

Li–O₂ Batteries

Amorphous Li₂O₂: Chemical Synthesis and Electrochemical Properties

Yelong Zhang, Qinghua Cui, Xinmin Zhang, William C. McKee, Ye Xu, Shigang Ling, Hong Li, Guiming Zhong, Yong Yang, and Zhangquan Peng*

Abstract: When aprotic $Li-O_2$ batteries discharge, the product phase formed in the cathode often contains two different morphologies, that is, crystalline and amorphous Li_2O_2 . The morphology of Li₂O₂ impacts strongly on the electrochemical performance of $Li-O_2$ cells in terms of energy efficiency and rate capability. Crystalline Li_2O_2 is readily available and its properties have been studied in depth for $Li-O_2$ batteries. However, little is known about the amorphous Li_2O_2 because of its rarity in high purity. Herein, amorphous Li₂O₂ has been synthesized by a rapid reaction of tetramethylammonium superoxide and LiClO₄ in solution, and its amorphous nature has been confirmed by a range of techniques. Compared with its crystalline siblings, amorphous Li₂O₂ demonstrates enhanced charge-transport properties and increased electrooxidation kinetics, manifesting itself a desirable discharge phase for high-performance Li-O₂ batteries.

 \mathbf{B}_{y} replacing the conventional lithium-based intercalation chemistry with highly exergonic conversion reactions, a stepchange in energy density can be achieved for the resultant energy-storage devices, among which the aprotic Li–O₂ battery has attracted much attention.^[1,2] Operation of the aprotic Li–O₂ battery relies on the O₂ reduction reaction forming solid Li₂O₂ in the positive electrode on discharge, and the reverse Li₂O₂ oxidation releasing O₂ upon recharge.^[3–5] Depending on the Li–O₂ cell's operating conditions (discharging rate and overpotential)^[6–8] and configurations (cathode material, electrolyte, and catalyst),^[9–13] two distinct morphologies of the product phase have been frequently

[*]	Y. Zhang, Dr. Q. Cui, Dr. X. Zhang, Prof. Z. Peng State Key Laboratory of Electroanalytical Chemistry Changchun Institute of Applied Chemistry Chinese Academy of Science
	Changchun, Jilin, 130022 (China) E-mail: zqpeng@ciac.ac.cn
	Y. Zhang University of Chinese Academy of Science Beijing 100049 (China)
	Dr. W. C. McKee, Prof. Y. Xu Department of Chemical Engineering, Louisiana State University Baton Rouge, LA 70803 (USA)
	S. Ling, Prof. H. Li Institute of Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences Beijing, 100190 (China)
	Dr. G. Zhong, Prof. Y. Yang State Key Lab of Physical Chemistry of Solid Surfaces and College of Chemistry & Chemical Engineering, Xiamen University Xiamen, 361005 (China)
	Supporting information and the ORCID identification number(s) for the author(s) of this article can be found under http://dx.doi.org/10

1002/anie.201605228.

identified at the end of discharge, which include crystalline (typically toroid-shaped) and amorphous (typically film-like) Li_2O_2 .^[14] The morphology of Li_2O_2 has been recognized to impact strongly on the electrochemical performance (particularly charging) of $\text{Li}-\text{O}_2$ cells in terms of energy efficiency and rate capability, see recent works by Adams et al.^[7] and Lu et al.^[13] As a result, considerable research efforts have been devoted to the understanding of the formation and growth, charge transport, and defect chemistry of Li_2O_2 in the past few years.^[3–14]

So far, it is generally accepted that crystalline Li_2O_2 , which is detectable by powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD), can be electro-deposited at low current densities or overpotentials, and usually has a toroid-shaped appearance with dimensions of hundreds of nanometers.^[5] The formation of large Li₂O₂ particles suggests that unconventional charge-transport pathways or diffusion of soluble intermediates may operate in the discharge process. For example, charge transport via surface,^[15] grain boundaries,^[16] or defects^[17] in bulk of crystalline Li_2O_2 have been proposed for the growth of large particulate Li_2O_2 . In addition, dissolution of O_2^- and LiO_2 promoted by additives (e.g. H₂O)^[18] or high-donor-number electrolyte solvents^[9] have been suggested to drive solution-mediated Li₂O₂ formation, which is not limited by the transport properties of Li2O2. Meanwhile, solid-state studies on nominally pure, crystalline Li_2O_2 (i.e., chemical Li_2O_2)^[19,20] found that the electronic conductivity of crystalline Li₂O₂ is very limited and cannot support electrons traveling long distances to reach the reaction sites that have been presumed to be at the $Li_2O_2 | Li^+$ electrolyte interface.^[21-23] Therefore, a solution-mediated pathway is more likely for the formation of large Li₂O₂.^[8,24,25] Because of its poor conductivities, crystalline Li₂O₂ has been found to be difficult to decompose and usually a large overpotential $(\eta > 1 V)$ is required for the charging of Li-O2 cells containing electro-deposited crystalline Li₂O₂^[26] or commercial Li₂O₂.^[27,28]

