



Accepted Article

Title: Transition Metal-Free Aroylation of Diarylmethanes with N-Bn-N-Boc Arylamides and N-Acylpyrroles

Authors: Patrick J. Walsh, Jie Li, Fan Yang, Dong Zou, Huan Wang, Yichen Zhao, Liyi Zhao, Linlin Li, and Shuguang Chen

This manuscript has been accepted after peer review and appears as an Accepted Article online prior to editing, proofing, and formal publication of the final Version of Record (VoR). This work is currently citable by using the Digital Object Identifier (DOI) given below. The VoR will be published online in Early View as soon as possible and may be different to this Accepted Article as a result of editing. Readers should obtain the VoR from the journal website shown below when it is published to ensure accuracy of information. The authors are responsible for the content of this Accepted Article.

To be cited as: Adv. Synth. Catal. 10.1002/adsc.202000622

Link to VoR: https://doi.org/10.1002/adsc.202000622

FULL PAPER

DOI: 10.1002/adsc.202((will be filled in by the editorial staff))

Transition Metal-Free Aroylation of Diarylmethanes with *N***-Bn**-*N***-Boc Arylamides and** *N***-acylpyrroles**

Fan Yang,⁺ ^a Dong Zou,⁺ ^a Shuguang Chen,^b Huan Wang,^a Yichen Zhao,^a Liyi Zhao,^a Linlin Li,^a Jie Li,^{a*} and Patrick J. Walsh^{b*}

- ^a Department of Pharmacy, School of Medicine, Zhejiang University City College, No. 48, Huzhou Road, Hangzhou 310015, P. R. China Email: lijie@zucc.edu.cn
- ^b Roy and Diana Vagelos Laboratories, Department of Chemistry, University of Pennsylvania, 231 South 34th Street, Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19104-6323, USA Email: pwalsh@sas.upenn.edu

⁺ These authors contributed equally to this work

Received: ((will be filled in by the editorial staff))

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/adsc.201#######.((Please delete if not appropriate))

diarylmethane

Abstract. In the last 20 years, efficient transition metal catalysts for the α -arylation of enolates have been introduced. Despite the popularity and utility of these reactions, there remains room for improvement (reduced costs, elimination of transition metals and specialized ligands). Herein is reported a general, scalable and green method for aroylation of simple diarylmethane pronucleophiles through direct acyl C–N cleavage of *N*-Bn-*N*-Boc arylamides and *N*-acylpyrroles under transition metal-free conditions. Importantly, a 1 : 1 ratio of the amide to the pronucleophile is employed. Unlike

use of Weinreb amides, this method avoids preformed organometallics (organolithium and Grignard reagents) and does not employ cryogenic temperatures, which are difficult and costly to achieve on scale. The operationally simple protocol provides straightforward access to a variet of sterically and electronically diverse 1,2,2triarylethanones, a group of compounds with high-value

medicinal chemistry. Keywords: transition metal-free; aroylation; amide, enolate

Introduction

 α -Aryl carbonyl compounds, such as 1,2-diaryl- and 1,2,2-triarylethanones, have attracted considerable attention as important synthetic targets due to their presence in natural products^[1] and bioactive molecules. ^[2] In addition, these structural motifs have been used as chemical intermediates in the production of marketed drugs, such as Tamoxifen, Daidzein, Oxcarbazepine, and Droloxifene.^[3] The most popular route for the synthesis of these privileged carbonyl compounds largely relies on palladium-catalyzed aarylation of ketone enolates.^[4] which was pioneered simultaneously by Buchwald, Hartwig, and Miura in 1997 (Fig. 1A, i).^[5] Subsequently, several other α arylations with different metals (Fig. 1A. ii-iv) were developed for the synthesis of 1,2,2-triarylethanones by the groups of Taillefer (Cu-catalyzed with Ar-I),^[3b] Itami (Ni-catalyzed with carbonates and carbamates),^[6] and Zhang (Fe-catalyzed C–H functionalization).^[7] Despite the importance of metal-catalyzed α -arylation reactions, there remains room for improvement. These include avoidance of precious metals (that ultimately generate metal waste) and the use of expensive ligands. Towards these objectives, Grimaud, Ciofini, Ollevier, Taillefer and co-workers developed a transition metal-free method for the α -arylation of enolizable aryl ketones with aryl iodides via a free radical process (Fig. 1A, v).^[8] Kürti and co-workers also developed a transition metal-free arylation of ketones using nitro aromatics as the aryl electrophiles (Fig. 1A, vi).^[9] In addition to α -arylations, other methods to prepare 1,2,2-triarylethanones are known.^[10]

The present synthesis of 1,2,2-triarylethanones was inspired by the work of Szostak and co-workers, who demonstrated the transamidation of activated and unactivated amides with non-nucleophilic amines under transition metal-free conditions (Fig. 1B).^[11a,b] We were curious if related activated amides (with decreased amidic resonance^[11c]) could be employed with different classes of nucleophiles. In particular, we have built a program around reversible in situ deprotonation of weakly acidic pro-nucleophiles. Among these, the in situ deprotonation of toluene derivatives for metal catalyzed arylations,^[12] aminobenzylation of aldehydes,^[13] and a new indole synthesis from 2-fluoro toluenes are notable.^[14] For the current study, we became interested in the use of amides as electrophiles with in situ generated organometallic reagents to afford 1.2.2triarylethanones.

