Design and Evaluation of Nrf2 Activators with 1,3,4-Oxa/thiadiazole Core as Neuro-protective Agents against Oxidative Stress in PC-12 Cells

Hongzhi Lin, Yuting Qiao, Hongyu Yang, Qi Li, Yao Chen, Wei Qu, Wenyuan Liu, Feng Feng, Haopeng Sun

PII:	S0960-894X(19)30827-3
DOI:	https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc1.2019.126853
Reference:	BMCL 126853
To appear in:	Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters
Received Date:	3 July 2019
Revised Date:	2 November 2019
Accepted Date:	21 November 2019



Please cite this article as: Lin, H., Qiao, Y., Yang, H., Li, Q., Chen, Y., Qu, W., Liu, W., Feng, F., Sun, H., Design and Evaluation of Nrf2 Activators with 1,3,4-Oxa/thiadiazole Core as Neuro-protective Agents against Oxidative Stress in PC-12 Cells, *Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters* (2019), doi: https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmcl. 2019.126853

This is a PDF file of an article that has undergone enhancements after acceptance, such as the addition of a cover page and metadata, and formatting for readability, but it is not yet the definitive version of record. This version will undergo additional copyediting, typesetting and review before it is published in its final form, but we are providing this version to give early visibility of the article. Please note that, during the production process, errors may be discovered which could affect the content, and all legal disclaimers that apply to the journal pertain.

© 2019 Published by Elsevier Ltd.

Design and Evaluation of Nrf2 Activators with 1,3,4-Oxa/thiadiazole Core as Neuro-protective Agents against Oxidative Stress in PC-12 Cells

Hongzhi Lin ^a, Yuting Qiao ^a, Hongyu Yang ^a, Qi Li ^a, Yao Chen ^c, Wei Qu ^d, Wenyuan Liu ^e, Feng Feng ^{b, *}, Haopeng Sun ^{a, b, *}

^a Department of Medicinal Chemistry, China Pharmaceutical University, Nanjing, 211198, People's Republic of China

^b Jiangsu Food and Pharmaceutical Science College, Huaian, 223003, People's Republic of China

^c School of Pharmacy, Nanjing University of Chinese Medicine, Nanjing, 210023, China;

^d Department of Natural Medicinal Chemistry, China Pharmaceutical University, Nanjing, 211198, People's Republic of China

^e Department of Analytical Chemistry, China Pharmaceutical University, Nanjing, 210009, People's Republic of China

Corresponding Authors: <u>sunhaopeng@163.com</u> (H. P. Sun); <u>fengsunlight@163.com</u> (F. Feng)

Abstract

Oxidative stress plays vital roles in virous neurodegenerative diseases including Alzheimer's disease. Activation of the transcription factor Nrf2 (nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2), the key regulator of oxidative stress, may provide a new therapeutic strategy for these diseases. Herein we synthesized and evaluated a series of 1,3,4-oxa/thiadiazole core Nrf2 activators as neuroprotective agents. The representative compound **8** exhibited cytoprotective and Nrf2 activation effects in a neuron-like PC-12 cells. Additionally, compound **8** showed good membrane permeability, indicating this compound could penetrate blood-brain barrier (BBB) to reach central nervous system (CNS) as a neuro-protective agent. These results indicated that these Nrf2 activators with 1,3,4-oxa/thiadiazole core could serve as a new chemotype against oxidative stress in neurodegenerative diseases.

Key word: Nrf2 activator; neuro-protective agent; oxidative stress.

Alzheimer's disease (AD) is one of the severest health problems around the world¹. This neurodegenerative disease is the most common cause of dementia. With the increase of the population of old people, the number of AD patients will escalate rapidly in the coming years. ^{2,3}. Only five drugs have been proved by Food and Drug Administration (FDA) for the treatment of AD, including rivastigmine, galantamine, donepezil, memantine, and tacrine (tacrine is now discontinued in the United States). But the effectiveness of these drugs is temporary and varies from person to person. Considering the huge social burden caused by AD, new drugs are urgently needed to treat AD. So far, the etiology of AD has not been well understood. A number of theories of AD pathogenesis are proposed, including cholinergic dysfunction⁴, amyloid cascade⁵, hyperphosphorylation of tau-protein⁶, cell cycle hypothesis⁷, brain-derived neurotrophic factor hypothesis⁸, and oxidative stress related free radical formation⁹, metal dyshomeostasis¹⁰, and mitochondrial dysfunction hypotheses¹¹. However, none of the pharmacologic treatments alleviates or prevents the progression of AD.

