## Peptide Therapeutics Hot Paper

International Edition: DOI: 10.1002/anie.201609427 German Edition: DOI: 10.1002/ange.201609427

# **Development of Cell-Permeable, Non-Helical Constrained Peptides to Target a Key Protein–Protein Interaction in Ovarian Cancer**

Mareike M. Wiedmann, Yaw Sing Tan, Yuteng Wu, Shintaro Aibara, Wenshu Xu, Hannah F. Sore, Chandra S. Verma, Laura Itzhaki, Murray Stewart, James D. Brenton, and David R. Spring\*

Dedicated to Professor Stuart L. Schreiber on the occasion of his 60th birthday

Abstract: There is a lack of current treatment options for ovarian clear cell carcinoma (CCC) and the cancer is often resistant to platinum-based chemotherapy. Hence there is an urgent need for novel therapeutics. The transcription factor hepatocyte nuclear factor  $1\beta$  (HNF1 $\beta$ ) is ubiquitously overexpressed in CCC and is seen as an attractive therapeutic target. This was validated through shRNA-mediated knockdown of the target protein, HNF1 $\beta$ , in five high- and low-HNF1 $\beta$ expressing CCC lines. To inhibit the protein function, cellpermeable, non-helical constrained proteomimetics to target the HNF1 $\beta$ -importin  $\alpha$  protein-protein interaction were designed, guided by X-ray crystallographic data and molecular dynamics simulations. In this way, we developed the first reported series of constrained peptide nuclear import inhibitors. Importantly, this general approach may be extended to other transcription factors.

he prognosis for ovarian clear cell carcinoma (CCC) patients with advanced-stage disease is poor owing to intrinsic resistance to platinum-based chemotherapy and the lack of targeted therapies available.<sup>[1]</sup> Overexpression of the hepatocyte nuclear factor 1 $\beta$  (HNF1 $\beta$ ) transcription factor is the most important clinical immunohistochemical marker for the disease, since it is ubiquitously overexpressed in CCC.<sup>[2]</sup> However, to date, drugs targeting HNF1 $\beta$  have not been developed due to the high content of intrinsically disordered regions in transcription factors.<sup>[3]</sup>

Evidence that targeting HNF1 $\beta$  is a viable and attractive approach for developing a new targeted therapy was initially

provided by Liu et al., who showed that downregulation of HNF1 $\beta$  increased cisplatin- and paclitaxel-mediated cytotoxicity.<sup>[4]</sup> HNF1 $\beta$  is expressed in the liver, digestive tract, pancreas, and kidneys, where it plays a role in early differentiation.<sup>[5]</sup> Human HNF1 $\beta$  is made up of three domains: the dimerization domain; the transactivation domain, which is involved in binding transcriptional coactivators; and the DNA-binding domain (DBD). We have recently confirmed the existence of a nuclear localization signal (NLS) within the DBD of HNF1 $\beta$ ,<sup>[6]</sup> which directs the nuclear import of the protein.<sup>[7]</sup>

Many NLS sequences are recognized in the cytoplasm by a heterodimeric transport carrier complex composed of importin  $\alpha$  and importin  $\beta$ .<sup>[8]</sup> Classical NLSs (cNLS) can bind to importin  $\alpha$  through either a major site, a minor site, or both.<sup>[8,9]</sup> Monopartite cNLSs consist of a single cluster of positively charged residues, primarily lysines or arginines, that assume an ordered state once bound to importin  $\alpha$ .<sup>[10]</sup>

Therapeutic targeting of the nuclear import of transcription factors provides a strategy for inhibiting their function, since activity depends on successful localization to the nucleus for transcription to take place.<sup>[11]</sup> Lin et al. developed a 41-residue synthetic peptide called cSN50 that contains the NF- $\kappa$ B NLS and a cell-permeable motif.<sup>[12]</sup> The peptide inhibits the nuclear translocation of NF- $\kappa$ B, attenuates gene transcription in intact cells, and is not cytotoxic within the concentration range of the experiments.<sup>[13]</sup> cSN50 also inhibits the nuclear import of the transcription factors AP-1, NFAT, and STAT1.<sup>[13]</sup> However, it was readily digested