Traditionally, the conversion of amides to ketones relies on the use of Weinreb amides (Fig. 1C),^[15] which have been applied with great effect in the coupling of elaborate fragments in complex natural product synthesis. Typically, Weinreb amides are subjected to addition of preformed organometallic nucleophiles, such as organolithiums, Grignard reagents or hydride sources. Weinreb amides largely solved the problem of over addition of the organometallic reagent to the newly formed ketone or aldehyde. Despite their overwhelming success, Weinreb amides do have limitations, including decreased reactivity of the amide C=O carbonyl toward electrophiles, requiring the use of strongly nucleophilic organometallic reagents with reduced functional group tolerance, and side reactions that take place at the N(Me)OMe unit.^[16] A significant improvement over use of traditional Weinreb amide chemistry would be use of air and moisture stable pronucleophiles that could be readily converted to nucleophiles under experimentally convenient conditions. Less well-known approaches to conversion of amides to ketones, such as Wolfe's use of 2-methyl pyridine based nucleophiles with N,N-dimethyl amides^[17] or Katritzky's use of Nacylbenzotriazoles^[18] have some advantages, because they use pro-nucleophiles. These approaches, however, are typically performed with the strong base LDA at temperatures that are costly and challenging to access on scale (below -70 °C).

Given that amides are common place in chemical synthesis, biology, and drug discovery,^[19] extension of the Weinreb ketone synthesis beyond the confines of the Weinreb amide could, in principle, significantly broaden the potential of this strategy. Furthermore, by diversifying the amides that are effective substrates in Weinreb-type ketone syntheses, it may be possible to engender chemoselectivity among amide coupling partners with amides bearing different *N*-substituents.

Herein we present the results of our efforts to identify amides that participate in the ketone synthesis under conditions with *in situ* generation of the organometallic nucleophile. We have discovered that both *N*-Bn-*N*-Boc arylamides and *N*-acylpyrroles react with diarylmethane-based pro-nucleophiles under conditions where the pro-nucleophiles are reversibly deprotonated by $KN(SiMe_3)_2$ (Fig. 1D). The advantages to this synthesis of 1,2,2-triarylethan-1-ones over enolate arylation is that expensive transition metals and ligands, along with their accompanying waste, can be avoided.

A. Transition metal catalyzed α-arylations of enolates



B. Transamidation under transition metal free conditions

$$\begin{array}{c} O \\ R^{1} \\ R^{3} \\ R^{3} \end{array} + H - N \\ R^{5} \\ R^{5} \end{array} \xrightarrow{\text{LiN}(\text{SiMe}_{3})_{2}} R^{1} \\ R^{1} \\ R^{1} \\ R^{5} \\ R^{5} \end{array}$$

 $\ensuremath{\textbf{C}}\xspace.$ Synthesis of ketones with Weinreb amides



Fig. 1 Reactions of carbonyl compounds. A. Methods for α -arylation of enolates catalyzed by transition metals and in the absence of transition metal catalysts. B. Transamidation reactions. C. Weinreb's ketone synthesis. D. Synthesis of 1,2,2-triarylethanones under transition metal-free conditions (this work).

Results and Discussion

Reaction optimization. In our prior investigations, w studied the use of diphenylmethane and related pronucleophiles in palladium^[20] and nickel^[21] catalyzed cross-coupling processes with aryl halides. In these reactions, the weakly acidic $C(sp^3)$ –H bonds of diarylmethanes and toluene derivatives (p K_a 25~43 in DMSO)^[22] were reversibly deprotonated under basic reaction conditions [usually with silylamides: MN(SiMe₃)₂, M = Li, Na, K and in some cases with additives^[23]]. The resulting carbanions then acted as nucleophiles toward the transition metals, undergoing transmetallation. In the present investigations, under transition metal-free conditions, amides are used as the electrophiles with the goal of generating 1,2,2triarylethanones.

We initiated our studies with N-Bn-N-Boc benzamide 1a and 4-benzylpyridine 2a. The pronucleophile, 4-benzylpyridine 2a, was chosen because the p K_a in DMSO is 26.7, facilitating deprotonation. Additionally, pyridines are among the most prevalent heterocycles in medicinal chemistry, increasing the value of the products.^[24] Five different bases were tested in DME $[LiN(SiMe_3)_2,$ $NaN(SiMe_3)_2$, KN(SiMe₃)₂, NaO'Bu, and KO'Bu] at 80 °C for 12 h (Table 1, entries 1–5). The silvl amide bases yielded the desired product (32-86%), with KN(SiMe₃)₂ the most promising. In contrast, both NaO'Bu and KO'Bu failed to afford the product (Table 1, entries 4–5). Solvents [dioxane, toluene, THF, and CPME (cyclopentyl methyl ether), Table 1, entries 6–9] were next examined, but the reaction in DME outperformed the other solvents by \geq 30%. Lowering the temperature was next examined, but only 32% yield was observed at 60 °C, and no desired product was detected at 40 °C (Table 1, entries 10-11). We note that benzamides with N-Me-N-Boc and NBoc₂ gave lower yields than N-Bn-N-Boc benzamide. Based on these reactions, the optimized reaction conditions employ equal amounts of the amide and 4-benzylpyridine with 3 equiv KN(SiMe₃)₂ at 80 °C for 12 h in DME (Table 1, entry 3).

phenyl group of 4-benzylpyridine (4-OMe, 4-F, 4-^tBu, 4-Me, 2-Me, and 1-naphthyl) afforded the desired ketones (**3ab**, **3ac**, **3ad**, **3ae**, **3af**, **3ag**) in 68–82% yield. It is noteworthy that sterically-hindered substrates bearing a 2-tolyl or 1-naphthyl reacted with similar efficiency as less hindered substrates. In the case of **3ab**, LiN(SiMe₃)₂ was superior to KN(SiMe₃)₂ while NaN(SiMe₃)₂ outperformed KN(SiMe₃)₂ with **3ac** and **3ae**. The reason for the observed reactivity is not clear at this point.