The relationship between AD and oxidative stress is still obscure. It is difficult to assess that oxidative stress is a cause, a consequence, or just an independent biomarker of AD¹². Nevertheless, increasing number of studies demonstrate that oxidative stress plays vital roles in the progression of AD. In the brain tissues of AD patients, high level of reactive oxygen species (ROS) directly leads to a range of cellular damages. Nuclear factor erythroid 2-related factor 2 (Nrf2) is the key regulator of cellular antioxidative process. Under normal condition, the newly synthetic Nrf2 proteins are quickly ubiquitylated by Kelch-like ECH-associated protein 1 (Keap1) and then are degenerated through ubiquitin-proteasome pathway. Under stress condition, Nrf2 translocates into nucleus and binds with the antioxidant response element (ARE) to induce the expression of its downstream genes¹³, leading to the activation of more than 100 antioxidative proteins, including antioxidant proteins, phase I and II detoxification enzymes, transport proteins, and some transcription factors^{14, 15}. Thus, activating Nrf2-ARE pathway could systemically alleviate oxidative stress and Nrf2 is regarded as an attractive therapeutic target for neurodegenerative diseases¹⁶.

Small molecular Nrf2 activators are generally classified into two distinct groups¹⁷⁻¹⁹: 1, electrophilic activators that can covalently modify Keap1²⁰⁻²³; 2, activators interfere Keap1-

Nrf2 protein-protein interaction (PPI)^{24, 25}. So far, most of the preclinical and clinical studies of Nrf2 activator are focus on cancer chemoprevention and inflammatory diseases in lung or kidney. However, the use of Nrf2 activators in central nervous system is limited by the potential long-term toxicity or poor pharmacokinetics properties^{18, 26}.

Previously, we identified a series of compounds with 1,2,4-oxadiazole core as Nrf2 activators^{27, 28}. The most potent activator, compound **1** (figure 1), upregulated gene and protein level of Nrf2 as well as its downstream genes, including NQO1, GCLM, and HO-1, *in vivo*. Moreover, it remarkably suppressed inflammatory symptom in the LPS-challenged mouse model and the DSS-induced murine colitis^{27, 29}. However, the low aqueous solubility of compound **1** limits its further development. In this study, on the basis of compound **1**, we synthesized and evaluated a series of compounds with 1,3,4-oxadiazole or 1,3,4-thiadiazole core. These compounds displayed similar Nrf2 inductive activities compared with the activators with 1,2,4-oxadiazole core. More importantly, replacing 1,2,4-oxadiazole moiety by 1,3,4-oxadiazole led to increased aqueous solubility and lower lipophilicity. Moreover, we explored the potential neuro-protective effects of these 1,3,4 oxa/thiadiazole core Nrf2 activators in PC-12 cells. The results showed that the most potent compound **8** can upregulate the mRNA and protein levels of Nrf2 and its downstream genes and protect PC-12 cells against the H₂O₂-induced damage.

The previous SAR studies about the ring A and C can be briefly descripted as: 1, on ring A, electron-withdrawing groups can improve the ARE-induced activity; 2, *para*-substitution on ring A is more preferable than *meta*- and *ortho*-substitutions²⁷; 3, for the ring C, introduction of electron-donating groups enhances the ARE-induced activity³⁰. However, the significance of the B ring, not only for the Nrf2 activation, but also for its effects on the physicochemical properties, has not been fully discussed before. Comparing with 1,2,4-oxadiazole, 1,3,4-oxadiazole isomer shows an order of magnitude lower lipophilicity (log D) and higher aqueous solubility³¹. To further improve the solubility of the 1,2,4-oxadiazole core Nrf2 activators, especially with the aim to optimize their BBB permeability, in the present study, we synthesized and evaluated a series of compounds bearing 1,3,4-oxa/thiadiazole core.

