# GDCh

## Natural Product Synthesis

International Edition: DOI: 10.1002/anie.201604744 German Edition: DOI: 10.1002/ange.201604744

# The Enantioselective Total Synthesis of Exochomine

Alison X. Gao<sup>+</sup>, Tomoaki Hamada<sup>+</sup>, and Scott A. Snyder\*

Dedicated to Professor K. C. Nicolaou on the occasion of his 70th birthday

Abstract: Molecules that possess fully substituted chiral centers are often challenging to construct, particularly if those centers connect two seemingly different halves or include a nitrogen atom. Herein, we describe an efficient approach to a molecule that combines both challenges in a single center in the form of exochomine. Failures in direct coupling led to a design fueled by highly specific reaction conditions for several steps and the development of an improved protocol for 1,4-reduction in a hindered context where numerous side reactions were possible. These chemoselective solutions should have value to other problems. Challenges in obtaining matching spectral data for the synthesized natural product are also discussed.

**O**ne of the key driving forces for new synthetic tactics and reactions are fully substituted chiral centers. Indeed, the efficient preparation of such centers often necessitates unique designs and novel reactivity considerations.<sup>[1]</sup> Those challenges, however, are arguably magnified in those molecules where nature has placed such fully substituted centers at the connection point of two seemingly different halves. Compounds such as azadirachtin (1, Scheme 1),<sup>[2]</sup> haplophytine (2),<sup>[3]</sup> aplyviolene (3),<sup>[4]</sup> and bionectin A (4)<sup>[5]</sup> are representative cases, where years of effort and creative solutions often based on novel methods were required to generate those centers marked with a blue asterisk, noting that direct coupling of the two halves has typically proven impossible to achieve. Similarly high challenge also exists with  $\alpha$ -tertiary amine centers,<sup>[6]</sup> such as that found in acutumine (5).<sup>[7]</sup> We have recently embarked on a program exploring molecules that combine both of these challenges within single structures,[8] compounds that include the defensive alkaloids produced by ladybugs in the form of psylloborine A (6),<sup>[9]</sup> the chilicorines (7 and 8),<sup>[10]</sup> and exochomine (9).<sup>[11,12]</sup> As one reflection of that challenge, direct couplings of seemingly appropriate monomers,<sup>[8]</sup> such as the Prins-type addition<sup>[13]</sup> shown between 10 and 11, have failed in our hands to deliver

[\*] A. X. Gao,<sup>[+]</sup> Dr. T. Hamada,<sup>[+]</sup> Prof. Dr. S. A. Snyder Dept. of Chemistry, The Scripps Research Institute 130 Scripps Way, Jupiter, FL 33458 (USA)
Prof. Dr. S. A. Snyder
Dept. of Chemistry, University of Chicago
5735 S. Ellis Avenue, Chicago, IL 60637 (USA)
E-mail: sasnyder@uchicago.edu
Homepage: http://snyder-group.uchicago.edu/
[<sup>+</sup>] These authors contributed equally to this work.

Supporting information and the ORCID identification number(s) for
 the author(s) of this article can be found under http://dx.doi.org/10.
 1002/anie.201604744.



**Scheme 1.** The challenge of fully substituted chiral center formation, particularly in cases where two seemingly disparate halves are merged (1–4) and when those centers contain nitrogen (5), a problem combined in the coccinellid alkaloids (6–9).

16

the needed products, necessitating the development of alternate solutions. Herein, we document a route which can enantioselectively deliver exochomine (9) in 16 steps, highlighting a series of substrate specific steps and unique reaction conditions whose success in such a hindered context should be relevant for other synthesis problems.

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 1-7

© 2016 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

## Weinheim Wiley Online Library These are not the final page numbers!

15

Our overall approach was predicated on attempting to achieve a late-stage coupling of pieces such as 13 and 14, hoping that an appropriate merger, followed by a selective 1,4-reduction, could generate 12; if successful, then only a final ring-closure and minor oxidation state changes would be left to complete the target. Of note, 13 was designed to afford maximal flexibility for that merger, both from an entropic perspective by leaving one ring unclosed as well as by affording several coupling reaction choices, with the challenging fully-substituted quaternary center of the target to be preinstalled as part of 14. That center was hoped to arise through the facially-selective addition of an appropriate nucleophile onto the iminium ion (16) derived from enamine 17. In practice, only a single form of 14 could be accessed, with one coupling reaction process and a hybrid of existing conditions for 1,4-reduction proving capable of delivering the exochomine skeleton.