In addition to 4-benzyl pyridines, less acidic diarylmethane analogues were successfully employed. Both the parent diphenylmethane (2h, pK_a 32 in DMSO) and 3-benzylpyridine (2i, pK_a 30.1 in DMSO) proved to be suitable substrates, with desired products isolated in 68 (3ah) and 82% (3ai) yield, respectively. Furans bearing benzyl groups at the 2- (2j, 2k) or 3position (21) were also examined. The isomeric substrates underwent all the base-mediated transformation to give the product in 60-68% yield (3aj, 3ak, 3al). Furthermore, 2-benzyl benzofuran 2m was successfully benzoylated to afford 3am in 65% yield. Under the conditions outline here, both N-Bn-N-Boc acetamide and N-Bn-N-Boc pivalamide did not provide the desired products. Overall, a variety of 1,1,2-triaryl ethanones were readily assembled in good yields without the assistance of transition metal catalysts and using equal amounts of both coupling partners.

Table 1. I	Reaction	Optimization	Studies ^a
------------	----------	--------------	----------------------

entry base solvent temp. (° C) Yield ^b (%) 1 LiN(SiMe ₃) ₂ DME 80 54 2 NaN(SiMe ₃) ₂ DME 80 32 3 KN(SiMe ₃) ₂ DME 80 0 4 NaO'Bu DME 80 0 5 KO'Bu DME 80 0 6 KN(SiMe ₃) ₂ dioxane 80 trace 7 KN(SiMe ₃) ₂ toluene 80 trace 8 KN(SiMe ₃) ₂ THF 80 56 9 KN(SiMe ₃) ₂ DME 60 32 10 KN(SiMe ₃) ₂ DME 60 32 11 KN(SiMe ₃) ₂ DME 40 0	1a 2a 3aa						
1 LiN(SiMe ₃) ₂ DME 80 54 2 NaN(SiMe ₃) ₂ DME 80 32 3 KN(SiMe ₃) ₂ DME 80 86 4 NaO'Bu DME 80 0 5 KO'Bu DME 80 0 6 KN(SiMe ₃) ₂ dioxane 80 trace 7 KN(SiMe ₃) ₂ toluene 80 trace 8 KN(SiMe ₃) ₂ THF 80 56 9 KN(SiMe ₃) ₂ DME 60 32 10 KN(SiMe ₃) ₂ DME 40 0	entry	base	solvent	temp. (°C)	Yield ^b (%)		
1 LiN(SiMe ₃) ₂ DME 80 54 2 NaN(SiMe ₃) ₂ DME 80 32 3 KN(SiMe ₃) ₂ DME 80 86 4 NaO'Bu DME 80 0 5 KO'Bu DME 80 0 6 KN(SiMe ₃) ₂ dioxane 80 trace 7 KN(SiMe ₃) ₂ toluene 80 trace 8 KN(SiMe ₃) ₂ THF 80 56 9 KN(SiMe ₃) ₂ DME 60 32 10 KN(SiMe ₃) ₂ DME 40 0							
2 NaN(SiMe ₃) ₂ DME 80 32 3 KN(SiMe ₃) ₂ DME 80 86 4 NaO'Bu DME 80 0 5 KO'Bu DME 80 0 6 KN(SiMe ₃) ₂ dioxane 80 trace 7 KN(SiMe ₃) ₂ toluene 80 trace 8 KN(SiMe ₃) ₂ THF 80 56 9 KN(SiMe ₃) ₂ CPME 80 52 10 KN(SiMe ₃) ₂ DME 60 32 11 KN(SiMe ₃) ₂ DME 40 0	1	LiN(SiMe ₃) ₂	DME	80	54		
3 KN(SiMe ₃) ₂ DME 80 86 4 NaO'Bu DME 80 0 5 KO'Bu DME 80 0 6 KN(SiMe ₃) ₂ dioxane 80 trace 7 KN(SiMe ₃) ₂ toluene 80 trace 8 KN(SiMe ₃) ₂ THF 80 56 9 KN(SiMe ₃) ₂ CPME 80 52 10 KN(SiMe ₃) ₂ DME 60 32 11 KN(SiMe ₃) ₂ DME 40 0	2	$NaN(SiMe_3)_2$	DME	80	32		
4 NaO'Bu DME 80 0 5 KO'Bu DME 80 0 6 KN(SiMe_3)2 dioxane 80 trace 7 KN(SiMe_3)2 toluene 80 trace 8 KN(SiMe_3)2 THF 80 56 9 KN(SiMe_3)2 CPME 80 52 10 KN(SiMe_3)2 DME 60 32 11 KN(SiMe_3)2 DME 40 0	3	KN(SiMe ₃) ₂	DME	80	86		
5 KO'Bu DME 80 0 6 KN(SiMe_3)_2 dioxane 80 trace 7 KN(SiMe_3)_2 toluene 80 trace 8 KN(SiMe_3)_2 THF 80 56 9 KN(SiMe_3)_2 CPME 80 52 10 KN(SiMe_3)_2 DME 60 32 11 KN(SiMe_3)_2 DME 40 0	4	NaO ^t Bu	DME	80	0		
6 KN(SiMe_3)2 dioxane 80 trace 7 KN(SiMe_3)2 toluene 80 trace 8 KN(SiMe_3)2 THF 80 56 9 KN(SiMe_3)2 CPME 80 52 10 KN(SiMe_3)2 DME 60 32 11 KN(SiMe_3)2 DME 40 0	5	KO ^t Bu	DME	80	0		
7 KN(SiMe_3)2 toluene 80 trace 8 KN(SiMe_3)2 THF 80 56 9 KN(SiMe_3)2 CPME 80 52 10 KN(SiMe_3)2 DME 60 32 11 KN(SiMe_3)2 DME 40 0	6	KN(SiMe ₃) ₂	dioxane	80	trace		
8 KN(SiMe_3)2 THF 80 56 9 KN(SiMe_3)2 CPME 80 52 10 KN(SiMe_3)2 DME 60 32 11 KN(SiMe_3)2 DME 40 0	7	KN(SiMe ₃) ₂	toluene	80	trace		
9 KN(SiMe_3)2 CPME 80 52 10 KN(SiMe_3)2 DME 60 32 11 KN(SiMe_3)2 DME 40 0	8	KN(SiMe ₃) ₂	THF	80	56		
10 KN(SiMe ₃) ₂ DME 60 32 11 KN(SiMe ₃) ₂ DME 40 0	9	KN(SiMe ₃) ₂	CPME	80	52		
11 KN(SiMe ₃) ₂ DME 40 0	10	KN(SiMe ₃) ₂	DME	60	32		
	11	KN(SiMe ₃) ₂	DME	40	0		