Derivatives were synthesized through two steps. Firstly, ethyl benzimidazole-5carboxylate was treated with hydrazine hydrate and refluxed in ethanol for 48 hours to give

benzimidazole-5-carbohydrazide (2). Then, the target compounds 3-12 were cyclized from 2 with substituted benzoic acid. The ARE inductivities of compound 3-12 were evaluated by luciferase reporter assay in HepG2-ARE-C8 cells²⁷. As shown in table 1, most of the compounds with 1,3,4-oxadiazole core exhibited ARE inductivity at all tested concentrations. Compared to compound 3, the nitro and *n*-butyl substituted compounds (9 and 8) displayed stronger Nrf2 induced activities. Compounds with halogen substituents in ring A (4, 5, and 6)exhibited similar activities with compound 3. However, under the high concentrations (40 µM and 80 μ M), the ARE inductivity of the bromo substituted compound 4 went through a downward turn. It is noteworthy that similar activity-declining results have been found during the SAR study of chlorine and bromine substituted Nrf2 activators with 1,2,4-oxadiazole core ^{27, 30} (figure. S1). Compounds with electron-donating group (7, 11, and 12) showed reduced ARE inductivities. The results indicated that the electron-withdrawing group was more preferable for this series of Nrf2 activators. However, compound 10 with electron-withdrawing cyano group and compound 8 with electron-donating *n*-butyl group were two exceptions. Compound 10 just maintained 2~3-fold ARE inductivities under all test concentration. Similarly, the cyano-substituent 1,2,4-oxadiazole core Nrf2 activators did not exhibit good ARE inductivities, too²⁷. Compound 8 exhibited a strong inductivity, with 20.05 ± 2.40 -fold upregulation of the ARE level at 40 µM. Overall, the 1,3,4-oxadiazole core Nrf2 activators displayed slightly less ARE inductivities than the corresponding 1,2,4-oxadiazole core activators. However, compound 8 displayed closed ARE inductivity with compound 1 in a preliminary screening (Figure S2). Meanwhile, compounds with 1,3,4-oxadiazole core shared similar SAR features with 1,2,4-oxadiazole core Nrf2 activators. Thus, we suggested that the replacement of five-member ring B was tolerable in structural optimization of physicochemical properties of the Nrf2 activators.

In order to verify this result, we further synthesized compounds with 1,3,4-thiadiazole core (13 - 16). Their Nrf2 inductivities were also evaluated by luciferase reporter assay. As shown in table 1, Compound 13 (unsubstituted), 14 (p-fluorine substituent), and 16 (p-nitrogen substituent) shown slightly reduced Nrf2 inductivities comparing with 1,2,4- and 1,3,4- oxadiazole core compounds. But the *n*-butyl substituted compound 15 just exhibited very limited activity. As a cell-based assay, the luciferase report gene assay is always affected by

the status of the tested cells, especially under high induced-folds. However, in this work, we believed the large errors may be caused by two additional reasons: 1, cytotoxicity under high concentration of the compounds may affect the cell condition. The potential toxicity of nitro-substituted compounds was found in this work and previous study. The large errors of compound **9** and **16** may be caused by their potential cytotoxicity. 2, the low solubility of several compounds under high concentration may be responsible for the errors, too.

In the previous studies, the Nrf2 activators with 1,2,4-oxadiazole core ameliorated the oxidative stress both in vitro and in vivo 27-30. However, their biological effects on neurocytes have not been elucidated. In order to explore the potentially protective effect of these compounds on central nervous system (CNS), several representative compounds were evaluated in a neuron-like cell line PC-12. Firstly, the cytotoxicity of representative compounds 8, 9, 14, and 16 toward PC-12 cells were determined by MTT assay. As shown in figure 2, all the four compounds did not display significant cytotoxicity to the cells. The IC₅₀ values of compounds 8 and 9 (1,3,4-oxadiazole core) were greater than 100 μ M, while the IC₅₀ values of compounds 14 and 16 (1,3,4-thiadiazole core) were above 500 μ M. Additionally, all the four compounds did not show remarkable cytotoxicity against other neuron-like SH-SY5Y cells at $100 \ \mu M$ (figure S3). Next, we determined the protective effects of the four compounds against the H2O2-induced damage in PC-12 cells, a well-established cellular model of neurodegenerative disorders^{20, 32}. PC-12 cells were pretreated with compounds for 6 hours and subsequently were treated with medium that contained 1000 μ M H₂O₂ for additional 12h. As shown in figure 3, under the low concentration (5 μ M), the two nitro-contain compounds, 9 and 16, displayed good protective effects against H_2O_2 -induced damage. However, under the higher concentrations (10 and 20 μ M), the protective effects of the two compounds vanished. This result indicated the nitro group may lead to potential cytotoxicity under oxidative stress condition. Two other tested compounds 8 and 14, by contract, did not display obvious cytoprotective activity in 5 μ M. Nevertheless, the two compounds gave excellent performance under the high concentrations (10 and 20 μ M). Therefore, compound 8 was selected for further mechanism investigation.

