub>), 3.84-3.73 (m, 2H, H-2<sub>D</sub>, H-6b<sub>D</sub>), 3.66 (pt, J = 9.3 Hz, 1H, H-4<sub>D</sub>), 3.33 (dt,  $J_{5,6} = 5.3$  Hz, 1H, H-5<sub>D</sub>), 3.25 (dd,  $J_{4,5} = 10.3$  Hz,  $J_{3,4} = 7.0$  Hz, 1H, H-4<sub>C</sub>), 2.08, 2.02, 2.01, 2.00 (4s, 12H, H<sub>Ac</sub>), 1.54, 1.46, 1.42, 1.39 (4s, 12H, H<sub>iPr</sub>), 1.12 (d, J<sub>5,6</sub> = 6.1 Hz, 3H, H-6<sub>C</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) *δ*171.0, 170.4, 169.9, 169.7 (4C, C<sub>Ac</sub>), 162.1 (NHCO), 133.3 (CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 118.4 (CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 109.4 (C<sub>iPr</sub>), 99.8 (C<sub>iPr</sub>), 99.4 (C-1<sub>D</sub>), 97.8 (C-1<sub>C</sub>), 96.9 (C-1<sub>E</sub>), 92.5  $(CCl_3)$ , 87.8  $(C-4_C)$ , 77.4, 76.6, 76.0  $(3C, C-2_C, C-3_C, C-3_D)$ , 72.9  $(C-4_D)$ , 70.9  $(C-2_E)$ , 70.5 (OCH<sub>2 All</sub>), 70.4 (C-3<sub>E</sub>), 68.3 (C-4<sub>E</sub>), 67.6 (C-5<sub>D</sub>), 67.4 (C-5<sub>E</sub>), 65.1 (C-5<sub>C</sub>), 62.2 (C-6<sub>D</sub>), 61.4 (C-6<sub>E</sub>), 59.0 (C-2<sub>D</sub>), 29.2, 28.3, 26.4 (3C, C<sub>iPr</sub>), 20.9, 20.8, 20.7, 20.6 (4C, C<sub>Ac</sub>), 19.4 (C<sub>iPr</sub>), 17.3 (C- $6_{\rm C}$ ). HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>) m/z calcd for  $C_{37}H_{52}Cl_3NO_{19}Na [M + Na]^+ 942.2097$ ; found 942.2035.

#### The Journal of Organic Chemistry

Allyl  $\alpha$ -D-glucopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow$ 4)-(2,3-O-isopropylidene- $\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1 $\rightarrow$ 3)-2deoxy-2-trichloroacetamido- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside (17). Route 1: To a solution of diol 13 (100 mg, 126 µmol) in MeCN (3 mL) were added I<sub>2</sub> (8 mg, 32 µmol, 0.25 equiv) and H<sub>2</sub>O (20 µL). After stirring for 2 h 45 at rt, Et<sub>3</sub>N (100 µL) was added and the volatiles were removed under vacuum. The residue was purified by column chromatography (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH, 85:15) to give mono-isopropylidene 17 (69 mg, 95 µmol, 76%) as a white amorphous solid.

Route 2: MeONa (25% wt, 230 µL, 1.01 mmol, 0.6 equiv) was added to a solution of tetraol 20 (1.54 g, 1.68 mmol) in anhyd MeOH (20 mL) stirred under an argon atm. The reaction mixture was stirred for 3h40 and the reaction was quenched by addition of Dowex 50Wx8-200. The suspension was filtered over a pad of Celite and volatiles were removed under vacuum. The residue was purified by column chromatography (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH, 90:10  $\rightarrow$  80:20) to give hexaol **17** (1.12 g, 1.57 mmol, 94%) as a white amorphous solid.  $R_f = 0.36 (CH_2Cl_2/MeOH 4:1)$ .  $[\alpha]_{D}^{24} =$ +29.4° (c 1.0, MeOH). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  5.98-5.89 (m, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.37-5.32 (m, J<sub>trans</sub> = 17.3 Hz, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.30-5.26 (m, J<sub>cis</sub> = 10.5 Hz, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.13 (br s, 1H, H-1<sub>C</sub>), 5.07 (d,  $J_{1,2} = 3.8$  Hz, 1H, H-1<sub>E</sub>), 4.79 (overlapped with D<sub>2</sub>O, 1H, H-1<sub>D</sub>), 4.41-4.36 (m, 1H, -OCH<sub>2All</sub>), 4.28 (dd,  $J_{3,4} = 7.5$  Hz,  $J_{2,3} = 5.4$  Hz, 1H, H-3<sub>C</sub>), 4.25-4.19 (m, 1H, -OCH<sub>2All</sub>), 4.16 (d, 1H, H-2<sub>C</sub>), 4.08 (dq,  $J_{4,5} = 10.2$  Hz, 1H, H-5<sub>c</sub>), 3.99-3.77 (m, 7H, H-6a<sub>D</sub>, H-3<sub>D</sub>, H-6a<sub>E</sub>, H-4<sub>D</sub>, H-6b<sub>E</sub>, H-2<sub>D</sub>, H-6b<sub>D</sub>), 3.71 (pt, J = 9.5 Hz, 1H, H-3<sub>E</sub>), 3.60-3.50 (m, 4H, H-5<sub>D</sub>, H-2<sub>E</sub>, H-4<sub>E</sub>, H-5<sub>E</sub>), 3.45 (dd, 1H, H-4<sub>C</sub>), 1.56 (s, 3H, H<sub>iPr</sub>), 1.36 (s, 3H, H<sub>iPr</sub>), 1.31 (d,  $J_{5,6} = 6.3$  Hz, 3H, H-6<sub>C</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O) δ167.5 (NHCO), 135.6 (CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 121.3 (CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 112.8 (C<sub>iPr</sub>), 102.1 (C-1<sub>E</sub>), 101.5 (C- $1_{\rm D}$ , 100.7 (C- $1_{\rm C}$ ), 94.2 (CC $1_{\rm 3}$ ), 84.4 (C- $3_{\rm D}$ ), 82.7 (C- $4_{\rm C}$ ), 79.0 (C- $3_{\rm C}$ ), 78.7 (C- $5_{\rm D}$ ), 78.3 (C- $2_{\rm C}$ ), 75.3 (C-3<sub>E</sub>), 74.1 (2C, C-5<sub>E</sub>, C-2<sub>E</sub>), 73.4 (OCH<sub>2All</sub>), 71.7 (C-4<sub>E</sub>), 71.1 (C-4<sub>D</sub>), 68.6 (C-5<sub>C</sub>), 63.3

(C-6<sub>D</sub>), 62.4 (C-6<sub>E</sub>), 59.9 (C-2<sub>D</sub>), 29.7, 28.1 (2C, C<sub>iPr</sub>), 18.8 (C-6<sub>C</sub>). HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>) m/z calcd for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>40</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>15</sub>Na [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> 734.1361; found 734.1402.

 $\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow$ 3)-6-O-acetyl-2-deoxy-2-trichloroacetamido- $\beta$ -D-Allyl glucopyranoside (18). Vinyl acetate (1.0 mL, 10.8. mmol, 54 equiv) and novozyme 435 (50 mg) were added to a solution of disaccharide 1 (102 mg, 0.20 mmol) in THF/Py (4:1 v/v, 5 mL) under an argon atm. The reaction mixture was heated to 45 °C, stirred for 17 h, then filtered on a pad of Celite and the filtrate was concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 90:10) to give acetate **18** (97 mg, 175 µmol, 88%) as a white amorphous solid.  $R_f = 0.30 (CH_2Cl_2/MeOH 9:1)$ .  $[\alpha]^{23}_{D} = -45^{\circ} (c \ 1.0; MeOH)$ . <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta 5.97-5.87 (m, 1H, 1H)$ CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.36-5.31 (m, J<sub>trans</sub> = 17.3 Hz, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.29-5.26 (m, J<sub>cis</sub> = 10.4 Hz, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.91 (d,  $J_{1,2}$  = 1.6 Hz, 1H, H-1<sub>c</sub>), 4.80 (overlapped with D<sub>2</sub>O, 1H, H-1<sub>D</sub>), 4.47 (dd,  $J_{6a,6b} = 12.2$  Hz,  $J_{5,6a} = 2.0$  Hz, 1H, H-6a<sub>D</sub>), 4.37-4.32 (m, 2H, H-6b<sub>D</sub>, -OCH<sub>2All</sub>), 4.22-4.16 (m, 1H, -OCH<sub>2All</sub>), 4.00 (dq,  $J_{4,5} = 9.7$  Hz, 1H, H-5<sub>c</sub>), 3.93-3.79 (m, 3H, H-2<sub>D</sub>, H-2<sub>c</sub>, H-3<sub>D</sub>), 3.76-3.65 (m, 3H, H-3<sub>C</sub>, H-4<sub>D</sub>, H-5<sub>D</sub>), 3.45 (pt,  $J_{3,4} = 9.7$  Hz, 1H, H-4<sub>C</sub>), 2.17 (s, 3H, H<sub>Ac</sub>), 1.25 (d,  $J_{5,6}$ = 6.3 Hz, 3H, H-6<sub>C</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$ 176.7 (C<sub>Ac</sub>), 167.4 (NHCO), 135.7 (CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 121.5 (CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 103.9 (C-1<sub>c</sub>), 101.7 (C-1<sub>D</sub>), 94.2 (CCl<sub>3</sub>), 83.1 (C-3<sub>D</sub>), 76.0 (C-5<sub>D</sub>), 74.5 (C-4<sub>C</sub>), 73.6 (-OCH<sub>2All</sub>), 73.2 (C-2<sub>c</sub>), 73.0 (C-3<sub>c</sub>), 71.6 (C-5<sub>c</sub>), 71.1 (C-4<sub>D</sub>), 65.8 (C-6<sub>D</sub>), 59.9 (C-2<sub>D</sub>), 22.8  $(C_{Ac})$ , 19.1 (C-6<sub>C</sub>). HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>) m/z calcd for  $C_{19}H_{28}Cl_3NO_{11}Na [M+Na]^+$  574.0626; found 574.0602.

Allyl (6-O-benzoyl- $\alpha$ -D-glucopyranosyl)-(1 $\rightarrow$ 4)- $\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl-(1 $\rightarrow$ 3)-6-O-benzoyl-2-deoxy-2-trichloroacetamido- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside (19). Trisaccharide 2 (1.82 g, 2.70 mmol) was suspended under an argon atm in MeCN/acetone (1:1 v/v, 54 mL) and collidine (3.5 mL, 26.5 mmol, 9.8 equiv) was added. The reaction mixture was cooled to -40 °C and benzoyl

chloride was added (2.2 mL, 19.0 mmol, 7.0 equiv). After 46 h of stirring at this temperature, MeOH (20 mL) was added. After another hour, the reaction mixture was concentrated, repeatedly co-evaporated with toluene, and the residue was purified by column chromatography (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH, 100:0 $\rightarrow$ 90:10). Fractions containing the expected dibenzoate were evaporated then taken up in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (150 mL), washed with a 5% citric acid ag solution ( $2 \times 20$  mL), brine (1  $\times$  20 mL), and the aq layer was extracted twice with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2  $\times$  10 mL). The combined organics were dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), filtered and concentrated to give dibenzoate **19** (1.55 g, 1.76 mmol, 65%) as a white amorphous solid.  $R_f = 0.36$  (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 9:1).  $[\alpha]^{24}_{D} = +2.9^{\circ}$  (c 1.0, MeOH). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (MeOD) *S*8.07-8.05 (m, 4H, Ph), 7.64-7.59 (m, 2H, Ph), 7.51-7.47 (m, 4H, Ph), 5.90-5.80 (m, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.25-5.19 (m,  $J_{\text{trans}} = 17.3$  Hz, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.11-5.07 (m,  $J_{\text{cis}} = 10.6$  Hz, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.96 (d,  $J_{1,2}$  = 3.9 Hz, 1H, H-1<sub>E</sub>), 4.90 (d,  $J_{1,2}$  = 1.5 Hz, 1H, H-1<sub>C</sub>), 4.69-4.64 (m, 3H, H-6a<sub>E</sub>, H-1<sub>D</sub>, H-6a<sub>D</sub>), 4.53 (dd,  $J_{6a,6b} = 11.9$  Hz,  $J_{5,6} = 5.5$  Hz, 1H, H-6b<sub>D</sub>), 4.43 (dd,  $J_{6a,6b} = 11.9$  Hz,  $J_{5,6} = 5.5$  Hz, 1H, H-6b<sub>D</sub>), 4.43 (dd,  $J_{6a,6b} = 11.9$  Hz,  $J_{5,6} = 5.5$  Hz, 1H, H-6b<sub>D</sub>), 4.43 (dd,  $J_{6a,6b} = 11.9$  Hz,  $J_{5,6} = 5.5$  Hz, 1H, H-6b<sub>D</sub>), 4.43 (dd,  $J_{6a,6b} = 11.9$  Hz,  $J_{5,6} = 5.5$  Hz, 1H, H-6b<sub>D</sub>), 4.43 (dd,  $J_{6a,6b} = 11.9$  Hz,  $J_{5,6} = 5.5$  Hz, 1H, H-6b<sub>D</sub>), 4.43 (dd,  $J_{6a,6b} = 11.9$  Hz,  $J_{5,6} = 5.5$  Hz, 1H, H-6b<sub>D</sub>), 4.43 (dd,  $J_{6a,6b} = 11.9$  Hz,  $J_{5,6} = 5.5$  Hz, 1H, H-6b<sub>D</sub>), 4.43 (dd,  $J_{6a,6b} = 11.9$  Hz,  $J_{5,6} = 5.5$  Hz, 1H, H-6b<sub>D</sub>), 4.43 (dd,  $J_{6a,6b} = 11.9$  Hz,  $J_{5,6} = 5.5$  Hz, 1H, H-6b<sub>D</sub>), 4.43 (dd,  $J_{6a,6b} = 11.9$  Hz,  $J_{5,6} = 5.5$  Hz, 1H, H-6b<sub>D</sub>), 4.43 (dd,  $J_{6a,6b} = 11.9$  Hz,  $J_{5,6} = 5.5$  Hz, 1H, H-6b<sub>D</sub>), 4.43 (dd,  $J_{6a,6b} = 11.9$  Hz,  $J_{5,6} = 5.5$  Hz, 1H, H-6b<sub>D</sub>), 4.43 (dd,  $J_{6a,6b} = 11.9$  Hz,  $J_{5,6} = 5.5$  Hz, 1H, H-6b<sub>D</sub>), 4.43 (dd,  $J_{6a,6b} = 11.9$  Hz,  $J_{5,6} = 5.5$  Hz, 1H, H-6b<sub>D</sub>), 4.43 (dd, J\_{6a,6b} = 11.9 Hz,  $J_{5,6} = 5.5$  Hz, 1H, H-6b<sub>D</sub>), 4.43 (dd, J\_{6a,6b} = 11.9 Hz,  $J_{5,6} = 5.5$  Hz, 1H, H-6b<sub>D</sub>), 4.43 (dd, J\_{6a,6b} = 11.9 Hz,  $J_{5,6} = 5.5$  Hz, 1H, H-6b<sub>D</sub>), 4.43 (dd, J\_{6a,6b} = 11.9 Hz,  $J_{5,6} = 5.5$  Hz, 1H, H-6b<sub>D</sub>), 4.43 (dd, J\_{6a,6b} = 11.9 Hz,  $J_{5,6} = 5.5$  Hz, 1H, H-6b<sub>D</sub>), 4.43 (dd, J\_{6a,6b} = 11.9 Hz,  $J_{5,6} = 5.5$  Hz, 1H, H-6b<sub>D</sub>), 4.43 (dd, J\_{6a,6b} = 11.9 Hz,  $J_{5,6} = 5.5$  Hz, 1H, H-6b<sub>D</sub>), 4.43 (dd, J\_{6a,6b} = 11.9 Hz,  $J_{5,6} = 5.5$  Hz, 1H, H-6b<sub>D</sub>), 4.43 (dd, J\_{6a,6b} = 11.9 Hz,  $J_{5,6} = 5.5$  Hz, 1H, H-6b<sub>D</sub>), 4.43 (dd, J\_{6a,6b} = 11.9 Hz,  $J_{5,6} = 5.5$  Hz, 1H, H-6b<sub>D</sub>), 4.43 (dd, J\_{6a,6b} = 11.9 Hz,  $J_{5,6} = 5.5$  Hz, 1H, H-6b<sub>D</sub>), 4.43 (dd, J\_{6a,6b} = 11.9 Hz,  $J_{5,6} = 5.5$  Hz, 1H, H-6b<sub>D</sub>), 4.43 (dd, J\_{6a,6b} = 11.9 Hz,  $J_{5,6} = 5.5$  Hz, 1H, H-6b<sub>D</sub>), 4.43 (dd, J\_{6a,6b} = 11.9 12.0 Hz,  $J_{5.6} = 4.9$  Hz, 1H, H-6b<sub>E</sub>), 4.31-4.24 (m, 2H, H-5<sub>E</sub>, -OCH<sub>2All</sub>), 4.19 (dq,  $J_{4.5} = 9.7$  Hz, 1H, H-5<sub>C</sub>), 4.09-4.04 (m, 1H, -OCH<sub>2All</sub>), 3.88-3.80 (m, 4H, H-2<sub>C</sub>, H-3<sub>C</sub>, H-3<sub>D</sub>, H-2<sub>D</sub>), 3.68-3.64 (m, 2H, H-5<sub>D</sub>, H-3<sub>E</sub>), 3.60-3.55 (m, 1H, H-4<sub>D</sub>), 3.51-3.43 (m, 3H, H-4<sub>E</sub>, H-2<sub>E</sub>, H-4<sub>C</sub>), 1.34 (d, J<sub>5,6</sub> = 6.2 Hz, 3H, H-6<sub>C</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (MeOD)  $\delta$  168.2, 167.9 (2C, C<sub>Bz</sub>), 164.4 (NHCO), 135.2 (CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 134.4, 134.3 (2C, Ph), 131.4, 131.3 (2C<sub>quat</sub>, Ph), 130.7, 130.6, 129.7, 129.6 (8C, Ph), 117.6 (CH= $CH_2$ ), 102.7 (C-1<sub>c</sub>), 101.2 (C-1<sub>E</sub>), 101.0 (C-1<sub>D</sub>), 94.2 (CCl<sub>3</sub>), 83.6 (C-4<sub>C</sub>), 82.6 (C-3<sub>D</sub>), 75.5 (C-5<sub>D</sub>), 74.7 (C-3<sub>E</sub>), 73.6 (C-2<sub>E</sub>), 72.6 (C-2<sub>C</sub>), 71.8 (C-4<sub>E</sub>), 71.7 (C-5<sub>E</sub>), 71.2 (OCH<sub>2AII</sub>), 71.1 (C-4<sub>D</sub>), 70.8 (C-3<sub>C</sub>), 69.0 (C-5<sub>C</sub>), 65.3 (C-6<sub>E</sub>), 65.0 (C-6<sub>D</sub>), 58.8 (C-2<sub>D</sub>), 18.1 (C-6<sub>C</sub>). HRMS  $(\text{ESI}^+)$  m/z calcd for C<sub>37</sub>H<sub>44</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>17</sub>Na [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> 902.1572; found 902.1567.