^aReactions were conducted with **1a** (0.1 mmol), **2a** (0.1 mmol), and base (0.6 mmol) with solvent (1 mL) for 12 h. ^bIsolated yields.

Substrate scope. The substrate scope of 4benzylpyridine derivatives was explored with *N*-Bn-*N*-Boc benzamide **1a**. As shown in Table 2, substrates bearing electronically-diverse substituents on the 3aa 86%

3ai 82%

3am 65%



År¹ 2a

3ab 68%^{c,c}

3af 75%

3aj 68%

KN(SiMe₃)₂ (6 equiv) DME, 80 °C

3ac 70%

3ag 72%

3ak 62%

3aa-3am

3ad 82%

3ah 68%

3al 60%

^aReaction conditions: *N*-Bn-*N*-Boc benzamide (0.2 mmol), diarylmethane (0.2 mmol), KN(SiMe₃)₂ 0.6 mmol, DME (0.2 M), 80 °C, 12 h. ^bIsolated yields. ^cReaction conducted in tetrahydrofuran (THF). ^d6 mmol of LiN(SiMe₃)₂. ^e6 mmol of NaN(SiMe₃)₂.

Next, we examined the substrate scope of amides in benzoylation of 4-benzylpyridine (2a). Beyond the parent reaction to give **3aa** with the benzamide (**1a**), various arylamides bearing different substituents on the aryl group gave the expected products in moderate to good yields (62-86%, Table 3). 2-Naphthylamide and biphenyl-4-amide furnished 3ba and 3ca in 78% and 75% yield, respectively. Benzamides possessing alkyl groups (4-^tBu, 4-Me, and 3-Me) gave products 3da, 3ea, and 3fa in 75-80% yield. Substrates bearing electron-donating groups (4-NMe₂, 4–OMe, 2-OMe) underwent addition in 62-68% yield to give the expected products (3ga, 3ha, 3ka). Benzamides supporting halides (4-F and 4-Cl) provided ketone products in 80-82% yield (3ia and 3ja). These substrates are primed for further functionalization through cross-coupling strategies. Additionally, heterocyclic furylamide and thienylamide also participated in this protocol, affording the product 3la-3ma in 70 and 63% yields, respectively. As outlined in Table 3, some substrates performed better with LiN(SiMe₃)₂ (3ca, 3ha, 3la) while others (3ga, 3ai, **3ja**) gave better yields with $NaN(SiMe_3)_2$. This observation is surprising, because it is dependent on the amide electrophile. Overall, a wide range of 1.2.2triaryl ethanones can be prepared by aroylation of simple diarylmethane derivatives with N-Bn-N-Boc arylamides.

Table 3. Scope of amides^{a,b}



^aReaction conditions: *N*-Bn-*N*-Boc amide (0.2 mmol), 4benzylpyridine (0.2 mmol), KN(SiMe₃)₂ 0.6 mmol, DME (0.2 M), 80 °C, 12 h. ^bIsolated yield. ^cReaction conducted in cyclopentyl methyl ether (CPME). ^d6 mmol of LiN(SiMe₃)₂. ^e6 mmol of NaN(SiMe₃)₂.