[*]	M. M. Wiedmann, Y. Wu, Dr. H. F. Sore, Prof. D. R. Spring		Dr. W. Xu, Dr. L. Itzhaki
	Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge		Department of Pharmacology
	Lensfield Road, Cambridge, CB2 1EW (UK)		Tennis Court Road, Cambridge, CB2 1PD (UK)
	E-mail: spring@ch.cam.ac.uk		Dr. C. S. Verma
	M. M. Wiedmann, Dr. J. D. Brenton		School of Biological Sciences, Nanyang Technological University
	Cancer Research UK Cambridge Institute, University of Cambridge		60 Nanyang Drive, Singapore 637551 (Singapore)
	Li Ka Shing Centre, Robinson Way, Cambridge, CB2 ORE (UK)		and
	Dr. Y. S. Tan, Dr. C. S. Verma		Department of Biological Sciences, National University of Singapore
	Bioinformatics Institute, Agency for Science, Technology and		14 Science Drive 4, Singapore 117543 (Singapore)
	Research A*STAR		Supporting information (including all data supporting this study)
	30 Biopolis Street, #07-01 Matrix, Singapore 138671 (Singapore)	Ď	and the ORCID identification number(s) for the author(s) of this
	Dr. S. Aibara	•	article can be found under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.
	SciLifeLab, Tomtebodavägen 23A, 171 65 Solna, Stockholm (Sweden)		201609427.
	Dr. S. Aibara, Dr. M. Stewart		
	MRC Laboratory of Molecular Biology, Francis Crick Avenue		
	Cambridge Biomedical Campus, Cambridge CB2 0QH (UK)		

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 1-7

© 2016 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

These are not the final page numbers!

Wiley Online Library

during protease treatment with trypsin and pronase.<sup>[12]</sup> cSN50 is the first nuclear-import inhibitor that has shown importin  $\alpha$ isoform specificity, binding with nanomolar affinity to importin  $\alpha$ 5 and only weakly to the other importin  $\alpha$  isoforms.<sup>[14]</sup> It also represents the first example of targeting the nuclear import of a transcription factor at the level of NLS recognition.<sup>[13]</sup> To date, there has been no use reported of the technique of stapling<sup>[15]</sup> to stabilize these intrinsically disordered NLS peptides. The aim of this work was to develop constrained peptide-based inhibitors that target the HNF1βimportin  $\alpha$  protein-protein interaction (PPI) and inhibit the activity of HNF1ß. The proposed nuclear import targeting approach for the HNF1 $\beta$ -importin  $\alpha$  PPI is summarized in Figure 1. The constrained peptide competes with  $HNF1\beta$ protein for importin  $\alpha$  binding in the cytoplasm and is imported into the nucleus.

PPIs are crucial for many biological processes in the living cell and are responsible for the majority of cellular functions.<sup>[17]</sup> Interestingly, it has been predicted that up to 49% of transcription factor sequences are intrinsically disordered.<sup>[18]</sup> Intrinsically disordered protein domains (IDD) do not assume well-defined folded structures, but rapidly interconvert between different conformations.<sup>[19]</sup> An example of IDDs are targeting motifs such as NLSs.<sup>[7]</sup> Because IDDs have unique binding properties, conventional drug-discovery strategies are less applicable for finding inhibitors, and novel strategies such as constrained-peptide-based approaches may be required.<sup>[19,20]</sup> Peptide-based drugs are attractive alternatives to small-molecule inhibitors owing to their high potency, specificity, and therapeutic safety.<sup>[21]</sup> Compared to proteinbased drugs, they are less likely to initiate an immune response and their synthesis is more economical and less time consuming.<sup>[22]</sup> Synthetic macrocylization through linking of