With the aim of confirming the Nrf2 inductivity of **8** in PC-12 cells, we further examined the concentration-dependent effect of **8** on the mRNA level of *Nrf2* and its downstream genes,

NQO1 and *HO-1*, by quantitative real-time polymerase chain reaction (qRT-PCR) analysis. As shown in figure 4, compound **8** can dose-dependent increase the expressions of the three genes. Then we evaluated the protein levels of Nrf2, NQO1 and HO-1 in PC-12 cell after the treatment of compound **8**. As shown in figure 5, compound **8** led to moderate up-regulation of these proteins in a dose-dependent manner. Taken together, these results exhibited that compound **8** can activate the Nrf2-ARE pathway in both mRNA level and protein level in PC-12 cells. And these results were consistent with previously published results using compound **1** in HCT116 cells²⁷.

Membrane permeability is a significant property for CNS drugs^{33, 34}. In an effort to identify the membrane permeability of the representative compound **8**, we determined its permeability (*Pe* value) via parallel artificial membrane permeability assay (PAMPA)³⁵. Five known compounds were also involved as internal standards (table S1). Generally, a compound with a *Pe* value greater than 4×10^{-6} cm/s can be regarded to be able to penetrate blood-brain barrier (BBB)³⁵. In the PAMPA experiment, **8** displayed good membrane permeability, with the *Pe* value of 12.35×10^{-6} cm/s, indicating this compound could reach CNS. With the aim of evaluating the effect of rearranging 1,2,4-oxadiazole to 1,3,4-oxadiazole, we determined some physicochemical properties of compound **5** which had same structural moieties of ring A and C with compound **1**. The LogD_{7,4} value and intrinsic aqueous solubility of compound **5** were determined on a Gemini profiler instrument (pION) by the "goldstandard" Avdeef-Bucher potentiometric titration method^{27, 30}. In comparison with **1**²⁷, compound **5** exhibited a lower LogD_{7,4} value (2.89 vs 3.37) and an improved intrinsic solubility (102.50 µM vs 66.90 µM). We inferred that the greater dipole moment and polarity of 1,3,4-oxadiazole toward 1,2,4oxadiazole was the reason for the lower lipophilicity and increased solubility³¹.

In summary, a series of Nrf2 activators with 1,3,4-oxa/thiadiazole core were designed and synthesized, and the representative compound **8** displayed cytoprotective and Nrf2 activation effects in a neuron-like PC-12 cells. Additionally, compound **8** showed good membrane permeability, indicating this compound could reach CNS as a neuro-protective agent. Moreover, these results deepen our understanding of the SAR study on this series of Nrf2 activators. While the modification of the five-member heterocycle (ring B) of this series of compounds was not previously discussed^{27, 30}, this study reveals that replacing ring B by other five-member ring is

tolerable in maintaining Nrf2 inductive activity. More importantly, the 1,3,4-oxadiazole core resulted in improved solubility and lower lipophilicity. Altogether, these results provide a new chemical tool to evaluate the therapeutic effect of Nrf2 activation in neurodegenerative diseases.

Acknowledgement

We gratefully thank the support from the grants (Nos. 81573281, 81872728, and 81830105) of National Natural Science Foundation of China. We also thank the support from Double First-Class" initiative Innovation team project of China Pharmaceutical University (Nos. CPU2018GF11 and CPU2018GY34).



Figure 1. The molecular design strategy of the target compounds.



Figure 2. Cytotoxicity evaluation of representative compounds (8, 9, 14, and 16) in PC-12 cells. The cells were plated in a 96-well plate for 12 h and subsequently treated with different concentrations (1, 5, 10, 50, 100, and 500 μ M) of the selected derivatives for another 24 h. The cell viability was measured by the MTT assay. All data represent the mean \pm SEM of three independent experiments.



Figure 3. Protective effects of representative compounds against H_2O_2 -induced damage in PC-12 cells. Cell viability was determined using the MTT assay. The viability of untreated cells is defined as 100%. All data represent the mean \pm SD of three independent experiments.