Although the discharge product of aprotic Li–O₂ batteries was at one time presumed to be crystalline Li₂O₂, mounting evidence has suggested the presence of amorphous Li₂O₂, which can be promoted by higher discharging rates,^[7,12] larger overpotentials,^[7] and certain electro-catalysts.^[10,11,13] Amorphous Li₂O₂ has been theoretically studied by Tian et al. using first-principles "melt-and-quench" molecular dynamics coupled with percolation theory, and enhanced charge transport properties, compared with crystalline Li₂O₂, have been predicted.^[24] Until now, experimental studies on amorphous Li₂O₂ are limited to Li–O₂ cathodes electrochemically discharged at higher rates (presumably forming amorphous Li₂O₂), which, however, could be complicated by the side reaction products during discharge^[29] and by the adventitiously co-formed crystalline Li₂O₂.^[12] Moreover, the intrinsic

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 1-6

© 2016 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

Wiley Online Library

These are not the final page numbers!

properties (e.g., charge transport and oxidation kinetics) of amorphous Li_2O_2 are largely unknown because of the unavailability of these compounds in high purity.

Herein, we report the chemical synthesis and electrochemical properties of amorphous Li_2O_2 . The amorphous Li_2O_2 was prepared by a rapid disproportionation reaction of tetramethylammonium superoxide (TMAO₂) and LiClO₄ in acetonitrile, and its amorphous nature has been confirmed by a range of complementary techniques including powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD), transmission electron microscopy (TEM) coupled with selected area electron diffraction (SAED), and Raman spectroscopy. Solid-state studies by conductivity measurement, nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR), and electron spin resonance (ESR) techniques, together with electrochemical studies by differential electrochemical mass spectrometry (DEMS), indicated that amorphous Li₂O₂, compared with its crystalline siblings,

anorphous $L_{12}O_2$, compared with its civitance storings, possesses enhanced charge-transport properties (higher ionic and electronic conductivities) and increased oxidation kinetics (lower oxidation overpotentials). Combined, these results suggest that amorphous Li_2O_2 is a desirable discharge phase for high-performance aprotic Li–O₂ batteries, and that pursuing the combinations of cathode material, electrolyte, and catalyst that can promote the formation of amorphous Li_2O_2 at a broad range of discharging rates will contribute greatly to the future development of aprotic Li–O₂ batteries.

Amorphous Li₂O₂ was synthesized by a disproportionation reaction of TMAO₂ (1.102 g) and LiClO₄ (1.404 g) in acetonitrile at room temperature. TMAO₂ was used as a precursor because of its excellent solubility in common organic solvents.^[30] The detailed synthesis and characterization of TMAO₂ can be found in Ref. [8]. The reaction of TMAO₂ and LiClO₄ producing solid Li₂O₂ and gaseous O₂ has been quantitatively studied in dimethyl sulfoxide (DMSO) before, in which a rate constant of $k_2 = 24.6 \,\mathrm{m^{-1} \, s^{-1}}$ was measured and nano-crystalline Li₂O₂ with an average size of about 9 nm was obtained.^[8]

In this work, we use acetonitrile as the reaction medium because of its lower solvating ability (donor number 14 vs. 30 of DMSO), which could essentially increase the reaction kinetics because of the less buffered reactivity (more exactly, acidity) of Li⁺ ions toward O_2^- , favoring the formation of Li₂O₂ with even smaller size or amorphous phase.^[31,32] The rate constant of the reaction of TMAO₂ and LiClO₄ in acetonitrile has been quantified to be $560 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ by a stopped-flow UV/Vis spectrophotometer, which is more than 20 times faster than in DMSO, see Figure 1 a.