**Figure 1.** Proposed scheme for targeting the nuclear import of HNF1 $\beta$  through the HNF1 $\beta$ -importin  $\alpha$  PPI: 1) The IBB domain of importin  $\alpha$  binds to importin  $\beta$  to free up the NLS-binding sites on importin  $\alpha$ . 2) HNF1 $\beta$  NLS recognition by a heterodimeric complex composed of importin  $\alpha$  and importin  $\beta$ , 3, 4) To enable HNF1 $\beta$  to be imported in the nucleus, the HNF1 $\beta$  NLS has to bind to the importin  $\alpha$ - $\beta$  heterodimer. The constrained peptide competes for this binding, thereby impairing the import of HNF1 $\beta$ . 5) Release of the constrained peptide through RanGTP binding to importin  $\beta$ . Reproduced and modified from Kobe et al.<sup>[16]</sup>

the side chains of two non-proteogenic amino acid residues allows peptides to be constrained in their bioactive conformation, thereby resulting in less entropy lost upon binding.<sup>[23]</sup> In addition, the rate of proteolytic degradation of constrained peptides is often lower than that of their linear counterparts.<sup>[24]</sup> Current challenges in the field include the design of cell-permeable constrained peptides.

Our goal was to stabilize the HNF1 $\beta$  NLS peptide, which binds to importin  $\alpha$ , in its binding conformation to give a constrained peptide with increased permeability whilst retaining potency. The crystal structure of the HNF1 $\beta$  NLS peptide bound to mImportin  $\alpha$ 1  $\Delta$ IBB (PDB ID: 5K9S), which has the autoinhibitory importin  $\beta$  binding (IBB) domain deleted, was used to aid the design of the constrained peptide.<sup>[6c]</sup>

We first performed cell proliferation experiments to validate the potential of HNF1 $\beta$  as a therapeutic target for the treatment of CCC. The effect on cell proliferation upon HNF1 $\beta$  knockdown was studied in five high- and low-HNF1 $\beta$ -expressing CCC cell lines and one high-grade serous ovarian cancer (HGSOC) control cell line (PEO1), which does not express HNF1 $\beta$ . All of the CCC lines apart from JHOC7, OVISE, and PEO1 proliferated less upon small hairpin RNA (shRNA)-mediated HNF1 $\beta$  knockdown (Figure 2). In the



**Figure 2.** Relative proliferation of PEO1, JHOC5, JHOC7, JHOC9, OVISE, and SKOV3 CCC lines with n=4 after HNF1 $\beta$  shRNA knockdown. The mean is shown, with error bars showing the SEM. Statistical significance was assessed with multiple t-tests and the Holm–Šídák method with  $\alpha = 5\%$ . Optical densities (ODs) are given relative to their respective non-target knockdown OD value and background OD was subtracted. Only shRNA knockdown clone 583 at 96 h was considered here. \* indicates P < 0.02.

JHOC5, JHOC9, and SKOV3 cell lines, this reduction was found to be statistically significant, with P < 0.02. These results are in agreement with previous results by Tomassetti et al. and Tsuchiya et al.,<sup>[2b,25]</sup> but we provide a more extensive investigation, with five cell lines and five time points. This work further validates HNF1 $\beta$  as a target for CCC.

Because the binding affinity of cargo proteins for their carrier is an important factor for efficient nuclear import,<sup>[26]</sup> it was imperative to quantify the dissociation constant ( $K_d$ ) of the HNF1 $\beta^{\text{DBD}}$  with its nuclear import protein (importin  $\alpha$ ) by isothermal titration calorimetry (ITC; see Figure S4 in the Supporting Information for ITC curve and binding parameters). The tighter binding ( $K_d = 625$  nM) that was observed with the HNF1 $\beta^{\text{DBD}}$  protein compared to the much shorter

www.angewandte.org

© 2016 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

Using a fluorescence polarization (FP) assay, the linear HNF1 $\beta$  NLS peptide sequence (Pep0: TAMRA-5-Ahx-6-TNKKMRRNRFK-NH<sub>2</sub>) was determined to bind to mImportin  $\alpha$ 1  $\Delta$ IBB with a comparable  $K_d$  of 5.32  $\mu$ M. This represents a roughly 2.5-fold difference from that obtained previously by ITC ( $K_d = 13.6 \,\mu$ M).<sup>[6c]</sup> The FP assay was used for inhibitor testing.

