

CHEMISTRY A European Journal





Supported by ACES



Cubane electrochemistry: direct conversion of cubane carboxylic acids to alkoxy cubanes using the Hofer–Moest reaction under flow conditions

Diego E. Collin, Ana A. Folgueiras-Amador, Derek Pletcher, Mark E. Light, Bruno Linclau, and Richard C. D. Brown^{*[a]}

Abstract: The highly strained cubane system is of great interest as a scaffold and rigid linker in both pharmaceutical and materials chemistry. We demonstrate the first electrochemical functionalisation of cubane by oxidative decarboxylative ether formation (Hofer– Moest reaction). The mild conditions are compatible with the presence of other oxidisable functional groups, and the use of flow electrochemical conditions allows straightforward upscaling.

First synthesised in the 1960's,^[1] and originally proposed as 3D benzene bioisostere in the 1990's,^[2] it is only in the past decade that cubanes have gained real traction in medicinal chemistry.^{[3],[4]} Substituting a phenyl ring by a cubyl unit can lead to improved physical and biological properties.^[5] In addition, 1,4-disubstituted cubanes have applications as non-aromatic rigid spacers in organic materials and polymers.^[6]

The only practical large-scale synthesis of cubane to date leads to 1,4-cubanedicarboxylic acid,^{[1],[7]} and while cubane functionalisation has been extensively investigated,^[8] in practice it has relied heavily on interconversions of the carboxylic acid functional group or indirect decarboxylative functionalisations (Scheme 1). To the best of our knowledge, the only direct decarboxylative cubane C-C bond formation is a single example of Pb(OAc)₄-mediated Kochi coupling reported by Moriarty (Scheme 1).^[9] More generally, Redox-Active Esters (RAE), such as the N-phthalimido ester 3, have proven to be useful intermediates in Fe-catalysed cubyl-aryl coupling to give 4.[10].[11] Baran also showed RAE's as intermediates for Giese radical reactions (not shown),^[12] and they have been employed for decarboxylative cubyl-heteroatom bond formation (e.g. decarboxylative borylation, $3\rightarrow 5$).^{[13],[14]} Other examples of decarboxvlative radical-based methods have been reported.[15],[16]

The synthesis of alkoxy cubanes **7** has been reported from the iodide **6**, which is again accessed from cubane carboxylic acids,^{[17]-[19]} or their pyridyl esters.^{[20],[21]} Eaton reported that photolysis of a dilute (0.03 M) solution of 1,4-diiodocubane in methanol afforded 1-iodo-4-methoxycubane in 10% yield.^[22] Subsequently, Irngartinger^[23] and later Williams^[21a] improved the efficiency with photolysis yields up to 58%, providing access to methoxycubanes as anisole isosteres.

The viability of processes proceeding via the intermediacy of cubyl radicals is amply supported by the examples described

[a] D. E. Collin, Dr. A. A. Folgueiras-Amador, Prof. Dr. D. Pletcher, Dr. M. E. Light, Prof. Dr. B. Linclau, Prof. Dr. R. C. D. Brown School of Chemistry, University of Southampton Highfield, Southampton, SO17 1BJ (UK)
 E-mail: Bruno.linclau@soton.ac.uk, r.c.brown@soton.ac.uk

Supporting information for this article is given via a link at the end of the document.

above. Additionally, the possibility of forming cubyl cations is inferred from solvolyses of corresponding iodide or triflate.[24] This precedent, together with our ongoing interest in the area of electrosynthetic transformations,^[25] led us to explore the application of electrochemistry as a direct approach to generate these reactive intermediates^[26] from cubanecarboxylic acids. In Kolbe electrolysis, anodic oxidation of carboxylic acid salts initially forms alkyl radicals, which may undergo coupling to give symmetrical or unsymmetrical products. The initially formed radicals may be further oxidised to give carbocations which can react with a nucleophile to give alcohols, ethers, esters, etc.[27] This non-Kolbe carbocation pathway, known as the Hofer-Moest reaction, has very recently been optimised by Baran, Blackmond and co-workers for the synthesis of hindered ethers via carbocation formation under batch electrolysis conditions. Despite reporting an impressive array of ether products (>80), cubane carboxylic acid was reported to be a challenging substrate, which did not afford the Hofer-Moest product under their conditions.^[28] Herein we report direct anodic functionalisation from the commercially available carboxylic acid 1 under flow conditions as a convenient method for cubane to give a variety of ethers. Furthermore, the scalability of the process is demonstrated.





Scheme 1. Decarboxylative cubane functionalisation.

Our electrochemical investigations of cubanecarboxylic

COMMUNICATION

acids were facilitated through the application of flow electrolysis cells.^[29] Interest in laboratory electrosynthesis in continuous flow has grown significantly over the last decade^[30] and presents some advantages compared to batch electrolysis. In flow cells, the electrode surface to reactor volume ratio is much higher than in batch, facilitating higher productivity and more efficient mass transfer. Moreover, the interelectrode gap is usually small (typically between 0.1–1 mm), allowing a low loading or even elimination of supporting electrolyte.^[31]

For initial exploration of the reactivity of the cubane moiety towards electrolysis, and whether radical or carbocation reactivity would be observed, cubane carboxylic acid 1 was subjected to typical Kolbe-type electrolysis conditions in an undivided flow reactor.^[32] Partial deprotonation using KOH as base and excess current (6.2 F) was applied in MeOH, using Pt as anode material.^[25a] Without supporting electrolyte, preliminary experiments established that 0.5 equivalents of base was required to reach the desired cell current and methyl 4-methoxy-1-cubanecarboxylate (7) was obtained in 14% as the major product (Table 1, entry 1). In addition, starting material 1 (50%) and a small amount of the hydrogenolysis product 10 (<5%) were observed. This promising initial result showed that the cubane ring itself is clearly compatible with anodic oxidation, and that processes proceeding through cubane carbocation formation are viable.

