

Article

Photoinduced electron transfer and hole migration in nanosized helical aromatic oligoamide foldamers

Xuesong Li, Nagula Markandeya, Gediminas Jonusauskas, Nathan D. McClenaghan, Victor Maurizot, Sergey A. Denisov, and Ivan Huc

J. Am. Chem. Soc., Just Accepted Manuscript • DOI: 10.1021/jacs.6b05668 • Publication Date (Web): 21 Sep 2016 Downloaded from http://pubs.acs.org on September 21, 2016

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.



Journal of the American Chemical Society is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036 Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

7 8

9 10

11 12 13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23 24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

Photoinduced electron transfer and hole migration in nanosized helical aromatic oligoamide foldamers

Xuesong Li,^{†,||,‡}, Nagula Markandeya,^{†,||,‡}Gediminas Jonusauskas,[§] Nathan D. McClenaghan,[¶] Victor Maurizot, ^{||}Sergey A. Denisov,^{*,¶} Ivan Huc^{*, ||}

† Univ. Bordeaux, CBMN (UMR 5248), Institut Européen de Chimie et Biologie, 2 rue Robert Escarpit, 33600 Pessac, France

CNRS, CBMN (UMR 5248), Institut Européen de Chimie et Biologie, 2 rue Robert Escarpit, 33600 Pessac, France

§ Univ. Bordeaux, Laboratoire Ondes et Matières d'Aquitaine (UMR5798), 351 cours de la Libération 33405 Talence cedex France

¶ Univ. Bordeaux, Institut des Sciences Moléculaires (UMR5255), 351 cours de la Libération, 33405 Talence cedex, France

KEYWORDS: photoinduced electron transfer, hopping mechanism, long-range charge transfer, foldamer, charge separation.

ABSTRACT: A series of photoactive triads have been synthesized and investigated in order to elucidate photo-induced electron transfer and hole migration mechanism across nanosized, rigid helical foldamers. The triads are comprised of a central helical oligoamide foldamer bridge with 9, 14, 18, 19, or 34 8-amino-2-quinolinecarboxylic acid repeat units, and of two chromophores, an N-terminal oligo(*para*-phenylenevinylene) electron donor and a C-terminal perylenebis-imide electron acceptor. Time-resolved fluorescence and transient absorption spectroscopic studies showed that, following photoexcitation of the electron acceptor, fast electron transfer occurs initially from the oligoquinoline bridge to the acceptor chromophore on the picosecond timescale. The oligo(*para*-phenylenevinylene) electron donor is oxidized after a time delay during which the hole migrates across the foldamer from the acceptor to the donor. The charge separated state that is finally generated was found to be remarkably long-lived (>80 μ s). While the initial charge injection rate is largely invariant for all foldamer lengths (*ca*. 60 ps), the subsequent hole transfer to the donor varies from 1×10⁹ s⁻¹ for the longest sequence to 17×10⁹ s⁻¹ for the shortest. In all cases, charge transfer is very fast considering the foldamer length. Detailed analysis of the process in different media and at varying temperatures is consistent with a hopping mechanism of hole transport through the foldamer helix, with individual hops occurring on the subpicosecond timescale ($k_{\rm ET} = 2.5 \times 10^{12} \, {\rm s}^{-1}$ in CH₂Cl₂). This work demonstrates the possibility of fast long range hole transfer over 300 Å (through bonds) across a synthetic modular bridge, an achievement that had been previously observed principally with DNA structures.

Introduction

Electron transport through nucleic acids and proteins is central to a range of essential biomolecular processes, including photosynthesis,^{1,2} enzyme catalysis³⁻⁵ and DNA repair.⁶⁻⁹It can proceed between chromophores or other redox active chemical functions located at precise positions in space as a result of folding of the biopolymer backbones. These chromophores or redox centers may be in direct contact or, on the contrary, separated by long (nanometric) distances, in which case electron transport will greatly depend on the way charges may transfer between functionalities. In order to elucidate which parameters are critical to these biological functions, and with the perspective to engineer related bioinspired artificial molecular devices, chemists have produced a variety of small and well-defined model molecular systems that are more amenable to study than biomacromolecules. For photo-induced charge transfer investigations, typical architectures are composed of electron donor and electron acceptor units located at the termini of, often rigid, oligomeric bridges. The oligomeric bridges can be of various types, for example based on: phenylenes,¹⁰⁻¹⁵ porphyrins,¹⁶ thiophenes¹⁷, fluorenone and p-phenylethynylene^{18,19}as well as organometallic molecular wires.^{12,17,20-22} These systems typically allow to assess the effect of distance between donor and acceptor, as well as the chemical composition of the bridge, on charge separation and charge recombination rates.

Aside from rigid bridges, more flexible structures but with an ability to adopt stable folded conformations, have been used to control the relative positioning of donor and acceptor units, and even of multiple chromophores. Examples include the use of peptides²³⁻²⁹ and nucleotides^{23,30-41} and, in recent years, of non-natural backbones, *i.e.* foldamers.⁴²⁻⁴⁶ Folding gives access to diverse and well-defined relative orientations, the most common being the cofacial orientation of stacked aromatic chromophores. More specifically aromatic amide foldamers^{47,48}have emerged as a new class of tool for the fabrication of well-defined, organic compatible, molecular and supramolecular nanometric architectures with atomic precision including sheets,⁴⁹multi-stranded helices,^{50,51}multi-helical structures^{52,53} and host-guest receptor systems⁵⁴the size of which sometimes matches the size of small proteins. The investigation of electron transport within these objects thus bears relevance to their potential incorporation into devices, including sensors.

Along this line, we previously reported the photoinduced electron transfer between a perylenebis-imide (PB) acceptor and an oligophenyene-vinylene (OPV) donor separated by a foldamer bridge consisting of a helically-folded oligoamide of 8-amino-2-quinolinecarboxylic acid (Q) comprised of 2, 4, 5 or 9 monomers (see molecular structures in Figure 1).55 These OPV-Qn-PB helical oligomers have an exceptional conformational stability. For example, even short sequences do not denaturate at 120°C in DMSO; helix handedness inversion occurs on the time scale of hundreds of milliseconds for a tetramer, hours for an octamer, and weeks for a hexadecamer.^{56,57} Taking advantage of this stability, the initial intention of our earlier study was to evaluate the effect of varying both the orientation and the distance between the donor and acceptor in a controlled manner (i.e. at precise positions with negligible helix dynamics when two turns are reached, $n \ge 5$) in order to decipher the respective effects of these two parameters on charge transfer rates. Ultimately, some dependence on both these parameters was demonstrated. However, the main result of the study was the serendipitous discovery that the quinolinecarboxamide backbone facilitates charge transfer which was shown to occur very rapidly (tens of picoseconds timescale) and with a small dependence on distance (attenuation factor was measured to be $\beta_{CS} \sim 0.05 \text{ Å}^{-1}$). Charge transport across these relatively short foldamer bridges was thought to proceed via a superexchange mechanism, i.e. by a direct electron coupling between the donor and acceptor unitswavefunctions facilitated by the bridge.^{20,58}

The finding that charge transport is fast in the 18-81 Å range (through bonds) naturally raised the question of whether aromatic amide foldamers could mediate charge transport over much longer distances and ultimately be of use in photoactive molecular devices. Answering this question was for many years hampered by the considerable challenge of synthesizing long foldamer sequences equipped with complex functional groups. In recent reports,^{59,60} we described optimized methods to produce long quinoline carboxamide oligomers in high yield, high purity and on multigram scales for oligomers as long as a 32mer that paved the way to the work reported here. We nowpresent the synthesis of oligoamide foldamers bearing PB and OPV functional terminal functions of unprecedented length. We investigated OPV-Q_n-PB with n = 9, 14, 18, 19, 34, corresponding to interchromophore distances in the range of 14-51 Å through space and 81-306 Å through bonds. In addition, Boc-Qn-PB dyads were studied to gain insight into Qn bridge-PB interactions.