Allyl (6-O-benzoyl- $\alpha$ -D-glucopyranosyl)-(1 $\rightarrow$ 4)-(2,3-O-isopropylidene- $\alpha$ -Lrhamnopyranosyl)-(1 $\rightarrow$ 3)-6-O-benzoyl-2-deoxy-2-trichloroacetamido- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside

(20). To a solution of dibenzoate 19 (806 mg, 0.91 mmol) in acetone (14 mL) stirred under an argon atm were added CSA (85 mg, 0.37 mmol, 0.4 equiv) and DMP (450 µL, 3.66 mmol, 4.0 equiv). After stirring for 2 h at rt, Et<sub>3</sub>N (100 µL, 0.72 mmol, 0.8 equiv) was added and the reaction mixture was concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography  $(CH_2Cl_2/MeOH, 96:4 \rightarrow 95:5, \text{ then } 90:10)$  to give tetraol **20** (779 mg, 0.85 mmol, 92%) as a white amorphous solid, followed by the starting dibenzoate 19 (20 mg, 0.02 mmol, corrected yield: 95%).  $R_f = 0.34$  (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 9.2:0.8).  $[\alpha]_{D}^{24} = +29.1^{\circ}$  (c 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (MeOD) *S* 8.09-8.03 (m, 4H, Ph), 7.65-7.60 (m, 2H, Ph), 7.53-7.47 (m, 4H, Ph), 5.91-5.81 (m, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.26-5.20 (m,  $J_{\text{trans}} = 17.3$  Hz, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.12-5.08 (m,  $J_{\text{cis}} = 10.5$  Hz, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.10 (br s, 1H, H-1<sub>c</sub>), 4.93 (d,  $J_{1,2}$  = 3.9 Hz, 1H, H-1<sub>e</sub>), 4.70-4.61 (m, 3H, H-6a<sub>e</sub>, H-1<sub>D</sub>, H-6a<sub>D</sub>), 4.56-4.48 (m, 2H, H-6b<sub>E</sub>, H-6b<sub>D</sub>), 4.30-4.25 (m, 1H, -OCH<sub>2All</sub>), 4.16-4.05 (m, 5H, -OCH<sub>2AI</sub>, H-5<sub>C</sub>, H-2<sub>C</sub>, H-3<sub>C</sub>, H-5<sub>E</sub>), 3.91-3.82 (m, 2H, H-3<sub>D</sub>, H-2<sub>D</sub>), 3.68-3.63 (m, 2H, H-5<sub>D</sub>, H- $3_{\rm E}$ ), 3.61-3.54 (m, 2H, H-4<sub>D</sub>, H-4<sub>E</sub>), 3.43 (dd,  $J_{2,3} = 9.5$  Hz, 1H, H-2<sub>E</sub>), 3.37-3.32 (m, 1H, H-4<sub>C</sub>), 1.50 (s, 3H, H<sub>iPr</sub>), 1.29 (d,  $J_{5.6} = 6.2$  Hz, 3H, H-6<sub>C</sub>), 1.21 (s, 3H, H<sub>iPr</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (MeOD)  $\delta$  168.0, 167.8 (2C, C<sub>Bz</sub>), 164.4 (NHCO), 135.1 (CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 134.4, 134.3 (2C, Ph), 131.4, 131.3 (2C<sub>quat</sub>, Ph), 130.6, 130.5, 129.6 (8C, Ph), 117.5 (CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 110.1 (C<sub>iPr</sub>), 101.2 (C-1<sub>E</sub>), 100.9 (C-1<sub>D</sub>), 99.9 (C-1<sub>c</sub>), 94.1 (CCl<sub>3</sub>), 82.5 (C-3<sub>D</sub>), 82.3 (C-4<sub>c</sub>), 78.3 (C-2<sub>c</sub>), 77.4 (C-3<sub>c</sub>), 75.5 (C-5<sub>D</sub>), 74.9 (C-3<sub>E</sub>), 73.7 (C-2<sub>E</sub>), 71.5 (C-4<sub>D</sub>), 71.2 (C-5<sub>E</sub>), 71.1 (OCH<sub>2All</sub>), 70.9 (C-4<sub>E</sub>), 66.9 (C-5<sub>C</sub>), 65.0 (C-6<sub>D</sub>), 64.5 (C-6<sub>E</sub>), 58.9 (C-2<sub>D</sub>), 28.6, 26.8 (2C, C<sub>iPr</sub>), 17.7 (C-6<sub>C</sub>). HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>) m/z calcd for  $C_{40}H_{48}Cl_3NO_{17}Na [M+Na]^+ 942.1885; found 942.1855.$ 

Allyl (2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl- $\alpha$ -D-glucopyranosyl)-(1 $\rightarrow$ 4)-(2,3-O-isopropylidene- $\alpha$ -Lrhamnopyranosyl)-(1 $\rightarrow$ 3)-4,6-di-O-benzyl-2-deoxy-2-trichloroacetamido- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside (3). NaH (272 mg, 11.3 mmol, 14 equiv) was added portionwise to a solution of hexaol 17 (578 mg, 0.81 mmol) in anhyd DMF (16 mL), stirred at -10 °C under an argon atm. After 20 min, benzyl bromide (0.7 mL, 5.89 mmol, 7.3 equiv) was added dropwise. After another 4 h, whilst the bath temperature was slowly raised to 0 °C, MeOH (20 mL) was slowly added. The reaction mixture was acidified by dropwise addition of AcOH until pH = 6 was reached, then volatiles were evaporated under vacuum and co-evaporated with toluene. The residue was taken up in EtOAc (100 mL) and washed with water ( $2 \times 15$  mL). The organic layer was dried with Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography (cHex-EtOAc,  $90:10 \rightarrow 80:20$ ) to give the fully protected trisaccharide 3 (795 mg, 0.63 mmol, 78%) as a white foam.  $R_f = 0.42$  (cHex/EtOAc 7.6:2.4).  $[\alpha]_{D}^{24} = +12.7^{\circ}$  (c 1.0, CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.41-7.25 (m, 29H, Ph, NH), 7.21-7.19 (m, 2H, Ph), 5.93-5.84 (m, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.31-5.26 (m,  $J_{\text{trans}} =$ 17.2 Hz, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.29 (s, 1H, H-1<sub>C</sub>), 5.21-5.17 (m,  $J_{cis} = 10.5$  Hz, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.00 (d,  $J_{1,2} = 3.5 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}, \text{H}-1_{\text{E}}), 4.96-4.52 \text{ (m}, 13\text{H}, 12\text{H}_{\text{Bn}}, \text{H}-1_{\text{D}}), 4.39-4.34 \text{ (m}, 1\text{H}, -\text{OCH}_{2\text{All}}), 4.13 \text{ (pt,})$ J = 6.2 Hz, 1H, H-3<sub>D</sub>), 4.10-4.01 (m, 5H, H-2<sub>D</sub>, H-2<sub>C</sub>, H-3<sub>C</sub>, H-5<sub>E</sub>, -OCH<sub>2All</sub>), 3.98 (pt, J = 9.5 Hz, 1H, H-3<sub>E</sub>), 3.93-3.89 (m, 1H, H-5<sub>D</sub>), 3.86-3.80 (m, 5H, H-5<sub>C</sub>, H-4<sub>E</sub>, H-6a<sub>E</sub>, H-6a<sub>D</sub>, H-6b<sub>D</sub>), 3.73  $(pt, J = 5.9 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}, \text{H-4}_{\text{D}}), 3.67 \text{ (dd}, J_{6a,6b} = 10.4 \text{ Hz}, J_{5,6b} = 1.9 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}, \text{H-6}_{\text{E}}), 3.62 \text{ (dd}, J_{2,3} = 1.9 \text{ Hz})$ 9.8 Hz, 1H, H-2<sub>E</sub>), 3.38-3.32 (m, 1H, H-4<sub>C</sub>), 1.45 (s, 3H, H<sub>iPr</sub>), 1.28 (s, 3H, H<sub>iPr</sub>), 1.25 (d,  $J_{5.6}$  = 6.3 Hz, 3H, H-6<sub>C</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ161.7 (NHCO), 138.9, 138.5, 138.1 (3C<sub>quat</sub>, Ph), 138.0 (2C<sub>mut</sub>, Ph), 137.2 (C<sub>mut</sub>, Ph), 133.7 (CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 128.7, 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 128.3, 128.2, 128.1, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7, 127.6 (30C, Ph), 117.5 (CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 109.1 (C<sub>iPr</sub>), 98.8 (C-1<sub>F</sub>), 98.4 (C- $1_{\rm D}$ , 96.2 (C-1<sub>c</sub>), 92.5 (CCl<sub>3</sub>), 82.3 (C-3<sub>F</sub>), 81.2 (C-4<sub>C</sub>), 80.1 (C-2<sub>F</sub>), 78.0 (C-4<sub>F</sub>), 76.8 (C-4<sub>D</sub>), 76.1, 76.0 (2C, C-2<sub>C</sub>, C-3<sub>C</sub>), 75.6, 75.2 (2C, C<sub>Bn</sub>), 74.3 (C-5<sub>D</sub>), 74.2, 73.9, 73.7, 73.6 (4C, C<sub>Bn</sub>), 73.5 (C-3<sub>D</sub>), 70.6 (C-5<sub>E</sub>), 69.8 (C-6<sub>D</sub>), 69.7 (OCH<sub>2All</sub>), 68.1 (C-6<sub>E</sub>), 66.2 (C-5<sub>C</sub>), 53.7 (C-2<sub>D</sub>), 28.2,

26.5 (2C,  $C_{iPr}$ ), 17.4 (C-6<sub>C</sub>). HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>) *m/z* calcd for  $C_{68}H_{76}Cl_3NO_{15}Na [M+Na]^+ 1274.4178$ ; found 1274.4186.

# 2,3,4,6-Tetra-O-benzyl- $\alpha$ -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ -(2,3-O-isopropylidene- $\alpha$ -L-

# rhamnopyranosyl)- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ -4,6-di-O-benzyl-2-deoxy-2-trichloroacetamido- $\alpha/\beta$ -D-glucopyranose

(21). 1.5-Cyclooctadiene-bis(methyldiphenylphosphine)-iridium hexafluorophosphate (14 mg, 17 µmol, 0.03 equiv) was dissolved in THF (5 mL) under an argon atm. Hydrogen was bubbled through the solution for 20 min, causing the colour to change from red to yellow. The solution was degassed by complete evaporation of the solvent under vacuum. The activated iridium complex was dissolved in THF (2 mL) under an argon atm, and a solution of allyl glycoside 3 (619 mg, 494 µmol) in THF (8 mL) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h 30 at rt then a solution of iodine (238 mg, 938 µmol, 1.9 equiv) in THF/water (10 mL, 4:1 v/v) was added. After 5 h, the excess iodine was guenched by addition of 10% ag sodium bisulphite (10 mL). The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure to remove THF, then water (5 mL) was added and the aq phase was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (2 × 40 mL). The combined organics were dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), filtered and concentrated to dryness. Column chromatography of the residue (toluene-EtOAc, 90:10  $\rightarrow$  80:20) afforded lactol **21** (480 mg, 396  $\mu$ mol, 80%) as a colourless oil ( $\alpha/\beta$ , 9:1). R<sub>f</sub> = 0.32 ( $\alpha$ ), 0.12 ( $\beta$ ) (toluene/EtOAc 4:1).  $\alpha$ : <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.37-7.14 (m, 30H, Ph), 7.04 (d,  $J_{\rm NH,2}$  = 9.3 Hz, 1H, NH), 5.26-5.24 (m, 2H, H-1<sub>D</sub>, H-1<sub>C</sub>), 4.96  $(d, J_{1,2} = 3.5 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}, \text{H-1}_{\text{E}}), 4.96-4.48 \text{ (m, 12H, H}_{\text{Bn}}), 4.24 \text{ (pdt, } J_{2,3} = 9.8 \text{ Hz}, J_{1,2} = 3.5 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H},$ H-2<sub>D</sub>), 4.16-3.90 (m, 7H, H-3<sub>D</sub>, H-2<sub>C</sub>, H-3<sub>C</sub>, H-5<sub>D</sub>, H-5<sub>E</sub>, H-3<sub>E</sub>, H-5<sub>C</sub>), 3.81-3.76 (m, 2H, H-6a<sub>E</sub>, H-4<sub>E</sub>), 3.72 (dd, *J*<sub>6a,6b</sub> = 10.6 Hz, *J*<sub>5,6a</sub> = 4.7 Hz, 1H, H-6a<sub>D</sub>), 3.66-3.60 (m, 3H, H-6b<sub>E</sub>, H-6b<sub>D</sub>, H- $4_{\rm D}$ ), 3.58 (dd,  $J_{2,3} = 9.7$  Hz, 1H, H- $2_{\rm E}$ ), 3.37 (dd,  $J_{4,5} = 10.2$  Hz,  $J_{3,4} = 7.2$  Hz, 1H, H- $4_{\rm C}$ ), 3.20 (bs, 1H, OH), 1.42 (s, 3H, H<sub>i</sub>Pr), 1.23 (s, 3H, H<sub>i</sub>Pr), 1.16 (d,  $J_{5,6} = 6.2$  Hz, 3H, H-6<sub>C</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR

(CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  161.9 (NHCO), 138.9, 138.5 (2C<sub>quat</sub>, Ph), 138.1 (2C<sub>quat</sub>, Ph), 137.5, 137.4 (2C<sub>quat</sub>, Ph), 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 128.3, 128.2, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7, 127.6 (30C, Ph), 109.0 (C<sub>iPr</sub>), 98.8 (C-1<sub>E</sub>), 97.7 (C-1<sub>C</sub>), 92.4 (CCl<sub>3</sub>), 91.4 (C-1<sub>D</sub>), 82.2 (C-3<sub>E</sub>), 81.6 (C-4<sub>C</sub>), 80.1 (C-2<sub>E</sub>), 78.0 (C-4<sub>E</sub>), 77.4 (C-4<sub>D</sub>), 76.8 (C-2<sub>C</sub>), 76.2 (C-3<sub>C</sub>), 75.7 (C<sub>Bn</sub>), 75.5 (C-3<sub>D</sub>), 75.4, 75.2, 73.9, 73.6, 73.5 (5C, C<sub>Bn</sub>), 70.9 (C-5<sub>D</sub>), 70.6 (C-5<sub>E</sub>), 68.7 (C-6<sub>D</sub>), 68.1 (C-6<sub>E</sub>), 66.2 (C-5<sub>C</sub>), 55.8 (C-2<sub>D</sub>), 28.2, 26.5 (2C, C<sub>iPr</sub>), 17.2 (C-6<sub>C</sub>). HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>) *m/z* calcd for C<sub>65</sub>H<sub>72</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>15</sub>Na [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> 1234.3865; found 1234.3800.

# 2,3,4,6-Tetra-O-benzyl- $\alpha$ -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ -(2,3-O-isopropylidene- $\alpha$ -L-

## rhamnopyranosyl)- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ -4,6-di-O-benzyl-2-deoxy-2-trichloroacetamido- $\alpha/\beta$ -D-

*glucopyranosyl trichloroacetimidate* (22). To a solution of lactol 21 (426 mg, 0.34 mmol) in anhyd DCE (4 mL) stirred at -10 °C and under argon atm, were added trichloroacetonitrile (170  $\mu$ L, 1.70 mmol, 5.0 equiv) and DBU (15  $\mu$ L, 0.10 mmol, 0.3 equiv). The reaction mixture was stirred for 35 min at -10 °C and volatiles were evaporated at rt under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by column chromatography (toluene-EtOAc, 90:10 + 1% Et<sub>3</sub>N) to give imidate 22 (322 mg, 0.24 mmol, 70%) as a white amorphous solid (α/β ratio 9:1), followed by lactol 21 (118 mg, 0.09 mmol, corrected yield: 93%). R<sub>f</sub> = 0.35 (toluene/EtOAc 9:1 + 1% Et<sub>3</sub>N). α: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ8.78 (s, 1H, C=NH), 7.39-7.19 (m, 30H, Ph), 7.10 (d, *J*<sub>NH,2</sub> = 8.9 Hz, 1H, NH), 6.45 (d, *J*<sub>1,2</sub> = 3.6 Hz, 1H, H-1<sub>D</sub>), 5.31 (bs, 1H, H-1<sub>C</sub>), 4.99 (d, *J*<sub>1,2</sub> = 3.3 Hz, 1H, H-1<sub>E</sub>), 4.99 (d, *J* = 10.9 Hz, 1H, H<sub>Bn</sub>), 4.90-4.45 (m, 12H, 11H<sub>Bn</sub>, H-2<sub>D</sub>), 4.21 (pt, *J* = 9.6 Hz, 1H, H-3<sub>D</sub>), 4.17-4.11 (m, 2H, H-2<sub>C</sub>, H-3<sub>C</sub>), 4.06-3.92 (m, 5H, H-5<sub>D</sub>, H-5<sub>E</sub>, H-3<sub>E</sub>, H-4<sub>D</sub>, H-5<sub>C</sub>), 3.90-3.79 (m, 3H, H-6a<sub>D</sub>, H-6a<sub>E</sub>, H-4<sub>E</sub>), 3.73 (dd, *J*<sub>6a,6b</sub> = 10.9 Hz, *J*<sub>5,6b</sub> = 1.8 Hz, 1H, H-6b<sub>D</sub>), 3.67 (dd, *J*<sub>6a,6b</sub> = 10.5 Hz, *J*<sub>5,6b</sub> = 1.7 Hz, 1H, H-6b<sub>E</sub>), 3.62 (dd, *J*<sub>2,3</sub> = 9.8 Hz, 1H, H-2<sub>E</sub>), 3.30 (dd, *J*<sub>4,5</sub> = 10.2 Hz, *J*<sub>3,4</sub> = 7.1 Hz, 1H, H-4<sub>C</sub>), 1.45 (s, 3H, H<sub>ipr</sub>), 1.27 (s, 3H, H<sub>ipr</sub>), 1.24 (d, *J*<sub>56</sub> = 6.2 Hz, 3H, H-6c).

<sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 161.9 (NHCO), 160.3 (C=NH), 138.9, 138.6 (2C<sub>quat</sub>, Ph), 138.1 (2C<sub>quat</sub>, Ph), 137.8, 137.5 (2C<sub>quat</sub>, Ph), 128.7, 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 128.3, 128.2, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7, 127.6 (30C, Ph), 109.3 (C<sub>iPr</sub>), 98.9 (C-1<sub>E</sub>), 97.6 (C-1<sub>C</sub>), 94.9 (C-1<sub>D</sub>), 92.2 (C(O)CCl<sub>3</sub>), 90.9 (C(NH)CCl<sub>3</sub>), 82.2 (C-3<sub>E</sub>), 81.2 (C-4<sub>C</sub>), 80.1 (C-2<sub>E</sub>), 78.0 (C-4<sub>E</sub>), 77.0 (C-3<sub>C</sub>), 76.2 (2C, C-4<sub>D</sub>, C-2<sub>C</sub>), 75.7, 75.6, 75.2 (3C, C<sub>Bn</sub>), 75.0 (C-3<sub>D</sub>), 74.2 (C<sub>Bn</sub>), 73.8 (C-5<sub>D</sub>), 73.7, 73.6 (2C, C<sub>Bn</sub>), 70.6 (C-5<sub>E</sub>), 68.2 (C-6<sub>E</sub>), 67.9 (C-6<sub>D</sub>), 66.8 (C-5<sub>C</sub>), 55.2 (C-2<sub>D</sub>), 28.2, 26.4 (2C, C<sub>iPr</sub>), 17.3 (C-6<sub>C</sub>).