To predict the most important binding interactions of the HNF1 $\beta$  NLS peptide with the mImportin  $\alpha$ 1 protein, molecular dynamics (MD) simulations for the complex were performed. Two key discrepancies between the crystal structure (PDB ID: 5K9S)<sup>[6c]</sup> and the MD simulations were identified. In the crystal structure, the backbone carbonyl of Thr1 from the peptide interacts with Arg238 of importin  $\alpha$  (Figure 3 A), whereas in two out of three simulation runs, this



**Figure 3.** Binding interactions of HNF1 $\beta$  NLS peptide (orange) with mImportin  $\alpha$ 1 (gray) determined from X-ray crystallography and MD simulations. The trajectory structures shown are final snapshots taken from the end of the simulations. A) The backbone carbonyl oxygen of Thr1 hydrogen bonds to the side chain of Arg238 in the obtained crystal structure (PDB ID: 5K9S). B) The side chain of Thr1 hydrogen bonds with the side chain of Asp270 in the MD simulations. C) Arg9 forms a salt bridge with Glu465 from a neighboring protein chain (pink) in the crystal structure. D) Arg9 forms a salt bridge with Glu107 in the MD simulations.

interaction was lost and the side chain of Thr1 formed a hydrogen bond with Asp270 instead (Figure 3B). Secondly, Arg9 of the peptide forms a salt bridge with Glu465 from a neighboring importin  $\alpha$  chain in the crystal structure due to crystal packing (Figure 3C), whereas it formed a salt bridge with Glu107 of importin  $\alpha$ 1 in all the simulation runs (Figure 3D). These observations highlight both the influence of the crystal environment in inducing nonphysiological contacts and the importance of using MD simulations to eliminate the effect of crystal packing in the study of protein dynamics in solution.<sup>[27]</sup> Our results suggest that both Thr1 and Arg9 should be retained to maintain the binding potency of the constrained peptides.

The contribution of each HNF1 $\beta$  NLS residue to the binding was then assessed by binding free energy decom-

position,<sup>[28]</sup> based on the structures of HNF1 $\beta$  NLS peptide in complex with mImportin  $\alpha$ 1 obtained from the MD simulations. Residues Asn2, Asn8, Phe10, and Lys11 contributed very little to the total binding free energy, thus suggesting that they could be removed from the peptide with minimal disruption to the overall binding (Figure 4A). Computational



**Figure 4.** Energetic analysis of the MD simulations of the complex of HNF1 $\beta$  NLS peptide with mImportin  $\alpha$ 1. A) Binding free energy contributions of HNF1 $\beta$  NLS peptide residues. B) Computational alanine scanning of HNF1 $\beta$  NLS peptide residues. Hot, warm, cool, and cold spots are shown in red, orange, green, and blue, respectively.

alanine scanning was then used to determine suitable stapling locations. Each peptide residue was mutated to alanine and the difference in the binding free energy between the mutant and wild-type complexes calculated (Figure 4B). The results were in agreement with the binding free energy decomposition analysis (Figure 4A). Thr1, Lys4, Arg6, Arg7, and Arg9 were identified as the most important residues for binding, whereas Asn2, Asn8, Phe10, and Lys11 made only negligible contributions to the binding. Both energetic analyses indicate that the constrained peptide inhibitors should be designed based on the following peptide sequence: <sup>1</sup>TNKKMRRNR<sup>9</sup>. Since the constraining linker should preferably be placed on residues where the side chains have little or negative contribution to the binding,<sup>[29]</sup> residues Asn2 and Asn8 were chosen for replacement with a linker. The constraints were introduced by using unnatural azido amino acids and dialkynyl linkers through two-component double-click chemistry (Figure 5).<sup>[30]</sup>

The unconstrained peptides Pep1 and Pep2, as well as four constrained peptides, were synthesized. Their binding affinities for mImportin  $\alpha 1 \Delta IBB$  were evaluated in fluorescence polarization assays (Figure 5 C). The introduction of the unnatural azido amino acids did not have an adverse effect on the binding of the peptides. Compared to the linear wild-type peptide Pep0, there was a 2.5-fold improvement in the

www.angewandte.org







Figure 6. A model of the constrained peptide Pep2A (orange) bound to mImportin  $\alpha 1 \Delta IBB$  (gray).