Scheme 2. Preparation of key enamine building block 23 and challenges in effecting additions to iminium ion 16: a) (COCl)<sub>2</sub> (1.5 equiv), DMSO (3.0 equiv), *i*-Pr<sub>2</sub>NEt (6.3 equiv), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -78 °C, 3 h; b) 19 (1.5 equiv), KHMDS (1.2 equiv), PhMe, -78 °C, 3 h, 67% over 2 steps); c) H<sub>2</sub> (1 atm), Pt/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (5 mol %), EtOAc, 25 °C, 8 h, 98 %; d) s-BuLi (2.0 equiv), TMEDA (2.0 equiv), -78→-45 °C, 1 h, CuCN· 2LiCl (1.75 equiv), -78 °C, 1 h, allyl bromide (5.1 equiv), -78→25 °C, 3 h, 79%, 89:11 d.r.; e) OsO4 (5 mol%), 2,6-lutidine (2.0 equiv), NaIO4 (4.0 equiv), 1,4-dioxane/H<sub>2</sub>O (3:1), 25 °C, 4 h, 95 % (~50% ketal deprotection); f) TFA (5.0 equiv), ClCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>Cl, 25 °C, 1 h then 80 °C, 13 h; evaporate solvent; Hantzsch ester (1.5 equiv), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 25 °C, 4 h; g) TFA (3.3 equiv), KCN (6.6 equiv), THF, 25 °C, 66 % over 2 steps, 89:11 ratio of 22 and epimer; h) LiAlH<sub>4</sub> (5.4 equiv), THF, -50°C, 10 min, then 25 °C, 75 min;  $H_2SO_4$  (41 equiv),  $0 \rightarrow 25$  °C, 5 h, 91%, single diastereomer. HMDS = hexamethyldisilazane; TMEDA = N, N, N', N'-tetramethylethylenediamine; TFA = trifluoroacetic acid.

Scheme 2 presents the developed sequence for the preparation of generalized azaphenylene coupling partner 14 (cf. Scheme 1) in the form of aldehyde 23. Starting from chiral alcohol 18, prepared conveniently in just 4 steps from commercial materials [see Supporting Information (SI) for details], subsequent Swern oxidation, Julia–Kocienski olefination, and hydrogenation of the resultant alkene smoothly

afforded homologated ketal **20** in 66 % overall yield. From here, a Cu<sup>I</sup>-promoted allylation<sup>[14]</sup> afforded the requisite sidechain with high diastereoselectivity (89:11 d.r.), with subsequent oxidative cleavage affording cyclization precursor **21** in 75 % overall yield.

The stage was now set to generate the final tricyclic framework in a cascade operation. In the event, treatment of 21 with 5.0 equivalents of TFA in 1,2-dichloroethane at 25 °C for 1 h, followed by 13 h of heating at 80°C, sequentially effected Boc deprotection, condensation of the resultant secondary amine onto the ketal and/or ketone to form an enamine, and finally enamine attack on the pendant aldehyde to generate the desired conjugated iminium ion tricycle as its TFA salt. After examining a number of reducing agents (such as DIBAL-H and L-selectride) both Stryker's reagent<sup>[15a,b]</sup> and the Hantzsch ester<sup>[15c,d]</sup> afforded full 1,4-selectivity in the final component of the cascade, providing enamine 17 after basic work-up. In practice, however, the Hantzsch ester reduction was used for scale-up as material from this process proved easier to purify, noting that the key element of this procedure relative to precedent is that the nitrogen atom of the intermediate iminium ion was retained in this cyclic setting rather than being hydrolyzed.