Figure 1. Formulas of studied foldamers OPV-Q_n-PB and Q_n-PB. Schematic spatial representations of the helical structures of OPV-Q_n-PB with n = 9, 14, 19 and 34. The number of helix turns in the cartoon corresponds to the actual number expected in the molecular helix. The bottom right structure shows a color coded energy minimized (Merck molecular force field in Macromodel)model of OPV-Q₃₄-PB. In this model, alkyl and alkoxy chains have been removed or shortened for clarity.

As shown below, photo-induced electron transfer was observed to occur very fast despite the length of the structures. For these long oligomers, the temperature, solvent and length dependence of the charge separation rate are consistent with a hopping mechanism, consisting of several steps, in one of which a hole migrates randomly through the bridge, contrary to the initially proposed superexchange mechanism. Moreover, we report the observation of very long-lived charge separated states (>80 μ s at 15 μ M in CH₂Cl₂), a feature frelevance for photovoltaic applications.

Results

Molecular design. In this work, five different rigid foldamer sequences OPV-Q_n-PB with n = 9, 14, 18, 19, 34 with the same electron donor (OPV) and electron acceptor (PB) chromophores linked to the oligoquinolinecarboxamide foldamer termini were successfully synthesized (Figure 1). The molecules were designed to control both the distance between, and the relative orientation of, donor and acceptor chromophores that are expected to protrude from the helix in planes perpendicular to the helix axis. In all compounds except OPV-Q₁₈-PB, the number of quinolines in the bridge is such that OPV

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59 60

and PB adopt asimilarorientation in which the dihedral angle (α_{DA}) between the chromophores is kept at comparable values(Figure 1 and Table 1). Indeed, solution and solid state studies have demonstrated that Q_n helices span almost exactly two turns, i.e. a vertical rise of about 7 Å along the helix axis, every five units.^{53,60}Thus, OPV-Q_n-PB with n = 9, 14, 19, and 34 were expected to have chromophores at a fixed orientation but at a distance that increases by increments of 7 Å from n = 9 to n = 14 and n =14 to n= 19, and of 21 Å between n = 19 and n = 34. In contrast, OPV-Q₁₈-PB was expected to differ from OPV-Q₁₉-PB by a minimal change in interchromophore distance, and by a significant change in the relative chromophore orientation of about $360/2.5 = 144^{\circ}$ (Figure S1). In order to determine accurate distances through space (R_{DA}) or through bridge (R_{bridge}) and dihedral angles (α_{DA}) between the chromophores, the energy-minimized structures of all oligomers were calculated (Figure S1, Table 1)

Table 1. Distance through space (R_{DA}) or through bridge (R_{bridge}) and dihedral angle (α_{DA}) between the OPV and PB chromophores.

	$R_{DA} (Å)^{a}$	$R_{bridge}(\text{\AA})^{a,b}$	$\alpha_{DA}(^{\circ})^{c}$
OPV-Q ₉ -PB	14	81	-58.1
OPV-Q ₁₄ -PB	22	126	-66.6
OPV-Q ₁₈ -PB	27	162	-289.5
OPV-Q ₁₉ -PB	29	171	-77.7
OPV-Q ₃₄ -PB	51	306	-102.3

^(a) calculated from the first atom of PB to the first atom of OPV beyond amide functions; ^(b) measured along the helix molecular backbone as the sum of all bonds lengths through the helix inner rim; ^(c) dihedral angle between OPV and PB long axes.

Synthesis. The synthesis of OPV-Q_n-PB triads with n = 9, 14, 18, 19, 34 is presented in detail in the supporting information (schemes S1 to S4). The shortest sequence in this series matches in length with the longest sequence previously described but differs from it by its OPV group.⁵⁵ Indeed, we report the multigram scale synthesis of a new OPV unit functionalized with isobutoxy solubilizing groups. Instead of isobutoxy groups, the previously described OPV-Q_n-PB oligomers incorporated a chiral alkoxy side chain in their OPV units. The presence of the stereogenic centers made NMR analysis of the triads more complicated as the right-handed (P) and left-handed (M) helical conformations were then diastereomeric and appeared as distinct sets of anisochronous signals in the 'H NMR spectra. In contrast, all P- and M-helical conformers described here are enantiomers and their NMR spectroscopic features are identical. The PB unit was synthesized as previously described^{61,62} with a free aromatic phenylamine to be coupled to the C-terminus of Q_n segments.

The synthetic schemes of these new OPV-Q_n-PB compounds differ substantially from those reported previously, and have been much improved. They make use of the availability of Q_4 , Q_8 , and Q_{16} that can be produced in gram quantities via a segment doubling approach⁶⁰ with a C-terminus protected as a methyl ester and an Nterminus having a nitro group or a tert-butoxycarbonylamino (Boc-amino) group as amine precursors. Key intermediates included a Q₅ segment prepared by elongation of Q₄; H₂N-Q₈-PB and H₂N-Q₁₇-PB; and an OPV-Q-CO₂H monocarboxylic acid (Figure 2) which was introduced because of its reliable coupling at the amine terminus of Q_n segments, as opposed to the direct coupling of the carboxylic acid of OPV which was less reproducible. Thus, OPV-Q₉-PB was readily obtained from H₂N-Q₈-PB. This latter molecule could be elongated by five units to H₂N-Q₁₃-PB, which gave access to OPV-Q₁₄-PB. Similarly, H₂N-Q₁₃-PB was again elongated by five units to give access to H₂N-Q₁₈-PB and then OPV-Q₁₉-PB. For the preparation of OPV-Q₁₈-PB, Q₁₆ was first elongated to produce Q₁₇ and then H₂N-Q₁₇-PB. Finally, Q₁₆ was also converted into OPV-Q₁₇-CO₂H which was coupled to H₂N-Q₁₇-PB to yield OPV-Q₃₄-PB. A new scheme to prepare OPV-Q₄-PB with the new OPV side chains is also reported but the photophysical studies for this compound were not repeated (see Supporting Information). In addition, analogous molecular dyad systems lacking the electron donor OPV were prepared as well: Boc- Q_n -PB where n = 1, 2, 4, 8, 17.



Figure 2. Crystal structure of OPV-Q-CO₂H. Included solvent molecules and hydrogen atoms other than NH and OH have been removed for clarity.

Spectroscopic studies.Photoinduced electron transfer (PET), charge (electron-hole) separation (CS) and charge recombination (CR) processes in OPV-Q_n-PB systems in solution were studied using sub-pico and nanosecond transient absorption spectroscopy (TRABS) and complementary time-resolved fluorescence spectroscopy in the temperature range 180-298 K. Along with timeresolved techniques, steady-state electronic absorption and photoluminescence spectroscopy were used to obtain further information (see Supporting Information). Spectroscopic studies were performed in chlorinated solvents in which all compounds mentioned above are highly soluble and for the purpose of comparing new results with previous ones.55The solvents were thoroughly deoxygenated by multiple freeze-pump-thaw cycles, since it was found that, in the presence of oxygen, OPV photodamage occurs for OPV-Q_n-PB samples with n>9. Dichloromethane (CH₂Cl₂, spectroscopic grade, dielectric constant $\varepsilon = 8.93^{63}$) and chloroform (CHCl₃, spectroscopic grade, ε = 4.81^{63}) were used to investigate the effect of the solvent dielectric properties on photoinduced electron transfer and charge separation. Some measurements recorded in toluene showed more complex behavior such as double exponential PB fluorescence decays for longer variants. In addition, charge separation from donor to acceptor is disfavored in least polar solvents and does not occur in toluene for bridges as short as OPV-Q₁₀-PB. Studies in toluene would thus not allow to compare the full range of bridge lengths and were not pursued. Nevertheless, several experiments were carried out in toluene for comparison,to assess possible external heavy atom effects (EHE) induced by chlorine atoms of the solvents.⁶⁴ Our results demonstrate no significant influence of EHE on intersystem crossing in triads/dyads (*vide infra*).