**Figure 5.** A) Synthesized peptide sequences containing azido amino acids and linkers A–C. B) General structure of the bis-triazole-constrained peptides with n = 1,2 and m = 1-3. C) Direct FP assay binding affinities for (constrained) peptides in  $\mu$ M. The full synthesis of the intermediates and constrained peptides can be found in the Supporting Information.

binding of Pep1, while Pep2 exhibited slightly decreased binding potency. The constrained peptides Pep1A–Pep1C followed a rough trend in which binding affinities increased with increasing linker length (Figure 5). However, their binding affinities were still about an order of magnitude weaker than that of Pep0. The linkers were possibly too short to constrain these peptides in the appropriate conformation for binding, thereby resulting in higher  $K_d$  values. In contrast, Pep2A ( $K_d = 4.54 \,\mu\text{M}$ , Figure 6), which is formed from unnatural azido amino acids with longer side chains, was observed to bind with slightly improved binding affinity compared to Pep0. This highlights the importance of optimizing side-chain lengths in the synthesis of "double-click" peptides.<sup>[31]</sup> Significantly, this is the first time that a constrained HNF1 $\beta$  NLS peptide has been found to bind more tightly than its unconstrained peptide precursor.

Live-cell fluorescence microscopy studies were undertaken to assess the cell permeability of the synthesized TAMRA-labelled linear and constrained peptides (Figure 7). Pep0 showed limited cell permeability, while Pep1 and in particular Pep2 showed good cell permeability. The corresponding constrained peptides Pep1B and Pep2A retained their cell permeability upon stabilization. This work represents the first example of constraining an NLS peptide to target the nuclear import pathway, and it does not require the attachment of a cell-permeable peptide sequence. All of the constrained peptides were more cell-permeable than the linear HNF1 $\beta$  NLS control peptide Pep0.

In conclusion, we have further validated HNF1 $\beta$  as a therapeutic target in CCC by including more CCC lines and time points during knockdown studies than previous efforts.<sup>[2a,b]</sup> A set of constrained peptide inhibitors based on



Figure 7. Cell-permeability studies for the linear and constrained peptides using JHOC9 cells. Images were taken on a Leica tandem confocal microscope using a 40X objective.

www.angewandte.org

© 2016 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

These are not the final page numbers!

the HNF1ß NLS sequence was developed using rational drug design to competitively target the HNF1 $\beta$ -importin  $\alpha$  PPI, and binding data were obtained using both ITC and FP assays. MD simulations were performed to guide the development of constrained peptides that have enhanced conformational similarity to the bound HNF1ß NLS peptide, thus further reducing the entropic penalty for binding.<sup>[29]</sup> A constrained peptide, Pep2A, which had a higher binding affinity than that of the unconstrained HNF1ß NLS peptide Pep0, and which bound more tightly than its unconstrained precursor Pep2, was identified. This confirmed that an entropically-driven gain in binding affinity was achieved for Pep2A. All of the constrained peptides, including Pep2A, were more cellpermeable than Pep0. This work provides the first example of using constrained peptides that mimic the ordered state of NLSs to target the nuclear import of transcription factors. Further studies are now underway to elucidate the structural conformation of the constrained peptides upon binding to the target protein. The surrounding residues of an NLS are often important for binding specificity.<sup>[32]</sup> For example, the transcription factor Stat1 has been found to be specifically imported by importin  $\alpha 5$  both in vitro and in vivo, and this specificity appears to rely on contacts made with the Cterminal acidic region of importin  $\alpha 5$ .<sup>[33]</sup> Further structural information on the binding of HNF1 $\beta$  to importin  $\alpha$  is required for the future design of isoform-selective importin  $\alpha$ inhibitors. HNF1 $\beta$  overexpression in breast cancer<sup>[34]</sup> and pancreatic clear cell carcinoma<sup>[35]</sup> also correlates with worse survival rates, and the developed constrained peptide inhibitors may have a therapeutic effect on breast, pancreatic, and ovarian clear cell carcinoma proliferation.[36] This method of rational design of constrained-peptide drug candidates should also be applicable to other IDDs.