Optimisation efforts continued using Pt and carbon-based anodes (see SI for details). For the Pt anode, it was observed that the presence of supporting electrolytes such as Et_4NBF_4 and perchlorate salts (from NaClO₄, LiClO₄) supressed decarboxylation of cubane, with only traces of **7** observed. Interestingly, while basic conditions are required to form the carboxylate, addition of acetic acid resulted in a significantly improved yield of 40% (entry 2). As anticipated, the corresponding acetoxycubane **8** and the cross-Kolbe product **9** were observed as minor byproducts in the crude reaction mixtures. However, the addition of an excess of acetic acid was not found to significantly increase the formation of **8** and **9**.

Doubling the flow rate and maintaining the amount of charge (0.4 mL min⁻¹, 400 mA (6.2 F)) led to the isolation of product **7** in 36% yield in a gramme-scale reaction, along with the formation of the reported byproducts (entry 3). However, attempts to use other alcohols as nucleophiles were hampered by poor solubility of the inorganic base, limiting further development of these conditions. While heterogeneous solutions can be used in a batch electrochemical setup,^[28] such approach is not desirable in a flow system. Hence, the use of an organic base was explored, with Et₃N affording the best results leading to **7** in 44% yield (entry 4).^[33] Omitting acetic acid led to reduced conversion and only 8% of the desired product (entry 5).

Carbocation formation is reported to be favoured using carbon anodes under basic conditions with added perchlorate salts as supporting electrolyte.^[27] In the current work, exchanging the Pt anode for a carbon material (C/PVDF, carbon polyvinylidene fluoride composite) under the previously optimised conditions, resulted in a reduced yield of **7** (12%, entry 6) alongside decomposition products (¹H NMR analysis). However, decreasing the applied charge to 2.5 F gave an elevated yield (44%) of the methyl ether **7** (entry 7), and omission of AcOH achieved a further improvement to 52% (entry 8). Significantly, anodic oxidation using the C/PVDF anode, 200 mA (2.5 F) and 0.5 mL min⁻¹ allowed for the formation of **7** with increased productivity and substantially improved current efficiency (42% compared to 14% at Pt).