Electronic absorption spectroscopy. The absorption spectra of the individual components of the triads, *i.e.* OPV, PB and Q_n taken as separate entities, show bands in distinct regions which allows to envisage chromophore-specific excitation: 350-450 nm for OPV and 450-550 nm for PB, while Q_n oligomers absorb in the 250-400 nm range. The UV/vis absorption spectra of the studied triads (Figure S2) are qualitatively similar to the sum of the spectra of the individual components (Figure S₃) and could be divided into the three regions ascribed to Q_n, OPV and PB. The OPV and PB absorption bands show only small fluctuations in molar absorption coefficients that are likely caused by changes in electronic structure and environment. The structure and spectral position of the vibronic bands of PB are similar for all triads and dyads. At the concentration at which the experiments were conducted $(1.5 \times 10^{-5} \text{ M for TRABS and } \sim 10^{-6} \text{ M for}$ fluorescence), the absorption spectra display characteristic vibronic progressions, indicating the absence of any aggregation phenomena.^{65,66} Absorption bands of individual PB, OPV and quinoline units do not vary significantly upon incorporation into triads or dyads. This indicates the absence of strong conjugation between the chromophores and adjacent quinoline units, and between quinoline units themselves, in the ground state. Nevertheless, the molar absorption coefficient of Q_n does not increase linearly with the number of bridge units, neither in Boc-Q_n-PB dyads nor in OPV-Q_n-PB triads. Some hypochromic effect is observed and the molar absorption per quinoline unit decreases with length. Structural elements of the bridge lying on the main axis of the triad appear to screen each other causing reduction of absorption (Figure S4-S5).

Photoluminescence spectroscopy. Earlier studies of shorter OPV-Q_n-PB triads showed that excitation of the PB moiety resulted in a *ca.* 150 fold decrease of fluorescence. This was attributed to efficient quenching *via* an electron transfer process towards the excited PB chromophore.⁵⁵Fluorescence quenching thus offers a method to quantify electron transfer rates and efficiency in OPV-Q_n-PB triads and Boc-Q_n-PB dyads. Measurements of the emission quantum yield (Φ_{fl}) and changes in luminescence lifetime (τ) in these multicomponent systems relative to the reference Boc-PB fluorophore ($\Phi_{fl} = 0.88$, $\tau = 4.00$ ns in CH₂Cl₂; $\Phi_{fl} = 0.81$, $\tau = 3.85$ ns in CHCl₃) allows estimation of the rate (k_{CT}) and efficiency of electron transfer according to Eq. 1.

$$k_{\rm CT} = k - k_0 = \frac{1}{\tau} - \frac{1}{\tau_0}$$
 Eq. 1

in which k is emission rate of the quenched fluorophore in triad and dyad systems and k_0 corresponds to the fluorescence rate of unquenched Boc-PB, τ and τ_o arethe lifetime of fluorescence respectively. Table 2 lists the fluorescence quantum yields and emission lifetime values of the studied dyads and triads. For $n \ge 8$, the triads and dyads showed strong emission quenching which resulted in shortening of emission lifetime down to 60 ps, corresponding to electron transfer rates of 17×10^9 s⁻¹. This strong lifetime decrease correlates well with the extent of fluorescence quenching (ca. 150-fold with respect to the Boc-PB) observed in steady-state measurements of fluorescence quantum yield in both CH₂Cl₂ and CHCl₂ (Table 2, Figure S8). Shorter dyads (Boc- Q_n -PB with n = 1, 2, 4) showed lower PB fluorescence quenching rates, in the range 2.7×10^9 to 8.2×10^9 s⁻¹in CH₂Cl₂(τ = 118-335 ps, Table 2, Figure S9-10).

Excitation spectra recorded on the weak residual emission from the quenched PB electron acceptor ($\lambda = 576$ nm, Figure 3) revealed efficient electronic energy transfer from the OPV-Q_n chromophore to PB. Depending on the length of the Q_n bridge, the time constant (τ) of energy transfer ranges from subnanosecond to nanosecond, *e.g.* $\tau = 10$ ps for OPV-Q₉-PB (see Figure S12a) and $\tau > 2000$ ps for OPV-Q₃₄-PB. Interchromophore electronic energy transfer (EET) processes, which occur before electron transfer processes of interest if the OPV is excited, will not be discussed further here. Indeed, selective excitation of the PB, thereby effectively avoiding EET, was performed throughout.

Table 2. Fluorescence quantum yields $(\Phi_{\rm fl})$ and emission lifetimes (τ) of dyads and triads at 295 K in CH₂Cl₂ and CHCl₃.

Samples	$\Phi_{\rm fl}$ in CH ₂ Cl ₂	$\Phi_{\rm fl}$ in CHCl ₃	τ, ps in CH ₂ Cl ₂	τ, ps in CHCl ₃
Boc-Q ₁ -PB	0.037	0.07	335	670
Boc-Q ₂ -PB	0.022	0.04	230	420
Boc-Q ₄ -PB	0.015	0.017	118	150
Boc-Q ₈ -PB	0.006	0.006	60	61
Boc-Q ₁₇ -PB	0.006	0.006	58	59
OPV-Q ₉ -PB	0.006	0.005	55	56
OPV-Q ₁₄ -PB	0.006	0.005	60	55
OPV-Q ₁₈ -PB	0.007	0.006	60	57
OPV-Q ₁₉ -PB	0.006	0.006	55	53
OPV-Q ₃₄ -PB	0.006	0.007	60	60
Boc-PB	0.88	0.81	4000	3850

2

3

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44 45

46

47

48

49



Figure 3. Excitation ($\lambda_{obs} = 576$ nm) and emission ($\lambda_{exc} = 490$ nm) spectra of OPV-Q₁₀-PB in CH₂Cl₂.

Transient absorption spectroscopy (TRABS). Details of the sequence of processes following photoexcitation of these multicomponent architectures, including electron transfer, could be determined using TRABS studies. Measurements were performed simultaneously at a number of wavelengths and at a certain time delay after the excitation pulse (time-gated spectra). A collection of spectra at different time delays constitutes the timeresolved spectrum (Figure 4). A high dynamic range streak-camera with broad time range detection (50 ps-1 ms) was used to register transient signals, allowing studies of the evolution of transient signals with time constants ranging from 60 ps to 100 µs. Additionally, ultrafast TRABS experiments were performed (Figure S6) in order to better resolve fast electron transfer processes.

TRABS maps of Boc-Q8-PB recorded at 298 K in CHCl₃ (Figure 4A) show that, after excitation of PB, positive absorption signatures corresponding to both PB $S_n \leftarrow S_1$ and PB anion radical (PB⁻, centered at 700 nm)⁶⁷ and to quinoline cation radical (Q⁺, centered at 390 nm, Figure S11a)⁶⁸ appear simultaneously with a time constant of ~60 ps. The same observation was madevia femtosecond TRABS measurements (Figure S11b). In contrast, maps of OPV-Q₀-PB (Figure 4B, Figure S12b) do not reveal an absorption band which could be attributed to Q⁺, following excitation. Instead, OPV ground state bleaching (GSB) is observed, concomitant with the appearance of a PB⁻ band ($\tau \approx 60$ ps) and of an OPV⁺ band. However, for longer Q_n bridges, starting from OPV-Q₁₄-PB, OPV GSB and OPV⁺ bands appearance clearly occurs with some time delay with respect to the appearance of the PB⁻ absorption band (Figure 4C, D). Moreover, for these longer bridges ($n \ge 14$), the presence of quinoline cation radical Q⁺ absorption band at 390 nm is present in TRABS maps at early stages after excitation pulse (indicated by circles).



Figure 4. TRABS maps of A) Boc-Q₈-PB ($\lambda_{exc} = 510 \text{ nm}$); B) OPV-Q₉-PB $\lambda_{exc} = 510 \text{ nm}$); C) OPV-Q₁₉-PB ($\lambda_{exc} = 532 \text{ nm}$); D) OPV-Q₃₄-PB ($\lambda_{exc} = 532 \text{ nm}$), at 298 K in CHCl₃. Positive absorption is shown in yellow and red, whereas ground state bleaching (GSB) is shown in blue. Circles indicate the presence of Q⁺ absorption band. In D), the vertical scale is expanded to 10 ns to accommodate the slower hole transfer through the long Q₃₄ bridgeA better view with higher contrast of the quinoline-radical band is presented in Figure S18.