#### Acknowledgements

M.W. is funded by Cancer Research UK, Department of Chemistry at the University of Cambridge, School of the Physical Sciences and the Cambridge Cancer Centre. The Spring lab acknowledges support from the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013)/ERC grant agreement no [279337/DOS]. D.R.S acknowledges support from a Royal Society Wolfson Research Merit award. In addition, the group research was supported by grants from the Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council, Biotechnology and Biological Sciences Research Council, Medical Research Council, Royal Society and Welcome Trust. Funding in part was also provided by Medical Research Council Grant U105178939 to M.S. We would like to thank the Biorepository and Microscopy facilities at the Cancer Research UK Cambridge Institute, University of Cambridge, Li Ka Shing Centre, Robinson Way, Cambridge CB2 0RE, UK for assistance.

**Keywords:** constrained peptides · drug discovery · nuclear import · peptide therapeutics · peptidomimetics

- [1] a) D. S. P. Tan, S. Kaye, J. Clin. Pathol. 2007, 60, 355-360;
  b) D. S. Tan, R. E. Miller, S. B. Kaye, Br. J. Cancer 2013, 108, 1553-1559.
- [2] a) H. Kajihara, Y. Yamada, S. Kanayama, N. Furukawa, T. Noguchi, S. Haruta, S. Yoshida, T. Sado, H. Oi, H. Kobayashi, *Oncol. Rep.* 2010, 23, 1193–1203; b) A. Tsuchiya, M. Sakamoto, J. Yasuda, M. Chuma, T. Ohta, M. Ohki, T. Yasugi, Y. Taketani, S. Hirohashi, *Am. J. Pathol.* 2003, *163*, 2503–2512; c) N. Kato, S. Sasou, T. Motoyama, *Mod. Pathol.* 2006, *19*, 83–89; d) K. Yamaguchi, M. Mandai, T. Oura, N. Matsumura, J. Hamanishi, T. Baba, S. Matsui, S. K. Murphy, I. Konishi, *Oncogene* 2010, *29*, 1741–1752.
- [3] a) S. Sammak, G. Zinzalla, Prog. Biophys. Mol. Biol. 2015, 119, 41-46; b) R. van der Lee, M. Buljan, B. Lang, R. J. Weatheritt, G. W. Daughdrill, A. K. Dunker, M. Fuxreiter, J. Gough, J. Gsponer, D. T. Jones, P. M. Kim, R. W. Kriwacki, C. J. Oldfield, R. V. Pappu, P. Tompa, V. N. Uversky, P. E. Wright, M. M. Babu, *Chem. Rev.* 2014, 114, 6589-6631.
- [4] P. Liu, A. Khurana, R. Rattan, X. He, S. Kalloger, S. Dowdy, B. Gilks, V. Shridhar, *Cancer Res.* 2009, 69, 4843–4850.
- [5] P. Lu, G. B. Rha, Y.-I. Chi, Biochemistry 2007, 46, 12071-12080.