$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	Table		-	optimisation col	nultions.		
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $		CO	9 ₂ H −2 e	-, -CO ₂		OMe	F
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			>		► 🗆) +	Į,
1 base (0.5 equiv.), MeOH additive / supp. electrolyte Ammonite 8, SS cathode anode (see Table 1) 7 8 R = 0/ 9 R = Me 10 R = H 10 R =	MeO	₂C `			MeO ₂ C	Me	O ₂ C
additive / supp. electrolyte 9 R = Ma Ammonite 8, SS cathode major anode (see Table 1) major product beserve entry anode condi- tions flow rate (mL min ⁻¹) current (charge) RSM [%] ^[6] yield [%] ^[6] 1 Pt KOH 0.2 200 mA 50 14 2 ^[c] Pt KOH, 0.2 200 mA 50 14 2 ^[c] Pt KOH, 0.2 200 mA none 40 3 Pt KOH, 0.4 400 mA none 36 ^[d] 4 ^[e] Pt Et ₃ N, 0.2 200 mA none 44 6 ^[c] C/ Et ₃ N, 0.2 200 mA none 12 6 ^[c] C/ Et ₃ N, 0.2 200 mA none 12 7 ^[c] C/ Et ₃ N, 0.5 200 mA none 12 7 ^[c] C/ Et ₃ N, 0.5 200 mA none 52 9 C/ Et ₃ N, 0.25 <td></td> <td>1</td> <td>base (0.5 e</td> <td>equiv.), MeOH</td> <td>7</td> <td></td> <td>8 R = OAc</td>		1	base (0.5 e	equiv.), MeOH	7		8 R = OAc
Image: second structure anode (see Table 1) major product major product posserve byproduct entry anode condi-tions flow rate [mL min ⁻¹] current (charge) RSM [%] ^[8] yield 1 Pt KOH 0.2 200 mA 50 14 2 ^[c] Pt KOH, 0.2 200 mA 50 14 3 Pt KOH, 0.2 200 mA none 40 4 ^[c] Pt Et ₃ N, 0.2 200 mA none 36 ^[d] 4 ^[c] Pt Et ₃ N, 0.2 200 mA none 44 6 ^[c] Pt Et ₃ N, 0.2 200 mA none 44 5 Pt Et ₃ N, 0.2 200 mA none 12 6 ^[c] C/ Et ₃ N, 0.2 200 mA none 12 7 ^[c] C/ Et ₃ N, 0.5 200 mA none 12 7 ^[c] C/ Et ₃ N 0.5 200 mA none 52 9 C/ Et ₃ N,			additive / su	upp. electrolyte			9 R = Me
entry anode condi- tions flow rate [mL min ⁻¹] current (charge) RSM [%] ^[4] yield [%] ^[4] 1 Pt KOH 0.2 200 mA (6.2 F) 50 14 2 ^[c] Pt KOH, AcOH 0.2 200 mA (6.2 F) none 40 3 Pt KOH, AcOH 0.4 400 mA (6.2 F) none 36 ^[d] 4 ^[c] Pt Et ₃ N, AcOH 0.2 200 mA (6.2 F) none 36 ^[d] 5 Pt Et ₃ N, AcOH 0.2 200 mA (6.2 F) none 44 6 ^[c] C/ Et ₃ N, AcOH 0.2 200 mA (6.2 F) none 12 6 ^[c] C/ Et ₃ N, AcOH 0.2 200 mA (6.2 F) none 12 7 ^[c] C/ Et ₃ N, PVDF 0.5 200 mA (2.5 F) none 44 9 C/ Et ₃ N 0.5 200 mA (5.0 F) none 24 10 ^[e] C/ Et ₃ N, PVDF 0.5 200 mA (2.5 F) 32 </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>anode (s</td> <td>see Table 1)</td> <td>maior</td> <td></td> <td>observed</td>			anode (s	see Table 1)	maior		observed
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $				-	product		byproducts
endote condition nonvited condition nonvited novited novited	ontry	anode	condi-	flow rate	current	RSM	vield
1 Pt KOH 0.2 200 mA 50 14 (6.2 F) $2^{[c]}$ Pt KOH, 0.2 200 mA for an and the second sec	Critity	anouc	tions	$[mL min^{-1}]$	(charge)	[%] ^[a]	[%] ^[b]
1 Pt KOH 0.2 200 mA (6.2 F) 50 14 $2^{[c]}$ Pt KOH, AcOH 0.2 200 mA (6.2 F) none 40 3 Pt KOH, AcOH 0.4 400 mA (6.2 F) none $36^{[d]}$ $4^{[e]}$ Pt Et ₃ N, AcOH 0.2 200 mA (6.2 F) none 44 5 Pt Et ₃ N, AcOH 0.2 200 mA (6.2 F) 82 8 $6^{[c]}$ C/ PVDF Et ₃ N, AcOH 0.2 200 mA (6.2 F) none 12 $6^{[c]}$ C/ PVDF Et ₃ N, AcOH 0.2 200 mA (5.2 F) none 14 $7^{[c]}$ C/ PVDF Et ₃ N, AcOH 0.5 200 mA (2.5 F) none 52 9 C/ PVDF Et ₃ N, NaCIO ₄ 0.5 200 mA (5.0 F) none 24 $10^{[e]}$ C/ PVDF Et ₃ N, NaCIO ₄ 0.5 200 mA (2.5 F) 32 4							
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $	1	Pt	кон	0.2	200 mA	50	14
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $					(6.2 F)		
2** Pt KOH, AcOH 0.2 200 mA (6.2 F) none 40 3 Pt KOH, AcOH 0.4 400 mA (6.2 F) none $36^{[d]}$ 4 ^[e] Pt Et ₃ N, AcOH 0.2 200 mA (6.2 F) none 44 5 Pt Et ₃ N, AcOH 0.2 200 mA (6.2 F) none 44 6 ^[c] C/ PVDF Et ₃ N, AcOH 0.2 200 mA (6.2 F) none 12 $6^{[c]}$ C/ PVDF Et ₃ N, AcOH 0.2 200 mA (6.2 F) none 12 $7^{[c]}$ C/ PVDF Et ₃ N, AcOH 0.5 200 mA (2.5 F) none 44 8 C/ PVDF Et ₃ N 0.5 200 mA (2.5 F) none 52 9 C/ PVDF Et ₃ N, NaClO ₄ 0.5 200 mA (2.5 F) none 24 (5.0 F)		Dt	KOU	0.0	200 m A		40
3 Pt KOH, AcOH 0.4 400 mA (6.2 F) none $36^{[d]}$ 4 ^[e] Pt Et ₃ N, AcOH 0.2 200 mA (6.2 F) none 44 5 Pt Et ₃ N, AcOH 0.2 200 mA (6.2 F) none 44 6 ^[c] C/ PVDF Et ₃ N, AcOH 0.2 200 mA (6.2 F) none 12 6 ^[c] C/ PVDF Et ₃ N, AcOH 0.2 200 mA (2.5 F) none 14 7 ^[c] C/ PVDF Et ₃ N, AcOH 0.5 200 mA (2.5 F) none 52 9 C/ PVDF Et ₃ N, NaClO ₄ 0.25 200 mA (5.0 F) none 24 (5.0 F)	2	Pt		0.2	200 MA (6.2 F)	none	40