The success of Weinreb amides for the synthesis o. ketones and aldehydes is attributed to the stability of the tetrahedral intermediates formed upon addition or strong nucleophiles to the carbonyl groups of these substrates (Figure 1C).^[15] Another class of amides that stable tetrahedral intermediates is form Nacylpyrroles.^[25] An advantage of *N*-acylpyrroles is that the lone pair is delocalized into the pyrrole and less available for delocalization into the carbonyl group. As a result, the carbonyl group is significantly more electrophilic than Weinreb amides and also more reactive than amides in general. The reactivity of Nacylpyrroles is on par with that of ketones.^[26] Another advantage of *N*-acylpyrroles, also stemming from the delocalization of the N-lone pair, is that the pyrrole does not readily bind to metals, reducing its ability t act as a leaving group. Thus, stable tetrahedral intermediates can be isolated.^[25g] Of relevance to this work, examples using N-acylpyrroles for the synthesis of ketones are known, but usually require inconveniently low temperatures^[27] (-48 to -78 °C) to insure the tetrahedral intermediate does not break down, allowing a second addition to take place.^[27b] These additions often furnish the pyrrolylcarbinol product.

Based on the reactivity of *N*-acylpyrroles, we decided to examine the aroylation of diarylmethanes

with these substrates to expand the generality of our method. We were pleased to find that aroylation could be successfully extended to encompass N-acylpyrroles under conditions similar to those used in the reactions described earlier (see the Supporting Information for reaction optimization). Examination of the scope indicated that a broad range of diarylmethanes and Nacvlpvrroles were viable substrates in this transformation (Table 4). Using 4-benzylpyridine with a variety of substituents on the benzyl group (4-OMe, 4-F, 4-^tBu, 4-Me, 2-Me, **3ab–3af**) provided products in 80-84% yields. It is interesting that the sterically demanding 2-tolyl derivative did not exhibit diminished reactivity. Less acidic 3-benzylpyridine was also a fine substrate, furnishing the product in 84% yield. Pronucleophiles 2- and 3-benzyl furans also participated in the reaction (65-75% yield). 2-Benzyl benzofuran proved to be a very good substrate, providing the product in 86% yield.

The N-acylpyrroles could also be varied, as demonstrated with a series of reactions using 4benzylpyridine as the pronucleophile. Aryl Nacylpyrroles bearing aryls with 2-naphthyl, or phenyl groups substituted with 4-Ph, 4-Me, 3-Me, 4-NMe₂, 4-OMe, 4-F, and 4-Cl reacted to furnish the products in 72-85% yield. Only the more sterically hindered 2methoxy phenyl N-acylpyrrole proved to be a challenging substrate, furnishing the product in 40% yield. However, the yield was improved when 6 equiv of 18-crown-6 was added, generating the product in 72% yield. The 2-furanyl and 2-thiofuranyl Nacylpyrroles were also good substrates, providing the products in 65 and 72% yield. To illustrate the practicality of our method, we conducted the reaction of 4-benzylpyridine (2a) with N-benzoylpyrrole (4a) on a 4 mmol scale. The aroylation product 3aa was isolated in 82% yield. Like the previous reactions of N-Bn-N-Boc amides, reactions with N-acylpyrroles were performed with only one equiv of the pronucleophile.

We next employed the *N*-acylpyrrole derived from cinnamic acid. We were pleased to find that the product **5na** could be generated under the standard conditions in 68% yield. For aliphatic acid derivatives, we employed the *N*-acylpyrrole prepared from cyclopropane carboxylic acid. This substrate reacted to give **50a** in 73% yield.

Table 4. Transition metal-free aroylation of heteroaryl arylmethanes with *N*-acylpyrroles^{a,b}



^aReaction conditions: *N*-Acylpyrrole (0.2 mmol), diarylmethane (0.2 mmol), NaN(SiMe₃)₂ (0.3 mmol), THF (0.1 M), 80 °C, 12 h. ^bIsolated yields. ^cReaction performed on 4 mmol scale. ^dThe reactions were executed in 1,4dioxane. ^e6 mmol of 18-crown-6.

Mechanistic Experiments. We next wanted to understand the reason that the addition reactions give high selectivity for mono-addition products. With the *N*-acylpyrroles, we envisioned that the deprotonated pronucleophile derived from 2a would add to the N-acylpyrrole to form a tetrahedral intermediate (Scheme 1). From here, two possibilities were considered. The first was that the tetrahedral intermediate formed upon addition of the nucleophile to the N-acylpyrroles was stable, as was observed by others.^[25g] We viewed this possibility as less likely, because the reaction was conducted at 80 °C. The second possibility was that the tetrahedral intermediate underwent collapse to afford the ketone **3aa**. The ketone 3aa could undergo a second addition of the deprotonated pronucleophile, however, this product was not observed. Alternatively, the

ketone could be deprotonated by the excess NaN(SiMe₃)₂ to generate an enolate that would be inert to further reaction with the deprotonated pronucleophile.



Scheme 1. Possible reaction pathways.