- [6] a) G. Wu, S. Bohn, G. U. Ryffel, *Eur. J. Biochem.* 2004, 271, 3715–3728; b) S. Bohn, H. Thomas, G. Turan, S. Ellard, C. Bingham, A. T. Hattersley, G. U. Ryffel, *J. Am. Soc. Nephrol.* 2003, 14, 2033–2041; c) M. M. Wiedmann, S. Aibara, D. R. Spring, M. Stewart, J. D. Brenton, *J. Struct. Biol.* 2016, 195, 273–281.
- [7] D. Kalderon, W. D. Richardson, A. F. Markham, A. E. Smith, *Nature* **1984**, *311*, 33–38.
- [8] a) E. Conti, M. Uy, L. Leighton, G. N. Blobel, J. Kuriyan, *Cell* 1998, 94, 193–204; b) M. R. M. Fontes, T. Teh, B. Kobe, *J. Mol. Biol.* 2000, 297, 1183–1194.
- [9] A. Lange, R. E. Mills, C. J. Lange, M. Stewart, S. E. Devine, A. H. Corbett, J. Biol. Chem. 2007, 282, 5101-5105.
- [10] a) G. M. Cooper, *The Cell, A Molecular Approach*, 2nd ed., Boston University, **2000**; b) V. Neduva, R. B. Russell, *FEBS Lett.* **2005**, 579, 3342–3345; c) M. Marfori, A. Mynott, J. J. Ellis, A. M. Mehdi, N. F. Saunders, P. M. Curmi, J. K. Forwood, M. Boden, B. Kobe, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Mol. Cell Res.* **2011**, *1813*, 1562– 1577.
- [11] a) P. Ferrigno, P. A. Silver, Oncogene 1999, 18, 6129–6134; b) A. Komeili, E. K. O'Shea, Curr. Opin. Cell Biol. 2000, 12, 355–360.
- [12] Y.-Z. Lin, S. Yao, R. A. Veach, T. R. Torgerson, J. Hawiger, J. Biol. Chem. 1995, 270, 14255-14258.
- [13] T. R. Torgerson, A. D. Colosia, J. P. Donahue, Y.-Z. Lin, J. Hawiger, J. Immunol. 1998, 161, 6084–6092.
- [14] J. Zienkiewicz, A. Armitage, J. Hawiger, J. Am. Heart Assoc. **2013**, *2*, e000386.
- [15] Y. H. Lau, P. de Andrade, Y. Wu, D. R. Spring, *Chem. Soc. Rev.* 2015, 44, 91–102.
- [16] B. Kobe, Nat. Struct. Mol. Biol. 1999, 6, 388-397.
- [17] U. Stelzl, U. Worm, M. Lalowski, C. Haenig, F. H. Brembeck, H. Goehler, M. Stroedicke, M. Zenkner, A. Schoenherr, S. Koeppen, J. Timm, S. Mintzlaff, C. Abraham, N. Bock, S. Kietzmann, A. Goedde, E. Toksoz, A. Droege, S. Krobitsch, B. Korn, W. Birchmeier, H. Lehrach, E. E. Wanker, *Cell* **2005**, *122*, 957–968.
- [18] Y. Minezaki, K. Homma, A. R. Kinjo, K. Nishikawa, J. Mol. Biol. 2006, 359, 1137–1149.
- [19] S. J. Metallo, Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol. 2010, 14, 481-488.
- [20] A. K. Dunker, V. N. Uversky, Curr. Opin. Pharmacol. 2010, 10, 782-788.
- [21] S. Kosugi, M. Hasebe, T. Entani, S. Takayama, M. Tomita, H. Yanagawa, *Chem. Biol.* 2008, 15, 940–949.

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 1-7

© 2016 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

www.angewandte.org

These are not the final page numbers!