3 Pt KOH, AcOH 0.4 400 mA (6.2 F) none $36^{[c]}$ 4 ^[e] Pt Et ₃ N, AcOH 0.2 200 mA (6.2 F) none 44 5 Pt Et ₃ N 0.2 200 mA (6.2 F) none 44 6 ^[c] C/ PVDF Et ₃ N, AcOH 0.2 200 mA (6.2 F) none 12 6 ^[c] C/ PVDF Et ₃ N, AcOH 0.2 200 mA (2.5 F) none 14 7 ^[c] C/ PVDF Et ₃ N, AcOH 0.5 200 mA (2.5 F) none 52 9 C/ PVDF Et ₃ N, NaClO ₄ 0.25 200 mA (5.0 F) none 24 (5.0 F)			ACON		(0.21)		
AcOH (6.2 F) 4 ^[6] Pt Et ₃ N, AcOH 0.2 200 mA (6.2 F) none 44 5 Pt Et ₃ N 0.2 200 mA (6.2 F) none 44 6 ^[c] C/ PVDF Et ₃ N, AcOH 0.2 200 mA (6.2 F) none 12 $6[c]$ C/ PVDF Et ₃ N, AcOH 0.2 200 mA (2.5 F) none 14 7 ^[c] C/ PVDF Et ₃ N, AcOH 0.5 200 mA (2.5 F) none 52 9 C/ PVDF Et ₃ N, NaCIO ₄ 0.5 200 mA (5.0 F) none 24 10 ^[e] C/ PVDF Et ₃ N, NaCIO ₄ 0.5 200 mA (2.5 F) 32 4	3	Pt	KOH,	0.4	400 mA	none	36 ^[d]
$4^{[e]}$ Pt $\mathbf{E}_{t_3}\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{A}_{COH}$ 0.2 200 mA (6.2 F) none 44 5 Pt $\mathbf{E}_{t_3}\mathbf{N}$ 0.2 200 mA (6.2 F) 82 8 $6^{[c]}$ \mathbf{C}' $\mathbf{E}_{t_3}\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{A}_{COH}$ 0.2 200 mA (6.2 F) none 12 $6^{[c]}$ \mathbf{C}' $\mathbf{E}_{t_3}\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{A}_{COH}$ 0.2 200 mA (6.2 F) none 12 $7^{[c]}$ \mathbf{C}' $\mathbf{E}_{t_3}\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{A}_{COH}$ 0.5 200 mA (2.5 F) none 44 8 \mathbf{C}' $\mathbf{E}_{t_3}\mathbf{N}$ 0.5 200 mA (2.5 F) none 52 9 \mathbf{C}' $\mathbf{E}_{t_3}\mathbf{N}$ 0.25 200 mA (5.0 F) none 24 $10^{[e]}$ \mathbf{C}' $\mathbf{E}_{t_3}\mathbf{N}, \mathbf{N}_{ACIO_4}$ 0.5 200 mA (2.5 F) 32 4			AcOH		(6.2 F)		
4. Pt Et ₃ N, AcOH 0.2 200 mA (6.2 F) none 44 5 Pt Et ₃ N 0.2 200 mA (6.2 F) 82 8 6 ^[c] C/ PVDF Et ₃ N, AcOH 0.2 200 mA (6.2 F) 82 8 $6^{[c]}$ C/ PVDF Et ₃ N, AcOH 0.2 200 mA (6.2 F) none 12 7 ^[c] C/ PVDF Et ₃ N, AcOH 0.5 200 mA (2.5 F) none 44 8 C/ PVDF Et ₃ N 0.5 200 mA (2.5 F) none 52 9 C/ PVDF Et ₃ N, NaClO ₄ 0.25 200 mA (5.0 F) none 24 (5.0 F)	[c]		E4 N		200 4		
5 Pt Et ₃ N 0.2 200 mA (6.2 F) 82 8 $6^{[c]}$ C/ PVDF Et ₃ N, AcOH 0.2 200 mA (6.2 F) none 12 $7^{[c]}$ C/ PVDF Et ₃ N, AcOH 0.5 200 mA (2.5 F) none 44 8 C/ PVDF Et ₃ N 0.5 200 mA (2.5 F) none 52 9 C/ PVDF Et ₃ N 0.25 200 mA (5.0 F) none 24 10 ^[e] C/ PVDF Et ₃ N, NaClO ₄ 0.5 200 mA (2.5 F) 32 4	4.	Pt	EL3N, AcOH	0.2	200 MA (6 2 E)	none	44
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			Acon		(0.21)		
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	5	Pt	Et₃N	0.2	200 mA	82	8
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$					(6.2 F)		
6** C/ Et ₃ N, PVDF 0.2 200 mA (6.2 F) none 12 7 ^[c] C/ Et ₃ N, PVDF 0.5 200 mA (2.5 F) none 44 8 C/ Et ₃ N 0.5 200 mA (2.5 F) none 52 9 C/ Et ₃ N 0.25 200 mA (5.0 F) none 24 10 ^[e] C/ Et ₃ N, PVDF 0.5 200 mA (2.5 F) none 24 10 ^[e] C/ Et ₃ N, PVDF 0.5 200 mA (2.5 F) 32 4		01	EL NI		000 1		10
$7^{[c]}$ C/ Et ₃ N, AcOH 0.5 200 mA (2.5 F) none 44 8 C/ Et ₃ N 0.5 200 mA (2.5 F) none 52 9 C/ Et ₃ N 0.25 200 mA (5.0 F) none 24 10^{[e]} C/ Et ₃ N, PVDF 0.5 200 mA (5.0 F) none 24 10^{[e]} C/ Et ₃ N, PVDF 0.5 200 mA (2.5 F) 32 4	6.7			0.2	200 mA (6.2 F)	none	12
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$			ACON		(0.21)		
PVDF AcOH (2.5 F) 8 C/ PVDF Et ₃ N 0.5 200 mA (2.5 F) none 52 9 C/ PVDF Et ₃ N 0.25 200 mA (5.0 F) none 24 10 ^[e] C/ PVDF Et ₃ N, NaClO ₄ 0.5 200 mA (2.5 F) 32 4	7 ^[c]	C/	Et₃N,	0.5	200 mA	none	44
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		PVDF	AcOH		(2.5 F)		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		~	F4 N		200 4		
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	8		Et ₃ N	0.5	200 MA (2.5 E)	none	52
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$		FVDF			(2.3 F)		
PVDF (5.0 F) 10 ^[e] C/ Et ₃ N, 0.5 200 mA 32 4 PVDF NaClO ₄ (2.5 F) 4	9	C/	Et₃N	0.25	200 mA	none	24
⁷ 10 ^[e] C/ Et₃N, 0.5 200 mA 32 4 PVDF NaClO₄ (2.5 F)		PVDF			(5.0 F)		
10^{-7} C/ Et ₃ N, 0.5 200 mA 32 4 PVDF NaClO ₄ (2.5 F)	1 ofel	0/	Et NI	o 5	000 1	~~	
$FVDI INACIO_4 (2.51)$	10		Et₃N, NaClO	0.5	200 mA (2.5.E)	32	4
		FVDI	Nacio ₄		(2.51)		
11 ^[e] C/ Et ₃ N, 0.5 200 mA 20 12	11 ^[e]	C/	Et₃N,	0.5	200 mA	20	12
$PVDF Et_4NBF_4$ (2.5 F)		PVDF	Et₄NBF₄		(2.5 F)		
	10	01	DDU	o 5	000 1		
12 C/ DBU 0.5 200 mA none 34	12		DRO	0.5	200 mA	none	34
					(2.51)		
13 ^[f] C/ Et ₃ N 0.5 200 mA 22 36	13 ^[f]	C/	Et₃N	0.5	200 mA	22	36
PVDF (2.5 F)		PVDF			(2.5 F)		