With this material in hand, we then tested its reactivity in its iminium ion form (16), generated by re-exposure to 3.3 equivalents of TFA in THF at 25°C, with a range of nucleophiles to see what additions could be achieved and in what diastereoselectivity. To our surprise, virtually every nucleophile probed, such as tributylvinyl tin,<sup>[16]</sup> allyltrimethylsilane (under Sakurai conditions),<sup>[17]</sup> Grignard reagents,<sup>[18]</sup> vinyl boronic acids (under Petasis-type conditions),<sup>[19]</sup> or enolates (prepared both in situ<sup>[20a,b]</sup> and pre-formed),<sup>[20c,d]</sup> failed to deliver any coupling adduct; instead, recovered starting material or decomposition was observed. Similarly, radical-based additions, such as those recently developed by Baran,<sup>[21]</sup> were also unsucessful. Only a Strecker reaction<sup>[22]</sup> proved fruitful, affording nitrile 22 in 66% yield (including the previous cyclization cascade) and 89:11 d.r. when KCN was used as the quenching agent; that ratio of diastereomers derives from the stereoselectivity of the preceding allylation. The nitrile addition itself is likely fully stereoselective whether under kinetic or thermodynamic control. Indeed, the established kinetic preference for cyanide addition to cyclic iminium ions as governed by stereoelectronics should afford 22,<sup>[23]</sup> while DFT calculations<sup>[24]</sup> using the B3LYP/6-31G\*\* level of theory (Jaguar, version 8.8) reveals that the difference in the Gibbs free energies between 22 and its nitrile epimer favors **22** ( $9.31 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}$ ).

Nevertheless, the new functional group within this compound proved challenging to reduce, with conventional reagents such as DIBAL-H and Red-Al giving modest and variable yields of aldehyde 23. An optimal procedure proved to be using LiAlH<sub>4</sub> in THF at -50 °C for 10 min, followed by stirring at 25 °C for ~75 min, conditions which allowed for in situ hydrolysis using a final H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> treatment. This one-pot process proceeded in 91 % yield. To date we have prepared nearly 1 gram of 23 (~250 mg through this route and ~700 mg via a slightly longer route, not shown) and obtained it as a single diastereomer; the overall scalability is of note relative

www.angewandte.org

© 2016 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

to most efforts towards similarly complex tricyclic amines.<sup>[25]</sup> The relative stereochemistry of **23** was verified by X-ray diffraction of a benzoate derivative of its corresponding alcohol (see SI).

The synthesis of the pyrrole-based coupling partner commenced with heterocycle formation using inexpensive, commercially available L-glutamic acid ethyl ester 24 (Scheme 3) and 2,5-dimethoxytetrahydrofuran 25. A subsequent HBr/AcOH-promoted Friedel-Crafts cyclization smoothly gave the desired acyl pyrrole,<sup>[26]</sup> and was followed by dithiolane protection with 1,2-ethanedithiol as promoted by  $Zn(OTf)_2^{[27]}$  to give 26 in 37% yield overall for these 3 steps. Homologation to ester 27 was achieved in a further 3step sequence involving DIBAL-H reduction of the ester to an aldehyde, Wittig olefination, and reduction using the copper hydride formed by premixing Cu(OAc)<sub>2</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O, BDP, and poly(methylhydrosiloxane).<sup>[28]</sup> The use of standard heterogeneous hydrogenation was precluded in the final step as it also impacted the dithiolane group, noting that this protecting group proved critical as other alternatives, such as ketals or protected alcohols, were too fragile. Of note, although the sequence proceeded through a potentially epimerizable aldehyde, the enantiopurity was largely retained, being determined to be 97% ee by chiral HPLC, and 27 could readily be prepared (>4 g synthesized). Finally, 27 could also be converted into cyclized product 28 in 51% yield through exposure to BBr<sub>3</sub> in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at 0°C for 20 min. This latter material had been previously prepared racemically by Meinwald in 5 steps;<sup>[12]</sup> our overall route, though 2 steps longer, was asymmetric.

With azaphenylene aldehyde **23** in hand, as well as two potential coupling partners (**27** and **28**), studies were undertaken to bring the two halves together. Using these pieces and a range of other compounds (not shown), that process initially proved challenging, with approaches based on metathesis, Horner–Wadsworth–Emmons olefinations,<sup>[29]</sup> and Nozaki–Hiyama–Kishi couplings<sup>[30]</sup> all failing.