The reaction product, after washing copiously with acetonitrile and drying overnight under vacuum at room temperature, was subjected to the examination by PXRD,



Figure 1. a) Reaction of 1 mm TMA⁺O₂⁻ and 100 mm LiClO₄ in acetonitrile studied with stopped-flow UV/Vis spectrophotometry, b) PXRD patterns of synthesized, annealed, and commercial Li₂O₂, c) TEM image and SAED (inset) of synthesized Li₂O₂, and d) Raman spectra of the various Li₂O₂ compounds.

TEM coupled with SAED, and Raman spectroscopy (Figure 1 b–d). PXRD analysis showed a diffraction pattern that was essentially featureless (Figure 1 b red curve). However, annealing the product at 120 °C overnight led to the appearance of a set of diffraction peaks that can be indexed to the crystalline Li_2O_2 (Figure 1 b green curve). For comparison, PXRD result of the freshly ball-milled commercial Li_2O_2 was also included (Figure 1 b black curve).

Another evidence for the amorphous nature of the Li_2O_2 was from a TEM study coupled with SAED, in which a porous structure was observed (Figure 1 c) and no electron diffraction pattern of any periodic structures was observed (Figure 1 c, inset), while more compact structures (Figure S1 and S2 in the Supporting Information) and well-defined electron diffraction patterns (rings and spots in the insets of Figure S1 and S2) were obtained for the annealed and commercial Li_2O_2 , suggesting the improvement in the crystallinity upon annealing at elevated temperatures. In addition, a scanning electron microscopy (SEM) study provided the complementary morphological information of the three Li_2O_2 samples including their particle size, agglomeration extent, and surface area (amorphous > annealed > commercial), see Figure S3.

A Raman spectroscopic study of the as-prepared, annealed and commercial Li_2O_2 (Figure 1d) demonstrated that they were all peroxides having a Raman band of around 788 cm⁻¹ assigned to the stretching vibration of LiO–OLi. However, the much decreased Raman signal intensity, broadened full wave at half maximum (FWHM, ca. 36 cm⁻¹ vs. 16 cm⁻¹ of commercial Li₂O₂) of LiO–OLi stretching, and particularly the absence of the Li–O lattice vibration (258 cm⁻¹) for the as-prepared Li₂O₂ indicated that the synthesized Li₂O₂ was amorphous (Figure 1d red curve). The recovery of Li–O vibration by annealing at 120°C

www.angewandte.org

© 2016 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

• These are not the final page numbers!

(Figure 1 d green curve) also suggested the improvement in the crystallinity. Similar Raman spectroscopic features have been observed for the lattice vibrations (M–O) of other metal oxides with amorphous structures (or poor crystallinity), such as TiO_2 ,^[33] ZrO_2 ,^[34] CuO,^[35] and Fe_2O_3 .^[36] We did not exclude the possibility that the grain size of the synthesized Li_2O_2 was so small that it could not be detected by PXRD and SAED, with the SAED having the ability to decompose its structure.^[37]

After confirming the amorphous nature of the synthesized Li₂O₂, electrochemical studies have been conducted to get the fundamental information of its charge-transport properties and oxidation kinetics. Transport properties were investigated by a combination of AC impedance and DC polarization techniques at room temperature, according to a procedure reported by Gerbig et al.,^[19] see also Experimental Section in the Supporting Information for details of the pellet preparation and data analysis. The ionic (σ_{ion}) and electronic (σ_{eon}) conductivities of the amorphous Li₂O₂ were measured to be $7.10 \times 10^{-8} \,\text{S}\,\text{cm}^{-1}$ and $5.02 \times 10^{-9} \,\text{S}\,\text{cm}^{-1}$, respectively (see Figure 2 a,b and Table S1), which are 2-3 orders of magnitude higher than those of nano-Li₂O₂ at room temperature by Dunst et al.^[20] For comparison, conductivity measurements have also been conducted on annealed and commercial Li₂O₂, and much smaller values were obtained, see Figure 2c-f and Table S1.