# Allyl (2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl- $\alpha$ -D-glucopyranosyl)-(1 $\rightarrow$ 4)-(2,3-O-isopropylidene- $\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1 $\rightarrow$ 3)-(4,6-di-O-benzyl-2-deoxy-2-trichloroacetamido- $\beta$ -D-

glucopyranosyl)- $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ -(3,4-di-O-benzyl- $\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl)- $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ -3,4-di-O-benzyl- $\alpha$ -L-

*rhamnopyranoside* (24). A suspension of donor 22 (100 mg, 73.6 μmol), acceptor 23 (57 mg, 80.1 μmol, 1.1 equiv) and freshly activated 4Å MS (200 mg) in anhyd DCE (1 mL), was stirred for 15 min at rt under an argon atm. The reaction mixture was cooled to -35 °C, and TMSOTf (2 µL, 7.7 µmol, 0.1 equiv) was added. After stirring for 30 min at this temperature, Et<sub>3</sub>N (10 µL) was added then the reaction mixture was filtered on a pad of Celite. The filtrate was evaporated and the residue was purified by column chromatography (toluene/EtOAc 95:5) to give pentasaccharide 24 (110 mg, 57.6 µmol, 78%) as a white amorphous solid.  $R_f = 0.28$  (toluene/EtOAc 9:1);  $[\alpha]^{24}_{D} = +5.9 ° (c 1.0; CHCl_3)$ . <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 7.41-7.18 (m, 50H, Ph), 7.06 (d,  $J_{NH,2} = 8.0$  Hz, 1H, NH), 5.93-5.84 (m, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.29-5.24 (m, 2H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>, H-1<sub>C</sub>), 5.21-5.18 (m,  $J_{cis} = 10.4$  Hz, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.04 (d,  $J_{1,2} = 1.5$  Hz, 1H, H-1<sub>A</sub>), 5.00 (d,  $J_{1,2} = 3.5$  Hz, 1H, H-1<sub>E</sub>), 4.94-4.39 (m, 22H, 20H<sub>Bn</sub>, H-1<sub>D</sub>, H-1<sub>B</sub>), 4.16-4.11 (m, 1H, -OCH<sub>2AII</sub>), 4.10-4.03 (m, 5H, H-2<sub>D</sub>, H-5<sub>E</sub>, H-2<sub>A</sub>, H-3<sub>C</sub>, H-2<sub>C</sub>), 3.99-3.87 (m, 5H, H-2<sub>B</sub>, H-3<sub>A</sub>, H-3<sub>D</sub>, H-3<sub>E</sub>, -OCH<sub>2AII</sub>), 3.85-3.77 (m, 5H, H-6a<sub>E</sub>, H-4<sub>E</sub>, H-5<sub>A</sub>, H-5<sub>C</sub>, H-3<sub>B</sub>), 3.72-3.56 (m, 6H, H-5<sub>D</sub>, H-2<sub>E</sub>, H-6a<sub>D</sub>, H-5<sub>B</sub>, H-4<sub>D</sub>, H-6b<sub>E</sub>), 3.52 (dd,  $J_{6a,6b} = 9.6$  Hz,  $J_{5,6b} = 3.6$  Hz, 1H, H-6b<sub>D</sub>), 3.47 (pt, J = 9.4

Hz, 1H, H-4<sub>A</sub>), 3.37 (pt, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H, H-4<sub>B</sub>), 3.29-3.25 (m, 1H, H-4<sub>C</sub>), 1.43 (s, 3H, H<sub>iPr</sub>), 1.31 (d,  $J_{5,6} = 6.2$  Hz, 6H, H-6<sub>A</sub>, H-6<sub>B</sub>), 1.23 (s, 3H, H<sub>iPr</sub>), 1.19 (d,  $J_{5,6} = 6.2$  Hz, 3H, H-6<sub>C</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  161.7 (NHCO), 138.9, 138.7, 138.6, 138.5, 138.3, 138.2, 138.1, 138.0, 137.5 (10C<sub>quat</sub>, Ph), 133.9 (CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 128.7, 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 128.3, 128.2, 128.1, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7, 127.6, 127.5 (50C, Ph), 117.2 (CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 109.0 (C<sub>iPr</sub>), 101.5 (C-1<sub>A</sub>), 101.2 (C-1<sub>D</sub>, <sup>1</sup> $J_{CH} = 162.3$  Hz), 98.8 (C-1<sub>E</sub>), 97.9 (C-1<sub>B</sub>), 96.9 (C-1<sub>C</sub>), 92.5 (CCl<sub>3</sub>), 82.2 (C-3<sub>E</sub>), 81.6 (C-4<sub>C</sub>), 81.1 (C-4<sub>A</sub>), 80.6 (C-4<sub>B</sub>), 80.2 (C-2<sub>E</sub>), 79.5 (C-3<sub>A</sub>), 79.2 (C-3<sub>B</sub>), 78.0 (C-4<sub>E</sub>), 76.9, 76.7, 76.4, 76.2, 76.1, 76.0, 75.8 (7C, C-5<sub>D</sub>, C-4<sub>D</sub>, C-3<sub>D</sub>, C-3<sub>C</sub>, C-2<sub>C</sub>, C-2<sub>B</sub>, C-2<sub>A</sub>), 75.6, 75.5, 75.4, 75.1, 74.1, 74.0, 73.6, 73.5, 73.4, 71.5 (10C, C<sub>Bn</sub>), 70.6 (C-5<sub>E</sub>), 69.3 (C-6<sub>D</sub>), 68.5 (C-5<sub>B</sub>), 68.2 (C-6<sub>E</sub>), 67.8 (2C, C-5<sub>A</sub>, OCH<sub>2All</sub>), 66.0 (C-5<sub>C</sub>), 56.4 (C-2<sub>D</sub>), 28.3 (C<sub>iPr</sub>), 26.6 (C<sub>iPr</sub>), 18.1 (2C, C-6<sub>A</sub>, C-6<sub>B</sub>), 17.3 (C-6<sub>C</sub>). HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>) *m*/*z* calcd for C<sub>108</sub>H<sub>120</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>23</sub>Na [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> 1926.7214; found 1926.7100.

*Allyl* (2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl-α-D-glucopyranosyl)-(1→4)-(α-L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1→3)-4,6-di-O-benzyl-2-deoxy-2-trichloroacetamido-β-D-glucopyranoside (25). To a solution of fully protected trisaccharide **3** (657 mg, 0.52 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (7 mL) was added TFA (50% aq, 3 mL). The biphasic mixture was vigorously stirred for 75 min then repeatedly coevaporated with toluene and cyclohexane. The residue was purified by column chromatography (cHex-EtOAc, 70:30 → 65:35) to give diol **25** (601 mg, 0.50 mmol, 94%) as a white amorphous solid. R<sub>f</sub> = 0.26 (cHex/EtOAc 6.5:3.5);  $[\alpha]^{24}_{D} = -4.1^{\circ}$  (*c* 1.0; CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 7.40-7.22 (m, 29H, Ph, NH), 7.18-7.15 (m, 2H, Ph), 5.95-5.85 (m, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.33-5.28 (m, J<sub>trans</sub> = 17.2 Hz, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.23-5.17 (m, J<sub>cis</sub> = 10.5 Hz, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.16 (bs, 1H, H-1<sub>c</sub>), 4.95 (d, J<sub>1,2</sub> = 3.7 Hz, 1H, H-1<sub>E</sub>), 4.92 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H, H<sub>Bn</sub>), 4.86 (d, J = 10.9 Hz, 1H, H<sub>Bn</sub>), 4.78-4.49 (m, 11H, 10 H<sub>Bn</sub>, H-1<sub>D</sub>), 4.39-4.35 (m, 1H, -OCH<sub>2All</sub>), 4.15 (pt, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H, H-3<sub>D</sub>), 4.11-3.98 (m, 4H, H-2c, H-2<sub>D</sub>, H-3<sub>E</sub>, -OCH<sub>2All</sub>), 3.97-3.75 (m, 7H, H-4<sub>D</sub>, H-3<sub>C</sub>, H-6a<sub>D</sub>, H-5<sub>D</sub>, H-6b<sub>D</sub>, H-5<sub>E</sub>, H-5<sub>C</sub>), 3.69-3.58 (m, 3H, H-2<sub>E</sub>, H-6a<sub>E</sub>, H-6b<sub>E</sub>), 3.52 (pt, J = 9.5 Hz, 1H, H-4<sub>E</sub>), 3.41 (pt, J = 9.1 Hz, 1H, H-4<sub>C</sub>), 2.81 (bs, 1H, OH), 1.33 (d,  $J_{5,6} = 6.1$  Hz, H-6<sub>C</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 161.8 (NHCO), 138.7, 138.1, 138.0, 137.9, 137.5, 137.4 (6C<sub>quat</sub>, Ph), 133.7 (CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 128.6, 128.5, 128.2, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7 (30C, Ph), 117.7 (CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 99.4 (C-1<sub>E</sub>), 98.8 (C-1<sub>C</sub>), 98.5 (C-1<sub>D</sub>), 92.5 (CCl<sub>3</sub>), 86.0 (C-4<sub>C</sub>), 81.6 (C-3<sub>E</sub>), 79.9 (C-2<sub>E</sub>), 77.9 (C-4<sub>E</sub>), 76.4 (C-4<sub>D</sub>), 75.7, 75.2 (2C, C<sub>Bn</sub>), 75.1 (C-3<sub>D</sub>), 74.4 (C-5<sub>D</sub>), 74.1, 73.7, 73.6, 73.5 (4C, C<sub>Bn</sub>), 71.4 (C-5<sub>E</sub>), 70.8, 70.0 (2C, C-2<sub>C</sub>, C-3<sub>C</sub>), 69.9 (OCH<sub>2AII</sub>), 69.3 (C-6<sub>D</sub>), 68.7 (C-6<sub>E</sub>), 67.1 (C-5<sub>C</sub>), 55.2 (C-2<sub>D</sub>), 17.8 (C-6<sub>C</sub>). HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>) *m/z* calcd for C<sub>65</sub>H<sub>72</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>15</sub>Na [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> 1234.3865; found 1234.3870.

Allyl (2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl- $\alpha$ -D-glucopyranosyl)- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ -(2-O-benzoyl- $\alpha$ -Lrhamnopyranosyl)- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ -4,6-di-O-benzyl-2-deoxy-2-trichloroacetamido- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside (26). To a solution of diol 25 (99 mg, 82  $\mu$ mol) in anhyd CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (200  $\mu$ L) and under an argon atm, were added trimethyl orthobenzoate (96  $\mu$ L, 559  $\mu$ mol, 6.8 equiv) and p-TSA (1 mg, 5 µmol, 0.06 equiv). The reaction mixture was stirred for 20 min at rt and 50% ag TFA (1 mL) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for another 45 min, before being repeatedly coevaporated with toluene. The residue was purified by column chromatography (cHex-EtOAc,  $85:15 \rightarrow 70:30$ ) to give alcohol **26** (89 mg, 68 µmol, 82%) as a white amorphous solid. R<sub>f</sub> = 0.44 (cHex/EtOAc 7:3);  $[\alpha]^{24}_{D} = +16.8^{\circ}$  (c 1.0; CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.06-8.04 (m, 2H, H<sub>Bz</sub>), 7.63-7.58 (m, 1H, H<sub>Bz</sub>), 7.50-7.46 (m, 2H, H<sub>Bz</sub>), 7.39-7.19 (m, 29H, Ph, NH), 7.17-7.13 (m, 2H, Ph), 5.96-5.86 (m, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.44-5.43 (m, 1H, H-2<sub>C</sub>), 5.33-5.27 (m, J<sub>trans</sub> = 17.2 Hz, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.33-5.19 (m, 2H, H-1<sub>C</sub>, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.96 (d,  $J_{1,2}$  = 3.7 Hz, 1H, H-1<sub>E</sub>), 4.92-4.43 (m, 13H, 12H<sub>Bn</sub>, H-1<sub>D</sub>), 4.40-4.34 (m, 1H, -OCH<sub>2All</sub>), 4.28-4.22 (m, 1H, H-3<sub>D</sub>), 4.11-3.97 (m, 5H, H-5<sub>C</sub>, H-5<sub>E</sub>, H-3<sub>C</sub>, -OH, -OCH<sub>2All</sub>), 3.92-3.74 (m, 6H, H-4<sub>D</sub>, H-5<sub>D</sub>, H-6a<sub>D</sub>, H-6b<sub>D</sub>, H-3<sub>E</sub>, H-2<sub>D</sub>), 3.65- $3.55 \text{ (m, 4H, H-4_E, H-2_E, H-6a_E, H-6b_E)}, 3.46 \text{ (pt, } J = 9.0 \text{ Hz, 1H, H-4_C)}, 1.37 \text{ (d, } J_{5,6} = 6.2 \text{ Hz},$ 

H-6<sub>C</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  165.9 (C<sub>Bz</sub>), 161.9 (NHCO), 138.8, 138.2, 138.1, 138.0, 137.7, 137.5 (6C<sub>quat</sub>, Ph), 133.7 (*C*H=CH<sub>2</sub>), 133.3 (C<sub>Bz</sub>), 130.1 (C<sub>quat</sub>, C<sub>Bz</sub>), 130.0 (C<sub>Bz</sub>), 128.7, 128.6, 128.5, 128.2, 128.1, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7 (32C, Ph), 117.8 (CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 99.3 (C-1<sub>E</sub>), 98.2 (C-1<sub>D</sub>), 97.2 (C-1<sub>C</sub>), 92.5 (CCl<sub>3</sub>), 85.7 (C-4<sub>C</sub>), 81.8 (C-3<sub>E</sub>), 80.1 (C-2<sub>E</sub>), 77.9 (C-4<sub>E</sub>), 76.7 (C-4<sub>D</sub>), 75.7 (C<sub>Bn</sub>), 75.5 (C-3<sub>D</sub>), 75.2 (C<sub>Bn</sub>), 74.4 (C-5<sub>D</sub>), 74.2, 73.9, 73.7, 73.6 (4C, C<sub>Bn</sub>), 72.6 (C-2<sub>C</sub>), 71.5 (C-5<sub>E</sub>), 70.1 (OCH<sub>2AII</sub>), 69.3 (C-6<sub>D</sub>), 68.6 (C-6<sub>E</sub>), 68.5 (C-3<sub>C</sub>), 67.7 (C-5<sub>C</sub>), 55.9 (C-2<sub>D</sub>), 18.1 (C-6<sub>C</sub>). HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>) *m/z* calcd for C<sub>72</sub>H<sub>76</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>16</sub> [M+H]<sup>+</sup> 1316.4308; found 1316.4205.

Allyl  $(2,3,4-tri-O-acetyl-\alpha-L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1\rightarrow 3)-(2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl-\alpha-D$ glucopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow 4)$ ]-(2-O-benzoyl- $\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl)- $(1\rightarrow 3)$ -4,6-di-O-benzyl-2-deoxy-2-trichloroacetamido-B-D-glucopyranoside (36). A suspension of acceptor 26 (82 mg, 62.2 umol), the known rhamnosyl donor<sup>79</sup> **34** (40 mg, 92.0 umol, 1.5 equiv) and freshly activated 4Å MS (100 mg) in anhyd toluene (2 mL) was heated to 70 °C under an argon atm. TMSOTf (1.0  $\mu$ L, 5.4  $\mu$ mol, 0.09 equiv) was added to the reaction mixture which was stirred at 70 °C for 60 min then cooled down to rt. Et<sub>3</sub>N (5 µL) was added and the mixture was filtered over a pad of Celite. The filtrate was concentrated to dryness and the residue was purified by column chromatography (toluene/EtOAc, 95:5  $\rightarrow$  85:15) to give tetrasaccharide **36** (20 mg, 12.6  $\mu$ mol, 20%) as a white amorphous solid along with recovered acceptor 26 (57 mg, 43.5  $\mu$ mol, 70%). R<sub>f</sub> = 0.44 (toluene/EtOAc 4:1);  $[\alpha]^{24}_{D}$  = - 4.0 ° (c 1.0; CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  8.10-8.07 (m, 2H, H<sub>Bz</sub>), 7.64-7.60 (m, 1H, H<sub>Bz</sub>), 7.51-7.47 (m, 2H, H<sub>Bz</sub>), 7.39-7.26 (m, 28H, Ph, NH), 7.20-7.16 (m, 3H, Ph), 5.93-5.86 (m, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.48 (br s, 1H, H-2<sub>C</sub>), 5.34-5.16 (m, 6H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>, H- $1_{\rm C}$ , H-2<sub>B</sub>, H-3<sub>B</sub>, H-1<sub>E</sub>), 5.06 (br s, 1H, H-1<sub>B</sub>), 4.97-4.90 (m, 2H, H<sub>Bp</sub>, H-4<sub>B</sub>), 4.86-4.50 (m, 11H,  $H_{Bn}$ , 4.41 (d, J = 12.1 Hz, 1H,  $H_{Bn}$ ), 4.37-4.25 (m, 3H, -OCH<sub>2All</sub>, H-3<sub>C</sub>, H-3<sub>D</sub>), 4.10-3.90 (m, 5H, -OCH<sub>2All</sub>, H-2<sub>D</sub>, H-3<sub>E</sub>, H-5<sub>C</sub>, H-5<sub>E</sub>), 3.87-3.59 (m, 10H, H-2<sub>E</sub>, H-4<sub>C</sub>, H-4<sub>D</sub>, H-4<sub>E</sub>, H-5<sub>B</sub>, H-5<sub>D</sub>, H-

6a<sub>D</sub>, H-6b<sub>D</sub>, H-6a<sub>E</sub>, H-6b<sub>E</sub>), 2.10 (s, 3H, H<sub>Ac</sub>), 1.88, 1.86 (2s, 6H, H<sub>Ac</sub>), 1.23 (d,  $J_{5,6} = 5.9$  Hz, 1H, H-6<sub>C</sub>), 0.91 (br s, 3H, H-6<sub>B</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (partial, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ170.0, 169.8 (3C, C<sub>Ac</sub>), 165.8 (C<sub>Bz</sub>), 161.8 (NHCO), 139.0, 138.8, 138.5, 138.3, 138.2, 137.5 (6C<sub>quat</sub>, Ph), 133.8 (*C*H=CH<sub>2</sub>), 133.5 (C<sub>Bz</sub>), 130.0 (C<sub>Bz</sub>), 129.8 (C<sub>quat</sub>, C<sub>Bz</sub>), 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 128.3, 128.1, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7, 127.6, 127.5 (32C, Ph), 117.8 (CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 98.2 (C-1<sub>D</sub>), 96.1 (C-1<sub>C</sub>), 92.6 (CCl<sub>3</sub>), 81.8 (C-3<sub>E</sub>), 81.0 (C-2<sub>E</sub>), 78.0 (C-4<sub>E</sub>), 76.3 (C-4<sub>D</sub>), 75.6 (C<sub>Bn</sub>), 75.0 (C<sub>Bn</sub>), 74.5 (C-5<sub>D</sub>), 74.1, 73.8, 73.6, 73.2 (4C, C<sub>Bn</sub>), 73.0 (C-2<sub>B</sub>), 71.6 (C-5<sub>E</sub>), 71.1 (C-4<sub>B</sub>), 70.1 (OCH<sub>2All</sub>), 69.9 (C-2<sub>C</sub>), 69.4 (C-6<sub>E</sub>), 69.1 (C-3<sub>B</sub>), 69.0 (C-6<sub>D</sub>), 68.2 (C-5<sub>C</sub>), 67.2 (C-5<sub>B</sub>), 56.1 (C-2<sub>D</sub>), 21.0, 20.8, 20.7 (3C, C<sub>Ac</sub>), 18.9 (C-6<sub>C</sub>), 17.2 (C-6<sub>B</sub>). HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>) *m*/*z* calcd for C<sub>84</sub>H<sub>92</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>23</sub>Na [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> 1610.5023; found 1610.5002.