To determine the rate of overall charge separation in triad systems, *i.e.* the electron transfer between PB and OPV, we monitored the rate of OPV GSB (Figure S13), that is the rate at which the HOMO of OPV is oxidized to give OPV⁺. OPV GSB kinetics were fitted to an exponential function and the corresponding time constant parameter was attributed to charge separation.Good fits were obtained following this this time convention (see Figure S13). Nevertheless, here, mono-exponential analysis is purely phenomenological.⁸³Similarly, time-resolved PB fluorescence decay was fitted to an exponential function to determine the rate of electron transfer from the Q_n bridge to PB that causes emission quenching.

Table 3. Activation energy ΔG of initial charge injection and overall charge separation.

	$\Delta G(eV)$		ΔG(eV)	
Samples	charge injection		charge separation	
	CH ₂ Cl ₂	CHCl ₃	CH ₂ Cl ₂	CHCl ₃
Boc-Q ₈ -PB	0.105	-	-	-
OPV-Q ₉ -PB	0.098	0.090	0.105	0.090
OPV-Q ₁₄ -PB	0.105	0.080	0.087	0.082
OPV-Q ₁₈ -PB	0.095	0.072	0.078	0.075
OPV-Q ₁₉ -PB	0.100	0.071	0.065	0.062
OPV-Q ₃₄ -PB	0.088	0.080	0.045	-



Figure 5. Temperature dependence of charge separation rate between OPV and PB in OPV-Q_n-PB in CH₂Cl₂ and CHCl₃, calculated from the rate of OPV GSB appearance ($\lambda_{exc} = 532$ nm). Charge separation does not occur for OPV-Q₃₄-PB in CHCl₃ at temperatures lower than 273 K (see below for explanation). Solid and dotted lines represent linear fits of data recorded in CH₂Cl₂ and CHCl₃, respectively.

In order to elucidate the mechanism of electron transfer, time-resolved fluorescence and TRABS were conducted at different temperatures in the range of 180–298 K in CH₂Cl₂ and 210-298 K in CHCl₃ (see Supporting Information). These experiments allowed the determination of electron transfer activation energies (Table 3, Figure 5-6) based on an Arrhenius-type dependence(Eq. 2), where $k_{\rm CT}$ - rate of charge transfer; ΔG –electron transfer activation energy; $k_{\rm B}$ - Boltzmann constant; A- pre-exponential factor; T- temperature.

$$k_{\rm CT} = A \cdot \exp\left(\frac{-\Delta G}{k_{\rm B}T}\right)$$
 Eq. 2

The temperature dependence of charge separation and fluorescence quenching rates are presented in Figures 5 and 6, respectively, as plots of 1/T versus the logarithm of $k_{\rm CT}$. These plots reveal an intriguing phenomenon: the activation energy of electron transfer, ΔG , determined by monitoring by OPV ground state bleaching, shows a monotonic decrease upon increasing the number of quinolines in the bridge (see Table 3, charge separation). Meanwhile activation energies determined from PB luminescence quenching are almost identical for all studied samples (see Table 3, charge injection). An influence of solvent dielectric permeability was observed, characterized by a slightly lower activation energy in $CHCl_3$ than in CH_2Cl_2 but the difference is minimal.



Figure 6. Temperature dependence of the charge injection rate from the quinoline bridge into excited PB low-lying SOMO, calculated from emission rates ($\lambda_{exc} = 532$ nm, $\lambda_{obs} = 575$ nm) of PB (see SI, Correction of rate of electron injection transfer).

Charge recombination. The charge separated state (CSS) of the studied triads OPV⁺-Q_n-PB⁻ is formed in the sub-nanosecond to nanosecond range. We observed that charge recombination – *i.e.* the return to the ground state - is much slower. The TRABS kinetics of the shortest triad OPV-Q₀-PB in CHCl₃ shows that the absorption bands corresponding to PB⁻ (centered at 720 nm) and OPV⁺ (centered at 625 nm) relax with the same time constants, τ_{CR} = 150 ns (Figure S14). In CH₂Cl₂, a more polar solvent, τ_{CR} = 450 ns at a concentration of~ 15 μ M. The disappearance of PB⁻ is accompanied by the formation of the PB charge recombinedtriplet state, which is characterized by intense absorption, centered at 502 nm (Figure 7), shifted from 510 nm observed previously.⁶⁹The triplet state only appeared in this context. As shown in Figure S17a, the absorption band of OPV⁺ and PB⁻ for longer triads $OPV^{+}-Q_{14}-PB^{-}$, $OPV^{+}-Q_{10}-PB^{-}$, and also $OPV^{+}-Q_{24}-PB^{-}$ are still observed more than 80 µs after the excitation pulse. This demonstrates an extremely long CSS lifetime. Charge recombination occurs in a similar manner in toluene, *i.e.* from CSS singlet to a charged recombined triplet state. In the case of OPV-Q₉-PB, charge recombination is faster in toluene (time constant ~15 ns, Figure S14c) than CH₂Cl₂ (time constant 450 ns, Figure S14b) and in chloro-

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

form (time constant >150 ns, Figure S14a). This suggests no heavy atom effect in chlorinated solvents that would favor intersystem crossing to triplet states. Furthermore, we find that the slow charge recombination to the triplet state is concentration dependent (*e.g.*for OPV-Q₁₉-PB, ~60 μ s at 15 μ M and ~34 μ s at 130 μ M) suggesting the involvement of bimolecular processes.

The relaxation of the CSS of dyads Q^{+}_{n} -PB⁻also occurs with the concomitant formation of a PB' triplet statewith a time-constant at 298 K of 750 psin CH₂Cl₂and 400 ps in toluene (Figure S15a,b). This similarity again suggests no strong role of the solvents in these processes.

The relaxation of the charged recombined PB triplet state occurs on a much longer timescale than charge recombination – hundreds of microseconds (Figure S16-17). Time constants were measured to be >340 μ s for OPV-Q₉-PB and >420 μ s for the longer OPV-Q_n-PB triads (n=14, 18, 19, 34) in CHCl₃. This process as well was found to be little dependent on solvent (Figure S17b) and shows some concentration dependence: for OPV-Q₁₉-PB in CH₂Cl₂, relaxation of triplet state occurs at >360 μ s at 15 μ M and ~86 at 130 μ M).



Figure 7. TRABS spectrum of OPV-Q₉-PB in CHCl₃ (λ_{exc} = 532 nm, timescale 100-200 ns) and O.D. change at 502 nm.

Discussion

Evidence for the contribution of a hopping charge transfer mechanism. Depending on the process, electron transfer rates were found in three distinct timescales: initial PB emission quenching occurs in the subnanosecond timescale, OPV GSB and the concomitant appearance of OPV⁺ absorption occur in the nanosecond timescale, and charge separated state lifetimes are found in the microsecond timescale. The rate of PB emission quenching is independent of Q_n bridge length and equal to 60 ps for values of n > 8 (Table 2), while it varies with n for shorter variants (see below). In contrast, OPV GSB is increasingly slow as the Qn bridge is lengthened. OPV GSB occurs almost 20 times faster in the shortest triad OPV-Q_o-PB ($\tau_{GSB} = 1.75 \times 10^{10} \text{ s}^{-1}$) than in the longest OPV- Q_{34} -PB ($\tau_{GSB} = 0.096 \times 10^{10} \text{ s}^{-1}$). This alone suggests a multistep charge separation pathway in these triads: if charge transfer occurred in a single step, OPV GSB appearance

and PB emission lifetimes would both be expected to depend on foldamer bridge length.

PB emission quenching is due to the formation of the long-lived PB⁻. TRABS data (see Boc-Q₈-PB in Figure 4A and S11a) show that the absorption bands of Q⁺ (centered at 390 nm) and PB⁻ appear at the same rate. This leads to the conclusion that, after excitation of PB, electron transfer occurs from the quinoline bridge HOMO towards the PB lowest-lying SOMO with a rate of $17 \times 10^9 \text{ s}^{-1}$ ($\tau = -60 \text{ ps}$ for n > 8). At 298 K the OPV GSB appears with a time delay (Figure 4C, D, Figure S13, Table 2) relative to the PB^{-} band for triads with $n \ge 14$ (the case n=9 will be considered below). This is consistent with a two-step electron transfer in which the reduction of excited PB is followed by (and not synchronous with) the oxidation of OPV. Thus, a global picture emerges in which the reduction of excited PB results in the injection of a hole in the Q_n bridge through which it migrates towards the OPV electron donor that it ultimately oxidizes (Figure 8).