It has been independently identified by Gerbig et al.^[19] and Radin and Siegel^[17] that the ionic conductivity of Li₂O₂ is via lithium vacancies and the electronic conductivity via electron holes, that is, localized O₂⁻ ions. To understand the enhanced σ_{ion} and σ_{eon} of amorphous Li₂O₂, solid state studies using NMR spectroscopy (Figure S4 and Table S2) to probe the Li⁺ mobility and ESR spectroscopy (Figure S5) to probe the density of localized O₂⁻, respectively, have been conducted. NMR spectroscopic results indicated that the dominating spin-lattice relax time (T_1) , for example at 298 K, was in the following order of amorphous $Li_2O_2(1.17 \text{ s}) < annealed$ Li_2O_2 (6.87 s) < commercial Li_2O_2 (122 s), in which a smaller T_1 suggests a higher Li⁺ mobility. More T_1 values at various temperatures are compiled in Table S2, and were qualitatively consistent with the ionic conductivity measurements (Table S1). The ESR study of the various Li₂O₂ compounds at 77 K indicated that the amorphous Li₂O₂ has the highest density (40.8 ppm) of O_2^- defects (Figure S5), accounting for its relatively high electronic conductivity. However, annealing amorphous Li₂O₂ at 120 °C greatly annihilated the O_2^- defects (13.6 ppm) resulting in decreased electronic conductivity (Figure 2c,d, and Table S1).

To shed light on the effects of the morphologies and conductivities on the oxidation kinetics of various Li_2O_2 , a DEMS study has been conducted, where galvanostatic charging voltage profile and gaseous O_2 (and CO_2) evolution



cathodes in discharged state, Li_2O_2 , PVDF binder and Super P carbon (1:1:8 m/m)were dispersed in acetonitrile and applied to a carbon fiber paper current collector. The total mass loading of the cathode was about 30 mg. For the charging of the cathodes, a constant current of 0.2 mA (ca. 8 mA g^{-1}_{carbon}) was applied, and to avoid excess parasitic reactions during charging only about 10% of the total capacity of the cathodes was charged. Figure 3a-c show the DEMS

rate were recorded, simultaneously. For the preparation of the

Figure 3 a–c show the DEMS results, in which an average charging plateau of 3.54 Vversus Li/Li⁺ was obtained for the oxidation of amorphous Li₂O₂, while plateaus of 3.82 and 4.04 V were observed for the annealed and commercial Li₂O₂, respectively. Extended Li₂O₂ oxidation (i.e., >10% of the packed capacity) showed that O₂ evolution was accompanied by CO₂ release at the late stage of charging, indicating the decomposition of cathode materials and electrolytes at high voltages,^[28] see Fig-

Figure 2. AC impedance (left) and DC polarization (right) measurements of a,b) amorphous, c,d) annealed, and e,f) commercial Li_2O_2 . Insets in (a,c,e) show the equivalent circuits used to fit the impedance spectra.

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 1-6

© 2016 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

These are not the final page numbers!

www.angewandte.org



Figure 3. DEMS results of charging a Li-O₂ cathode containing a) amorphous, b) annealed, and c) commercial Li₂O₂ with a constant current of 0.2 mA (ca. 8 mAg⁻¹_{carbon}). d) Tafel plot of galvanostatic charging voltage versus carbon true surface area normalized current for electrodes containing various Li₂O₂.

ure S6. The DEMS results provide compelling evidence that the amorphous Li₂O₂ having higher σ_{ion} and σ_{eon} can indeed be decomposed with lower overpotentials (i.e., higher oxidation kinetics). More kinetics information of the amorphous Li₂O₂ oxidation has been obtained by charging the cathodes with various current densities (Figure S7) and thereafter constructing a Tafel plot (Figure 3d). An exchange current density of 9.5 nA cm^{-2} (normalized to the true surface area of the Super P carbon) has been observed for amorphous Li₂O₂, while lower values were obtained for annealed (5.2 nA cm^{-2}) and commercial (4.0 nA cm⁻²) Li₂O₂. Although the exchange currents are normalized to the true surface area of the composite carbon electrodes, the oxidation kinetics would also be sensitive to the surface area of Li₂O₂, particularly for the amorphous one having highest surface area.

In summary, amorphous Li₂O₂ has been synthesized by a rapid reaction of TMAO₂ and LiClO₄ in acetonitrile, and its amorphous nature has been confirmed by a range of complementary techniques. Conductivity measurements indicated that the amorphous Li₂O₂, compared with its crystalline siblings, possesses improved charge-transport properties rising from the enhanced Li⁺ mobility and increased O₂⁻ concentration within the amorphous Li₂O₂, results which are supported by solid-state studies using NMR and ESR spectroscopy techniques. In addition, a DEMS study provided direct evidence that amorphous Li₂O₂ can be decomposed at a much lower potential than crystalline Li₂O₂ under the same charging current density. These results suggest that amorphous Li₂O₂ is a desirable discharge phase for high-performance aprotic Li-O2 batteries, and that pursuing the combinations of cathode material, electrolyte, and catalyst that can promote the formation of amorphous Li₂O₂ at a broad range of discharging rates will contribute greatly to the future development of Li-O2 batteries.