# Allyl $(3,4-di-O-benzyl-2-O-levulinyl-\alpha-L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1\rightarrow 2)-[2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl-\alpha-D-glucopyranosyl)-(1\rightarrow 4)]-(\alpha-L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1\rightarrow 3)-4,6-di-O-benzyl-2-deoxy-2-$

*trichloroacetamido-β-D-glucopyranoside* (37). A suspension of diol 25 (144 mg, 119 µmol), the known rhamnosyl donor  $28^{71}$  (71 mg, 121 µmol, 1.0 equiv) and freshly activated 4Å MS (160 mg) in anhyd toluene (2.4 mL) was stirred for 10 min under an argon atm. The reaction mixture was cooled down to -15 °C, and TMSOTf (1.5 µL, 5.8 µmol, 0.05 equiv) was added. After stirring for 10 min at -15 °C, Et<sub>3</sub>N (10 µL) was added and the reaction mixture was filtered over a pad of Celite and concentrated to dryness. The residue was purified by column chromatography (toluene/EtOAc, 84:16) to give tetrasaccharide **37** (161 mg, 98.3 µmol, 80%) as a white amorphous solid contaminated with trichloroacetamide. R<sub>f</sub> = 0.33 (toluene/EtOAc 4:1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 7.49-7.11 (m, 41H, Ph, NH), 5.92-5.82 (m, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.50 (dd,  $J_{2,3}$  = 2.9 Hz,  $J_{1,2}$  = 1.9 Hz, 1H, H-2<sub>B</sub>), 5.29-5.24 (m,  $J_{trans}$  = 17.3 Hz, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.19-5.15 (m,  $J_{cis}$  = 10.4 Hz, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.11 (bs, 1H, H-1<sub>C</sub>), 5.00 (br s, 1H, H-1<sub>C</sub>), 4.97 (d,  $J_{1,2}$  = 3.7 Hz, 1H, H-1<sub>E</sub>), 4.91-

4.86 (m, 2H, H<sub>Bn</sub>), 4.81 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H, H<sub>Bn</sub>), 4.75-4.67 (m, 6H, H-1<sub>D</sub>, H<sub>Bn</sub>), 4.63-4.45 (m, 8H, H<sub>Bn</sub>), 4.34-4.29 (m, 1H, -OCH<sub>2AII</sub>), 4.08-4.01 (m, 3H, H-3<sub>D</sub>, H-5<sub>E</sub>, -OCH<sub>2AII</sub>), 3.94-3.78 (m, 11H, H-2<sub>C</sub>, H-2<sub>D</sub>, H-3<sub>B</sub>, H-3<sub>E</sub>, H-5<sub>B</sub>, H-5<sub>C</sub>, H-5<sub>D</sub>, H-6a<sub>D</sub>, H-6b<sub>D</sub>, H-6a<sub>E</sub>, H-6b<sub>E</sub>), 3.72 (pt, J = 5.9Hz, 1H, H-4<sub>D</sub>), 3.66-3.63 (m, 2H, H-3<sub>C</sub>, OH), 3.60-3.54 (m, 2H, H-2<sub>E</sub>, H-4<sub>E</sub>), 3.39 (pt, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H, H-4<sub>B</sub>), 3.29 (pt, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H, H-4<sub>B</sub>), 2.75-2.67 (m, 4H, 2×CH<sub>2Lev</sub>), 2.16 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3Lev</sub>), 1.32 (d,  $J_{5,6} = 6.1$  Hz, 3H, H-6<sub>C</sub>), 1.28 (d,  $J_{5,6} = 6.2$  Hz, 3H, H-6<sub>B</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  206.5 (C<sub>Lev</sub>), 171.9 (C<sub>Lev</sub>), 161.8 (NHCO), 138.8, 138.6, 138.3, 138.2, 138.1, 138.0, 137.8, 137.3 (8C<sub>quat</sub>, Ph), 133.7 (CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 128.2, 128.1, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7 (40C, Ph), 117.8 (CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 99.8 (C-1<sub>B</sub>), 99.0 (C-1<sub>E</sub>), 98.3 (C-1<sub>D</sub>), 98.0 (C-1<sub>C</sub>), 92.4 (CCl<sub>3</sub>), 85.4 (C-4<sub>C</sub>), 81.8 (C-3<sub>E</sub>), 80.1, 80.0 (2C, C-2<sub>E</sub>,C-4<sub>B</sub>), 77.9 (2C, C-2<sub>C</sub>, C-3<sub>B</sub>), 77.8 (C-4<sub>E</sub>), 76.3 (C-4<sub>D</sub>), 75.6, 75.5, 75.2 (3C, C<sub>Bn</sub>), 74.4 (C-3<sub>D</sub>), 74.1 (C-5<sub>D</sub>), 73.8, 73.7, 73.6 (4C, C<sub>Bn</sub>), 71.8 (C<sub>Bn</sub>), 71.3 (C-5<sub>E</sub>), 69.9 (OCH<sub>2AII</sub>), 69.8 (C-3<sub>C</sub>), 69.6 (C-6<sub>D</sub>), 69.1 (C-2<sub>B</sub>), 68.6 (C-6<sub>E</sub>), 68.5 (C-5<sub>B</sub>), 67.8 (C-5<sub>C</sub>), 53.7 (C-2<sub>D</sub>), 38.2 (CH<sub>2Lev</sub>), 30.0 (CH<sub>3Lev</sub>), 28.3 (CH<sub>2Lev</sub>), 18.1, 18.0 (2C, C-6<sub>B</sub>, C-6<sub>C</sub>). HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>) m/z calcd for C<sub>90</sub>H<sub>100</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>21</sub>Na [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> 1658.5751; found 1658.5919.

 $(3,4-Di-O-benzyl-2-levulinyl-\alpha-L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1\rightarrow 2)-(3,4-di-O-benzyl-\alpha-L-$ 

 $rhamnopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 3)-[2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl-\alpha-D-glucopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 4)]-(2-O-acetyl-\alpha-L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 3)-4,6-di-O-benzyl-2-deoxy-2-trichloroacetamido-\alpha/\beta-D-$ 

glucopyranosyl trichloroacetimidate (40). To a solution of hemiacetal **39** (2.24 g, 1.14 mmol) in anhyd DCE (6 mL) and under argon atmosphere was added DBU (51 µL, 0.34 mmol, 0.3 equiv). The reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C then trichloroacetonitrile (570 µL, 5.68 mmol, 5.0 equiv) was added. After 40 min stirring at 0 °C the volatiles were partially evaporated at room temperature and the residue was purified by column chromatography (toluene-EtOAc, 90:10 + 2% Et<sub>3</sub>N) to give imidate **40** (2.36 g, 1.12 mmol, 97%) as a white foam ( $\alpha/\beta$  ratio 9:1). R<sub>f</sub> = 0.56 (toluene/EtOAc 8.6:1.4 + 2% Et<sub>3</sub>N). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  ( $\alpha$ ) 8.77 (s, 1H, C=NH), 7.37-7.13 (m, 50H, Ph), 6.88 (d,  $J_{NH,2} = 9.0$  Hz, NH), 6.43 (d,  $J_{1,2} = 2.9$  Hz, 1H, H-1<sub>D</sub>), 5.56 (bs, 1H, H-2<sub>A</sub>), 5.19 (d,  $J_{1,2} = 3.2$  Hz, 1H, H-1<sub>C</sub>), 5.12 (bs, 1H, H-2<sub>C</sub>), 5.05 (bs, 1H, H-1<sub>A</sub>), 4.97-4.36 (m, 24H, 20H<sub>Bn</sub>, H-1<sub>B</sub>, H-1<sub>E</sub>, H-2<sub>B</sub>, H-2<sub>D</sub>), 4.20-3.37 (m, 20H, H-2<sub>E</sub>, H-3<sub>A</sub>, H-3<sub>B</sub>, H-3<sub>C</sub>, H-3<sub>D</sub>, H-3<sub>E</sub>, H-4<sub>A</sub>, H-4<sub>B</sub>, H-4<sub>C</sub>, H-4<sub>D</sub>, H-4<sub>E</sub>, H-5<sub>A</sub>, H-5<sub>B</sub>, H-5<sub>C</sub>, H-5<sub>D</sub>, H-5<sub>E</sub>, H-6a<sub>D</sub>, H-6b<sub>D</sub>, H-6a<sub>E</sub>, H-6b<sub>E</sub>), 2.68-2.53 (m, 4H, 2×CH<sub>2Lev</sub>), 2.11, 2.10 (bs, 6H, CH<sub>3Lev</sub>, H<sub>Ac</sub>), 1.30-1.18 (m, 9H, H-6<sub>A</sub>, H-6<sub>B</sub>, H-6<sub>C</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (partial, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  206.1 (C<sub>Lev</sub>), 171.8 (C<sub>Lev</sub>), 170.0 (C<sub>Ac</sub>), 161.7 (NHCO), 160.4 (C=NH), 138.9, 138.8, 138.7, 138.4, 138.3, 138.2, 137.9, 137.6 (10C<sub>quat</sub>, Ph), 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 128.3, 128.1, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7, 127.6, 127.5 (50C, Ph), 99.4 (C-1<sub>A</sub>), 97.1 (C-1<sub>C</sub>), 94.8 (C-1<sub>D</sub>), 92.3 (C(O)CCl<sub>3</sub>), 90.9 (C(NH)CCl<sub>3</sub>), 54.9 (C-2<sub>D</sub>), 38.2 (CH<sub>2Lev</sub>), 29.9 (CH<sub>3Lev</sub>), 28.2 (CH<sub>2Lev</sub>), 21.1 (C<sub>Ac</sub>), 18.8, 18.3, 18.1 (3C, C-6<sub>A</sub>, C-6<sub>B</sub>, C-6<sub>C</sub>).

# 2-Azidoethyl (3,4-di-O-benzyl-2-O-levulinyl- $\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1 $\rightarrow$ 2)-(3,4-di-O-benzyl- $\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1 $\rightarrow$ 3)-[2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl- $\alpha$ -D-glucopyranosyl)-(1 $\rightarrow$ 4)]-(2-O-acetyl- $\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1 $\rightarrow$ 3)-4,6-di-O-benzyl-2-deoxy-2-trichloroacetamido- $\beta$ -D-

*glucopyranoside* (43). A solution of donor 40 (1.20 g, 0.57 mmol) and bromoethanol (120  $\mu$ L, 1.69 mmol, 3.0 equiv) in anhyd DCE (11 mL) containing 4Å MS (1.2 g) was stirred under argon for 5 min. After cooling to 0 °C, TMSOTf (10  $\mu$ L, 55  $\mu$ mol, 0.1 equiv) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 25 min at this temperature then Et<sub>3</sub>N (50  $\mu$ L) was added and the mixture was filtered over a pad of Celite and concentrated to dryness. The crude residue was taken up under argon atmosphere in anhyd DMF (11 mL) then NaI (427 mg, 2.85 mmol, 5.0 equiv) followed by NaN<sub>3</sub> (183 mg, 2.81 mmol, 4.9 equiv) were added. The reaction mixture was heated to 80°C, stirred for 2 h then concentrated. The residue was dissolved in EtOAc (100 mL), washed with water (20 mL) then brine (20 mL) and the aqueous layer was back-extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>

#### The Journal of Organic Chemistry

(20 mL). The combined organics were dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), filtered and concentrated. The residue was purified by column chromatography (toluene-EtOAc, 100:0  $\rightarrow$  80:20) to give azide **43** (909 mg, 0.45 mmol, 78%) as a white foam. Analytical data were as reported previously.<sup>50</sup>

# $O-(3,4-Di-O-benzyl-2-O-levulinyl-\alpha-L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1\rightarrow 2)-(3,4-di-O-benzyl-\alpha-L-a)-(3,4-di-O-benzyl-\alpha-A)-(3,4-a)-(3,4-a)-(3,4-a)-(3,4-a)-(3,4-a)-(3,4-a)-(3,4-a)$

# rhamnopyranosyl)- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ -[2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl- $\alpha$ -D-glucopyranosyl)- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ ]-(2-O-acetyl- $\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl)- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ -2-trichloromethyl-(4,6-di-O-benzyl-1,2-dideoxy- $\alpha$ -D-

glucopyrano)-[2,1-d]-2-oxazoline (45). A suspension of acceptor 42 (1.0 g, 517 µmol), donor 40 (1.43 g, 676 µmol, 1.3 equiv) and freshly activated 4Å MS (350 mg) in anhyd DCE (5 mL) was stirred for 15 min under an argon atm. The reaction mixture was heated to 40 °C then TMSOTf (5 µL, 27 µmol, 0.05 equiv) was added. After 20 min TLC analysis showed full conversion of the donor into a slightly less polar compound. TMSOTf (5 µL, 27 µmol, 0.05 equiv) was added, the reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min then TMSOTf (5  $\mu$ L, 27  $\mu$ mol, 0.05 equiv) was added once more. After stirring for another 1 h 30 at 40 °C, Et<sub>3</sub>N (50 µL) was added and the reaction mixture was filtered over a pad of Celite and concentrated to dryness. The residue was purified by column chromatography (toluene/EtOAc,  $95:5 \rightarrow 85:15$ ) to elute first oxazoline 45 (178 mg, 91 µmol, 18%) as a white amorphous solid, then decasaccharide 46 (1.32 g) slightly contaminated with trichloroacetamide 43. Oxazoline 45 had  $R_f = 0.55$  (toluene/EtOAc, 8.4:1.6). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.28-7.04 (m, 51H, Ph, NH), 6.29 (d,  $J_{1,2}$  = 7.2 Hz, H-1<sub>D</sub>), 5.50 (dd,  $J_{2,3}$  = 3.0 Hz,  $J_{1,2} = 1.9$  Hz, 1H, H-2<sub>A</sub>), 5.02-4.98 (m, 3H, H-1<sub>A</sub>, H-1<sub>C</sub>, H-2<sub>C</sub>), 4.88-4.83 (m, 5H, 3H<sub>Bn</sub>, H-1<sub>B</sub>, H-1<sub>E</sub>), 4.76-4.27 (m, 20H, 17H<sub>Bn</sub>,H-2<sub>B</sub>, H-2<sub>D</sub>, H-3<sub>D</sub>), 3.92-3.30 (m, 19H, H-2<sub>E</sub>, H-3<sub>A</sub>, H-3<sub>B</sub>, H-3<sub>C</sub>, H-3<sub>E</sub>, H-4<sub>A</sub>, H-4<sub>B</sub>, H-4<sub>C</sub>, H-4<sub>D</sub>, H-4<sub>E</sub>, H-5<sub>A</sub>, H-5<sub>B</sub>, H-5<sub>C</sub>, H-5<sub>D</sub>, H-5<sub>E</sub>, H-6a<sub>D</sub>, H-6b<sub>D</sub>, H-6a<sub>E</sub>, H-6b<sub>E</sub>), 2.59-2.43 (m, 4H, 2×CH<sub>2Lev</sub>), 2.05 (s, 3H, H<sub>Ac</sub>), 2.00 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3Lev</sub>), 1.28, 1.24, 1.13 (d,  $J_{5.6} = 6.2$  Hz, 9H, H-6<sub>A</sub>, H-6<sub>B</sub>, H-6<sub>C</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (partial, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  206.0 (C<sub>Lev</sub>), 171.8 (C<sub>Lev</sub>),

170.4 (C<sub>Ac</sub>), 162.9 (C=N), 138.7, 138.6, 138.5, 138.4, 138.3, 138.2, 137.9, 137.5 (10C<sub>quat</sub>, Ph), 128.5, 128.4, 128.3, 128.2, 128.1, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 127.6, 127.5, 127.4, 127.3 (50C, Ph), 104.2 (C-1<sub>D</sub>), 101.0 (C-1<sub>B</sub>), 99.3 (C-1<sub>A</sub>), 98.3 (C-1<sub>E</sub>), 96.8 (C-1<sub>C</sub>), 86.4 (CCl<sub>3</sub>), 66.0 (C-2<sub>D</sub>), 38.1 (CH<sub>2Lev</sub>), 29.8 (CH<sub>3Lev</sub>), 28.2 (CH<sub>2Lev</sub>), 21.2 (C<sub>Ac</sub>), 18.6, 18.5, 18.1 (3C, C-6<sub>A</sub>, C-6<sub>B</sub>, C-6<sub>C</sub>). HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>) m/z calcd for C<sub>109</sub>H<sub>118</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>25</sub>Na [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> 1968.6957; found 1968.7250.

2-Azidoethyl  $(3,4-di-O-benzyl-\alpha-L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1\rightarrow 2)-(3,4-di-O-benzyl-\alpha-L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1\rightarrow 3)-[2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl-\alpha-D-glucopyranosyl)-(1\rightarrow 4)]-(\alpha-L-\alpha-D-glucopyranosyl)-(1\rightarrow 4)]-(1\rightarrow 4)$ 

rhamnopyranosyl)- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ -(4, 6-di-O-benzyl-2-deoxy-2-trichloroacetamido- $\beta$ -D-

glucopyranosyl)- $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ -(3,4-di-O-benzyl- $\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl)- $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ -(3,4-di-O-benzyl- $\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl)- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ -[2,3,4,6-tetra-O-benzyl- $\alpha$ -D-glucopyranosyl)- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ ]- $(\alpha$ -L-

 $rham nopy ranosyl) - (1 \rightarrow 3) - (4, 6-di - O-benzyl - 2-deoxy - 2-trichloroacetamido - \beta - D-glucopy ranoside$ 

(47). To a solution of fully protected decasaccharide 46 (244 mg, 62.8 µmol) in DCM/MeOH (1:3, 4.0 mL) was added methanolic MeONa (25% wt, 72 µL, 0.32 mmol, 5.1 equiv). The reaction mixture was heated to 50 °C, stirred for 15 h then more methanolic MeONa (25% wt, 43 µL, 0.19 mmol, 3.0 equiv) was added and the reaction mixture was heated to 60 °C. After stirring for 6 h, the reaction mixture was neutralized by addition of Dowex 50Wx8-200 (H<sup>+</sup>), filtered over a pad of Celite and concentrated. Column chromatography of the residue (toluene/EtOAc, 90:10  $\rightarrow$  84:16) afforded triol 47 (166 mg, 44.8 µmol, 71%) as a white amorphous solid. Decasaccharide 47 had R<sub>f</sub> = 0.32 (toluene/EtOAc, 4:1). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 7.38-6.94 (m, 102H, Ph, NH),5.18-4.12 (m, 54H, 40H<sub>Bn</sub>, H-1<sub>A</sub>, H-1<sub>A</sub>', H-1<sub>B</sub>, H-1<sub>B</sub>', H-1<sub>C</sub>, H-1<sub>C</sub>', H-1<sub>D</sub>, H-1<sub>E</sub>', H-2<sub>C</sub>, H-2<sub>C</sub>', H-3<sub>D</sub>, H-3<sub>D</sub>'), 4.01-3.28 (m, 46H, H-2<sub>B</sub>, H-2<sub>B</sub>', H-2<sub>D</sub>, H-2<sub>D</sub>', H-2<sub>E</sub>, H-2<sub>E</sub>', H-3<sub>A</sub>, H-3<sub>A</sub>', H-3<sub>B</sub>, H-3<sub>B</sub>', H-3<sub>C</sub>, H-3<sub>C</sub>', H-3<sub>E</sub>, H-5<sub>E</sub>', H-4<sub>A</sub>, H-4<sub>A</sub>', H-4<sub>B</sub>, H-4<sub>B</sub>', H-4<sub>C</sub>, H-4<sub>C</sub>', H-4<sub>D</sub>, H-4<sub>D</sub>', H-4<sub>E</sub>, H-4<sub>E</sub>', H-5<sub>A</sub>, H-5<sub>A</sub>', H-5<sub>B</sub>, H-5<sub>B</sub>', H-5<sub>C</sub>, H-5<sub>C</sub>', H-5<sub>D</sub>,

H-5<sub>D</sub>', H-5<sub>E</sub>, H-5<sub>E</sub>', H-6a<sub>D</sub>, H-6a<sub>D</sub>', H-6b<sub>D</sub>, H-6b<sub>D</sub>', H-6a<sub>E</sub>, H-6a<sub>E</sub>', H-6b<sub>E</sub>, H-6b<sub>E</sub>', -OCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub>), 1.32-1.07 (m, 18H, H-6<sub>A</sub>, H-6<sub>A</sub>', H-6<sub>B</sub>, H-6<sub>B</sub>', H-6<sub>C</sub>, H-6<sub>C</sub>'). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (partial, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  162.0 (NHCO), 161.9 (NHCO), 139.0, 138.8, 138.7, 138.6, 138.5, 138.4, 138.2, 138.0, 137.8, 137.5 (20C<sub>quat</sub>, Ph), 128.9, 128.6, 128.5, 128.4, 128.3, 128.1, 128.0, 127.9, 127.8, 127.7, 127.6, 127.5, 127.4, 127.3 (100C, Ph), 92.6 (CCl<sub>3</sub>), 92.5 (CCl<sub>3</sub>), 50.9 (CH<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub>), 18.6, 18.5, 18.4, 18.3, 18.2 (6C, C-6<sub>A</sub>, C-6<sub>A</sub>', C-6<sub>B</sub>, C-6<sub>B</sub>', C-6<sub>C</sub>, C-6<sub>C</sub>'). HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>) *m/z* calcd for C<sub>206</sub>H<sub>229</sub>Cl<sub>6</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>45</sub> [M+NH<sub>4</sub>]<sup>+</sup> 3717.4026; found 3716.8455; *m/z* calcd for C<sub>206</sub>H<sub>233</sub>Cl<sub>6</sub>N<sub>7</sub>O<sub>45</sub> [M+2NH<sub>4</sub>]<sup>2+</sup> 1867.2145; found 1867.2684.