Our first success was registered with a titanium-mediated aldol condensation<sup>[31]</sup> between ketone 28 and aldehyde 23 which delivered **30**, albeit in low and variable vields due principally to the instability of ketone 28 under the reaction conditions. Pleasingly, after much optimization, we found that a milder coupling could be achieved by using the more conformationally flexible ester 27. In the event, LDAmediated enolization and aldol addition with 23 (0.9 equiv) in THF at -78 °C for 2 h provided **29** (any warming led to retro-aldol reactions); this intermediate was then treated with p-TsOH in situ, with subsequent solvent evaporation, resuspension in benzene, and heating at 60°C for 4 h effecting dehydration and Friedel-Crafts cyclization in one pot, delivering 30 in 32% yield. No intermediates were characterizable, so the exact order of steps is unknown, and though this outcome is not numerically high, 3 steps were achieved overall, with the likely culprit for throughput being the Friedel-Crafts reaction given the observed yield (51%) in the conversion of 27 into 28. Also worth noting is that the same conditions (as well as other protocols) did not lead to coupling products when 28 was the enolate partner, likely because





Scheme 3. Completion of the total synthesis of exochomine: a) 25 (1.05 equiv), ClCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>Cl, 65 °C, 4 h, 80%; b) HBr (2 equiv), AcOH, 25°C, 3 h, 60%; c) 1,2-ethanedithiol (1.3 equiv), Zn(OTf)<sub>2</sub> (1.3 equiv), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 25 °C, 12 h, 78%; d) DIBAL-H (1.05 equiv), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -78 °C, 30 min; e) PPh<sub>3</sub>CHCO<sub>2</sub>Me (1.1 equiv), CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 0→25 °C, 12 h, 81 % over 2 steps; f) Cu(OAc)<sub>2</sub>·H<sub>2</sub>O (5 mol%), BDP (0.5 mol%), PMHS (2.0 equiv), t-BuOH (2.0 equiv), toluene, 25 °C, 8 h, 90%; g) BBr<sub>3</sub> (2.5 equiv), CH2Cl2, 0°C, 20 min, 51%; h) LDA (1.5 equiv), 23 (0.9 equiv), THF, −78 °C, 2 h; *p*TsOH·H<sub>2</sub>O (5.0 equiv), −78→25 °C; evaporate; benzene, 60°C, 4 h, 32%; i) TiCl<sub>4</sub> (2.0 equiv), Et<sub>3</sub>N (2.1 equiv), CH2Cl2, -78°C, 2 h, 19%; j) Mn(dpm)3 (2.2 equiv), MeSi-(OEt)<sub>2</sub>H (5.0 equiv), *i*-PrOH/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (8:1), 25 °C, 16 h, 48 %, 10:1 d.r.; k) MeLi (2.0 equiv), THF,  $-78 \rightarrow -30$  °C, 30 min, *p*TsOH·H<sub>2</sub>O (5 equiv),  $-30 \rightarrow 25$  °C, 4% 9 and 65% protected 9; I) PhI(OAc)<sub>2</sub> (1.3 equiv), CH<sub>3</sub>CN/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O (5:1:1), -10°C, 10 min, 31%, 23% from **31**; p) HCl (1.0 M in Et<sub>2</sub>O, 1.5 equiv), EtOAc, 25 °C, 30 min, 70%. Ts = tosyl; BDP = 1,2-bis (diphenylphosphino) benzene; PMHS =poly(methylhydrosiloxane).

retro-aldol pathways might be favored due to its more rigid framework.

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 1-7

© 2016 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

These are not the final page numbers!

www.angewandte.org

Table 1: Key optimization studies for the selective 1,4-reduction of enone 30.