To explore these key features of the reaction mechanism, experiments were conducted. When the reaction between 4-benzyl pyridine (2a) and Nacylpyrrole 4a with NaN(SiMe₃)₂ (3 equiv) was performed under the standard conditions, but worked up with D_2O , the crude reaction product and contained 94% deuterium at the α -carbon (**3aa-d**₁, Scheme 2A). This is the product expected if the enolate were generated under the reaction conditions. We next desired to explore the possibility of enolate formation by directly examining the reaction products before workup. Thus, the reaction between 4-benzyl pyridine (2a)and *N*-acylpyrrole **4a** with $NaN(SiMe_3)_2$ (3 equiv) was performed in a degassed and sealed J Young NMR tube in THF-d₈ (Scheme 2B) The sealed tube was heated for 12 h at 80 °C in an oil bath before cooling to room temperature and analysis by NMR spectroscopy. The ¹H NMR spectrum of this reaction solution consisted of a mixture of compounds, including sodium pyrrol-1-ide [which was verified by independent synthesis from and NaN(SiMe₃)₂, see Supporting pyrrole Information for details]. To determine if the enolate was also present in the reaction mixture, the independently synthesized ketone (3aa) was treated with NaN(SiMe₃)₂ (3 equiv) in THF-d₈ at room temperature (Scheme 2C). Analysis of the ¹H NMR of this solution indicated the majority of the resonances were identical to the reaction mixture when the reaction was conducted in the J Young NMR tube (Scheme 2b), suggesting that the product before workup was an E/Z mixture of the enolates. Examination of the ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR spectrum of the ketone treated with NaN(SiMe₃)₂ and comparison with the ${}^{13}C{}^{1}H$ NMR of the reaction mixture in the J Young NMR tube

indicated that the major components were the same. Among other resonances (see Supporting Information for details), both the deprotonated ketone and the reaction mixture in the J Young tube contained resonances at 174.6 ppm. A resonance at this location is not characteristic of a ketone, but instead is in the expected range for an O-C=C oxygen-bound enolate carbon (which in related systems appear at 165–175 ppm).^[28] These results indicate that the enolate is the product of the reaction, which undergoes protonation on workup with water to generate the observed ketones.

i) NaN(SiMe₃)₂

(3 equiv) THF. 80 °C 12 r ii) D₂O



B. J Young NMR tube experiment.



Scheme 2. A. Deuterium quenching studies and B. Analysis of the reaction mixture in THF-d₈ before workup.

Conclusion

We have developed a transition metal-free and operationally-simple method for aroylation of diarylmethanes with N-Bn-N-Boc arylamides and Nacylpyrroles to afford a variety of 1,2,2triarvlethanone derivatives. 1,2,2-Triarylethanones belong to a class of privileged carbonyl compounds with various applications and biological activities. The scope of the aroylation is broad with high functional group compatibility and enables rapid incorporation of heteroaryl groups. In most cases, the reagents used are commercially available and inexpensive. Mechanistic studies indicate the product of the reaction before workup is the enolate, explaining why a second addition does not take place. This approach is complementary to popular palladium catalyzed α arylations, and more economical. The novelty and advantages are: 1) the use of air stable pronucleophiles and amide electrophiles, 2) in situ reversible deprotonation to reveal reactive nucleophiles, and 3)

3aa-d

the avoidance of transition metals. An important feature of this method is it circumvents the use of preformed air- and moisture-sensitive organometallic reagents. Given the significant role of amides in modern chemistry, we envision that this process will be of interest in chemical sciences and medicinal chemistry.

Experimental Section

Synthesis of 1,2,2-triarylethanones

General Procedure A

An oven-dried 10 mL vial equipped with a stir bar was charged with *N*-Bn-*N*-Boc arylamide (0.2 mmol) and $KN(SiMe_3)_2$ (120 mg, 0.6 mmol) under a nitrogen atmosphere. A solution of 4-benzylpyridine (33.8 mg, 0.2 mmol) in 2 mL of dry DME was added to the reaction by syringe at room temperature. Note that solid diarylmethanes were added to the reaction vial prior to $KN(SiMe_3)_2$, followed by addition of the solvent. The reaction mixture was stirred for 12 h at 80 °C. After cooling to room temperature, the reaction mixture was quenched with three drops of H₂O, passed through a short pad of silica gel and eluted with ethyl acetate (1 mL × 3). The combined organic solution was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude material was loaded onto a silica gel column and purified by flash chromatography.

General Procedure B

An oven-dried 10 mL vial equipped with a stir bar was charged with *N*-acylpyrroles (0.2 mmol) and NaN(SiMe₃)₂ (110 mg, 0.6 mmol) under a nitrogen atmosphere at room temperature. A solution of 4-benzylpyridine (33.8 mg, 0.2 mmol) in 2 mL of dry THF was added to the reaction by syringe. Note that solid diarylmethanes were added to the reaction vial prior to NaN(SiMe₃)₂, followed by addition of the solvent. The reaction mixture was stirred for 12 h at 80 °C. After cooling to room temperature, the reaction mixture was quenched with three drops of H₂O, passed through a short pad of silica gel and eluted with ethyl acetate (1 mL \times 3). The combined organic solution was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude material was loaded onto a silica gel column and purified by flash chromatography.

General Procedure C

An oven-dried 10 mL vial equipped with a stir bar was charged with *N*-acylpyrroles (0.2 mmol) and LiN(SiMe₃)₂ (100 mg, 0.6 mmol) under a nitrogen atmosphere. A solution of 4-benzylpyridine (33.8 mg, 0.2 mmol) in 2 mL of dry THF was added to the reaction by syringe at room temperature. Note that solid diarylmethanes were added to the reaction vial prior to NaN(SiMe₃)₂, followed by addition of the solvent. The reaction mixture was stirred for 12 h at 80 °C. After cooling to room temperature, the reaction mixture was quenched with three drops of H₂O, passed through a short pad of silica gel and eluted with ethyl acetate (1 mL \times 3). The combined organic solution was concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude material was loaded onto a silica gel column and purified by flash chromatography.