2-Aminoethyl  $\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ - $\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl)- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ - $[\alpha$ -D-glucopyranosyl)- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ ]- $\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ -2-acetamido-2-deoxy- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ - $\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 2)$ - $\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ - $[\alpha$ -D-glucopyranosyl)- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ ]- $\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ -2-acetamido-2-deoxy- $\beta$ -D-

*glucopyranoside* (5). Triol 47 (24 mg, 6.5 µmol) in solution in *t*BuOH/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O (4:7:1, 4.0 mL) was treated with Pd(OH)<sub>2</sub> (24 mg) and the suspension was stirred an hydrogen atmosphere for 3 days. More Pd(OH)<sub>2</sub> (5.0 mg), K<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub> (3.8 mg) and H<sub>2</sub>O (1.0 mL) were added and stirring went on for an additional 24 h. Once more, Pd(OH)<sub>2</sub> (3.0 mg) and H<sub>2</sub>O (1.0 mL) were added. After 24 h, an HPLC control indicated reaction completion. The suspension was filtered through a pad of Celite and the filtrate was concentrated. RP-HPLC purification of the residue (5 µm C18, 100 Å, 10 x 250 mm column) eluting with CH<sub>3</sub>CN/0.08% aq TFA (0  $\rightarrow$  15% over 20 min at a flow rate of 5.5 mL.min<sup>-1</sup>) gave the target decasaccharide **5** (6.3 mg, 58%) as a white powder following repeated freeze-drying. Analytical RP-HPLC (5 µm, C18 100 Å, 4.6x150 mm, 215 nm) for decasaccharide **5** eluting with CH<sub>3</sub>CN/0.08% aq TFA (0  $\rightarrow$  15% over 20 min at a flow rate of **1**.0 mL.min<sup>-1</sup>) indicated Rt = 4.76 min, <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O, partial)  $\delta$  5.26 (br s, 2H, H-1<sub>E</sub>, H-1<sub>E</sub>'),

5.20, 5.13, 5.09, 5.04, 4.90, 4.88 (6s, 6H, H-1<sub>A</sub>, H-1<sub>A</sub>', H-1<sub>B</sub>, H-1<sub>B</sub>', H-1<sub>C</sub>, H-1<sub>C</sub>'), 4.78 (overlapped with D<sub>2</sub>O, 1H, H-1<sub>D</sub>'), 4.65 (d,  $J_{1,2} = 8.5$  Hz, 1H, H-1<sub>D</sub>), 4.26-3.37 (m, 50H), 3.34-3.24 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>), 2.13 (s, 6H, H<sub>Ac</sub>), 1.42-1.35 (m, 18H, H-6<sub>A</sub>, H-6<sub>A</sub>', H-6<sub>B</sub>, H-6<sub>B</sub>', H-6<sub>C</sub>, H-6<sub>C</sub>'). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (partial, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  177.1 (NHCO), 177.0 (NHCO), 104.9 (C-1<sub>D</sub>'), 103.1 (C-1<sub>D</sub>), 100.1 (2C, C-1<sub>E</sub>, C-1<sub>E</sub>'), 68.3 (OCH<sub>2</sub>), 63.3 (C-6<sub>D</sub>, C-6<sub>D</sub>', C-6<sub>E</sub>, C-6<sub>E</sub>'), 58.3, 57.7 (2C, C-2<sub>D</sub>, C-2<sub>D</sub>'), 42.1 (CH<sub>2</sub>NH<sub>2</sub>), 25.0, 24.9 (2C, NHAc), 20.5, 19.5, 19.3, 19.2 (6C, C-6<sub>A</sub>, C-6<sub>A</sub>', C-6<sub>B</sub>, C-6<sub>B</sub>', C-6<sub>C</sub>'). HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>) *m/z* calcd for C<sub>66</sub>H<sub>113</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>45</sub> [M+H]<sup>+</sup> 1668.6725; found 1668.6951.Other analytical data were as described.<sup>51</sup>

Allyl (2,3-O-isopropylidene- $\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1 $\rightarrow$ 3)-2-deoxy-4,6-O-isopropylidene-2trichloroacetamido-*B-D-glucopyranoside* (48). To a solution of disaccharide 1 (512 mg, 1.0 mmol) in anhyd DMF/acetone (1:1 v/v, 10 mL) stirred at 0 °C under an argon atm were added CSA (48 mg, 0.21 mmol, 0.2 equiv) and 2-methoxypropene (144  $\mu$ L, 1.50 mmol, 1.5 equiv). After 1 h at this temperature, DMP (184  $\mu$ L, 1.50 mmol, 1.5 equiv) was added and the reaction mixture was allowed to reach rt. After 15 h, more CSA (47 mg, 0.21 mmol, 0.2 equiv) and DMP (184 µL, 1.50 mmol, 1.5 equiv) were added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 20 h then Et<sub>3</sub>N (150 µL, 1.10 mmol, 1.1 equiv) was added. Volatiles were removed under vacuum and the residue was purified by column chromatography (cHex-EtOAc  $70:30 \rightarrow 40:60$ ) to give di-Oisopropylidene 48 (441 mg, 0.75 mmol, 75%) as a white amorphous solid.  $R_f = 0.21$ (cHex/EtOAc 3:2);  $[\alpha]_{D}^{24} = -40^{\circ}$  (c 1.0; MeOH). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (MeOD)  $\delta$  5.91-5.81 (m, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.29-5.23 (m, J<sub>trans</sub> = 17.2 Hz, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.15-5.12 (m, J<sub>cis</sub> = 10.5 Hz, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.07 (s, 1H, H-1<sub>c</sub>), 4.65 (bd,  $J_{1,2}$  = 8.3 Hz, 1H, H-1<sub>D</sub>), 4.30-4.26 (m, 1H, -OCH<sub>2All</sub>), 4.09-4.03 (m, 2H, -OCH<sub>2All</sub>, H-2<sub>C</sub>), 3.92-3.80 (m, 6H, H-2<sub>D</sub>, H-3<sub>D</sub>, H-6a<sub>D</sub>, H-6b<sub>D</sub>, H-3<sub>C</sub>, H-5<sub>C</sub>),  $3.67 \text{ (m, } J_{4,5} = 9.3 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}, \text{H-4}_{\text{D}}\text{)}, 3.35 - 3.29 \text{ (m, } 1\text{H}, \text{H-5}_{\text{D}}\text{)}, 3.20 \text{ (dd, } J_{4,5} = 10.2 \text{ Hz}, J_{3,4} = 7.7 \text{ Hz},$ 

 1H, H-4<sub>C</sub>), 1.52 (s, 3H, H<sub>iPr</sub>), 1.44 (s, 3H, H<sub>iPr</sub>), 1.40 (s, 3H, H<sub>iPr</sub>), 1.26 (s, 3H, H<sub>iPr</sub>), 1.18 (d,  $J_{5,6} = 6.2$  Hz, 3H, H-6<sub>C</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (MeOD)  $\delta$ 164.4 (NHCO), 135.0 (CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 117.5 (CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 110.2 (C<sub>iPr-C</sub>), 101.6 (C-1<sub>D</sub>), 100.9 (C<sub>iPr-D</sub>), 99.3 (C-1<sub>C</sub>), 94.0 (CCl<sub>3</sub>), 79.6 (C-3<sub>C</sub>), 78.2 (C-3<sub>D</sub>), 77.2 (C-2<sub>C</sub>), 75.6 (C-4<sub>C</sub>), 74.2 (C-4<sub>D</sub>), 71.3 (-OCH<sub>2All</sub>), 68.7 (C-5<sub>D</sub>), 67.1 (C-5<sub>C</sub>), 63.2 (C-6<sub>D</sub>), 59.5 (C-2<sub>D</sub>), 29.7, 28.4, 26.6, 19.4 (4C, C<sub>iPr</sub>), 18.0 (C-6<sub>C</sub>). HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>) *m/z* calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>34</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>10</sub>Na [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> 612.1146; found 612.1105.

Allyl  $(2,3-O-isopropylidene-4-O-methyl-\alpha-L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1\rightarrow 3)-2-deoxy-4,6-O$ isopropylidene-2-trichloroacetamido-\beta-D-glucopyranoside (49). To a solution of alcohol 48 (299 mg, 0.51 mmol) in anhyd THF (8 mL) stirred at 0 °C under an argon atm, NaH (60% in mineral oil, 60 mg, 1.50 mmol, 3.0 equiv) was added. After 15 min, MeI (95 µL, 1.53 mmol, 3.0 equiv) was added and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt. After 3 h of stirring the solution was cooled to 0 °C, MeOH (8 mL) was slowly added and the volatiles were evaporated. The residue was purified by column chromatography (cHex-EtOAc,  $75:25 \rightarrow 50:50$ ) to give the methyl ether 49 (275 mg, 0.45 mmol, 89%) as a white amorphous solid.  $R_f = 0.28$  (cHex/EtOAc 7:3);  $[\alpha]^{24}_{D} = -45^{\circ}$  (c 1.0; CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.66 (d,  $J_{NH,2} = 8.7$  Hz, 1H, NH), 5.88-5.78 (m, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.29-5.24 (m,  $J_{\text{trans}} = 17.3$  Hz, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.21-5.17 (m,  $J_{\text{cis}} = 10.5$ Hz, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.04 (bs, 1H, H-1<sub>C</sub>), 4.73 (d,  $J_{1,2} = 8.5$  Hz, 1H, H-1<sub>D</sub>), 4.35-4.29 (m, 1H, - $OCH_{2AII}$ ), 4.10-4.02 (m, 4H, - $OCH_{2AII}$ , H-2<sub>C</sub>, H-3<sub>C</sub>, H-3<sub>D</sub>), 3.94 (dd,  $J_{6a,6b} = 10.8$  Hz,  $J_{5,6a} = 5.4$ Hz, 1H, H-6a<sub>D</sub>), 3.86-3.73 (m, 3H, H-2<sub>D</sub>, H-6b<sub>D</sub>, H-5<sub>C</sub>), 3.63 (pt, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H, H-4<sub>D</sub>), 3.52 (s, 3H, -OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.37-3.31 (m, 1H, H-5<sub>D</sub>), 2.92 (dd,  $J_{4,5} = 10.1$  Hz,  $J_{3,4} = 7.0$  Hz, 1H, H-4<sub>C</sub>), 1.49 (s,  $3H, H_{iPr}$ ), 1.48 (s,  $3H, H_{iPr}$ ), 1.41 (s,  $3H, H_{iPr}$ ), 1.28 (s,  $3H, H_{iPr}$ ), 1.22 (d,  $J_{5,6} = 6.2$  Hz,  $3H, H_{-6C}$ ). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ162.1 (NHCO), 133.3 (CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 118.4 (CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 109.1 (C<sub>iPr-C</sub>), 99.9 (C<sub>iPr-D</sub>), 99.3 (C-1<sub>D</sub>), 98.2 (C-1<sub>C</sub>), 92.5 (CCl<sub>3</sub>), 83.7 (C-4<sub>C</sub>), 78.2 (C-2<sub>C</sub>), 76.1, 76.0 (C-3<sub>C</sub>, C-3<sub>D</sub>),

72.9 (C-4<sub>D</sub>), 70.5 (-OCH<sub>2All</sub>), 67.7 (C-5<sub>D</sub>), 65.2 (C-5<sub>C</sub>), 62.2 (C-6<sub>D</sub>), 59.8 (-OCH<sub>3</sub>), 59.1 (C-2<sub>D</sub>), 29.2, 28.2, 26.4, 19.2 (4C, C<sub>iPr</sub>), 17.9 (C-6<sub>C</sub>). HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>) m/z calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>36</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>10</sub>Na [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> 626.1302; found 626.1290.

 $(4-O-methyl-\alpha-L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1\rightarrow 3)-2-deoxy-2-trichloroacetamido-\beta-D-$ Allvl glucopyranoside (6). To a solution of fully protected disaccharide 49 (106 mg, 175 µmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 mL) was added TFA (50% aq, 2 mL). The biphasic mixture was vigorously stirred for 35 min then repeatedly coevaporated with toluene and cyclohexane. The residue was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc) to give tetraol 6 (75 mg, 143 µmol, 82%) as a white amorphous solid.  $R_f = 0.17$  (EtOAc);  $[\alpha]_{D}^{24} = -54^{\circ}$  (c 1.0; MeOH). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta 6.03-5.93$  (m, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.42-5.36 (m, J<sub>trans</sub> = 17.3 Hz, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.35-5.31 (m, J<sub>cis</sub> = 10.4 Hz, 1H, CH=C $H_2$ ), 4.94 (d,  $J_{1,2}$  = 1.7 Hz, 1H, H-1<sub>C</sub>), 4.82 (d,  $J_{1,2}$  = 8.5 Hz, 1H, H-1<sub>D</sub>), 4.45-4.40 (m, 1H, - $OCH_{2All}$ ), 4.28-4.23 (m, 1H, - $OCH_{2All}$ ), 4.04 (dq,  $J_{4,5} = 9.8$  Hz, 1H, H-5<sub>C</sub>), 4.01 (dd,  $J_{6a,6b} = 12.4$ Hz, J<sub>5.6a</sub> = 2.2 Hz, 1H, H-6a<sub>D</sub>), 3.95-3.81 (m, 5H, H-6b<sub>D</sub>, H-2<sub>D</sub>, H-3<sub>D</sub>, H-2<sub>C</sub>, H-3<sub>C</sub>), 3.61 (s, 3H, -OCH<sub>3</sub>), 3.66-3.54 (m, 2H, H-5<sub>D</sub>, H-4<sub>D</sub>), 3.25 (pt, *J*<sub>3,4</sub> = 9.7 Hz, 1H, H-4<sub>C</sub>), 1.33 (d, *J*<sub>5,6</sub> = 6.2 Hz, 3H, H-6<sub>C</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  164.8 (NHCO), 133.1 (CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 118.8 (CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 101.1 (C-1<sub>C</sub>), 99.1 (C-1<sub>D</sub>), 91.6 (CCl<sub>3</sub>), 82.2 (C-4<sub>C</sub>), 80.6 (C-3<sub>D</sub>), 76.1 (C-5<sub>D</sub>), 70.9 (-OCH<sub>2AII</sub>), 70.8 (C-2<sub>C</sub>), 70.1 (C-3<sub>C</sub>), 68.7 (C-4<sub>D</sub>), 68.0 (C-5<sub>C</sub>), 60.8 (C-6<sub>D</sub>), 60.0 (-OCH<sub>3</sub>), 57.4 (C-2<sub>D</sub>), 16.7 (C-6<sub>C</sub>). HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>) m/z calcd for C<sub>18</sub>H<sub>28</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>10</sub>Na [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> 546.0676; found 546.0681.

Allyl (2,3-O-isopropylidene- $\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranos-4-ulosyl)-(1 $\rightarrow$ 3)-2-deoxy-4,6-Oisopropylidene-2-trichloroacetamido- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside (50). A solution of DMSO (301 µL, 4.23 mmol, 5.0 equiv) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1.0 mL) was added to a stirred solution of oxalyl chloride (179 µL, 2.12 mmol, 2.5 equiv) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 mL) at -78 °C, under an argon atm. After 30 min, a solution of alcohol **48** (500 mg, 0.85 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2.0 mL) was added at -78 °C and the reaction was stirred at this temperature for a further 45 min. After this time, Et<sub>3</sub>N (850  $\mu$ L, 6.09 mmol, 7.2 equiv) was added and the reaction was warmed to room temperature and diluted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (50 mL). The combined organics were successively washed with a saturated ag solution of NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (20 mL) and brine (20 mL) before being dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated to a pale yellow solid, which was purified by column chromatography (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>:Me<sub>2</sub>CO 9:1  $\rightarrow$  8:2) to give ketone 50 (416 mg, 0.71 mmol, 84%) as a white amorphous solid.  $R_f = 0.38$  (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/Me<sub>2</sub>CO 4:1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  9.18 (d,  $J_{NH,2}$  = 9.2 Hz, 1H, NH), 5.88-5.75 (m, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.28-5.20 (m, J<sub>trans</sub> = 17.3 Hz, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.15-5.09 (m, J<sub>cis</sub> = 10.7 Hz, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.07 (bs, 1H, H-1<sub>C</sub>), 4.70 (q,  $J_{5,6} = 6.5$  Hz, 1H, H-5<sub>C</sub>), 4.64 (d,  $J_{1,2} = 8.2$  Hz, 1H, H-1<sub>D</sub>), 4.62 (d,  $J_{2,3} = 6.5$  Hz, 1H, H-5<sub>C</sub>), 4.64 (d,  $J_{1,2} = 8.2$  Hz, 1H, H-1<sub>D</sub>), 4.62 (d,  $J_{2,3} = 6.5$  Hz, 1H, H-5<sub>C</sub>), 4.64 (d,  $J_{1,2} = 8.2$  Hz, 1H, H-1<sub>D</sub>), 4.62 (d,  $J_{2,3} = 6.5$  Hz, 1H, H-5<sub>C</sub>), 4.64 (d,  $J_{1,2} = 8.2$  Hz, 1H, H-1<sub>D</sub>), 4.62 (d,  $J_{2,3} = 6.5$  Hz, 1H, H-5<sub>C</sub>), 4.64 (d,  $J_{1,2} = 8.2$  Hz, 1H, H-1<sub>D</sub>), 4.62 (d,  $J_{2,3} = 6.5$  Hz, 1H, H-5<sub>C</sub>), 4.64 (d,  $J_{1,2} = 8.2$  Hz, 1H, H-1<sub>D</sub>), 4.62 (d,  $J_{2,3} = 6.5$  Hz, 1H, H-1<sub>D</sub>), 4.65 (d,  $J_{2,3} = 6.5$  Hz, 1H, H-1<sub>D</sub>), 4.65 (d, J\_{2,3} = 6.5 Hz, 1H, H-1<sub>D</sub>), 4.65 (d, J\_{ 5.5 Hz, 1H, H-3<sub>C</sub>), 4.25-4.15 (m, 2H, -OCH<sub>2All</sub>, H-2<sub>C</sub>), 4.06-3.98 (m, 1H, -OCH<sub>2All</sub>), 3.99 (pt, J =9.5 Hz, H-3<sub>D</sub>), 3.92-3.82 (m, 2H, H-2<sub>D</sub>, H-6a<sub>D</sub>), 3.77 (pt, J = 10.4 Hz, 1H, H-6b<sub>D</sub>) 3.70 (pt, J =9.3 Hz, 1H, H-4<sub>D</sub>), 3.31-3.22 (m, 1H, H-5<sub>D</sub>), 1.46 (s, 3H, H<sub>iPr</sub>), 1.31 (s, 3H, H<sub>iPr</sub>), 1.27 (s, 3H,  $H_{iPr}$ ), 1.20 (s, 3H,  $H_{iPr}$ ), 1.13 (d,  $J_{5.6}$  = 6.5 Hz, 3H, H-6<sub>C</sub>) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  204.7 (C-4<sub>C</sub>), 161.8 (NHCO), 134.1 (CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 116.6 (CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 109.7 (C<sub>iPr-C</sub>), 100.1 (C-1<sub>D</sub>), 99.2 (C<sub>iPr-C</sub>) D), 97.1 (C-1<sub>C</sub>), 92.9 (CCl<sub>3</sub>), 78.9 (C-2<sub>C</sub>), 77.1 (C-3<sub>D</sub>), 75.6 (C-3<sub>C</sub>), 72.1 (C-4<sub>D</sub>), 69.5 (-OCH<sub>2All</sub>), 68.3 (C-5<sub>C</sub>), 65.9 (C-5<sub>D</sub>), 61.4 (C-6<sub>D</sub>), 57.5 (C-2<sub>D</sub>), 28.9, 26.7, 25.5, 19.0 (4C, C<sub>iPr</sub>), 14.6 (C-6<sub>C</sub>). HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>) m/z calcd for C<sub>23</sub>H<sub>32</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>10</sub>Na [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> 702.0735; found 702.0714.