Scheme 1. Synthetic Route of the 1,3,4-oxadiazole and 1,3,4-thiodiazole core derivatives. Reagents and conditions: (a) hydrazine hydrate, EtOH, reflux, 48h; (b) substituted benzoic acid, CDI, THF, POCl₃, reflux, 5h; (c) substituted benzoic acid, CDI, P₂S₅, DMF. 6h.



Figure 4. Quantitative real-time PCR analysis of Nrf2, NQO1, and HO-1 in PC-12 cells. All genes transcription levels were determined after treatment with different concentrations of **8** for 12 h.



Figure 5. Dose-dependent increase of Nrf2 and its downstream protein NQO1 and HO-1 after treatment with **8.** PC-12 cells were treated with **8** at different concentrations (0.1 μ M, 1 μ M, 10 μ M, 20 μ M, 40 μ M) for 12 h. GAPDH was determined as the loading control.

Гable 1, Nr	f2 inducti	vity of compour	nd 3 to 16 in Lucife	erase reporter assa	ay.			
	V	R	ARE-inducing activity in luciferase reporter assay ^a					
cpd.	А		0.1 µM	1 µM	10 µM	20 µM	40 µM	80 µM
1	-	-	2.49 ± 0.40	10.23 ± 0.66	14.21 ± 0.66	27.28 ± 1.26	40.81 ± 0.22	44.40 ± 0.89
3	0	Н	1.70 ± 0.40	2.35 ± 0.95	6.70 ± 0.95	9.15 ± 2.25	13.48 ± 0.67	19.10 ± 1.65
4	0	3-F	1.45 ± 0.10	2.38 ± 0.33	1.35 ± 0.15	1.65 ± 0.70	1.75 ± 0.10	1.63 ± 0.02
5	0	4-F	1.58 ± 0.03	1.28 ± 0.08	2.60 ± 0.25	6.83 ± 0.68	8.73 ± 1.53	24.40 ± 1.10
6	0	4-Br	2.05 ± 0.55	4.85 ± 0.60	7.98 ± 1.53	8.08 ± 0.03	6.23 ± 0.52	7.98 ± 0.28
7	0	4-Ph	0.90 ± 0.05	1.60 ± 0.30	1.58 ± 0.13	3.15 ± 0.30	5.18 ± 0.63	9.10 ± 1.60
8	0	4- <i>n</i> -Bu	1.80 ± 0.50	6.73 ± 0.88	14.75 ± 0.80	15.88 ± 0.07	24.58 ± 1.18	19.55 ± 0.45
9	0	$4-NO_2$	1.50 ± 0.45	1.90 ± 0.35	7.68 ± 0.37	12.23 ± 3.48	20.05 ± 2.40	33.83 ± 0.93
10	0	4-CN	1.40 ± 0.00	1.65 ± 0.80	1.33 ± 0.73	2.35 ± 0.55	1.78 ± 0.18	2.83 ± 1.18
11	0	4-Me	1.40 ± 0.35	1.45 ± 0.15	3.25 ± 0.80	3.35 ± 1.25	4.95 ± 1.40	6.60 ± 1.80
12	0	3-OMe	1.64 ± 0.55	1.72 ± 0.08	1.72 ± 0.53	1.83 ± 0.50	2.41 ± 1.02	7.50 ± 2.35
13	S	Н	1.70 ± 0.05	2.03 ± 0.23	8.95 ± 3.15	8.18 ± 0.18	13.83 ± 4.88	15.70 ± 2.45
14	S	4-F	1.60 ± 0.40	3.25 ± 0.95	13.10 ± 2.15	14.90 ± 2.15	22.30 ± 0.95	9.90 ± 0.80
15	S	4- <i>n</i> -Bu	1.08 ± 0.18	2.33 ± 0.23	2.30 ± 0.45	1.68 ± 0.03	1.33 ± 0.03	1.43 ± 0.23

16	S	4-NO ₂	2.20 ± 0.20	5.75 ± 0.40	19.45 ± 5.45	17.43 ± 3.48	22.18 ± 5.48	24.63 ± 3.78
t-BHQ	-	-	1.68 ± 0.38	1.70 ± 0.40	ND	ND	5.10 ± 1.05	4.73 ± 0.58

^aThe inductivity of the compound is calculated compared to the blank control, and data are presented as mean \pm SD of two separate experiments.