Entry	Conditions	Product	Yield of
			<b>31</b> [%] <sup>[a]</sup>
1	Cu(OAc) <sub>2</sub> ·H <sub>2</sub> O, BDP, PMHS	n.r.	0
2	[CuH(PPh <sub>3</sub> )] <sub>6</sub>	n.r.	0
3	Cu(OAc) <sub>2</sub> ·H <sub>2</sub> O, P(O <i>i</i> -Pr) <sub>3</sub> , MeSi(OEt) <sub>2</sub> H	<b>31</b> + 1,6-reduction	< 20 <sup>[b]</sup>
4	Sml <sub>2</sub> , <i>t</i> -BuOH, THF	<b>33</b> + <b>31</b> + 1,6-reduction	< 20 <sup>[b]</sup>
5	Pd(PPh <sub>3</sub> ) <sub>4</sub> , ZnCl <sub>2</sub> , <i>n</i> -Bu <sub>3</sub> SnH	n.r.	0
6	catecholborane	33	0
7	DIBAL-H	33	0
8	RedAl, CuBr, BF <sub>3</sub> ·OEt <sub>2</sub>	n.r.	0
9	CuBr·Me <sub>2</sub> S, <i>t</i> -BuLi, DIBAL-H, THF/HMPA	n.r.	0
10	NBSH, Et <sub>3</sub> N	n.r.	0
11	TsNHNH <sub>2</sub> , NaOAc, THF/H <sub>2</sub> O	n.r.	0
12	Mn(dpm) <sub>3</sub> , PhSiH <sub>3</sub> , TBHP	<b>31</b> + deprotection	25
13	Mn(dpm) <sub>3</sub> , PhSiH <sub>3</sub>	31	40
14	Mn(dpm) <sub>3</sub> , MeSi(OEt) <sub>2</sub> H	31	52

[a] A very small amount of isomer at the newly formed chiral center was also observed in these reductions, but was separated and is not part of these yield calculations; [b] Isolated yield, but includes some minor inseparable contaminants.

From here, only a few operations remained to complete the target, with the next, selective conjugate enone reduction of 30 to give 31, proving highly challenging to effect as the dithiolane group, benzylic ketone, and acyl pyrrole all proved prone to reduction (leading to products such as 33). As shown in Table 1, copper hydride-based approaches gave sluggish reactions and, when products were observed, typically the major adduct was 1,6-reduction across the pyrrole ring (entries 1-3). Radical-based methods such as SmI<sub>2</sub> also afforded predominantly 1,6-reduction (entry 4), while a varietv of other standard protocols for conjugate enone reduction did not provide promising results (entries 5-11). Only Mn<sup>III</sup>mediated conditions as reported by Shenvi<sup>[32]</sup> (entry 12) and Magnus<sup>[33]</sup> (entry 13) provided encouraging selectivity and yield, with the use of the same hydride source from entry 3, that is,  $MeSi(OEt)_2H$ , providing the best overall outcome for this transformation in 52% isolated yield (entry 14). The identity and absolute configuration of 31 was confirmed by Xray analysis.

Finally, a one-pot methyl addition, elimination, and ketone deprotection from **31** afforded a small amount of exochomine (**9**) alongside its protected form in 4% and 65% yield, respectively. The use of PhI(OAc)<sub>2</sub> in a 5:1:1 mixture of CH<sub>3</sub>CN/CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/H<sub>2</sub>O converted any remaining protected intermediate into the final compound (i.e. **9**).<sup>[34]</sup> As NMR data for the free base form of the target was not available, synthetic exochomine was converted into its corresponding HCl salt (i.e. **32**) and purified by preparative TLC. However, upon comparison of both its <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR data to that reported by the isolation team, including experiments which



sought to alter the acid content by titration with HCl as well as eliminate water content in the sample,<sup>[35]</sup> there were always subtle discrepancies from the isolation data without specific patterning. Pleasingly, however, X-ray diffraction of a suitably grown crystal of synthetic 32 obtained from slow evaporation in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/EtOAc was able to confirm its structure. We are not completely sure of the source of the variances in the respective NMR spectra between the synthetic and natural material, but believe that water content is the likely culprit and should be considered as an additional point of concern for such compounds;[36] counterion impurities could also be at play as the exact procedure by which the isolation team obtained their HCl salt from the crude extract is not defined.[37]

In conclusion, we have completed the first enantioselective total synthesis of the coccinellid alkaloid exochomine (9) in 16 linear steps. The key  $\alpha$ -tertiary

amine center linking the two disparate halves was constructed using a highly diastereoselective Strecker reaction from an iminium precursor, with the key linking C–C bond formed convergently using an aldol reaction from two advanced intermediates in a cascade where a Friedel–Crafts cyclization proceeded in the same pot. Flexibility in coupling partners was key to its success. In addition, selective 1,4-reduction conditions based on the combination of Mn<sup>III</sup> and a unique hydride source succeeded on a reactive and sterically encumbered system where other tools failed, and should be of use for other challenging systems. Current efforts seek to deploy the strategies and methodological advances from this synthesis to other challenging targets.