Allyl ( $\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranos-4-ulosyl)-( $1 \rightarrow 3$ )-2-deoxy-2-trichloroacetamido- $\beta$ -Dglucopyranoside (7). A solution of fully protected disaccharide 50 (1.0 g, 1.70 mmol) in AcOH (80% aq, 34 mL) was stirred at 80 °C overnight and then repeatedly coevaporated with a 2:1 mixture of cyclohexane and toluene. The residue was purified by column chromatography (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>:MeOH 9:1  $\rightarrow$  8:2) to give tetraol 7 (600 mg, 1.18 mmol, 69%) as a white amorphous solid. R<sub>f</sub> = 0.16 (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>:MeOH 4:1). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (DMSO- $d_6$  + D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  5.87-5.75 (m, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.25-5.17 (m,  $J_{\text{trans}} = 17.3$  Hz, 1H, CH=C $H_2$ ), 5.12-5.07 (m,  $J_{\text{cis}} = 10.5$  Hz, 1H, CH=C $H_2$ ), 4.91 (d,  $J_{1,2} = 2.1$  Hz, 1H, H-1<sub>C</sub>), 4.73 (qd,  $J_{5,6} = 6.5$  Hz,  $J_{3,5} = 0.6$  Hz, 1H, H-5<sub>C</sub>), 4.54 (d,  $J_{1,2} = 8.4$  Hz, 1H, H-1<sub>D</sub>), 4.41 (dd,  $J_{2,3} = 3.5$  Hz,  $J_{3,5} = 0.6$  Hz, 1H, H-3<sub>C</sub>), 4.26-4.19 (m, 1H, -OCH<sub>2AII</sub>), 4.04-3.97 (m, 1H, -OCH<sub>2AII</sub>), 3.94 (dd,  $J_{2,3} = 3.5$  Hz,  $J_{1,2} = 2.1$  Hz, 1H, H-2<sub>C</sub>), 3.86 (dd,  $J_{2,3} = 10.3$  Hz,  $J_{3,4} = 8.8$  Hz, 1H, H-3<sub>D</sub>), 3.73-3.61 (m, 2H, H-6a<sub>D</sub>, H-2<sub>D</sub>), 3.52 (dd,  $J_{6a,6b} = 12.0$  Hz,  $J_{5,6b} = 5.6$  Hz, 1H, H-6b<sub>D</sub>), 3.30 (dd,  $J_{4,5} = 9.9$  Hz,  $J_{3,4} 8.8$  Hz, 1H, H-4<sub>D</sub>), 3.22 (ddd,  $J_{4,5} = 9.9$  Hz,  $J_{5,6b} = 5.6$  Hz,  $J_{5,6a} = 1.8$  Hz, 1H, H-5<sub>D</sub>), 1.06 (d,  $J_{5,6} = 6.5$  Hz, 3H, H-6<sub>C</sub>) ppm. <sup>13</sup>C NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ )  $\delta$  207.9 (C-4<sub>C</sub>), 161.6 (NHCO), 134.4 (CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 116.3 (CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 99.9 (C-1<sub>C</sub>), 99.4 (C-1<sub>D</sub>), 93.0 (CCl<sub>3</sub>), 79.3 (C-3<sub>D</sub>), 76.8 (C-5<sub>D</sub>), 75.0 (C-2<sub>C</sub>), 73.3 (C-3<sub>C</sub>), 70.3 (C-5<sub>C</sub>), 69.0 (-OCH<sub>2AII</sub>), 68.9 (C-4<sub>D</sub>), 60.7 (C-6<sub>D</sub>), 57.4 (C-2<sub>D</sub>), 13.8 (C-6<sub>C</sub>). HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>) *m*/*z* calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>24</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>10</sub>Na [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> 530.0364; found 530.0280.

*Allyl* (2,3,4-tri-O-acetyl-α-L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1→3)-4,6-di-O-acetyl-2-deoxy-2trichloroacetamido-β-D-glucopyranoside (51). To a solution of disaccharide 1 (1.01 g, 1.97 mmol) in anhyd Py (30 mL), under an argon atm, was added DMAP (25 mg, 0.20 mmol, 0.1 equiv). The reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C then acetic anhydride (20 mL, 212 mmol, 107 equiv) was added, and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to rt. After 14 h of stirring the reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C. The reaction was quenched by the slow addition of MeOH (20 mL) and the mixture was concentrated then co-evaporated twice with toluene. The residue was purified by column chromatography (cHex-EtOAc, 50:50) to give the fully protected disaccharide **51** (1.34 g, 1.86 mmol, 94%) as a white amorphous solid. R<sub>f</sub> = 0.45 (cHex/EtOAc 1:1);  $[\alpha]^{24}_{D} = -2^{\circ}$  (c 1.0; CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 7.16 (d,  $J_{NH,2} = 6.9$  Hz, 1H, NH), 5.91-5.81 (m, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.29-5.24 (m,  $J_{trans} = 17.3$  Hz, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.22-5.17 (m, 3H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>, H-2<sub>c</sub>, H-3<sub>c</sub>), 5.09 (d,  $J_{1,2} = 8.1$  Hz, 1H, H-1<sub>D</sub>), 5.11-4.98 (m, 2H, H-4<sub>c</sub>, H-4<sub>D</sub>), 4.83 (d,  $J_{1,2} = 1.6$  Hz,

 1H, H-1<sub>C</sub>), 4.53 (dd,  $J_{2,3} = 10.0$  Hz,  $J_{3,4} = 8.6$  Hz, 1H, H-3<sub>D</sub>), 4.36-4.31 (m, 1H, -OCH<sub>2All</sub>), 4.23 (dd,  $J_{6a,6b} = 12.3$  Hz,  $J_{5,6a} = 5.1$  Hz, 1H, H-6a<sub>D</sub>), 4.13-4.06 (m,  $J_{5,6b} = 2.7$  Hz, 2H, -OCH<sub>2All</sub>, H-6b<sub>D</sub>), 3.86 (dq,  $J_{4,5} = 9.8$  Hz, 1H, H-5<sub>C</sub>), 3.64 (ddd,  $J_{4,5} = 7.9$  Hz, 1H, H-5<sub>D</sub>), 3.42-3.35 (m, 1H, H-2<sub>D</sub>), 2.10 (s, 6H, H<sub>Ac</sub>), 2.09, 2.03, 1.94 (3s, 9H, H<sub>Ac</sub>), 1.16 (d,  $J_{5,6} = 6.2$  Hz, 1H, H-6<sub>C</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  170.8, 170.1, 170.0, 169.9, 169.8 (5C, C<sub>Ac</sub>), 162.5 (NHCO), 133.4 (CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 118.6 (CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 99.7 (C-1<sub>C</sub>), 97.4 (C-1<sub>D</sub>), 92.1 (CCl<sub>3</sub>), 78.6 (C-3<sub>D</sub>), 72.0 (C-5<sub>D</sub>), 70.9 (-OCH<sub>2All</sub>), 70.7 (C-4<sub>C</sub>), 70.4 (C-4<sub>D</sub>), 70.0 (C-2<sub>C</sub>), 68.9 (C-3<sub>C</sub>), 67.9 (C-5<sub>C</sub>), 62.4 (C-6<sub>D</sub>), 59.1 (C-2<sub>D</sub>), 21.3 (C<sub>Ac</sub>), 21.0 (C<sub>Ac</sub>), 20.9 (2C, C<sub>Ac</sub>), 20.8 (C<sub>Ac</sub>), 17.4 (C-6<sub>C</sub>). HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>) *m/z* calcd for C<sub>27</sub>H<sub>36</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>15</sub>Na [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> 742.1048; found 742.0972.

# 2,3,4-Tri-O-acetyl- $\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ -4,6-di-O-acetyl-2-deoxy-2-

# trichloroacetamido- $\alpha/\beta$ -D-glucopyranose (52).

bis(methyldiphenylphosphine)-iridium hexafluorophosphate (63 mg, 74 µmol, 0.03 equiv) was dissolved in anhyd THF (20 mL) under an argon atm. Hydrogen was bubbled through the solution for 15 min, causing the colour to change from red to yellow. The solution was degassed by complete evaporation of the solvent under vacuum. The activated iridium complex was dissolved under an argon atm in anhyd THF (10 mL) and added to a solution of **51** (1.72 g, 2.39 mmol) in anhyd THF (30 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 4 h at rt, then a solution of iodine (1.21 g, 4.77 mmol, 2.0 equiv) in THF/water (40 mL, 3:1 v/v) was added. After 16 h, the excess iodine was quenched by addition of a 10% aq sodium bisulphite solution (30 mL). The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure to remove THF and the aq phase was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (2 × 60 mL). The combined organics were washed with brine (1 × 20 mL), dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), filtered and concentrated to dryness. Column chromatography of the residue (cHex-EtOAc, 60:40  $\rightarrow$  30:70) afforded hemiacetal **52** (1.39 g, 2.04 mmol, 85%) as a pale yellow

1,5-Cyclooctadiene-

amorphous solid (α/β, 9:1). α:  $R_f = 0.28$  (cHex/EtOAc 1:1). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 6.92 (d,  $J_{NH,2} = 9.1$  Hz, 1H, NH), 5.35 (pt, J = 3.7 Hz, 1H, H-1<sub>D</sub>), 5.23 (dd,  $J_{3,4} = 10.0$  Hz,  $J_{2,3} = 3.4$  Hz, 1H, H-3<sub>C</sub>), 5.15-5.08 (m, 2H, H-4<sub>D</sub>, H-2<sub>C</sub>), 4.98 (pt, J = 9.9 Hz, 1H, H-4<sub>C</sub>), 4.88 (d,  $J_{1,2} = 1.8$  Hz, 1H, H-1<sub>C</sub>), 4.30-4.24 (m, 1H, H-2<sub>D</sub>), 4.19-4.05 (m, 4H, H-3<sub>D</sub>, H-5<sub>D</sub>, H-6a<sub>D</sub>, H-6b<sub>D</sub>), 3.90 (dq,  $J_{4,5} = 9.9$  Hz, 1H, H-5<sub>C</sub>), 2.12, 2.11, 2.09, 2.01, 1.93 (5s, 15H, H<sub>Ac</sub>), 1.16 (d,  $J_{5,6} = 6.3$  Hz, 1H, H-6<sub>C</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ171.1, 170.5, 170.2, 169.7, 169.4 (5C, C<sub>Ac</sub>), 162.1 (NHCO), 99.4 (C-1<sub>C</sub>), 92.4 (CCl<sub>3</sub>), 91.2 (C-1<sub>D</sub>), 78.5 (C-3<sub>D</sub>), 71.2 (C-4<sub>C</sub>), 70.7 (C-2<sub>C</sub>), 69.9 (C-4<sub>D</sub>), 68.4 (C-3<sub>C</sub>), 68.0 (C-5<sub>D</sub>), 67.7 (C-5<sub>C</sub>), 62.2 (C-6<sub>D</sub>), 54.7 (C-2<sub>D</sub>), 21.2 (C<sub>Ac</sub>), 21.0 (C<sub>Ac</sub>), 20.9 (2C, C<sub>Ac</sub>), 20.7 (C<sub>Ac</sub>), 17.2 (C-6<sub>C</sub>). HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>) *m/z* calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>32</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>15</sub>Na [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> 702.0735; found 702.0714.

 $Methyl \quad (2,3,4-tri-O-acetyl-\alpha-L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1\rightarrow 3)-4,6-di-O-acetyl-2-deoxy-1-thio-2-trichloroacetamido-\beta-D-glucopyranoside, \quad (54) \quad \text{and} \quad O-(2,3,4-Tri-O-acetyl-\alpha-L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1\rightarrow 3)-2-trichloromethyl-(4,6-di-O-acetyl-1,2-dideoxy-\alpha-D-glucopyrano)-trichloromethyl-(4,6-di-O-acetyl-1,2-dideoxy-\alpha-D-glucopyrano)-trichloromethyl-(4,6-di-O-acetyl-1,2-dideoxy-\alpha-D-glucopyrano)-trichloromethyl-(4,6-di-O-acetyl-1,2-dideoxy-\alpha-D-glucopyrano)-trichloromethyl-(4,6-di-O-acetyl-1,2-dideoxy-\alpha-D-glucopyrano)-trichloromethyl-(4,6-di-O-acetyl-1,2-dideoxy-\alpha-D-glucopyrano)-trichloromethyl-(4,6-di-O-acetyl-1,2-dideoxy-\alpha-D-glucopyrano)-trichloromethyl-(4,6-di-O-acetyl-1,2-dideoxy-\alpha-D-glucopyrano)-trichloromethyl-(4,6-di-O-acetyl-1,2-dideoxy-\alpha-D-glucopyrano)-trichloromethyl-(4,6-di-O-acetyl-1,2-dideoxy-\alpha-D-glucopyrano)-trichloromethyl-(4,6-di-O-acetyl-1,2-dideoxy-\alpha-D-glucopyrano)-trichloromethyl-(4,6-di-O-acetyl-1,2-dideoxy-\alpha-D-glucopyrano)-trichloromethyl-(4,6-di-O-acetyl-1,2-dideoxy-\alpha-D-glucopyrano)-trichloromethyl-(4,6-di-O-acetyl-1,2-dideoxy-\alpha-D-glucopyrano)-trichloromethyl-(4,6-di-O-acetyl-1,2-dideoxy-\alpha-D-glucopyrano)-trichloromethyl-(4,6-di-O-acetyl-1,2-dideoxy-\alpha-D-glucopyrano)-trichloromethyl-(4,6-di-O-acetyl-1,2-dideoxy-\alpha-D-glucopyrano)-trichloromethyl-(4,6-di-O-acetyl-1,2-dideoxy-\alpha-D-glucopyrano)-trichloromethyl-(4,6-di-O-acetyl-1,2-dideoxy-\alpha-D-glucopyrano)-trichloromethyl-(4,6-di-O-acetyl-1,2-dideoxy-\alpha-D-glucopyrano)-trichloromethyl-(4,6-di-O-acetyl-1,2-dideoxy-\alpha-D-glucopyrano)-trichloromethyl-(4,6-di-O-acetyl-1,2-dideoxy-\alpha-D-glucopyrano)-trichloromethyl-(4,6-di-O-acetyl-1,2-dideoxy-\alpha-D-glucopyrano)-trichloromethyl-(4,6-di-O-acetyl-1,2-dideoxy-\alpha-D-glucopyrano)-trichloromethyl-(4,6-di-O-acetyl-1,2-dideoxy-\alpha-D-glucopyrano)-trichloromethyl-(4,6-di-O-acetyl-1,2-dideoxy-\alpha-D-glucopyrano)-trichloromethyl-(4,6-di-O-acetyl-1,2-dideoxy-\alpha-D-glucopyrano)-trichloromethyl-(4,6-di-O-acetyl-1,2-dideoxy-\alpha-D-glucopyrano)-trichloromethyl-(4,6-di-O-acetyl-1,2-dideoxy-\alpha-D-glucopyrano)-trichloromethyl-(4,6-di-O-acetyl-1,2-dideoxy-\alpha$ 

[2,1-d]-2-oxazoline (53). To a solution of hemiacetal 52 (668 mg, 0.98 mmol) in anhyd CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 mL), under an argon atm, were added Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (64 mg, 0.20 mmol, 0.2 equiv) then trichloroacetonitrile (490  $\mu$ L, 4.89 mmol, 5.0 equiv). The reaction mixture was stirred for 60 min then filtered on celite and concentrated. The residue was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 mL) under an argon atm and freshly activated MS were added (530 mg). The reaction mixture was cooled down to -15 °C then methyl trimethylsilylsulfide (710  $\mu$ L, 5.00 mmol, 5.0 equiv) followed by TMSOTF (13  $\mu$ L, 0.05 mmol, 0.05 equiv) were added. The reaction mixture was stirred overnight while allowed to slowly reach rt then Et<sub>3</sub>N (20  $\mu$ L) was added. The mixture was filtered on celite, concentrated, then column chromatography of the residue (cHex-EtOAc, 90:10  $\rightarrow$  50:50) afforded first oxazoline 53 (324 mg, 0.49 mmol, 50%) then the expected thioglycoside 54 (221 mg, 0.31 mmol, 31%), both as white amorphous solids. Oxazoline 53 has R<sub>f</sub> = 0.51 (cHex/EtOAc

1:1);  $[\alpha]^{24}_{D} = -30^{\circ}$  (*c* 1.0; CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  6.37 (d,  $J_{1,2} = 7.4$  Hz, 1H, H-1<sub>D</sub>), 5.25 (dd,  $J_{3,4} = 10.1$  Hz,  $J_{2,3} = 3.4$  Hz, 1H, H-3<sub>C</sub>), 5.20 (dd,  $J_{1,2} = 1.7$  Hz, 1H, H-2<sub>C</sub>), 5.09 (pt,  $J_{4,5} = 9.9$  Hz, 1H, H-4<sub>C</sub>), 5.04-5.02 (m,  $J_{4,5} = 8.3$  Hz, 1H, H-4<sub>D</sub>), 4.99 (d, 1H, H-1<sub>C</sub>), 4.47-4.44 (m,  $J_{2,3} = 2.7$  Hz, 1H, H-2<sub>D</sub>), 4.35-4.34 (m, 1H, H-3<sub>D</sub>), 4.28 (dd,  $J_{6a,6b} = 12.2$  Hz,  $J_{5,6a} = 3.1$  Hz, 1H, H-6a<sub>D</sub>), 4.16 (dd,  $J_{5,6b} = 6.0$  Hz, 1H, H-6b<sub>D</sub>), 4.09-4.02 (dq, 1H, H-5<sub>C</sub>), 3.79 (ddd, 1H, H-5<sub>D</sub>), 2.16, 2.11, 2.08, 2.05, 1.99 (5s, 15H, H<sub>Ac</sub>), 1.24 (d,  $J_{5,6} = 6.3$  Hz, 1H, H-6<sub>C</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  170.7, 170.3, 170.0, 169.9, 169.7 (5C, C<sub>Ac</sub>), 163.4 (C=N), 103.5 (C-1<sub>D</sub>), 96.5 (C-1<sub>C</sub>), 86.2 (CCl<sub>3</sub>), 72.3 (C-3<sub>D</sub>), 70.8 (C-4<sub>C</sub>), 69.9 (C-2<sub>C</sub>), 68.8 (C-3<sub>C</sub>), 68.6, 68.5 (2C, C-4<sub>C</sub>, C-5<sub>D</sub>), 67.6 (C-5<sub>C</sub>), 64.2 (C-2<sub>D</sub>), 63.6 (C-6<sub>D</sub>), 21.0, 20.9, 20.8 (3C, C<sub>Ac</sub>), 20.7 (2C, C<sub>Ac</sub>), 17.4 (C-6<sub>C</sub>). HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>) *m/z* calcd for C<sub>24</sub>H<sub>30</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>14</sub>Na [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> 684.0630; found 684.0669.