In the case of OPV-Q₉-PB, the rates of electron transfer from the quinoline unit to PB and further hole transfer towards OPV are equal to each other at 298 K $(17 \times 10^9 \text{ s}^{-1})$. For this shorter triad, a two-step hopping mechanism for electron transfer may not be unambiguously discriminated from a one-step mechanism as in, for example, a superexchange. However, low temperature studies allowed to demonstrate the prevalence of a twostep mechanism for OPV-Q₉-PB as well. Already at 273 K, a difference between the rates of PB emission quenching $(\tau = 85 \text{ ps})$ and OPV GSB $(\tau = 100 \text{ ps})$ can be observed (Figure 5, 6). This difference increases upon lowering temperature further. In addition, the temperature dependence of electron transfer rate between PB and OPV shows that this process has a positive activation energy, whereas a negative activation energy is expected in the superexchange mechanism.70-72 In other words, charge separation via a superexchange may be anticipated to become faster upon cooling because cooling results in an increase of electron coupling between donor and acceptor due to the decrease of thermally-induced disorder. Even though the lack of negative activation energy alone does not allow to completely rule out a superexchange mechanism,⁷³ it remains a strong indication. Previous studies on short OPV- Q_n -PB triads (n=2, 4, 5, 9) led to the conclusion that charge transfer between PB and OPV is governed by a superexchange mechanism.55 The present investigation shows that a hopping mechanism contributes when $n \ge 9$.



Figure 8. Simplified frontier orbital diagram of electron transfer between PB and OPV through the Q_n foldamer bridge. The small red circles represent holes.

Efficiency of charge injection into the foldamer bridge.We note that PB quenching rates in dyads Boc- Q_n -PB (n = 1, 2, 4, 8, Figure S9-10) increase almost exponentially from n = 1 ($3 \times 10^9 \text{ s}^{-1}$, $\tau = 330 \text{ ps}$) to n = 8 ($17 \times 10^9 \text{ s}^{-1}$, $\tau = 60 \text{ ps}$) while they remain constant for larger n values (Table 2). A possible explanation may be drawn from the effects of geometry on electronic coupling between PB and subsequent quinoline units, which define electron transfer rates. Applying Marcus theory of electron transfer in the non-adiabatic regime, considering that the quinoline-PB electronic coupling is weak, k_{CT} may be expressed as in Eq. 3:⁷⁴

$$k_{\rm CT} = \frac{2\pi}{\hbar} V_{\rm eff}^2 \sqrt{\frac{1}{4\pi\lambda k_{\rm B}T}} \exp\left(\frac{-\Delta G^{\ddagger}}{k_{\rm B}T}\right) \qquad {\rm Eq. 3}$$

where $\Delta G^{\ddagger} = (\lambda + \Delta G^{RP})^2 / 4\lambda$, with ΔG^{RP} as the free energy change of radical ion pair formation, λ as the reorganization energy, and V_{eff}^2 as electronic coupling between initial and final states, T – temperature, $k_{\rm B}$ – Boltzmann constant. Experiments were performed in low polarity solvents and, due to the large sizes of the acceptor and donor, λ is assumed to be dominated by inner-sphere reorganization.⁷³ Note that the activation energy, ΔG , of electron transfer from the quinoline bridge to PB varies slightly between different samples and equals to ~0.1 eV in CH₂Cl₂ (Table 3). This is also true for shorter dyads BOC-Q₁-PB and BOC-Q₂-PB (Figure S19). The values for ΔG^{\dagger} are slightly different (see Table S1) from ΔG , as the influence of $\sqrt{(1/T)}$ is to be taken into account. The activation energy of electron transfer rate does not depend on length of the bridge, and we can conclude that theenergetic term of Eq. 3 does not play important role in the bridge length dependence of charge transfer rate. This points to electron coupling V_{eff}^2 as the origin of this dependence.

The Boc- Q_8 -PB structure spans three full helix turns; it has a rigid geometry with a well-defined relative orientation of the quinoline units and the PB chromophore. At lower values of n, the helix spans a lower number of turns. This may result in a less well-defined geometry at the helix-PB-linkage, providing PB with a larger conformational freedom with respect to the bridge and thus a diminished electron coupling between bridge and PB. As explained in the introduction, earlier studies have shown that these helices are so stable that conformational dynamics are extremely slow. Yet the helix-PB linkage might be influenced by the size and rigidity of the neighbor helix. Here, we assume that electron transfer occurs from the quinoline covalently attached to PB. Meanwhile, electron transfer might also occur from subsequent quinolines that come in close proximity to PB, albeit less efficiently.

Length dependence of hole transport rate through the Q_n bridge. We have shown that electron transfer between PB and OPV mediated by a foldamer bridge consists of two steps: electron transfer from the bridge to the acceptor (PB)*i.e.* charge injection into the bridge, and propagation of a hole through the bridge to the donor (OPV). The propagation of the hole through the bridge itself may be mediated by either a superexchange or a hopping mechanism. An analysis of the bridge-length dependence of the rate of hole transport allows to discriminate between these two mechanisms. Indeed, a characteristic of a pure superexchange process is an exponential dependence of charge transfer rate (k_{CT}) on the length (*l*) of the bridge:

$$k_{\rm CT} = A \exp(-\beta l)$$
 Eq. 4

where *l*may correspond to the product of the*number* of quinoline units multiplied by the *length* of an individual quinoline unit, β - attenuation factor. This pattern is not observed (Figure 9). Further evidence against a pure superexchange comes from the positive activation energy of electron transfer (see above). Instead, charge transfer rate dependence on the number of quinoline units (*i.e.* potential steps in a hopping mechanism) shows a hyperbolic behavior (Figure 9, Eq. 5) which, according to references ^{6,22}, constitutes evidence in favor of a hopping-like mechanism. Equation 5 represents a simplified dependence of charge transfer rate *via* hopping mechanism:

$$k_{\rm CT} \sim N^{-\eta}$$
 Eq. 5

where *N* is the number of hops and η a phenomenological power parameter. In principle, knowledge of all rates (charge trapping/detrapping, charge hopping, etc.), and of energetic parameters (activation barriers, potentials of oxidation and reduction)might allow modelingof charge transfer dynamics in the system, as was previously described for DNA (Thermally Induced Hopping model).^{73,75-79}

According to the random-walk theory which is frequently applied to DNA hole transfer studies,⁶ the phenomenological power parameter η in Eq. 5 equals to: a) 2 in the case of unbiased transfer; b) $1 \le \eta \le 2$ for acceptor direction-biased random walk; c) $\eta > 2$ for donor direction-biased random walk. Figure 9 shows that η is reduced from 2.25 to 1.1 upon reducing temperature from 298 K to 180 K in CH₂Cl₂. This result demonstrates qualitative changes in the electronic properties of the bridge, and consequently on hole transport, with temperature. Significant reduction of η is a result of the fact that longer

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59 60 bridges show smaller observed activation energies (Table 3) of charge separation. At very low temperatures, the rates ratio for long and short bridges becomes less pronounced than at higher temperatures, leading to η diminution.

According to Jortner,⁶ it is possible to relate the cumulative rate of hole transport and the rate of individual hop step with number of steps (N):

 $k_{\rm CT} \sim k_{\rm HOP} N$ Eq. 6

giving the rate of a single hop (k_{HOP}) at 298 K of $2.5 \times 10^{12} \text{ s}^{-1}$ ($\tau = 400 \text{ fs}$) in CH₂Cl₂ and $1.8 \times 10^{12} \text{ s}^{-1}$ $(\tau = 560 \text{ fs})$ in CHCl₂. The foldamer bridges are rigid helical structures and, therefore, one may wonder whether hole transfer occurs between quinoline units that are directly adjacent in the oligomeric sequence, or between a quinoline and another appropriately oriented in an adjacent turn (above or below) in the helix, but not adjacent in the sequence. In the latter case, the number of steps for the hole to hop through an entire bridge from the PB to the OPV will be reduced since there are only 3 full-turns in OPV-Q₀-PB, and 6, 8, and 14 turns in OPV-Q₁₄-PB, OPV-Q10-PB and OPV-Q34-PB, respectively. Nevertheless, according to the random-walk theory, the qualitative description of hole transport will be identical in both scenarios: if holes hop across the helix and skip some quinoline steps, the number of hops is reduced but the rate of single hops is commensurately reduced as well.