- [22] T. L. Nero, C. J. Morton, J. K. Holien, J. Wielens, M. W. Parker, *Nat. Rev. Cancer* 2014, 14, 248–262.
- [23] J. S. McMurray, P. K. Mandal, W. S. Liao, J. Klostergaard, F. M. Robertson, JAKSTAT 2012, 1, 263–347.
- [24] a) A. K. Yudin, *Chem. Sci.* 2015, 6, 30–49; b) G. L. Verdine, G. J. Hilinski in *Methods Enzymology, Vol. 503* (Eds.: K. D. Wittrup, G. L. Verdine), Academic Press, New York, 2012, pp. 3–33.
- [25] A. Tomassetti, G. De Santis, G. Castellano, S. Miotti, M. Mazzi, D. Tomasoni, F. Van Roy, M. L. Carcangiu, S. Canevari, *Neoplasia* **2008**, *10*, 1481–1492.
- [26] A. E. Hodel, M. T. Harreman, K. F. Pulliam, M. E. Harben, J. S. Holmes, M. R. Hodel, K. M. Berland, A. H. Corbett, *J. Biol. Chem.* 2006, 281, 23545–23556.
- [27] T. Terada, A. Kidera, J. Phys. Chem. B 2012, 116, 6810-6818.
- [28] H. Gohlke, C. Kiel, D. A. Case, J. Mol. Biol. 2003, 330, 891-913.
- [29] Y. S. Tan, D. P. Lane, C. S. Verma, Drug Discovery Today 2016, 21, 1642–1653.
- [30] a) Y. H. Lau, P. de Andrade, G. J. McKenzie, A. R. Venkitaraman, D. R. Spring, *ChemBioChem* 2014, 15, 2680–2683; b) Y. H. Lau, P. de Andrade, S.-T. Quah, M. Rossmann, L. Laraia, N. Sköld, T. J. Sum, P. J. E. Rowling, T. L. Joseph, C. Verma, M. Hyvönen, L. S. Itzhaki, A. R. Venkitaraman, C. J. Brown, D. P. Lane, D. R. Spring, *Chem. Sci.* 2014, 5, 1804–1809; c) Y. H. Lau,

Y. Wu, P. de Andrade, W. R. J. D. Galloway, D. R. Spring, *Nat. Protoc.* **2015**, *10*, 585–594.

- [31] Y. H. Lau, P. de Andrade, N. Skold, G. J. McKenzie, A. R. Venkitaraman, C. Verma, D. P. Lane, D. R. Spring, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2014, 12, 4074–4077.
- [32] K. M. Wagstaff, D. A. Jans, Anal. Biochem. 2006, 348, 49-56.
- [33] a) T. Sekimoto, N. Imamoto, K. Nakajima, T. Hirano, Y. Yoneda, *EMBO J.* **1997**, *16*, 7067–7077; b) J. Nardozzi, N. Wenta, N. Yasuhara, U. Vinkemeier, G. Cingolani, *J. Mol. Biol.* **2010**, *402*, 83–100.
- [34] A. Matsui, J. Fujimoto, K. Ishikawa, E. Ito, N. Goshima, S. Watanabe, K. Semba, *FEBS Lett.* **2016**, *590*, 1211–1221.
- [35] L. Kim, J. Liao, M. Zhang, M. Talamonti, D. Bentrem, S. Rao, G. Y. Yang, *Mod. Pathol.* **2008**, *21*, 1075–1083.
- [36] H. Katoh, H. Ojima, A. Kokubu, S. Saito, T. Kondo, T. Kosuge, F. Hosoda, I. Imoto, J. Inazawa, S. Hirohashi, T. Shibata, *Gastro-enterology* **2007**, *133*, 1475–1486.

Manuscript received: September 26, 2016 Revised: October 18, 2016 Final Article published:

6 www.angewandte.org

C 2016 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim



## Communications

### Peptide Therapeutics

M. M. Wiedmann, Y. S. Tan, Y. Wu, S. Aibara, W. Xu, H. F. Sore, C. S. Verma, L. Itzhaki, M. Stewart, J. D. Brenton, D. R. Spring\*

Development of Cell-Permeable, Non-Helical Constrained Peptides to Target a Key Protein–Protein Interaction in Ovarian Cancer



**Import ban:** The transcription factor HNF1 $\beta$  is ubiquitously overexpressed in ovarian clear cell carcinoma. Guided by Xray crystallographic data and molecular dynamics simulations, cell-permeable, non-helical constrained proteomimetics (orange) were designed to target the HNF1 $\beta$ -importin  $\alpha$  protein-protein interaction and thereby inhibit the nuclear import of HNF1 $\beta$ . This general approach may be extended to other transcription factors.