General conditions: 0.25 mmol of **1**, MeOH (5 mL), *c* = 0.1 M. ^[a] Determined using calibrated GC; ^[b] Yield of **7** determined using calibrated GC. ^[c] AcOH (1.0 eq.). ^[d] Isolated yield: 1.03 g of **1** gave 350 mg of **7**. ^[e] 5 mM of supporting electrolyte. ^[f] Et₃N (0.75 equiv.). RSM: Remaining Starting Material.

Decreasing the flow rate, while maintaining the same cell current (double amount of charge, 5.0 F), also gave a decreased yield of 24% (entry 9), highlighting the potential for overoxidation of the methoxylated product **7**. This was confirmed by resubmitting the reaction mixture containing **7** to the electrolysis conditions, resulting in a decreased yield of 30% (from 50% with one pass through the reactor). Different bases and supporting electrolytes

were explored (entries 10–13 and SI), but the yield was not improved further, and the presence of NaClO₄ or Et_4NBF_4 retarded decarboxylation.

With optimised conditions in hand, we explored different alcohols as nucleophiles (Figure 1). A range of alkoxylated products from alcohols with different steric hindrance were successfully synthesised (7, 11-13). This included deuterated ethers, illustrated by the synthesis of methyl 4-methoxy(d_3)-1cubanecarboxylate (11) in 40% yield. The corresponding crystal structure was obtained (see SI, Section 10.4). Selectively deuterated substrates are of interest for medicinal chemistry studies, where the use of deuteration has shown, in some cases, to improve the pharmacokinetic properties.^[34] Furthermore, fluorinated cubane ethers (14 and 15) were obtained in moderate to good yields from TFE (2,2,2-trifluoroethanol) and HFIP (1,1,1,3,3,3-hexafluoroisopropanol), respectively. The application of fluorinated alcohols was not viable using the C/PVDF anode, which swells in fluorinated solvents (see SI, Section 3.5.3), but was possible using a Pt anode. Other sources of carbon that could be used with fluorinated solvents. such as glassy carbon.^[35] showed inferior results for this particular reaction.

The substrate scope was further explored using different functional groups on the cubane moiety, with attention to potential chemoselectivity issues including oxidation of C-H bonds adjacent to nitrogen in amides.^[36] Pleasingly, oxidation of the carboxylate occurred in preference, leading to compounds 16-19 in good yields (48-60%). Piperidine (e.g. 16) and morpholine rings (e.g. 19) remained unchanged, offering interesting potential scaffolds for application in drug discovery. Benzyl ethers, which are susceptible to anodic oxidation, were also tolerated albeit with the desired decarboxylative coupling product 20 obtained in a reduced 20% yield. Gratifyingly, the presence of a free alcohol in the molecule did not disturb the electrochemical transformation and compound 21 was obtained in 46% yield as the main product. However, while a t-butyl ester is compatible with the reaction conditions (22, 41%), a Bocprotected amine with or without the use of base did not lead to the desired electrolysis product 23, and starting material was recovered.