Figure 9. The dependence of the rates of electron transfer between PB and OPV on the number of quinoline units in the foldamer bridge at different temperatures. The dashed line corresponds to an exponential fit, the solid lines correspond to a hyperbolic fit.

OPV-Q₁₈-PB differs from other triads by the relative orientation of its OPV and PB(Figure 1). This difference does not affect the charge separation rate between PB and OPV. As shown in Figure 9, values for OPV-Q₁₈-PB lie on the theoretically-generated curves, showing that only distance matters in determininghole transport rate through long triads, in contrast to findings for very short triads.⁵⁵

To account for the above observations, we propose a simplified model based on classical understanding of charge transfer through modular bridges. This model takes into account that through-bridge hole transfer is hindered by barriers between quinoline units as well as by an attractive electrostatic interaction between its own positive charge and the negatively charged PB⁻. The electrostatic interaction is diminished upon increasing solvent polarity and may also be influenced by the polar nature of the aromatic amide helix backbone which might generate a macrodipole.⁶ For example, the dielectric constant of CHCl₃ is smaller than that of CH₂Cl₂, leading to a weaker dielectric screening of the charges and thus to a decrease of charge transfer rates, that is in agreement with experiment (Figure 5, 9). In the extreme case of OPV-Q₂₄-PB, the hole never reaches OPV moieties in CHCl₃ at temperatures lower than 273 K (Figure 5), because the rate of hole transfer becomes slower than the rate of charge recombination between PB⁻anion radical and the Q⁺ quinoline cation-radical (Figure S15a).

The observed reduction of charge separation activation energy upon increasing bridge length is a key for complete understanding of through-bridge charge transfer. We must pay attention to the fact that experimentally-determined activation energies of charge separation (Table 3) take in account the overall process of charge separation in triads, including through-bridge charge transfer and quinoline-to-excited PB charge injection. The activation energiescharacterize the overall energy barriers that the charge must overcome between the initial and final states of the charge separation process. For example, charge injection and charge separation for OPV-Q_o-PB are not distinguishable at room temperature, suggesting that charge injection is rate limiting in this case. The observed reduction of charge separation activation energies with increasing quinoline bridge length, while charge injection activation energies remains constant, implies that charge transport through longer bridges becomes faster at low temperatures. This may be explained by the presence of two mechanisms of charge transfer through the bridge: hopping (a predominant process) and tunneling (a minor process) between units whose respective contributions depend on bridge length. Marcus77 demonstrated that non-exponential temperature dependence of electrical conductivity through DNA76 could be explained by assuming that there are two mechanisms of charge transfer in long DNA: a hopping via localized states and a transfer through partly delocalized states. A similar model could explain observed activation energy variations in OPV-O_n-PB triads. However, an open question remains: why does the hopping mechanism have a higher relative weight than tunneling for shorter triads? One could argue that the Coulombic force between the negative charge on PB and hole could cause hole localization in shorter triads. With bridge length increase, influence of such forces would be relatively diminished leading to hole delocalization. Yet, in the absence of irrefutable evidence of hole delocalization, the question remains open.

In general, it should be understood that as foldamer length increases, the rate of individual hopping steps appears to change. This suggests the coexistence of different mechanisms whose relative contributions would vary as the charge is further away from PB⁻. For example, pure hopping between adjacent sites may be mixed with a longer range charge transfer, for example superexchange or a variable length hopping including hopping between consecutive turns of the helical bridge.^{76,77}Deciphering the contributions of these different mechanisms will account for the different ΔG values for short and long bridges.

In previous work⁵⁵ on OPV-Q_n-PB triads with n = 2, 4, 5, 9, fast electron transfer was attributed to a superexchange process. We observed that PB emission was quenched and that the quenching time constant for all studied samples with bridges $n \le 9$ is smaller than 50 ps. This latter fact is important, as we have now showed that electron transfer from the Q_n bridge to excited PB in short Q_n -PB dyads (n ≤ 4) in CHCl₃ or CH₂Cl₂ is slower than that (see Table 2). We thus infer that the initial electron injection from the bridge to PB does not take place for the shorter triads and that a true superexchange must take place to explain rapid quenching of PB emission. For longer Q_n segments, electron injection from the bridge to PB is faster than overall charge separation, which implies a hopping mechanism. We had previously noted that the rate of electron transfer depending on the length of foldamer bridge is not monotonously changing and has a point of inflection for the triad where n = 5.55 Combined with current experimental results, the borderline between pure superexchange and mixed mechanismsis seen to lie in the range 5 < n < 9. For OPV-Q₀-PB at ambient temperature, the growth of PB anion radical band and the appearance of OPV ground state bleaching occur at the same rates, which, at first sight, suggests that a superexchange mechanism is at play. However, low temperature studies clearly revealed a multi-step process implying hopping. Only for this compound do our new conclusions differ from those of our earlier study. For OPV-Q_n-PB triads, a transition from a pure superexchange (delocalized) electron transfer mechanism to a mixed electron transfer through the bridge behavior (localized + delocalized) occurs at n < 9. The transition from a pure superexchange to what we call a dual mechanism, could be understood in terms of donor-acceptor conjugation in the excited state. This is clearly observed for short foldamers and is absent for longer foldamer-based triads, according to experimental observation on electron transfer rates. Direct donor to acceptor charge transfer is thus impossible in longer foldamers and a multistep charge transfer takes over.

Mechanism of charge recombination. Studies on OPV-Q_n-PB triads on long timescales, hundreds of microseconds, showed that recombination (OPV GSB band recovers, and PB⁻ and OPV⁺ bands decay simultaneously) leads to the slow (tens of microseconds depending on concentration) formation of the charge recombined PB triplet state, that slowly relaxes to the ground state. The formation of PB triplet state during intramolecular CR could

be understood in the light of different mechanisms: radical pair (RP) intersystem crossing and spin-orbit (SO) intersystem crossing (ISC).⁸⁰⁻⁸² The radical pair intersystem crossing mechanism requires the coupled spins of the radical pair in the charge-separated state to dephase, leading to the formation of a triplet charge-separated state, that evolves to a local charge recombined triplet state (in this case that of PB). In spin-orbit intersystem crossing, while electronsmove between orbitals of different symmetry,a change of spin angular momentum is required to fulfil total system angular momentum conservation.⁸¹ Taking into account the extremely long lifetimes of the CSS statesof long triads (n≥14),intermolecular interactions may take place due to the diffusion of molecules. This would increase the likelihood that a SO-ISC mechanism contributes to the generation of the PB triplet state afterCR. The concentration dependence of the CR rate is consistent with this hypothesis.Significant distances between anion and cation radicals and screening effects due to the solvent decrease the probability of spinspin interaction in long triads and thus lower chance of RP-ISC. Meanwhile, the concentration dependence did not show a steep effect, thus we cannot exclude the contribution of other mechanisms.A charge recombination process in dyad Q₈⁺-PB⁻ also ends in PB triplet state formation. It occurs in the subnanosecond range scale, as for short triads, n<9. Charge recombination in OPV-Q₀-PB occurs also relatively fast. In these cases, intermolecular processes may not play any significant role and intramolecular CR processes must be considered. Other investigations such as time-resolved EPR would be needed to differentiate RP-ISC and SO-ISC.⁸¹