Thioglycoside **54** had  $R_f = 0.38$  (cHex/EtOAc 1:1);  $[\alpha]^{24}{}_D = 0^\circ$  (*c* 1.0; CHCl<sub>3</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.14 (d,  $J_{NH,2} = 7.6$  Hz, 1H, NH), 5.20-5.16 (m, 2H, H-2<sub>C</sub>, H-3<sub>C</sub>), 5.07-4.97 (m, 3H, H-4<sub>C</sub>, H-1<sub>D</sub>, H-4<sub>D</sub>), 4.85 (d,  $J_{1,2} = 1.7$  Hz, 1H, H-1<sub>C</sub>), 4.37 (t, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H, H-3<sub>D</sub>), 4.20 (dd,  $J_{6a,6b} = 12.4$  Hz,  $J_{5,6a} = 5.2$  Hz, 1H, H-6a<sub>D</sub>), 4.10 (dd,  $J_{5,6b} = 2.6$  Hz, 1H, H-6b<sub>D</sub>), 3.89-3.82 (dq,  $J_{4,5} = 9.9$  Hz, 1H, H-5<sub>C</sub>), 3.67-3.57 (m, 2H, H-2<sub>D</sub>, H-5<sub>D</sub>), 2.21 (s, 3H, SCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.10, 2.09, 2.08, 2.01, 1.94 (5s, 15H, H<sub>Ac</sub>), 1.15 (d,  $J_{5,6} = 6.2$  Hz, 1H, H-6<sub>C</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  170.3, 170.1, 170.0, 169.9, 169.8 (5C, C<sub>Ac</sub>), 162.2 (NHCO), 99.6 (C-1<sub>C</sub>), 92.1 (CCl<sub>3</sub>), 82.2 (C-1<sub>D</sub>), 80.1 (C-3<sub>D</sub>), 76.2 (C-5<sub>D</sub>), 70.8 (3C, C-2<sub>C</sub>, C-4<sub>C</sub>, C-4<sub>D</sub>), 68.8 (C-3<sub>C</sub>), 67.9 (C-5<sub>C</sub>), 62.6 (C-6<sub>D</sub>), 57.5 (C-2<sub>D</sub>), 21.2, 21.0, 20.9, 20.8, 20.7 (5C, C<sub>Ac</sub>), 12.5 (S-CH<sub>3</sub>). HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>) *m*/*z* calcd for C<sub>25</sub>H<sub>34</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>14</sub>SNa [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> 732.0663; found 732.0718.

*Methyl*  $\alpha$ -*L*-rhamnopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ -2-deoxy-1-thio-2-trichloroacetamido- $\beta$ -Dglucopyranoside (8). To a solution of fully protected thioglycoside 54 (269 mg, 0.38 mmol) in MeOH (5 mL) was added methanolic MeONa (25% wt, 87 µL, 0.38 mmol, 1.0 equiv). The reaction mixture was stirred for 90 min then neutralized by addition of Dowex 50Wx8-200, filtered over a pad of Celite and concentrated. Column chromatography of the residue (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH, 90:10  $\rightarrow$  80:20) afforded pentaol **8** (174 mg, 0.35 mmol, 91%) as white amorphous solid. R<sub>f</sub> = 0.30 (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 8.5:1.5); [ $\alpha$ ]<sup>24</sup><sub>D</sub> = -61° (*c* 1.0; MeOH). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$ 4.98 (d,  $J_{1,2}$  = 1.7 Hz, 1H, H-1<sub>C</sub>), 4.78 (overlapped with D<sub>2</sub>O, 1H, H-1<sub>D</sub>), 4.11-3.99 (m, 3H, H-5<sub>C</sub>, H-2<sub>D</sub>, H-6a<sub>D</sub>), 3.91-3.78 (m, 4H, H-2<sub>C</sub>, H-3<sub>C</sub>, H-3<sub>D</sub>, H-6b<sub>D</sub>), 3.66 (dd,  $J_{3,4}$  = 9.9 Hz,  $J_{4,5}$ = 8.4 Hz, 1H, H-4<sub>D</sub>), 3.62-3.59 (m, 1H, H-5<sub>D</sub>), 3.51 (pt, J = 9.7 Hz, 1H, H-4<sub>C</sub>), 2.28 (s, 3H, SCH<sub>3</sub>), 1.31 (d,  $J_{5,6}$  = 6.2 Hz, 1H, H-6<sub>C</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$ 167.2 (NHCO), 104.0 (C-1<sub>C</sub>), 94.1 (CCl<sub>3</sub>), 85.8 (C-1<sub>D</sub>), 84.8 (C-3<sub>D</sub>), 82.9 (C-5<sub>D</sub>), 74.5 (C-4<sub>C</sub>), 73.3(C-2<sub>C</sub>), 73.0 (C-3<sub>C</sub>), 71.6 (C-5<sub>C</sub>), 71.2 (C-4<sub>D</sub>), 63.5 (C-6<sub>D</sub>), 58.5 (C-2<sub>D</sub>), 19.1 (C-6<sub>C</sub>), 14.2 (S-CH<sub>3</sub>). HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>) *m/z* calcd for C<sub>15</sub>H<sub>24</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>9</sub>SNa [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> 522.0135; found 522.0093.

Allyl (2,3,4-tri-O-tert-butyldimethylsilyl- $\alpha$ -L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1 $\rightarrow$ 3)-4,6-di-O-tertbutyldimethylsilyl-2-deoxy-2-trichloroacetamido- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranoside (55). To a solution of disaccharide 1 (511 mg, 1.00 mmol) in dry Py (10 mL), under an argon atm at 0 °C, was added *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (2.3 mL, 10.0 mmol, 10.0 equiv) dropwise. The reaction mixture was heated to 60 °C for 15 h then cooled down to 0 °C. The reaction was quenched by addition of MeOH (10 mL). Volatiles were concentrated and co-evaporated twice with toluene. Column chromatography of the residue (cHex-EtOAc, 100:0  $\rightarrow$  98:2) afforded the fully protected disaccharide 55 (930 mg, 0.86 mmol, 86%) as colourless oil (rotameric mixture 3:2). R<sub>f</sub> = 0.78 (cHex/EtOAc 90:10);  $[\alpha]^{24}_{D} = -33 \circ (c 1.0; CHCl_3)$ . <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 7.76 (d,  $J_{NH,2} = 8.7$  Hz, 0.6H, NH), 7.40 (d,  $J_{NH,2} = 8.8$  Hz, 0.4H, NH), 5.89-5.83 (m, 1H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.29-5.14 (m, 2H, CH=CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.78-4.75 (m, 1H, H-1<sub>c</sub>), 4.72 (br s, 1H, H-1<sub>D</sub>), 4.28-4.24 (m, 1H, -OCH<sub>2All</sub>), 4.10-3.95 (m, 4H, -OCH<sub>2All</sub>, H-2<sub>D</sub>, H-4<sub>D</sub>, H-6a<sub>D</sub>), 3.88-3.54 (m, 6.6H, H-2<sub>C</sub>, H-3<sub>C</sub>, H-

4<sub>c</sub>, H-5<sub>c</sub>, H-3<sub>D</sub>, H-5<sub>D</sub>, H-6b<sub>D</sub>), 3.41 (br d, J = 5.0 Hz, 0.4H, H-4<sub>c</sub>), 1.22 (br s, 3H, H-6<sub>c</sub>), 0.93-0.88 (m, 45H, CH<sub>3/BuSi</sub>), 0.17-0.06 (m, 30H, SiCH<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 161.5 (NHCO major), 161.2 (NHCO minor), 134.1 (*C*H=CH<sub>2</sub>), 117.7 (CH=*C*H<sub>2</sub> major), 117.4 (CH=*C*H<sub>2</sub> minor), 102.3 (C-1<sub>c</sub> minor), 99.6 (C-1<sub>c</sub> major), 98.0 (C-1<sub>D</sub> minor), 97.4 (C-1<sub>D</sub> major), 92.8 (CCl<sub>3</sub> minor), 92.6 (CCl<sub>3</sub> major), 79.9 (C-3<sub>D</sub> major), 79.6 (C-3<sub>D</sub> minor), 78.5 (C-4<sub>c</sub> minor), 77.19 (C-4<sub>c</sub> major), 76.7 (C-3<sub>c</sub> minor), 74.1 (C-5<sub>D</sub> major), 73.9 (C-5<sub>D</sub> minor), 73.4, 73.2 (C-2<sub>c</sub> major, C-3<sub>c</sub> major), 71.9 (C-5<sub>c</sub> major), 71.4, 71.3 (C-2<sub>c</sub> minor, C-5<sub>c</sub> minor), 69.6 (-OCH<sub>2All</sub> major), 69.3 (-OCH<sub>2All</sub> minor), 69.1 (C-4<sub>D</sub> minor), 68.4 (C-4<sub>D</sub> major), 64.0 (C-6<sub>D</sub> major), 63.8 (C-6<sub>D</sub> minor), 53.9 (C-2<sub>D</sub> minor), 18.8 (C-6<sub>c</sub> major), 18.6, 18.4, 18.3, 18.0 (5C, Si-C<sub>*t*Bu</sub>), -2.3, -3.3, -3.6, -3.7, -3.9, -4.2, -4.3, -4.4, -4.6, 4.8, -4.9, 5.1 (10C, Si-CH<sub>3</sub>). HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>) *m/z* calcd for C<sub>47</sub>H<sub>96</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>10</sub>Si<sub>5</sub>Na [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> 1102.4844; found 1102.4854.

# $(2,3,4-Tri-O-tert-butyldimethylsilyl-\alpha-L-rhamnopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 3)-4,6-di-O-tert-$

# butyldimethylsilyl-2-deoxy-2-trichloroacetamido- $\alpha/\beta$ -D-glucopyranose (56). 1,5-

Cyclooctadiene-bis(methyldiphenylphosphine)-iridium hexafluorophosphate (19 mg, 22 µmol, 0.03 equiv) was dissolved in anhyd THF (5 mL) under an argon atm. Hydrogen was bubbled through the solution for 15 min, causing the colour to change from red to yellow. The solution was degassed by complete evaporation of the solvent under vacuum. The activated iridium complex was dissolved under an argon atm in anhyd THF (10 mL) and added to a solution of **55** (810 mg, 0.75 mmol) in anhyd THF (10 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h 30 at rt, then a solution of iodine (383 mg, 1.51 mmol, 2.0 equiv) in THF/water (10 mL, 2:1 v/v) was added. After 60 min, the excess iodine was consumed by addition of 10% aq sodium bisulphite (8 mL). The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure to remove THF and the aq

phase was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3 × 30 mL). The combined organics were dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), filtered and concentrated to dryness. Column chromatography of the residue (cHex-EtOAc, 97:3  $\rightarrow$  90:10) afforded hemiacetal **56** (653 mg, 0.63 mmol, 84%) as white amorphous solid ( $\alpha$ / $\beta$  ratio 2:1, rotameric mixture 7:3). R<sub>f</sub> = 0.30 (cHex/EtOAc 9:1);  $\alpha$ : <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 7.72 (d,  $J_{NH,2}$  = 8.8 Hz, 0.7H, NH), 7.63 (d,  $J_{NH,2}$  = 8.4 Hz, 0.3H, NH), 5.22 (dd,  $J_{1,OH}$  = 7.1 Hz,  $J_{1,2}$  = 2.5 Hz, 0.7H, H-1<sub>D</sub>), 4.82-4.81 (m, 1H, H-1<sub>C</sub>), 4.23-3.56 (m, 9H, H-2<sub>C</sub>, H-2<sub>D</sub>, H-3<sub>C</sub>, H-3<sub>D</sub>, H-4<sub>D</sub>, H-5<sub>C</sub>, H-5<sub>D</sub>, H-6a<sub>D</sub>, H-6b<sub>D</sub>), 3.46-3.42 (m, 1H, H-4<sub>C</sub>), 3.17 (d, 0.7H, OH), 1.27-1.21 (br s, 3H, H-6<sub>C</sub>), 0.93-0.89 (m, 45H, CH<sub>3/BuSi</sub>), 0.18-0.07 (m, 30H, SiCH<sub>3</sub>). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (partial, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ 162.7 (NHCO major), 162.5 (NHCO minor), 102.1 (C-1<sub>C</sub> major), 102.0 (C-1<sub>C</sub> minor), 93.5 (CCl<sub>3</sub> minor), 92.7 (CCl<sub>3</sub> major), 89.0 (C-1<sub>D</sub> major), 88.7 (C-1<sub>D</sub> minor), 62.3 (C-6<sub>D</sub> minor), 62.1 (C-6<sub>D</sub> major), 53.1 (C-2<sub>D</sub> major), 52.9 (C-2<sub>D</sub> minor), 26.8, 26.5, 26.2, 26.1, 26.0, 25.9, 25.8 (15C, CH<sub>3/BuSi</sub>), 20.1 (C-6<sub>C</sub>), 18.9, 18.5, 18.3, 18.0 (5C, Si-C<sub>*l*Bu</sub>), -3.8, -3.9, -4.2, -4.3, -4.4, -4.5, -5.0, -5.2 (10C, Si-CH<sub>3</sub>).HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>) *m/z* calcd for C<sub>44</sub>H<sub>92</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>10</sub>Si<sub>5</sub>Na [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> 1062.4531; found 1062.4498.

# $\alpha$ -L-Rhamnopyranosyl- $(1 \rightarrow 3)$ -2-deoxy-2-trichloroacetamido- $\alpha/\beta$ -D-glucopyranose (9).

*Route 1:* 1,5-Cyclooctadiene-bis(methyldiphenylphosphine)-iridium hexafluorophosphate (21 mg, 24  $\mu$ mol, 0.03 equiv) was dissolved in THF (10 mL) under an argon atm. Hydrogen was bubbled through the solution for 20 min, causing the colour to change from red to yellow. The solution was degassed by complete evaporation of the solvent under vacuum. The activated iridium complex was dissolved in THF (10 mL) under an argon atm, and a solution of allyl glycoside **48** (499 mg, 845  $\mu$ mol) in THF (15 mL) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 75 min at rt then a solution of iodine (430 mg, 1.69 mmol, 2.0 equiv) in THF/water (15 mL, 4:1 v/v) was added. After 3 h, the excess iodine was quenched by addition of 10% aq sodium

### The Journal of Organic Chemistry

bisulphite (10 mL). The reaction mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure to remove THF, then water (5 mL) was added and the aq phase was extracted with  $CH_2Cl_2$  (2 × 40 mL). The aq phase was concentrated then suspended in EtOH. The residue was dissolved in AcOH/H<sub>2</sub>O (1:1 v/v, 10 mL) and the reaction mixture was heated at 80 °C for 3 h. The volatiles were removed under vacuum then co-evaporated repeatedly with cyclohexane and toluene. Reverse phase column chromatography of the residue (H<sub>2</sub>O/MeOH 80:20) afforded lactol **9** (59 mg, 126  $\mu$ mol, 15%) as white amorphous solid ( $\alpha/\beta$ , 8:2).

Route 2: To a solution of hemiacetal 56 (202 mg, 194 µmol) in anhyd THF (5 mL), under an argon atm, was added Et<sub>3</sub>N.3HF (175  $\mu$ L, 975  $\mu$ mol, 5.0 equiv). The reaction mixture was stirred for 19 h, more Et<sub>3</sub>N.3HF (70  $\mu$ L, 390  $\mu$ mol, 2.0 equiv) was added, and the reaction mixture was heated to 50 °C. After 6 h, Et<sub>3</sub>N.3HF (105 µL, 390 µmol, 2.0 equiv) was added once more and the reaction mixture was stirred at 50 °C for 20 h before being neutralized by addition of Et<sub>3</sub>N (1 mL). Volatiles were removed under vacuum. Column chromatography of the residue (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH, 95:5  $\rightarrow$  75:25) followed by several freeze-drying afforded the fully deprotected disaccharide 9 (65 mg, 138  $\mu$ mol, 70%) as white amorphous solid ( $\alpha/\beta$ , 7:3). R<sub>f</sub> = 0.39 ( $\alpha$ ), 0.24 ( $\beta$ ) (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/MeOH 4:1); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$  5.33 (d,  $J_{1,2}$  = 3.5 Hz, 0.4H, H-1<sub>D</sub> $\alpha$ ), 5.02 (d,  $J_{1,2}$  = 1.5 Hz, 0.4H, H-1<sub>C</sub> $\alpha$ ), 4.98-4.96 (m, 1.2H, H-1<sub>C</sub> $\beta$ , H-1<sub>D</sub> $\beta$ ), 4.18 (dd,  $J_{2,3} = 10.4$  Hz, 0.4H, H-2<sub>D</sub>α), 4.11-3.78 (m, 7H, H-2<sub>C</sub>, H-3<sub>C</sub>, H-5<sub>C</sub>, H-2<sub>D</sub>β, H-5<sub>D</sub>α, H-6a<sub>D</sub>, H-6b<sub>D</sub>), 3.69-3.62 (m, 1H, H- $4_{\rm D}$ ), 3.60-3.55 (m, 0.6H, H- $5_{\rm D}\beta$ ), 3.50 (pt, J = 9.8 Hz, H- $4_{\rm C}$ ), 1.31 (d,  $J_{5.6} = 6.3$  Hz, 1.2H, H- $6_{\rm C}\alpha$ ), (d,  $J_{5.6}$  = 6.3 Hz, 1.8H, H-6<sub>C</sub>β). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (D<sub>2</sub>O) δ167.4 (NHCO β), 167.1 (NHCO α), 103.9 (C- $1_{C}\beta$ ), 103.7 (C- $1_{C}\alpha$ ), 96.5 (C- $1_{D}\beta$ ), 94.3 (CC $1_{3}\beta$ ), 94.1 (CC $1_{3}\alpha$ ), 93.2 (C- $1_{D}\alpha$ ), 83.6 (C- $3_{D}\beta$ ), 81.5  $(C-3_{D}\alpha)$ , 78.8  $(C-5_{D}\beta)$ , 74.5  $(C-4_{C})$ , 74.4  $(C-5_{D}\alpha)$ , 73.3  $(C-2_{C})$ , 73.0  $(C-3_{C})$ , 71.6  $(C-5_{C})$ , 71.3  $(C-3_{C})$ 

4<sub>D</sub>), 63.4 (C-6<sub>D</sub>β), 63.3 (C-6<sub>D</sub>α), 61.1 (C-2<sub>D</sub>β), 58.4 (C-2<sub>D</sub>α), 19.1 (C-6<sub>C</sub>). HRMS (ESI<sup>+</sup>) m/z calcd for C<sub>14</sub>H<sub>22</sub>Cl<sub>3</sub>NO<sub>10</sub>Na [M+Na]<sup>+</sup> 492.0207; found 492.0186.