References

- 1. Crane PK, Trittschuh E, Mukherjee S, et al. Alzheimers Dement. 2017;13: 1307-1316.
- 2. Alzheimer's & Dementia. 2018;14: 367-429.
- 3. Ferri CP, Prince M, Brayne C, et al. Lancet. 2005;366: 2112-2117.
- 4. Francis PT, Palmer AM, Snape M, Wilcock GK. J Neurol Neurosur Ps 1999;66: 137-147.
- 5. Karran E, Mercken M, De Strooper B. Nat Rev Drug Discov. 2011;10: 698-U1600.
- 6. Ballatore C, Lee VMY, Trojanowski JQ. Nat Rev Neurosci. 2007;8: 663-672.
- 7. Webber KM, Raina AK, Marlatt MW, et al. Mech Ageing Dev. 2005;126: 1019-1025.
- 8. Tapia-Arancibia L, Aliaga E, Silhol M, Arancibia S. Brain Res Rev. 2008;59: 201-220.
- 9. Dumont M, Beal MF. Free Radic Biol Med. 2011;51: 1014-1026.
- 10. Jomova K, Valko M. Toxicology. 2011;283: 65-87.
- 11. Devi L, Anandatheerthavarada HK. Biochim Biophys Acta. 2010;1802: 11-19.
- 12. Pohanka M. Bratisl med J. 2018;119: 535-543.
- 13. Zhang DD. Drug Metab Rev. 2006;38: 769-789.
- 14. Kobayashi M, Yamamoto M. Antioxid Redox Sign. 2005;7: 385-394.
- 15. Itoh K, Chiba T, Takahashi S, et al. Biochem Bioph Res Co. 1997;236: 313-322.
- 16. Lu MC, Ji JA, Jiang ZY, You QD. Med Res Rev. 2016;36: 924-963.
- 17. Sun H, Zhu J, Lin H, Gu K, Feng F. Expert Opin Ther Pat. 2017;27: 763-785.
- 18. Wilson AJ, Kerns JK, Callahan JF, Moody CJ. J Med Chem. 2013;56: 7463-7476.
- 19. Magesh S, Chen Y, Hu L. Med Res Rev. 2012;32: 687-726.
- 20. Peng S, Zhang B, Meng X, Yao J, Fang J. J Med Chem. 2015;58: 5242-5255.
- 21. Yao J, Zhang B, Ge C, Peng S, Fang J. J Agric Food Chem. 2015;63: 1521-1531.
- 22. Peng S, Zhang B, Yao J, Duan D, Fang J. Food Funct. 2015;6: 2091-2100.
- 23. Peng S, Hou Y, Yao J, Fang J. Food Funct. 2017;8: 997-1007.
- 24. Jiang ZY, Lu MC, Xu LL, et al. J Med Chem. 2014;57: 2736-2745.
- 25. Lu MC, Zhang X, Wu F, et al. J Med Chem. 2019;62: 6796-6813.
- 26. Pallesen JS, Tran KT, Bach A. J Med Chem. 2018;61: 8088-8103.
- 27. Xu LL, Zhu JF, Xu XL, et al. J Med Chem. 2015;58: 5419-5436.
- 28. Xu LL, Zhang X, Jiang ZY, You QD. Bioorg Med Chem. 2016;24: 3540-3547.
- 29. Xu LL, Liu T, Wang L, et al. Oxid Med Cell Longev. 2018;2018: 3271617.
- 30. Xu LL, Wu YF, Wang L, et al. Eur J Med Chem. 2018;157: 1376-1394.
- 31. Bostrom J, Hogner A, Llinas A, Wellner E, Plowright AT. *J Med Chem.* 2012;55: 1817-1830.
- 32. Ning X, Guo Y, Wang X, et al. J Med Chem. 2014;57: 4302-4312.
- 33. Pardridge WM. Expert Opin Investig Drugs. 2019;8: 1-4.
- 34. Di L, Rong H, Feng B. J Med Chem. 2013;56: 2-12.
- 35. Di L, Kerns EH, Fan K, McConnell OJ, Carter GT. Eur J Med Chem. 2003;38: 223-232.

Declaration of interests

 \boxtimes The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

□The authors declare the following financial interests/personal relationships which may be considered as potential competing interests:





protective effects against H_2O_2 (1000µM)



Nrf2 activator with 1,2,4-oxadiazole core

Nrf2 activator with 1,3,4-oxa/thiadiazole core

Nrf2 activation PC-12 cells protection increased solubility BBB permeability low lipophilicity