Conclusion

We investigated time-resolved photo-induced charge separation between an OPV electron donor and a PB acceptorthrough helicallyа foldedoligoquinolinebridge of increasinglength (9, 14, 18, 19, 34 units) in solvents with different polarity, CHCl₃ and CH₂Cl₂, and at different temperatures. The synthetic availability of these large functional oligomers constitutes an important advance in the field of foldamers. Charge separation was found to occur very fast, in the sub-nano and nanosecond timescales, even across the longest bridges which span more than 306Å along the backbone, an achievement that had previously been observed principally with DNA. The general mechanism of charge separation following excitationcan be described in foursteps: 1) PB electron acceptor photo-excitation; 2) electron injection from the HOMO of a quinoline unit of the bridge to the electron acceptor SOMO with a rate of 17×10^9 s⁻¹; 3) hole migration along the helical oligoquinoline bridge from the acceptor to the donor site by a random, reversible hopping between units, with single hop event rate at 298 K of 2.5×10^{12} s⁻¹ (τ = 400 fs) in CH₂Cl₂ and 1.8×10^{12} s⁻¹ (τ = 560 fs) in CHCl₃; 4) hole trapping by OPV electron donor finalizing the formation of the charge separated state, which was shown to have an extremely long lifetime of $\tau > 80 \ \mu s$ in CH₂Cl₂ at 15 μ M. These conclusions com-

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57

58

59

60

plement and apparently do not contradict our earlier hypothesis that electron transfer occurred via a superexchange mechanism for very short bridges ($n \le 5$); the interpretation for n = 9 was revised. The charge recombination of long foldamers probably occurs through intermolecular interactions, leading to formation of long-lived charged recombined triplet states of PB ($\tau > 360 \,\mu s$ in CH₂Cl₂ at 15 µM). Variable temperature studies revealed that the activation energy of charge transfer ΔG^{\dagger} decreases upon increasing bridge length. The origin of this phenomenon is not yet certain but it could result from the coexistence of two distinct mechanisms of hole transfer along the bridge. Also, whether holes only hop between quinolines adjacent in the sequence or whether they may also directly hop between contiguous turns of the helix remains to be elucidated. Progress along these lines is currently being made in our laboratories and will be reported in due course.

Solution studies such as those presented above allow to decipher complex intramolecular processes. Ultimately, incorporation into devices will require integration in the solid state where numerous intermolecular processes may occur. For example, the observation of long-lived charge separated sates in solution, a desirable property for applications in photovoltaics, may not be simply extrapolated to the solid state. The successful integration of aromatic foldamers into metal-organic-metal junctions and their electron transport properties in the absence of solvent will be reported elsewhere.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information. Experimental details for synthetic procedures and spectroscopic measurements, spectroscopic data and CIF for OPV-Q-CO₂H. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

AUTHOR INFORMATION

Corresponding Author

- * i.huc@iecb.u-bordeaux.fr
- * serguei.denisov@u-bordeaux.fr

Author Contributions

[‡] These authors contributed equally to this work.

Funding Sources

This work was supported by an ANR Grant (FOSET project no. ANR-12-BSo8-0007-01, post-doctoral fellowships to M. N. and S. D.) and by the European Union under the Erasmus-Mundus program (predoctoral fellowship to X. L.).

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

We thank the facilities of IECB for expert assistance in mass spectrometry, and Dr. S. Massip for refining the crystal structure of OPV-Q-CO₂H.

REFERENCES

- (1) Mills, B. I. J. Opt. B Quantum Semiclass. Opt.2002, 5, S307– S309.
- (2) Nelson, N.; Yocum, C. F. Annu. Rev. Plant Biol.2006, 57, 521-565.

- (3) Reece, S. Y.; Hodgkiss, J. M.; Stubbe, J.; Nocera, D. G. *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London B Biol. Sci.*2006, *361*, 1351–1364.
- Weinberg, D. R.; Gagliardi, C. J.; Hull, J. F.; Murphy, C. F.; Kent, C. A.; Westlake, B. C.; Paul, A.; Ess, D. H.; McCafferty, D. G.; Meyer, T. J. Chem. Rev.2012, 112, 4016–4093.
- (5) Reece, S. Y.; Nocera, D. G. Annu. Rev. Biochem.2015, 78, 673-699.
- (6) Jortner, J.; Bixon, M.; Langenbacher, T.; Michel-Beyerle, M. E. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.1998, 95, 12759–12765.
- (7) Bootsma, D.; Hoeijmakers, J. H. J. *DNA repair and mutagenesis*; 1996; Vol. 12.
- (8) Sancar, A.; Lindsey-Boltz, L. A.; Ünsal-Kaçmaz, K.; Linn, S. Annu. Rev. Biochem. 2004, 73, 39–85.
- (9) Rochaix, J.-D. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Bioenerg.***2011**, *1807*, 375–383.
- Beckers, E. H. A.; Meskers, S. C. J.; Schenning, A. P. H. J.; Chen, Z.; Würthner, F.; Janssen, R. A. J. J. Phys. Chem. A2004, 108, 6933-6937.
- Beckers, E. H. A.; Jonkheijm, P.; Schenning, A. P. H. J.; Meskers, S. C. J.; Janssen, R. A. J. ChemPhysChem2005, 6, 2029–2031.
- (12) Kocherzhenko, A. A.; Whaley, K. B.; Sforazzini, G.; Anderson, H. L.; Wykes, M.; Beljonne, D.; Grozema, F. C.; Siebbeles, L. D. A. J. Phys. Chem. C2012, 116, 25213–25225.
- (13) Prins, P.; Grozema, F. C.; Siebbeles, L. D. A. J. Phys. Chem. B2006, 110, 14659–14666.
- (14) Wenger, O. S. Chem. Soc. Rev.2011, 40, 3538–3550.
- Scott, A. M.; Miura, T.; Ricks, A. B.; Dance, Z. E. X.;
 Giacobbe, E. M.; Colvin, M. T.; Wasielewski, M. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc.2009, 131, 17655–17666.
- (16) Gilbert, M.; Albinsson, B. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2014, 44, 845–862.
- (17) Weiss, E. A.; Wasielewski, M. R.; Ratner, M. A. Top. Curr. Chem. 2005, 257, 103-133.
- (18) Scott, A. M.; Wasielewski, M. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc.2011, 133, 3005-3013.
- (19) Scott, A. M.; Ricks, A. B.; Colvin, M. T.; Wasielewski, M. R. Angew. Chem. - Int. Ed.2010, 49, 2904–2908.
- (20) Natali, M.; Campagna, S.; Scandola, F. *Chem. Soc. Rev.*2014, 43, 4005-4018.
- (21) Waldvogel, S. R.; Mück-Lichtenfeld, C. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.***2001**, 40, 4295–4297.
- (22) May, V.; Kühn, O. Charge and Energy Transfer Dynamics in Molecular Systems; Wiley-VCH, 2004.
- (23) Giese, B. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2006, 14, 6139–6143.
- (24) Wagenknecht, H. A. *Charge Transfer in DNA: From Mechanism to Application*; Wiley, 2005.
- (25) Jones II, G.; Zhou, X.; Vullev, V. I. Photochem. Photobiol. Sci.2003, 2, 1080-1087.
- (26) Cordes, M.; Giese, B. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2009, 38, 892-901.
- (27) Gao, J.; Müller, P.; Wang, M.; Eckhardt, S.; Lauz, M.; Fromm, K. M.; Giese, B. Angew. Chem. - Int. Ed.2011, 50, 1926–1930.
- (28) Shah, A.; Adhikari, B.; Martic, S.; Munir, A.; Shahzad, S.; Ahmad, K.; Kraatz, H. Chem. Soc. Rev.2015, 44, 1015–1027.
- (29) Arikuma, Y.; Nakayama, H.; Morita, T.; Kimura, S. Langmuir2011, 27, 1530-1535.
- (30) Garo, F.; Häner, R. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2012, 51, 916-919.
- (31) Dutta, P. K.; Varghese, R.; Nangreave, J.; Lin, S.; Yan, H.; Liu, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2011, 133, 11985-11993.
- (32) Winiger, C. B.; Langenegger, S. M.; Calzaferri, G.; Häner, R. *Angew. Chem. - Int. Ed.*2015, *54*, 3643–3647.
- (33) Renaud, N.; Berlin, Y. A.; Lewis, F. D.; Ratner, M. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2013, 135, 3953–3963.
- (34) Xiang, L.; Palma, J. L.; Bruot, C.; Mujica, V.; Ratner, M. A.; Tao, N. Nat. Chem.2015, 7, 221–226.
- (35) Slinker, J. D.; Muren, N. B.; Renfrew, S. E.; Barton, J. K. Nat. Chem. 2011, 3, 228-233.
- (36) Fukui, K.; Tanaka, K. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 158-161.
- (37) Berlin, Y. A.; Burin, A. L.; Ratner, M. A. Superlattices Microstruct.2000, 28, 241–252.