**Computational procedures**: All calculations were performed using the hybrid density functional methods<sup>87</sup> integrated in the Gaussian 09 set of programs. The Lee, Yang, and Parr correlation functional method (B3LYP) was chosen.<sup>88,89</sup> The 6-31G(3df,3pd) basis set was employed for each atom. Structural parameters and associated energies result from full geometry optimization in gas phase, with no imposed constraints. The convergence criteria were set up so that the maximum atomic force is negligible.

**Enzymatic assays:** Used procedures were as described previously.<sup>50</sup>

Supporting Information: <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra for the target decasaccharide 5 and all novel compounds described in the experimental section (3, 6 - 9, 11 - 22, 24 - 26, 36, 37, 40, 45, 47 - 56). HPLC profile for decasaccharide 5. Tables of atom coordinates and absolute energies for compounds 25 - 27. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org/.

## **Author information**

Corresponding Author

\* E-mail: laurence.mulard@pasteur.fr

Present Address

# Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

# Acknowledgements

We thank F. Bonhomme (CNRS UMR 3523) for HRMS recording. This work was supported by the French National Research Agency (Project GLUCODESIGN, ANR-08-PCVI-002-02) and the European Commission Seventh Framework Program (FP7/2007–2013) under Grant agreement No. 261472-STOPENTERICS. This work was granted access to the HPC resources of CALMIP supercomputing center under the allocation P1101.

Keywords: Carbohydrates • Synthesis • Glycosylation • Protecting group • Molecular modelling.

# References

- Wang, C. C.; Lee, J. C.; Luo, S. Y.; Kulkarni, S. S.; Huang, Y. W.; Lee, C. C.; Chang, K. L.; Hung, S. C. *Nature* 2007, 446, 896.
- (2) Zhu, X.; Schmidt, R. R. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 2009, 48, 1900.
- (3) Boltje, T. J.; Buskas, T.; Boons, G.-J. *Nature Chem.* **2009**, *1*, 611.
- (4) Hsu, C.-H.; Hung, S.-C.; Wu, C.-Y.; Wong, C.-H. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 2011, 50, 11872.
- (5) Lowary, T. L. Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 2013, 17, 990.
- (6) Ishiwata, A.; Ito, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 2275.

- (7) Calin, O.; Eller, S.; Seeberger, P. H. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 2013, 52, 5862.
- (8) Adibekian, A.; Stallforth, P.; Hecht, M.-L.; Werz, D. B.; Gagneux, P.; Seeberger, P. H. *Chem. Sci.* 2011, 2, 337.
- (9) Palcic, M. M. Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 2011, 15, 226.
- (10) Armstrong, Z.; Withers, S. G. Biopolymers 2013, 99, 666.
- (11) Monsan, P.; Remaud-Siméon, M.; André, I. Curr. Opin. Microbiol. 2010, 13, 293.
- (12) Lennarz, W. J.; Lane, M. D.; Tolbert, T. J.; Wong, C. H. Encycl. Biol. Chem. 2013, 362.
- (13) Filice, M.; Marciello, M. Curr. Org. Chem. 2013, 17, 701.
- (14) Wang, Z.; Chinoy, Z. S.; Ambre, S. G.; Peng, W.; McBride, R.; de Vries, R. P.; Glushka, J.; Paulson, J. C.; Boons, G.-J. *Science* 2013, *341*, 379.
- (15) Lozano, R.; Naghavi, M.; Foreman, K.; Lim, S.; Shibuya, K.; Aboyans, V.; Abraham, J.; Adair, T.; Aggarwal, R.; Ahn, S. Y.; AlMazroa, M. A.; Alvarado, M.; Anderson, H. R.; Anderson, L. M.; Andrews, K. G.; Atkinson, C.; Baddour, L. M.; Barker-Collo, S.; Bartels, D. H.; Bell, M. L.; Benjamin, E. J.; Bennett, D.; Bhalla, K.; Bikbov, B.; Abdulhak, A. B.; Birbeck, G.; Blyth, F.; Bolliger, I.; Boufous, S.; Bucello, C.; Burch, M.; Burney, P.; Carapetis, J.; Chen, H.; Chou, D.; Chugh, S. S.; Coffeng, L. E.; Colan, S. D.; Colquhoun, S.; Colson, K. E.; Condon, J.; Connor, M. D.; Cooper, L. T.; Corriere, M.; Cortinovis, M.; de Vaccaro, K. C.; Couser, W.; Cowie, B. C.; Criqui, M. H.; Cross, M.; Dabhadkar, K. C.; Dahodwala, N.; De Leo, D.; Degenhardt, L.; Delossantos, A.; Denenberg, J.; Des Jarlais, D. C.; Dharmaratne, S. D.; Dorsey, E. R.; Driscoll, T.; Duber, H.; Ebel, B.; Erwin, P. J.; Espindola, P.; Ezzati, M.; Feigin, V.; Flaxman, A. D.; Forouzanfar, M. H.; Fowkes, F. G. R.; Franklin, R.; Fransen, M.; Freeman, M. K.; Gabriel, S. E.; Gakidou, E.; Gaspari, F.; Gillum, R. F.; Gonzalez-Medina, D.; Halasa, Y. A.; Haring, D.; Harrison, J. E.; Havmoeller, R.; Hay, R. J.; Hoen, B.; Hotez, P. J.; Hoy, D.; Jacobsen, K. H.; James, S. L.;

## The Journal of Organic Chemistry

Jasrasaria, R.; Jayaraman, S.; Johns, N.; Karthikeyan, G.; Kassebaum, N.; Keren, A.; Khoo, J.-P.; Knowlton, L. M.; Kobusingye, O.; Koranteng, A.; Krishnamurthi, R.; Lipnick, M.; Lipshultz, S. E. *Lancet* **2012**, *380*, 2095.

- (16) von Seidlein, L.; Kim, D. R.; Ali, M.; Lee, H.; Wang, X.; Thiem, V. D.; Canh do, G.; Chaicumpa, W.; Agtini, M. D.; Hossain, A.; Bhutta, Z. A.; Mason, C.; Sethabutr, O.; Talukder, K.; Nair, G. B.; Deen, J. L.; Kotloff, K.; Clemens, J. *PLoS Med* 2006, *3*, e353.
- (17) Klontz, K. C.; Singh, N. Expert Rev. Anti Infect. Ther. 2015, 13, 69.
- (18) Robbins, J. B.; Kubler-Kielb, J.; Vinogradov, E.; Mocca, C.; Pozsgay, V.; Shiloach, J.;
  Schneerson, R. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 2009, 106, 7974.
- (19) Pozsgay, V.; Chu, C.; Pannell, L.; Wolfe, J.; Robbins, J. B.; Schneerson, R. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. 1999, 96, 5194.
- (20) Pozsgay, V. Curr. Top. Med. Chem. 2008, 8, 126.
- (21) Phalipon, A.; Tanguy, M.; Grandjean, C.; Guerreiro, C.; Belot, F.; Cohen, D.; Sansonetti, P. J.; Mulard, L. A. J. Immunol. 2009, 182, 2241.
- (22) Phalipon, A.; Costachel, C.; Grandjean, C.; Thuizat, A.; Guerreiro, C.; Tanguy, M.; Nato, F.; Vulliez-Le Normand, B.; Belot, F.; Wright, K.; Marcel-Peyre, V.; Sansonetti, P. J.; Mulard, L. A. J. Immunol. 2006, 176, 1686.
- (23) Perepelov, A. V.; Shekht, M. E.; Liu, B.; Shevelev, S. D.; Ledov, V. A.; Senchenkova, S. N.; L'vov, V. L.; Shashkov, A. S.; Feng, L.; Aparin, P. G.; Wang, L.; Knirel, Y. A. FEMS Immunol. Med. Microbiol. 2012, 66, 201.
- (24) Sun, Q.; Knirel, Y. A.; Lan, R.; Wang, J.; Senchenkova, S. y. N.; Shashkov, A. S.; Wang, Y.; Wang, Y.; Luo, X.; Xu, J. *Glycobiology* 2014, *24*, 305.
- (25) Livio, S.; Strockbine, N. A.; Panchalingam, S.; Tennant, S. M.; Barry, E. M.; Marohn, M. E.; Antonio, M.; Hossain, A.; Mandomando, I.; Ochieng, J. B.; Oundo, J. O.; Qureshi, S.;
Ramamurthy, T.; Tamboura, B.; Adegbola, R. A.; Hossain, M. J.; Saha, D.; Sen, S.;
Faruque, A. S. G.; Alonso, P. L.; Breiman, R. F.; Zaidi, A. K. M.; Sur, D.; Sow, S. O.;
Berkeley, L. Y.; O'Reilly, C. E.; Mintz, E. D.; Biswas, K.; Cohen, D.; Farag, T. H.; Nasrin,
D.; Wu, Y.; Blackwelder, W. C.; Kotloff, K. L.; Nataro, J. P.; Levine, M. M. *Clin. Infect. Dis.* 2014, *59*, 933.

- (26) Wessel, H. P.; Bundle, D. R. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 1985, 2251.
- (27) Nifantev, N. E.; Shashkov, A. S.; Lipkind, G. M.; Kochetkov, N. K. *Carbohydr. Res.* 1992, 237, 95.
- (28) Segat-Dioury, F.; Mulard, L. A. Tetrahedron: Asym 2002, 13, 2211.
- (29) Boutet, J.; Guerreiro, C.; Mulard, L. A. Tetrahedron 2008, 64, 10558.
- (30) Dhara, D.; Kar, R. K.; Bhunia, A.; Misra, A. K. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 4577.
- (31) Hargreaves, J.; Le Guen, Y.; Guerreiro, C.; Descroix, K.; Mulard, L. A. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2014, 12, 7728.
- (32) Komarova, B. S.; Orekhova, M. V.; Tsvetkov, Y. E.; Nifantiev, N. E. *Carbohydr. Res.*2014, 384, 70.
- (33) de Kort, M.; Valentijn, A. R. P. M.; van der Marel, G. A.; van Boom, J. H. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 7629.
- (34) Crich, D.; Cai, W. L. J. Org. Chem. 1999, 64, 4926.
- (35) Pokorny, B.; Müller-Loennies, S.; Kosma, P. Carbohydr. Res. 2014, 391, 66.
- (36) Lemanski, G.; Ziegler, T. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 2618.
- (37) Cumpstey, I. Carbohydr. Res. 2008, 343, 1553.
- (38) Iino, K.; Iwamoto, S.; Kasahara, Y.; Matsuda, K.; Tonozuka, T.; Nishikawa, A.; Ito, Y.;
   Matsuo, I. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2012, *53*, 4452.
- (39) Yasomanee, J. P.; Demchenko, A. V. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl. 2014, 53, 10453.

1 2

3
4
5
6
7
8
å
3
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
10
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
20
20
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
27
31
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
16
40
41
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

(40) Kim, J.-H.; Yang, H.; Park, J.; Boons, G.-J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 12090.

- (41) Fascione, M. A.; Adshead, S. J.; Stalford, S. A.; Kilner, C. A.; Leach, A. G.; Turnbull, W. B. *Chem. Commun.* 2009, 5841.
- (42) Fang, T.; Mo, K.-F.; Boons, G.-J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 7545.
- (43) Fascione, M. A.; Kilner, C. A.; Leach, A. G.; Turnbull, W. B. Chem. Eur. J. 2012, 18, 321.
- (44) Allison, G. E.; Verma, N. K. Trends Microbiol. 2000, 8, 17.
- (45) http://www.cazy.org.
- (46) Daude, D.; Andre, I.; Monsan, P.; Remaud-Simeon, M. In *Carbohydr. Chem.*; The Royal Society of Chemistry: 2014; Vol. 40, p 624.
- (47) Champion, E.; André, I.; Moulis, C.; Boutet, J.; Descroix, K.; Morel, S.; Monsan, P.;
  Mulard, L. A.; Remaud-Siméon, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 7379.
- (48) Champion, E.; Guerin, F.; Moulis, C.; Barbe, S.; Thu Hoai, T.; Morel, S.; Descroix, K.; Monsan, P.; Mourey, L.; Mulard, L. A.; Tranier, S.; Remaud-Siméon, M.; André, I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2012, 134, 18677.
- (49) Urbach, C.; Halila, S.; Guerreiro, C.; Driguez, H.; Mulard, L. A.; Armand, S. *ChemBioChem* **2014**, *15*, 293.
- (50) Salamone, S.; Guerreiro, C.; Cambon, E.; Andre, I.; Remaud-Simeon, M.; Mulard, L. A. *Chem. Commun.* 2015, *51*, 2581.
- (51) Bélot, F.; Guerreiro, C.; Baleux, F.; Mulard, L. A. Chem. Eur. J. 2005, 11, 1625.
- (52) Evans, M. E.; Parrish, F. W. Carbohydr. Res. 1977, 54, 105.
- (53) Clode, D. M. Chem. Rev. 1979, 79, 491.
- (54) Chung, S.-K.; Moon, S.-H. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1992, 77.
- (55) Mulard, L. A.; Costachel, C.; Sansonetti, P. J. J. Carbohydr. Chem. 2000, 19, 849.
- (56) Debost, J.-L.; Gelas, J.; Horton, D.; Mols, O. Carbohydr. Res. 1984, 125, 329.

- (57) Hanessian, S.; Delorme, D.; Tyler, P. C.; Demailly, G.; Chapleur, Y. Can. J. Chem. 1983, 61, 634.
- (58) Catelani, G.; D'Andrea, F.; Puccioni, L. Carbohydr. Res. 2000, 324, 204.
- (59) Yadav, J. S.; Satyanarayana, M.; Raghavendra, S.; Balanarsaiah, E. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2005, 46, 8745.
- (60) Yadav, J. S.; Raghavendra, S.; Satyanarayana, M.; Balanarsaiah, E. Synlett 2005, 2461.
- (61) Danieli, B.; Luisetti, M.; Sampognaro, G.; Carrea, G.; Riva, S. J. Mol. Catalysis B: Enzymatic 1997, 3, 193.
- (62) Rencurosi, A.; Poletti, L.; Guerrini, M.; Russo, G.; Lay, L. Carbohydr. Res. 2002, 337, 473.
- (63) Plou, F. J.; Cruces, M. A.; Ferrer, M.; Fuentes, G.; Pastor, E.; Bernabé, M.; Christensen, M.; Comelles, F.; Parra, J. L.; Ballesteros, A. J. Biotechnol. 2002, 96, 55.
- (64) Sherman, A. A.; Yudina, O. N.; Mironov, Y. V.; Sukhova, E. V.; Shashkov, A. S.; Menshov, V. M.; Nifantiev, N. E. *Carbohydr. Res.* 2001, *336*, 13.
- (65) Pinto, B. M.; Reimer, K. B.; Morissette, D. G.; Bundle, D. R. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 2650.
- (66) Pinto, B. M.; Reimer, K. B.; Morissette, D. G.; Bundle, D. R. J. Chem. Soc. Perkin Trans. 1 1990, 293.
- (67) Bélot, F.; Wright, K.; Costachel, C.; Phalipon, A.; Mulard, L. A. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 69, 1060.
- (68) Oltvoort, J. J.; Van Boeckel, C. A. A.; De Koning, J. H.; Van Boom, J. H. Synthesis 1981, 3, 305.
- (69) Nashed, M. A.; Anderson, L. Chem. Commun. 1982, 1274.
- (70) Boutet, J.; Guerreiro, C.; Mulard, L. A. J. Org. Chem. 2009, 74, 2651.
- (71) Boutet, J.; Mulard, L. A. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2008, 5526.

- (72) Chassagne, P.; Fontana, C.; Guerreiro, C.; Gauthier, C.; Phalipon, A.; Widmalm, G.;Mulard, L. A. *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 2013, 4085.
- (73) Mulard, L. A.; Clement, M. J.; Segat-Dioury, F.; Delepierre, M. *Tetrahedron* 2002, 58, 2593.
- (74) Palomino, J. C. C.; Rensoli, M. H.; Bencomo, V. V. J. Carbohydr. Chem. 1996, 15, 137.
- (75) Mulard, L. A.; Guerreiro, C. Tetrahedron 2004, 60, 2475.
- (76) Lucas, R.; Hamza, D.; Lubineau, A.; Bonnaffe, D. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2004, 2107.
- (77) André, I.; Mazeau, K.; Taravel, F. R.; Tvaroska, I. Int. J. Biol. Macromol. 1995, 17, 189.
- (78) Muller, N.; Reiter, R. C. J. Chem. Phys. 1965, 42, 3265.
- (79) van Steijn, A. M. P.; Kamerling, J. P.; Vliegenthart, J. F. G. *Carbohydr. Res.* 1991, 211, 261.
- (80) Gurjar, M. K.; Mainkar, A. S. Tetrahedron 1992, 48, 6729.
- (81) Du, Y.; Kong, F. J. Carbohydr. Chem. 1999, 18, 655.
- (82) Potocki De Montalk, G.; Remaud-Siméon, M.; Willemot, R. M.; Planchot, V.; Monsan, P. J. Bacteriol. 1999, 181, 375.
- (83) Salamone, S.; Boisbrun, M.; Didierjean, C.; Chapleur, Y. Carbohydr. Res. 2014, 386, 99.
- (84) Jütten, P.; Scharf, H.-D. Carbohydr. Res. 1991, 212, 93.
- (85) Pirrung, M. C.; Shuey, S. W.; Lever, D. C.; Fallon, L. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1994, 4, 1345.
- (86) Verges, A.; Cambon, E.; Barbe, S.; Salamone, S.; Le Guen, Y.; Moulis, C.; Mulard, L. A.;
   Remaud-Siméon, M.; André, I. ACS Catalysis 2015, 5, 1186.
- (87) Frisch, M. J.; Trucks, G. W.; Schlegel, H. B.; Scuseria, G. E.; Robb, M. A.; Cheeseman, J. R.; Scalmani, G.; Barone, V.; Mennucci, B.; Petersson, G. A.; Nakatsuji, H.; Caricato, M.; Li, X.; Hratchian, H. P.; Izmaylov, A. F.; Bloino, J.; Zheng, G.; Sonnenberg, J. L.; Hada,

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

M.; Ehara, M.; Toyota, K.; Fukuda, R.; Hasegawa, J.; Ishida, M.; Nakajima, T.; Honda, Y.; Kitao, O.; Nakai, H.; Vreven, T.; Montgomery Jr., J. A.; Peralta, J. E.; Ogliaro, F.; Bearpark, M. J.; Heyd, J.; Brothers, E. N.; Kudin, K. N.; Staroverov, V. N.; Kobayashi, R.; Normand, J.; Raghavachari, K.; Rendell, A. P.; Burant, J. C.; Iyengar, S. S.; Tomasi, J.; Cossi, M.; Rega, N.; Millam, N. J.; Klene, M.; Knox, J. E.; Cross, J. B.; Bakken, V.; Adamo, C.; Jaramillo, J.; Gomperts, R.; Stratmann, R. E.; Yazyev, O.; Austin, A. J.; Cammi, R.; Pomelli, C.; Ochterski, J. W.; Martin, R. L.; Morokuma, K.; Zakrzewski, V. G.; Voth, G. A.; Salvador, P.; Dannenberg, J. J.; Dapprich, S.; Daniels, A. D.; Farkas, Ö.; Foresman, J. B.; Ortiz, J. V.; Cioslowski, J.; Fox, D. J.; Gaussian, Inc.: Wallingford, CT, USA, 2009.

- (88) Becke, A. D. Phys. Rev. A 1988, 38, 3098.
- (89) Lee, C.; Yang, W.; Parr, R. G. Phys. Rev. B 1988, 37, 785.