Acknowledgements

Z.P. is indebted to the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 21575135 and 91545129), the "Strategic Priority Research Program" of the CAS (Grant No. XDA09010401), the Ministry of Science and Technology of China (Grant No. 2016YBF0100100), the "Recruitment Program of Global Youth Experts" of China, the Science and Technology Development Program of the Jilin Province (Grant No. 20150623002TC and 20160414034GH) and the Natural Science Foundation of Jiangsu Province (Grant No. BK20131139).

Keywords: amorphous $Li_2O_2 \cdot aprotic Li-O_2$ batteries \cdot charge-transport properties · oxidation kinetics

- [1] M. Armand, J. M. Tarascon, Nature 2008, 451, 652-657.
- [2] P.G. Bruce, S.A. Freunberger, L.J. Hardwick, J.M. Tarascon, Nat. Mater. 2012, 11, 19-29.
- [3] J. Lu, L. Li, J.-B. Park, Y.-K. Sun, F. Wu, K. Amine, Chem. Rev. 2014, 114, 5611-5640.
- [4] A. C. Luntz, B. D. McCloskey, Chem. Rev. 2014, 114, 11721-11750.
- [5] N. Imanishi, A. C. Luntz, P. G. Bruce, The Lithium Air Battery: Fundamentals, Springer, New York, 2014.
- [6] Y. C. Lu, D. G. Kwabi, K. P. Yao, J. R. Harding, J. Zhou, L. Zuin, Y. Shao-Horn, Energy Environ. Sci. 2011, 4, 2999-3007.
- [7] B. D. Adams, C. Radtke, R. Black, M. L. Trudeau, K. Zaghib, L. F. Nazar, Energy Environ. Sci. 2013, 6, 1772-1778.
- [8] Y. Zhang, X. Zhang, J. Wang, W. C. McKee, Y. Xu, Z. Peng, J. Phys. Chem. C 2016, 120, 3690-3698.
- [9] L. Johnson, C. Li, Z. Liu, Y. Chen, S. A. Freunberger, P. C. Ashok, B. B. Praveen, K. Dholakia, J.-M. Tarascon, P. G. Bruce, Nat. Chem. 2014, 6, 1091-1099.
- [10] H. G. Jung, Y. S. Jeong, J. B. Park, Y. K. Sun, B. Scrosati, Y. J. Lee, ACS Nano 2013, 7, 3532-3539.
- [11] E. Yilmaz, C. Yogi, K. Yamanaka, T. Ohta, H. R. Byon, Nano Lett. 2013, 13, 4679-4684.
- [12] H. G. Jung, H. S. Kim, J. B. Park, I. H. Oh, J. Hassoun, C. S. Yoon, Y. K. Sun, Nano Lett. 2012, 12, 4333-4335.

www.angewandte.org

© 2016 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 1-6

4 www.angewana.co.g These are not the final page numbers!