- (38) Takada, T.; Kawai, K.; Tojo, S.; Majima, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.***2003**, *44*, 3851–3854.
- (39) Lewis, F. D.; Zhang, L.; Zuo, X. J. Am. Chem. Soc.2005, 127, 10002–10003.
- (40) Manetto, A.; Breeger, S.; Chatgilialoglu, C.; Carell, T. Angew. Chem. - Int. Ed.2006, 45, 318–321.
- (41) Takada, T.; Kawai, K.; Fujitsuka, M.; Majima, T. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.***2004**, *101*, 14002–14006.
- (42) Son, M.; Fimmel, B.; Dehm, V.; Würthner, F.; Kim, D. *ChemPhysChem***2015**, *16*, 1757–1767.
- (43) Winiger, C. B.; Li, S.; Kumar, G. R.; Langenegger, S. M.; Häner, R. *Angew. Chem. - Int. Ed.***2014**, *53*, 13609–13613.
- (44) Fimmel, B.; Son, M.; Sung, Y. M.; Grüne, M.; Engels, B.; Kim, D.; Würthner, F. *Chem. - A Eur. J.***2015**, *21*, 615–630.
- (45) Marcos Ramos, A.; Meskers, S. C. J.; Beckers, E. H. A.; Prince, R. B.; Brunsveld, L.; Janssen, R. A. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc.2004, 126, 9630–9644.
- (46) Zeidan, T. A.; Wang, Q.; Fiebig, T.; Lewis, F. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc.2007, 129, 9848–9849.
- (47) Zhang, D.-W.; Zhao, X.; Hou, J.-L.; Li, Z.-T. *Chem. Rev.*2012, *112*, 5271–5316.
- (48) Huc, I. European J. Org. Chem. 2004, 2004, 17–29.
- (49) Sebaoun, L.; Maurizot, V.; Granier, T.; Kauffmann, B.; Huc,
 I. J. Am. Chem. Soc.2014, 136, 2168–2174.
- (50) Ferrand, Y.; Kendhale, A. M.; Garric, J.; Kauffmann, B.; Huc, I. Angew. Chem. - Int. Ed.**2010**, 49, 1778–1781.
- (51) Gan, Q.; Bao, C.; Kauffmann, B.; Grélard, A.; Xiang, J.; Liu,
 S.; Huc, I.; Jiang, H. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.2008, 47, 1715–1718.
- (52) Delsuc, N.; Massip, S.; Léger, J.-M.; Kauffmann, B.; Huc, I. J. Am. Chem. Soc.**2011**, 133, 3165–3172.
- (53) Delsuc, N.; Leger, J. M.; Massip, S.; Huc, I. Angew. Chem. -Int. Ed.2007, 46, 214–217.
- (54) Chandramouli, N.; Ferrand, Y.; Lautrette, G.; Kauffmann,
 B.; Mackereth, C. D.; Laguerre, M.; Dubreuil, D.; Huc, I. Nat Chem2015, 7, 334–341.
- (55) Wolffs, M.; Delsuc, N.; Veldman, D.; Anh, N. V; Williams, R. M.; Meskers, S. C. J.; Janssen, R. A. J.; Huc, I.; Schenning, A. P. H. J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*2009, *131*, 4819–4829.
- (56) Jiang, H.; Léger, J.-M.; Huc, I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2003, 125, 3448–3449.
- (57) Delsuc, N.; Kawanami, T.; Lefeuvre, J.; Shundo, A.; Ihara,
 H.; Takafuji, M.; Huc, I. *ChemPhysChem*2008, *9*, 1882–1890.
- (58) Benniston, A. C.; Harriman, A. Chem. Soc. Rev.2006, 35, 169–179.
- (59) Qi, T.; Deschrijver, T.; Huc, I. *Nat. Protoc.***2013**, *8*, 693–708.
- Li, X.; Qi, T.; Srinivas, K.; Massip, S.; Maurizot, V.; Huc, I.
 Org. Lett.2016, 18, 1044–1047.
- (61) Che, Y.; Datar, A.; Balakrishnan, K.; Zang, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc.**2007**, *129*, 7234–7235.

- (62) Wicklein, A.; Lang, A.; Muth, M.; Thelakkat, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc.2009, 131, 14442-14453.
- (63) Smallwood, I. M. Butterworth-Heinemann: Oxford, 1996; pp 297–299.
- (64) Mccarthy, W. J.; Dunlap, K. L. *Talanta***1970**, *17*, 305–317.
- (65) Sung, J.; Kim, P.; Fimmel, B.; Würthner, F.; Kim, D. *Nat. Commun.***2015**, *6*, 8646.
- (66) Chen, Z.; Stepanenko, V.; Dehm, V.; Prins, P.; Siebbeles, L.
 D. A.; Seibt, J.; Marquetand, P.; Engel, V.; Würthner, F.
 *Chem. A Eur. J.*2007, *13*, 436–449.
- (67) Hippius, C.; van Stokkum, I. H. M.; Zangrando, E.; Williams, R. M.; Würthner, F. J. Phys. Chem. C2007, 111, 13988– 13996.
- (68) Nicolaescu, A. R.; Wiest, O.; Kamat, P. V. J. Phys. Chem. A2003, 107, 427-433.
- (69) Ventura, B.; Langhals, H.; Bock, B.; Flamigni, L. Chem. Commun.2012, 48, 4226–4228.
- (70) Davis, W. B.; Ratner, M. A.; Wasielewski, M. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc.2001, 123, 7877.
- Weiss, E. A.; Tauber, M. J.; Kelley, R. F.; Ahrens, M. J.; Ratner, M. A.; Wasielewski, M. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc.2005, 127, 11842–11850.
- (72) Wasielewski, M. R. J. Org. Chem. 2006, 71, 5051–5066.
- Goldsmith, R. H.; DeLeon, O.; Wilson, T. M.; Finkelstein-Shapiro, D.; Ratner, M. A.; Wasielewski, M. R. J. Phys. Chem. A2008, 112, 4410–4414.
- (74) Marcus, R. A.; Sutin, N. *Biochim. Biophys. Acta Rev. Bioenerg.* **1985**, *811*, 265–322.
- (75) Bixon, M.; Jortner, J. J. Am. Chem. Soc.2001, 123, 12556– 12567.
- (76) Yu, Z. G.; Song, X. Phys. Rev. Lett. 2001, 86, 6018–6021.
- (77) Renger, T.; Marcus, R. A. J. Phys. Chem. A2003, 107, 8404– 8419.
- (78) Meggers, E.; Michel-Beyerle, M. E.; Giese, B. J. Am. Chem. Soc.**1998**, 120, 12950–12955.
- (79) Giese, B.; Wessely, S.; Spormann, M.; Lindemann, U.; Meggers, E.; Michel-Beyerle, M. E. Angew. Chem. - Int. Ed. 1999, 38, 996–998.
- (80) Ahrens, M. J.; Kelley, R. F.; Dance, Z. E. X.; Wasielewski, M. R. Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys. 2007, 9, 1469–1478.
- (81) Colvin, M. T.; Ricks, A. B.; Scott, A. M.; Co, D. T.; Wasielewski, M. R. J. Phys. Chem. A2012, 116, 1923–1930.
- Horwitz, N. E.; Phelan, B. T.; Nelson, J. N.; Krzyaniak, M. D.; Wasielewski, M. R. J. Phys. Chem. A2016, 13, 2841-2853.
- (83) Indeed, the overall electron dynamics consist of multiple steps and, as a result, OPV⁺ concentration growth would be better described by a kinetic model including the successive individual steps, which cannot be reduced to a first order process.