Acknowledgements

LJ thanks National Natural Science Foundation of China (31670357) and Hangzhou Science and Technology Information Institute of China (20150633B45). PJW thanks the US National Science Foundation (CHE-1902509).

References

- [1] a) Q. F. Hu, B. Zhou, Y. Q. Ye, Z. Y. Jiang, X. Z. Huang, Y. K. Li, G. Du, G. Y. Yang, X. M. Gao, J. Nat. Prod., **2013**, 76, 1854-1859; b)
 O. Monthakantirat, W. De-Eknamkul, K. Umehara, Y. Yoshinaga, T. Miyase, T. Warashina, H. Noguchi, J. Nat. Prod., **2005**, 68, 361-364; c) T. M. Lu, D. H. Kuo, H. H. Ko, L. T. Ng, J. Agr. Food Chem., **2010**, 58, 10027-10032; d) C. C. C. Johansson, T. J. Colacot, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, **49**, 676-707.
- [2] a) L. T. Ng, H. H. Ko, T. M. Lu, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*,
 2009, 17, 4360-4366; b) M. Stolarczyk, A. Apola, A. Maślanka, J. Krzek, *Anal. Methods*, 2015, 7, 4419-4442;
 c) H. A. M. Mucke, *Clin. Med. Ther.*, 2009, 1,
 CMT.S2344; d) C. Wu, E. R. Decker, N. Blok, J. Li, A. R. Bourgoyne, H. Bui, K. M. Keller, V. Knowles, W. Li,
 F. D. Stavros, G. W. Holland, T. A. Brock, R. A. F. Dixon,
 J. Med. Chem., 2001, 44, 1211-1216; e) N. Fokialakis, G. Lambrinidis, D. J. Mitsiou, N. Aligiannis, S. Mitakou, A. L. Skaltsounis, H. Pratsinis, E. Mikros, M. N. Alexis, *Chem. Biol.*, 2004, 11, 397-406.
- [3] a) F. Bellina, R. Rossi, *Chem. Rev.*, **2010**, *110*, 1082-1146; b) G. Danoun, A. Tlili, F. Monnier, M. Taillefer, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, **2012**, *51*, 12815-12819; c) S. T. Sivanandan, A. Shaji, I. Ibnusaud, C. C. C. J. Seechurn, T. J. Colacot, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, **2015**, 38-49.
- [4] B. Schlummer, U. Scholz, in *Modern Arylation Methods*. (ed L. Ackermann) Ch. 3, 69-120 (2009).
- [5] a) M. Palucki, S. L. Buchwald, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1997, 119, 11108-11109; b) B. C. Hamann, J. F. Hartwig, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1997, 119, 12382-12383; c) T. Satoh, Y. Kawamura, M. Miura, M. Nomura, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 1997, 36, 1740-1742.
- [6] R. Takise, K. Muto, J. Yamaguchi, K. Itami, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, **2014**, *53*, 6791-6794.
- [7] T. Chen, Y. F. Li, Y. An, F. M. Zhang, Org. Lett., 2016, 18, 4754-4757.
- [8] M. P. Drapeau, I. Fabre, L. Grimaud, I. Ciofini, T. Ollevier, M. Taillefer, *Angew. Chem.*, *Int. Ed.*, 2015, 54, 10587-10591.
- [9] Q. L. Xu, H. Gao, M. Yousufuddin, D. H. Ess, L. Kürti, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 14048-14051.
- [10] a) A. G. Smith, J. S. Johnson, Org. Lett., 2010, 12 1784-1787; b) U. Scheffler, R. Mahrwald, Helv. Chim. Acta., 2012, 95, 1970-1975; c) M. R. Reddy, N. N. Rao, K. Ramakrishna, H. Meshram, Tetrahedron Lett., 2014, 55, 1898-1901; d) N. Y. More, M. Jeganmohan, Org. Lett., 2014, 16, 804-807; e) Y. P. Zhu, M. C. Liu, F. C. Jia, J. J. Yuan, Q. H. Gao, M. Lian, A. X. Wu, Org. Lett., 2012, 14, 3392-3395; f) B. M. Laloo, H. Mecadon, M. R. Rohman, I. Kharbangar, I. Kharkongor, M. Rajbangshi, R. Nongkhlaw, B. Myrboh, J. Org. Chem., 2012, 77, 707-712; g) M. Padmanaban, A. T. Biju, F. Glorius, Org. Lett., 2011, 13, 98-101.
- [11] a) G. Li, C. L. Ji, X. Hong and M. Szostak, J. Am. Chem.

Soc., **2019**, *141*, 11161-11172; b) G. Li and M. Szostak, *Nat. Commun.*, **2018**, *9*, 4165; c) G. Meng, S. Shi, R. Lalancette, R. Szostak, M. Szostak, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2018**, *140*, 727-734.