#### Acknowledgements

We thank Dr. HaJeung Park (Scripps) for a preliminary X-ray structure of a derivative of **23** and Dr. Alexander Filatov (Chicago) for X-ray structures of **31** and **32**. The latter was obtained at Argonne National Labs; ChemMatCARS Sector 15 is principally supported by the Divisions of Chemistry (CHE) and Materials Research (DMR), National Science Foundation, under grant number NSF/CHE-1346572. Use of the Advanced Photon Source, an Office of Science User Facility operated for the U.S. Department of Energy (DOE) Office of Science by Argonne National Laboratory, was supported by the U.S. DOE under Contract No. DE-AC02-06CH11357. We also thank Dr. Xiangming Kong (Scripps) for NMR assistance and Dr. Jun-Yong Choi (Scripps) for help

www.angewandte.org

© 2016 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

with calculations. Financial support came from TSRI, the University of Chicago, and Daiichi-Sankyo (to T.H.).

**Keywords:** 1,4-reduction  $\cdot$  alkaloid  $\cdot$  azaphenylene  $\cdot$  coccinellid  $\cdot$  total synthesis

- For general reviews on forming all carbon quaternary centers, see: a) K. Fuji, *Chem. Rev.* **1993**, *93*, 2037; b) K. W. Quasdorf, L. E. Overman, *Nature* **2014**, *516*, 181.
- G. E. Veitch, E. Beckmann, B. J. Burke, A. Boyer, S. L. Maslen, S. V. Ley, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 7629; Angew. Chem. 2007, 119, 7773.
- [3] a) K. C. Nicolaou, S. M. Dalby, U. Majumder, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 14942; b) K. C. Nicolaou, S. M. Dalby, S. Li, T. Suzuki, D. Y.-K. Chen, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 7616; Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 7752; c) H. Ueda, H. Satoh, K. Matsumoto, K. Sugimoto, T. Fukuyama, H. Tokuyama, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2009, 48, 7600; Angew. Chem. 2009, 121, 7736.
- [4] a) M. J. Schnermann, L. E. Overman, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 16425; b) M. J. Schnermann, L. E. Overman, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 9576; Angew. Chem. 2012, 124, 9714.
- [5] A. Coste, J. Kim, T. C. Adams, M. Movassaghi, *Chem. Sci.* 2013, 4, 3191.
- [6] a) F. Li, S. S. Tartakoff, S. L. Castle, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2009, 131, 6674; b) S. M. King, N. A. Calandra, S. B. Herzon, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2013, 52, 3642; Angew. Chem. 2013, 125, 3730.
- [7] For general reviews on forming α-tertiary amines, see: a) J. Clayden, M. Donnard, J. Lefranc, D. J. Tetlow, *Chem. Commun.* 2011, 47, 4624; b) A. Hager, N. Vrielink, D. Hager, J. Lefranc, D. Trauner, *Nat. Prod. Rep.* 2016, 33, 491; For a few recent cases, see: c) T. Nishikawa, D. Urabe, M. Isobe, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2004, 43, 4782; *Angew. Chem.* 2004, 116, 4886; d) B. Trost, N. Cramer, H. Bernsmann, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2007, 129, 3086; e) T. J. Greshock, A. W. Grubbs, R. M. Williams, *Tetrahedron* 2007, 63, 6124; f) A. Hinman, J. Du Bois, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2003, 125, 11510.
- [8] T. C. Sherwood, A. H. Trotta, S. A. Snyder, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 9743.
- [9] a) F. C. Schröder, T. Tolasch, *Tetrahedron* 1998, 54, 12243; b) B. Lebrun, J.-C. Braekman, D. Daloze, P. Kalushkov, J. M. Pasteels, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1999, 40, 8115.
- [10] a) K. D. McCormick, A. B. Attygalle, S.-C. Xu, A. SvatoŠ, J. Meinwald, M. A. Houck, C. L. Blankespoor, T. Eisner, *Tetrahedron* 1994, 50, 2365; b) X. Shi, A. B. Attygalle, J. Meinwald, *Tetrahedron* 1995, 51, 8711; c) Q. Hunag, A. B. Attygalle, J. Meinwald, M. A. Houck, T. Eisner, J. Nat. Prod. 1998, 61, 508.
- [11] M. Timmermans, J.-C. Braekman, D. Daloze, J. M. Pasteels, J. Merline, J.-P. Declercq, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, *33*, 1281.
- [12] Only one report outside our efforts (Ref. [8]) exists for these targets: J. C. Shattuck, J. Meinwald, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1997, 38, 347.
- [13] Intermolecular aza-Prins reaction are very rare: a) H. Kinoshita,
  O. J. Ingham, W. W. Ong, A. B. Beeler, J. A. Porco, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 6412; For selected, recent intramolecular processes, see: b) H. M. Lee, C. Hieto-Oberhuber, M. D. Shair, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 16864; c) X. Liu, M. P. McCormack,
  S. P. Waters, Org. Lett. 2012, 14, 5574; d) T. Nishimura, A. K. Unni, S. Yokoshima, T. Fukuyama, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 3243.
- [14] a) M. G. Pizzuti, A. J. Minnaard, B. L. Feringa, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2008, 6, 3464; b) C. A. Guerrero, E. J. Sorensen, Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 5164; c) D. Stead, G. Carbone, P. O'Brien, K. R. Campos, I. Coldham, A. Sanderson, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132,