To demonstrate the ease of laboratory scale-up using the flow electrolysis approach described herein, 12.5 mmol of starting material was successfully oxidised using the same reactor, giving 1 gramme of pure methoxy-cubane 7 in only 4 h (Scheme 2). While, on small scale, the formation of methyl 1cubanecarboxylate **10** as hydrogenolysis byproduct is insignificant, on gramme-scale ~150 mg of **10** was isolated.

It is worth noting that applying similar conditions in a batchtype cell (similar geometry to commercial batch reactors), compound **7** was obtained in 50% yield. However, 3 h of electrolysis time was required instead of 10 minutes in flow for the same scale (0.5 mmol), and a considerably increased amount of charge (4.0 F) was applied in order to achieve full conversion (see SI, Section 5).

The demonstration of a one-step Hofer-Moest process in flow making **7** accessible on gramme-scale, opens up possible applications of **7** as primary building block for the synthesis of methoxylated phenyl bioisosteres. Cubanisidine **25** was identified as a bioisostere of the highly electron rich and relatively toxic *p*-anisidine **26** (Scheme 3), and its synthesis was undertaken. Saponification of ester **7** gave 4-methoxycubane carboxylic acid **24** in 94% yield, which was followed by Yamada–Curtius^[37] rearrangement to give the corresponding Boc-amine **23** in 69% yield. Cleavage of the carbamate protecting group delivered 4-methoxy-1-cubanamine as its hydrochloride salt **25**.



Figure 1. Reaction scope. ^a Isolated yield with C/PVDF 1, Et₃N (0.5 equiv.) and corresponding alcohol; ^b Isolated yield with Pt anode, 1 equiv. AcOH added. ^{c 19}F NMR yield with α,α,α -trifluorotoluene as internal standard. V; internal volume of the Ammonite 8 reactor.



Scheme 2. Gramme-scale decarboxylative functionalisation of cubane.

As a further illustrative example, following Williams' synthesis of a number of pravadoline analogues featuring anisole bioisosteres,^[21a] the cubane derivative of the synthetic drug anisindione (**30**) was investigated. Anisindione (**30**), known under the brand name Miradon[®], is used as anticoagulant. 4-Methoxy 1-cubanecarboxylic acid (**24**) was reduced to 4-methoxy-1-cubanemethanol in 82% yield, and subsequent

COMMUNICATION

oxidation to the corresponding aldehyde **27** was achieved using Dess-Martin periodinane (DMP). Finally, a condensation reaction^[38] of 4-methoxy-1-cubanecarbaldehyde (**27**) and phthalide **28** using NaOMe in EtOAc gave the desired cubanisindione **29** in 33% yield.



Scheme 3. Methyl 4-methoxy-1-cubanecarboxylate (7) as a precursor of anisole bioisosteres.

In the electrolysis at a Pt anode (but not a C/PVDF anode), the addition of acetic acid was essential in order to achieve a satisfactory yield of the methoxylated cubane 7. Cyclic voltammetry confirmed the electroactive species to be the carboxylate anion (Figure 2); in the absence of Et₃N, no oxidation peak is observed (green curve) at potentials prior to solvent decomposition, but after the addition of the base a clear peak (red curve) is seen. This peak is unchanged by the addition of acetic acid (blue line, see SI for further details, Section 6). The poor yield of 7 at Pt in the absence of acetic acid is surprising: we postulate that the presence of acetic acid modifies the properties of the surface, possibly by adsorbed methyl radicals.^[39] In addition, the observation of the cross Kolbeproduct 9 and the hydrogenolysis product, 10, indicate that the cubyl radical is an intermediate in the formation of the carbocation.

In conclusion, to the best of our knowledge, we report the first electrochemical cubane ring system functionalisation, through a Hofer-Moest oxidative decarboxylative ether formation. Successful investigation of the substrate scope involving potentially electrochemical oxidisable functionalities clearly indicates the mildness of the reaction conditions. The use of flow electrolysis facilitates laboratory scale-up, as shown by a gramme-scale synthesis in a matter of hours in the same reactor and under the same conditions, nicely demonstrating the advantage of this approach. A further straightforward 3-step process provided a cubanisidine biosiostere building block, and another example of a methoxycubane analogue of a drug is described. Organic electrosynthesis is considered as a sustainable methodology, since electrical current replaces potentially hazardous/toxic and costly chemical reagents, and any ensuing waste stream.^[40] This is clearly shown here, with facile access to the methoxycubane core from the commercially available 1 by only using methanol as solvent and triethylamine (0.5 equiv.) as reagent. No supporting electrolytes were required, facilitating purification. Hence, this first demonstration of an

electrochemical reaction involving cubanes will be of great interest in medicinal and materials chemistry, with great promise for further developments. Further applications are under investigation in our laboratories.



Figure 2. Proposed mechanism and cyclic voltammograms of the acidic Hofer–Moest reaction conditions.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge financial support from the ERDF (LabFact: InterReg V project 121) and EPSRC (Photo-Electro Programme Grant EP/P013341/1 and EP/K039466/1).