- [12] S. C. Sha, S. Tcyrulnikov, M. Li, Y. Fu, M. C. Kozlowski, P. J. Walsh, B. Hu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2018, 140, 12415-12423.
- [13] Z. Wang, Z. Zheng, X. Xu, J. Mao, P. J. Walsh, *Nat. Commun.*, **2018**, *9*, 3365; b) G. Liu, P. J. Walsh, J. Mao, *Org. Lett.*, **2019**, *21*, 8514-8518.
- [14] J. Mao, Z. Wang, X. Xu, G. Liu, R. Jiang, H. Guan, Z. Zheng, P. J. Walsh, *Angew. Chem.*, *Int. Ed.*, **2019**, *58*, 11033–11038.
- [15] a) S. Nahm, S. M. Weinreb, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1981**, 22, 3815-3818; b) J. Singh, N. Satyamurthi, I. S. Aidhen, *J. Prakt. Chem.*, **2000**, *342*, 340-347; c) S. Balasubramaniam, I. S. Aidhen, *Synthesis*, **2008**, 3707-3738; d) W. Zhao, W. Liu, *Chin. J. Org. Chem.*, **2015**, *35*, 55-69.
- [16] S. L. Graham, T. H. Scholz, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, **1990**, 31, 6269-6272.
- [17] R. P. Cassity, L. T. Taylor, J. F. Wolfe, J. Org. Chem., 1978, 43, 2286-2288.
- [18] A. R. Katritzky, A. A. A. Abdel-Fattah, R. G. Akhmedova, *ARKIVOC*, 2005, 329-338.
- [19] a) A. Greenberg, C. M. Breneman, J. F. Liebman, *The Amide Linkage: Structural Significance in Chemistry, Biochemistry and Materials Science.* (Wiley-VCH, 2003); b) K. Tani, B. M. Stoltz, *Nature*, 2006, 441, 731-734; c) V. R. Pattabiraman, J. W. Bode, *Nature*, 2011, 480, 471-479; d) S. D. Roughley, A. M. Jordan, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2011, 54, 3451-3479.
- [20] a) J. Zhang, A. Bellomo, A. D. Creamer, S. D. Dreher, P. J. Walsh, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2012**, *134*, 13765–13772;
 b) J. Zhang, A. Bellomo, N. Trongsiriwat, T. jia, P. J. Carroll, S. D. Dreher, M. T. Tudge, H. Yin, J. R.

- Robinson, E. J. Schelter, P. J. Walsh, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2014**, *136*, 6276-6287; c) F. Gao, B. S. Kim and P. J. Walsh, *Chem. Sci.*, **2016**, *7*, 976–983; d) G. Gao, Y. Fu, M. Li, B. Wang, B. Zheng, S. Hou, P. J. Walsh, *Adv. Syn.* & *Catal.*, **2017**, *359*, 2890-2894.
- [21] a) X. Cao, S. Sha, M. Li, B. S. Kim, C. Morgan, R. Huang, X. Yang, P. J. Walsh, *Chem. Sci.*, **2016**, *7*, 611-618; b) J. Li, C. Wu, B. Zhou, P. J. Walsh, *J. Org. Chem.*, **2018**, *83*, 2993-2999.
- [22] F. G. Bordwell, Acc. Chem. Res., 1988, 21, 456-463.
- [23] A. Bellomo, J. Zhang, N. Trongsiriwat, P. J. Walsh, *Chem. Sci.*, **2013**, *4*, 849-857.
- [24] R. D. Taylor, M. MacCoss, A. D. G. Lawson, J. Med. Chem., 2014, 57, 5845-5859.
- [25] a) A. M. Goldys, C. S. P. McErlean, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, **2012**, 1877-1888; b) D. Uraguchi, K. Yoshioka, T. Ooi, *Nat. Commun.*, **2017**, 8, 14793; c) S. T. Heller, J. N.
 Newton, T. Fu, R. Sarpong, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, **2015**,
 54, 9839-9843; d) B. M. Trost, A. Maruniak, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, **2013**, 52, 6262-6264; e) S. Matsunaga, T.
 Kinoshita, S. Okada, S. Harada, M. Shibasaki, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2004**, *126*, 7559-7570; f) B. L. Hodous, G.
 C. Fu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2002**, *124*, 10006-10007; g) D.
 A. Evans, G. Borg, K. A. Scheidt, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, **2002**, *41*, 3188-3191.
- [26] S. Matsunaga, T. Kinoshita, S. Okada, S. Harada, M. Shibasaki, J. Am. Chem. Soc., **2004**, *126*, 7559 -7570.
- [27] a) S. Brandange, E. Holmgren, H. Leijonmarck, B. Rodriguez, *Acta Chem. Scand.*, **1995**, *49*, 922-928; b) L. Castoldi, W. Holzer, T. Langer, V. Pace, *Chem. Commun.*, **2017**, *53*, 9498-9501.
- [28] K. J. Kolonko, M. M. Biddle, L. A. Guzei, H. J. Reich, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 11525-11534.

FULL PAPER

Transition metal-free aroylation of diarylmethanes with *N*-Bn-*N*-Boc arylamides and *N*-acylpyrroles

Adv. Synth. Catal. Year, Volume, Page - Page

Fan Yang,‡^a Dong Zou,‡^a Shuguang Chen,^b Huan Wang,^a Yichen Zhao,^a Liyi Zhao,^a Linlin Li,^a Jie Li,^a* and Patrick J. Walsh^b*