Communications

- [13] J. Lu, Y. Lei, K. C. Lau, X. Luo, P. Du, J. Wen, R. S. Assary, U. Das, D. J. Miller, J. W. Elam, H. M. Albishri, D. A. El-Hady, Y.-K. Sun, L. A. Curtiss, K. Amine, *Nat. Commun.* **2013**, *4*, 2383.
- [14] P. Adelhelm, P. Hartmann, C. L. Bender, M. Busche, C. Eufinger, J. Janek, *Beilstein J. Nanotechnol.* 2015, *6*, 1016–1055.
 [15] M. D. Radin, J. F. Rodriguez, F. Tian, D. J. Siegel, J. Am. Chem.
- Soc. **2012**, *134*, 1093–1103.
- [16] W. T. Geng, B. L. He, T. Ohno, J. Phys. Chem. C 2013, 117, 25222-25228.
- [17] M. D. Radin, D. J. Siegel, Energy Environ. Sci. 2013, 6, 2370– 2379.
- [18] N. B. Aetukuri, B. D. McCloskey, J. M. García, L. E. Krupp, V. Viswanathan, A. C. Luntz, *Nat. Chem.* **2015**, 7, 50–56.
- [19] O. Gerbig, R. Merkle, J. Maier, *Adv. Mater.* **2013**, *25*, 3129–3133.
- [20] A. Dunst, V. Epp, I. Hanzu, S. A. Freunberger, M. Wilkening, *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2014, 7, 2739–2752.
- [21] V. Viswanathan, K. S. Thygesen, J. S. Hummelshøj, J. K. Nørskov, G. Girishkumar, B. D. McCloskey, A. C. Luntz, J. Chem. Phys. 2011, 135, 214704.
- [22] M. D. Radin, C. M. Monroe, D. J. Siegel, J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2015, 6, 3017–3022.
- [23] K. B. Knudsen, A. C. Luntz, S. H. Jensen, T. Vegge, J. Phys. Chem. C 2015, 119, 28292–28299.
- [24] F. Tian, M. D. Radin, D. J. Siegel, Chem. Mater. 2014, 26, 2952– 2959.
- [25] D. G. Kwabi, M. Tułodziecki, N. Pour, D. M. Itkis, C. V. Thompson, Y. Shao-Horn, J. Phys. Chem. Lett. 2016, 7, 1204– 1212.
- [26] B. M. Gallant, D. G. Kwabi, R. R. Mitchell, J. Zhou, C. V. Thompson, Y. Shao-Horn, *Energy Environ. Sci.* 2013, 6, 2518– 2528.

- [27] T. Ogasawara, A. Debart, M. Holzapfel, P. Novak, P. G. Bruce, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2006, 128, 1390–1393.
- [28] S. Meini, N. Tsiouvaras, K. U. Schwenke, M. Piana, H. Beyer, L. Lange, H. A. Gasteiger, *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.* 2013, 15, 11478–11493.
- [29] S. A. Freunberger, Y. Chen, N. E. Drewett, L. J. Hardwick, F. Bard, P. G. Bruce, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2011**, *50*, 8609–8613; *Angew. Chem.* **2011**, *123*, 8768–8772.
- [30] P. D. C. Dietzel, K. Kremer, M. Jansen, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 4689-4696.
- [31] J. W. Morse, W. H. Casey, Am. J. Sci. 1988, 288, 537-560.
- [32] T. P. Feenstra, P. L. De Bruyn, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 1981, 84, 66–72.
- [33] K. Liang, B. K. Tay, O. V. Kupreeva, T. I. Orekhovskaya, S. K. Lazarouk, V. E. Borisenko, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng. 2014, 2, 991–995.
- [34] F. Liu, J. Yang, T. Zhao, Phys. Rev. B 1997, 55, 8847.
- [35] J. F. Xu, W. Ji, Z. X. Shen, W. S. Li, S. H. Tang, X. R. Ye, D. Z. Jia, X. Q. Xin, J. Raman Spectrosc. 1999, 30, 413–415.
- [36] W. Chen, X. Pan, X. Bao, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 7421– 7426.
- [37] H. Zheng, D. D. Xiao, X. Li, Y. Liu, Y. Wu, J. Wang, K. Jiang, C. Chen, L. Gu, X. Wei, Y. Hu, Q. Chen, H. Li, *Nano Lett.* 2014, 14, 4245–4249.

Received: May 29, 2016 Revised: June 27, 2016 Published online:

© 2016 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

www.angewandte.org
These are not the final page numbers!

GDCh



Communications



Communications

Li–O, Batteries

Y. Zhang, Q. Cui, X. Zhang, W. C. McKee, Y. Xu, S. Ling, H. Li, G. Zhong, Y. Yang, Z. Peng* _____

Amorphous Li₂O₂: Chemical Synthesis and Electrochemical Properties



Better off amorphous: Amorphous Li_2O_2 has been synthesized by a rapid reaction of TMAO₂ and LiClO₄ in solution, and its amorphous nature has been confirmed by a range of techniques. Compared with its crystalline siblings, amorphous Li_2O_2 demonstrates increased charge-transport capabilities and enhanced oxidation kinetics, manifesting itself a desirable discharge phase for high-performance Li– O_2 batteries.

6 www.angewandte.org

C 2016 Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH & Co. KGaA, Weinheim

Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 1-6

These are not the final page numbers!