Keywords: cubane • electrosynthesis • flow electrochemistry • oxidative decarboxylation • bioisostere

- [1] P. E. Eaton, T. W. Cole, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1964, 86, 3157-3158.
- [2] P. E. Eaton, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. **1992**, 31, 1421-1436.
- [3] T. A. Reekie, C. M. Williams, L. M. Rendina, M. Kassiou, J. Med. Chem. 2019 62, 1078-1095.
- [4] P. K. Mykhailiuk, Org. Biomol. Chem. 2019, 17, 2839-2849.
- [5] B. A. Chalmers, H. Xing, S. Houston, C. Clark, S. Ghassabian, A. Kuo, B. Cao, A. Reitsma, C. E. P. Murray, J. E. Stok, G. M. Boyle, C. J. Pierce, S. W. Littler, D. A. Winkler, P. V. Bernhardt, C. Pasay, J. J. De Voss, J. McCarthy, P. G. Parsons, G. H. Walter, M. T. Smith, H. M. Cooper, S. K. Nilsson, J. Tsanaktsidis, G. P. Savage, C. M. Williams, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2016**, *55*, 3380-3385.
- [6] G. M. Locke, S. S. R. Bernhard, M. O. Senge, Chem. Eur. J. 2019, 25, 4590-4647.
- (a) M. J. Falkiner, S. W. Littler, K. J. McRae, G. P. Savage, J. Tsanaktsidis, Org. Process Res. Dev. 2013, 17, 1503-1509; (b) N. B. Chapman, J. Key, K. J. Toyne, J. Org. Chem. 1970, 35, 3860-3867.
- [8] K. F. Biegasiewicz, J. R. Griffiths, G. P. Savage, J. Tsanaktsidis, R. Priefer, Chem. Rev. 2015, 115, 6719-6745.
- [9] R. M. Moriarty, J. S. Khosrowshahi, R. S. Miller, J. Flippen-Andersen, R. Gilardi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1989, 111, 8943-8944.
- [10] F. Toriyama, J. Cornella, L. Wimmer, T. G. Chen, D. D. Dixon, G. Creech, P. S. Baran, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 11132-11135.
- [11] S. S. R. Bernhard, G. M. Locke, S. Plunkett, A. Meindl, K. J. Flanagan, M. O. Senge, *Chem. Eur. J.* **2018**, *24*, 1026-1030.

- [12] T. Qin, L. R. Malins, J. T. Edwards, R. R. Merchant, A. J. Novak, J. Z. Zhong, R. B. Mills, M. Yan, C. Yuan, M. D. Eastgate, P. S. Baran, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 260-265.
- [13] A. Fawcett, J. Pradeilles, Y. Wang, T. Mutsuga, E. L. Myers, V. K. Aggarwal, *Science* 2017, 357, 283.
- [14] C. Li, J. Wang, L. M. Barton, S. Yu, M. Tian, D. S. Peters, M. Kumar, A. Yu, W, K. A. Johnson, A. K. Chatterjee, M. Yan, P. S. Baran, *Science* 2017, 356, eaam7355.
- [15] E. Della, J. Tsanaktsidis, Aust. J. Chem. 1986, 39, 2061-2066.
- [16] R. Gianatassio, S. Kawamura, C. L. Eprile, K. Foo, J. Ge, A. C. Burns, M. R. Collins, P. S. Baran, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2014**, *53*, 9851-9855.
- [17] R. S. Abeywickrema, E. W. Della, J. Org. Chem. **1980**, 45, 4226-4229.
- [18] J. R. Griffiths, J. Tsanaktsidis, G. P. Savage, R. Priefer, *Thermochim. Acta* 2010, 499, 15-20.
- [19] R. M. Moriarty, J. S. Khosrowshahi, T. M. Dalecki, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1987, 675-676.
- [20] J. Tsanaktsidis, P. E. Eaton, Tetrahedron Lett. 1989, 30, 6967-6968.
- [21] (a) H. Xing, S. D. Houston, X. Chen, S. Ghassabian, T. Fahrenhorst-Jones, A. Kuo, C. P. Murray, K. A. Conn, K. N. Jaeschke, D. Y. Jin, C. Pasay, P. V. Bernhardt, J. M. Burns, J. Tsanaktsidis, G. P. Savage, G. M. Boyle, J. J. De Voss, J. McCarthy, G. H. Walter, T. H. J. Burne, M. T. Smith, J. K. Tie, C. M. Williams, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2019, *25*, 2729-2734; (b) S. D. Houston, H. Xing, P. V. Bernhardt, T. J. Vanden Berg, J. Tsanaktsidis, G. P. Savage, C. M. Williams, *Chem. Eur. J.* 2019, *25*, 2735-2739.
- [22] D. S. Reddy, G. P. Sollott, P. E. Eaton, J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 722-723.
- [23] H. Irngartinger, S. Strack, F. Gredel, A. Dreuw, E. W. Della, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.* 1999, 1253-1257.
- [24] (a) P. E. Eaton, C. X. Yang, Y. Xiong, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 3225-3226; (b) R. M. Moriarty, S. M. Tuladhar, R. Penmasta, A. K. Awasthi, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 3228-3230.
- [25] Review: (a) R. A. Green, R. C. D. Brown, D. Pletcher, *J. Flow Chem.* **2016**, 6, 191-197; Selected examples: (b) R. A. Green, K. E. Jolley, A. A. M. Al-Hadedi, D. Pletcher, D. C. Harrowven, O. De Frutos, C. Mateos, D. J. Klauber, J. A. Rincón, R. C. D. Brown, *Org. Lett.* **2017**, *19*, 2050-2053; (c) R. A. Green, D. Pletcher, S. G. Leach, R. C. D. Brown, *Org. Lett.* **2016**, *18*, 1198-1201; (d) R. A. Green, D. Pletcher, S. G. Leach, R. C. D. Brown, *Org. Lett.* **2015**, *17*, 3290-3293; (e) J. T. Hill-Cousins, J. Kuleshova, R. A. Green, P. R. Birkin, D. Pletcher, T. J. Underwood, S. G. Leach, R. C. D. Brown, *ChemSusChem* **2012**, *5*, 326-331.
- [26] J. -i. Yoshida, A. Shimizu, R. Hayashi, Chem. Rev. 2018, 118, 4702-4730.
- [27] H. J. Schäfer, in *Electrochemistry IV*, Springer, 1990, pp. 91-151.