7260; For the first case of  $\alpha$ -alkylation using Boc as a directing group (without Cu), see: d) P. Beak, W.-K. Lee, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989**, *30*, 1197; e) P. Beak, W. K. Lee, *J. Org. Chem.* **1993**, *58*, 1109.

- [15] a) D. M. Brestensky, D. E. Huesland, C. McGettigan, J. M. Stryker, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 3749; b) W. S. Mahoney, D. M. Brestensky, J. M. Stryker, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 291; c) J. W. Yang, M. T. H. Fonseca, B. List, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 6660; *Angew. Chem.* **2004**, *116*, 6829; For an NADH model, see: d) N. Baba, T. Makino, J. Oda, Y. Inouye, *Can. J. Chem.* **1980**, *58*, 387.
- [16] Inspired by the addition of arylstannanes: D. A. Black, B. A. Arndtsen, J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 5133.
- [17] a) M. Kira, T. Hino, H. Sakurai, *Chem. Lett.* **1991**, 277; b) Y. Nishikawa, M. Kitajima, H. Takayama, *Org. Lett.* **2008**, *10*, 1987.
- [18] Y. Arata, M. Hanaoka, S. K. Kim, Chem. Pharm. Bull. 1975, 23, 1142.
- [19] N. R. Candeias, F. Montalbano, P. M. S. D. Cal, P. M. P. Gois, *Chem. Rev.* 2010, *110*, 6169.
- [20] a) J. W. Yang, C. Chandler, M. Stadler, D. Kampen, B. List, *Nature* 2008, 452, 453; b) S. Kobayashi, H. Kiyohara, M. Yamaguchi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 708; c) R. A. Pilli, D. Russowsky, J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1987, 1053; d) S. Kobayashi, S. Nagayama, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 10049.
- [21] a) J. C. Lo, J. Gui, Y. Yabe, C.-M. Pan, P. S. Baran, *Nature* 2014, 516, 343; b) J. Gui, C.-M. Pan, Y. Jin, T. Qin, J. C. Lo, B. J. Lee, S. H. Spergel, M. E. Mertzman, W. J. Pitts, T. E. La Cruz, M. A. Schmidt, N. Darvatkar, S. R. Natarajan, P. S. Baran, *Science* 2015, 348, 886.
- [22] R. H. Mueller, M. E. Thompson, R. M. DiPardo, J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 2217.
- [23] For reviews, see: a) R. V. Stevens, Acc. Chem. Res. 1984, 17, 289; b) H.-P. Husson, J. Royer, Chem. Soc. Rev. 1999, 28, 383; We note that our own efforts to observe any nitrile equilibration in deuterated NMR solvents were inconclusive; kinetic control is likely, but this example is somewhat different from those in the reviews listed above.
- [24] A. D. Bochevarov, E. Harder, T. F. Hughes, J. R. Greenwood, D. A. Braden, D. M. Philipp, D. Rinaldo, M. D. Halls, J. Zhang, R. A. Friesner, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.* **2013**, *113*, 2110.
- [25] N. N. Rao, J. K. Cha, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 2243.
- [26] C. W. Jefford, K. Sienkiewicz, S. R. Thornton, *Helv. Chim. Acta* 1995, 78, 1151.
- [27] a) M. E. Kuehne, W. G. Bornmann, W. G. Earley, I. Marko, J. Org. Chem. **1986**, 51, 2913; b) B. M. Trost, J. R. Parquette, J. Org. Chem. **1994**, 59, 7568.
- [28] B. A. Baker, Z. V. Boskovic, B. H. Lipshutz, Org. Lett. 2008, 10, 289.
- [29] a) J. A. Bisceglia, L. R. Orelli, *Curr. Org. Chem.* 2012, *16*, 2206;
  b) M. A. Blanchette, W. Choy, J. T. Davis, A. P. Essenfeld, S. Masamune, W. R. Roush, T. Sakai, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1984, *25*, 2183; c) T. Calogeropoulou, G. B. Hammond, D. F. Wiemer, *J. Org. Chem.* 1987, *52*, 4185.
- [30] a) K. Takai, M. Tagashira, T. Kuroda, K. Oshima, K. Utimoto, H. Nozaki, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 6048; b) H. Jin, J. Uenishi, W. J. Christ, Y. Kishi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1986, 108, 5644; c) Y. Kishi, Pure Appl. Chem. 1992, 64, 343.
- [31] a) C. R. Harrison, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1987, 28, 4135; b) R. Annunziata, M. Cinquini, F. Cozzi, P. G. Cozzi, E. Consolandi, *Tetrahedron* 1991, 47, 7897.
- [32] K. Iwasaki, K. K. Wan, A. Oppedisano, S. W. M. Crossley, R. A. Shenvi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2014, 136, 1300.
- [33] P. Magnus, M. J. Waring, D. A. Scott, *Tetrahedron Lett.* 2000, 41, 9731.
- [34]  $CH_2Cl_2$  was necessary to dissolve the substrate. Other deprotections, such as metal-mediated protocols (Hg, Tl, Ag),