- [28] J. Xiang, M. Shang, Y. Kawamata, H. Lundberg, S. Reisberg, M. Chen, P. Mykhailiuk, G. Beutner, M. Collins, A. Davies, M. Del Bel, G. Gallego, J. Spangler, J. T. Starr, S. Yang, D. Blackmond, P. Baran, *Nature* 2019, 573, 398-402.
- [29] M. Küpper, V. Hessel, H. Löwe, W. Stark, J. Kinkel, M. Michel, H. Schmidt-Traub, *Electrochim. Acta* 2003, 48, 2889-2896.
- [30] Reviews: (a) D. Pletcher, R. A. Green, R. C. D. Brown, *Chem. Rev.* 2018, *118*, 4573-4591; (b) M. Atobe, H. Tateno, Y. Matsumura, *Chem. Rev.* 2018, *118*, 4541-4572; (c) A. A. Folgueiras-Amador, T. Wirth, in *Science of Synthesis*, Thieme, Stuttgart, 2018, pp. 147-189; (d) J. -i. Yoshida, *Chem. Commun.* 2005, 4509-4516.
- [31] (a) M. Elsherbini, B. Winterson, H. Alharbi, A. A. Folgueiras-Amador, C. Génot, T. Wirth, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* 2019, *58*, 9811-9815; (b) C. Gütz, A. Stenglein, S. R. Waldvogel, *Org. Process Res. Dev.* 2017, *21*, 771-778.
- [32] R. A. Green, R. C. D. Brown, D. Pletcher, B. Harji, *Electrochem. Commun.* 2016, 73, 63-66.
- [33] For the use of Et₃N in anodic oxidation of carboxylic acids to generate carbocationic intermediates: (a) E. J. Corey, N. L. Bauld, R. T. La Londe, J. Casanova Jr., E. T. Kaiser, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1960, 82, 2645-2656; (b) P. Renaud, D. Seebach, Synthesis 1986, 5, 424-426.
- [34] T. Pirali, M. Serafini, S. Cargnin, A. A. Genazzani, J. Med. Chem. 2019, 62, 5276–5297.
- [35] L. Schulz, M. Enders, B. Elsler, D. Schollmeyer, K. M. Dyballa, R. Franke, S. R. Waldvogel, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 4877-4881.
- [36] M. A. Kabeshov, B. Musio, P. R. D. Murray, D. L. Browne, S. V. Ley, Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 4618-4621.
- [37] T. Shioiri, K. Ninomiya, S. Yamada, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1972, 94, 6203-6205.
- [38] K. Inoue, K. Urushibara, M. Kanai, K. Yura, S. Fujii, M. Ishigami-Yuasa, Y. Hashimoto, S. Mori, E. Kawachi, M. Matsumura, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.* 2015, *102*, 310-319.
- [39] B. E. Conway, T. C. Liu, J. Electroanal. Chem. 1988, 242, 317-322.
- [40] (a) A. Wiebe, T. Gieshoff, S. Möhle, E. Rodrigo, M. Zirbes, S. R. Waldvogel, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2018, 57, 5594-5619; (b) K. D. Moeller, Chem. Rev. 2018, 118, 4817-4833; (c) M. Yan, Y. Kawamata, P. S. Baran, Chem. Rev. 2017, 117, 13230-13319; (d) O. Hammerich, B. Speiser, Organic electrochemistry: revised and expanded, CRC Press, 2015; (e) T. Fuchigami, S. Inagi, Fundamentals and Applications of Organic Electrochemistry, Vol. 5, Wiley Online Library, 2015.

WILEY-VCH

COMMUNICATION

Entry for the Table of Contents (Please choose one layout)

Layout 2:

COMMUNICATION



Oxidative anodic decarboxylation of cubane carboxylic acids leading to cubyl ethers is described. This first direct electrochemical functionalisation at the cubane system is chemoselective, and scalable, with yields of up to 60%.

Diego E. Collin, Ana A. Folgueiras-Amador, Derek Pletcher, Mark E. Light, Bruno Linclau, and Richard C. D. Brown*

Page No. – Page No.

Cubane electrochemistry: direct conversion of cubane carboxylic acids to alkoxy cubanes using the Hofer–Moest reaction under flow conditions