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 1-7

© 2016 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

#### www.angewandte.org



alkylating agents (MeI, EtI) and oxidizing agents [IBX, PhI-(CO<sub>2</sub>CF<sub>3</sub>)<sub>2</sub>], failed.

- [35] We thank Prof. J.-C. Braekman and Prof. D. Daloze for providing copies of their original spectra of 32; these data included sets not originally referenced that show variation, potentially due to water content, as one is noted to have water present and the other does not.
- [36] For recent alkaloid syntheses that point to similar challenges, such as needing to titrate acid to obtain matching spectra, see: a) R. A. Altman, B. L. Nilsson, L. E. Overman, J. R. de Alaniz,

J. M. Rohde, V. Taupin, J. Org. Chem. **2010**, 75, 7519; b) A. S. Lee, B. B. Liau, M. D. Shair, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2014**, 136, 13442.

[37] For cases where an acid adduct was needed for matching spectra, see: a) X.-M. Zhang, Y.-Q. Tu, F.-M. Zhang, H. Shao, X. Ming, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 3916; *Angew. Chem.* **2011**, *123*, 4002; b) H. Li, X. Wang, B. Hong, X. Lei, *J. Org. Chem.* **2013**, *78*, 800.

Received: May 15, 2016 Published online: ■■ ■■, ■■■■

6 www.angewandte.org

C 2016 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim



# **Communications**

## 

**Challenging chirality**: Use of a distinct synthetic strategy to address the challenges in merging two different halves bearing a chiral center at their merger point has enabled access to the alkaloid exochomine in 16 steps. Key operations include the functionalization of a hindered iminium precursor, a unique aldolbased coupling cascade, and a robust protocol for 1,4-reduction in a hindered context where numerous side-reactions can occur.

© 2016 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

einheim www.angewandte.org 7 These are not the final page numbers!