

Article

## A Palladium-catalyzed Ullmann Cross-coupling/Tandem Reductive Cyclization Route to Key Members of the Uleine Alkaloid Family

Fei Tang, Martin Gerhardt Banwell, and Anthony C. Willis

*J. Org. Chem.*, **Just Accepted Manuscript** • DOI: 10.1021/acs.joc.6b00240 • Publication Date (Web): 25 Feb 2016

Downloaded from <http://pubs.acs.org> on February 25, 2016

### Just Accepted

“Just Accepted” manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides “Just Accepted” as a free service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. “Just Accepted” manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. “Just Accepted” manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are accessible to all readers and citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). “Just Accepted” is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the “Just Accepted” Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the “Just Accepted” Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these “Just Accepted” manuscripts.




# A Palladium-catalyzed Ullmann Cross-coupling/Tandem Reductive Cyclization Route to Key Members of the Uleine Alkaloid Family

Fei Tang, Martin G. Banwell\* and Anthony C. Willis

Research School of Chemistry, Institute of Advanced Studies

The Australian National University, Canberra, ACT 2601, Australia




**ABSTRACT:** The trisubstituted cyclohexenone **12**, generated through a palladium-catalyzed Ullmann cross-coupling reaction between *o*-iodonitrobenzene and a 4,5-*trans*-disubstituted-2-iodo-2-cyclohexen-1-one, engaged in a tandem reductive cyclisation process upon exposure to hydrogen gas in the presence of Raney cobalt. As a result, the 1,5-methanoazocino[4,3-*b*]indole **13** was obtained and this could be readily elaborated to the racemic modifications of the alkaloids uleine, dasycarpidone, noruleine and nordasycarpidone (**1-4** respectively).

## INTRODUCTION

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

Like the more well known *Strychnos* alkaloids, the uleine-type natural products embody the tetracyclic 1,5-methanoazocino[4,3-*b*]indole framework.<sup>1</sup> Uleine (**1**),<sup>2,3</sup> dasycarpidone (**2**),<sup>3</sup> noruleine (**3**)<sup>3</sup> and nordasycarpidone (**4**)<sup>3</sup> (Figure 1), all of which have been isolated from a range of plants sources including the bark of the South American shrub *Aspidosperma dasycarpon* A. DC., are the ‘original’ and representative members of the family but others have since been identified including, for example, C20-epimers<sup>4</sup> and more highly oxygenated variants.<sup>5</sup>



**Figure 1:** Structures of Uleines **1-4**

A range of interesting biological properties has been attributed to uleine and its congeners. These include analgesic, anti-inflammatory, bactericidal, anti-malarial and acetylcholinesterase (AChE)-inhibiting activities.<sup>6</sup> In addition, the capacity of compound **1** to promote the synthesis of nitric oxide has prompted investigations on the use of the source plants as adjuvants in the treatment of patients with compromised immune systems.<sup>7</sup>

Since the completion of the key studies<sup>2,4,8</sup> on the elucidation of the structures of the uleines, there have been numerous reports on the development of often ingenious total syntheses of compounds **1-4**.<sup>9,10</sup> These have delivered both racemic and enantiomerically

1  
2  
3 enriched forms of the target compounds. The presence of the 1,5-  
4 methanoazocino[4,3-*b*]indole framework within other alkaloids has also prompted the  
5 more general development of approaches to this scaffold.<sup>11</sup> As part of our own efforts in  
6 the area, in 2012 we described<sup>10f</sup> a Raney-cobalt-mediated tandem reductive cyclization  
7 route to this framework and the elaboration of it to the ABCDE-ring system of the  
8 *Strychnos* alkaloids. This work was an extension of slightly earlier studies on the  
9 application of related processes to the assembly of the *Aspidosperma* alkaloids  
10 limaspermidine and 1-acetylaspidoalbidine<sup>12</sup> that also exploited the capacity of the  
11 palladium-catalyzed Ullmann cross-coupling reaction<sup>13</sup> to generate the relevant substrates  
12 for the reductive cyclization events.  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

29 Herein we report the extension of our earlier studies<sup>10f</sup> to the quite distinct and  
30 stereocontrolled total syntheses of the racemic forms of uleine, dasycarpidone, noruleine  
31 and nordasycarpidone. We also identify seemingly straightforward means by which this  
32 work could be extended to the enantioselective assembly of these same alkaloids.  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40


## 41 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

42 The reaction sequence leading to the substrate required for the pivotal tandem reductive  
43 cyclisation event is shown in Scheme 1. Thus, 4-ethylcyclohexanone (**5**) was converted  
44 into the corresponding (and racemic) unsaturated analogue **6** (60%)<sup>14</sup> by treating the  
45 former compound with iodoxybenzoic acid (IBX) in DMSO under conditions defined by  
46 Nicolau and co-workers.<sup>15</sup> Nucleophilic cyclopropanation of the latter compound could  
47 be achieved using the Corey-Chaykovsky ylide that had been generated in situ by well-  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 established methods.<sup>16</sup> As a result the diastereoselective formation of the  
4 bicyclo[4.1.0]heptanone **7** (80%) was achieved although the presence of a ca. 10% of an  
5 isomeric material (presumably the corresponding *cis*-compound) was evident in the <sup>13</sup>C  
6 NMR spectrum of this material. Treatment of compound **7** with sodium iodide in formic  
7 acid resulted in a homoconjugate addition reaction<sup>17</sup> and formation of ca. 10:1 mixture of  
8 the desired iodomethylated product **8** (85%) and a chromatographically inseparable  
9 isomer. The substitution of the iodine within compound **8** by a nitrile residue could not be  
10 achieved directly by, for example, treating it with sodium cyanide. Rather, a 3-(enol*exo*)-  
11 *exo*-tet cyclisation reaction<sup>18</sup> took place under all conditions employed and thus  
12 regenerating the precursor cyclopropane **7**. Accordingly, and in a telescoped-type  
13 reaction sequence, compound **8** was converted into the corresponding dimethyl ketal that  
14 could now be engaged in the desired nucleophilic substitution reaction with sodium  
15 cyanide. The ensuing dimethyl ketal of the required nitrile was immediately treated with  
16 aqueous hydrochloric acid and thereby affording the targeted compound **9** in 88% yield  
17 over the three steps involved. Various attempts to effect the direct conversion of enone **6**  
18 into nitrile **9**, including through addition of the acetonitrile anion to the former  
19 compound, all failed.

20  
21  
22 Dehydrogenation of cyclohexanone **9** could be achieved with some levels of regiocontrol  
23 using IBX in DMSO<sup>15</sup> and the 4,5-disubstituted-2-cyclohexen-1-one **10** was thus  
24 obtained in 56% yield. Even after chromatographic purification, this material contained  
25 IBX-derived impurities. There was also some evidence for the co-production of minor  
26 amounts of the regioisomeric enone. The (albeit modest) regioselectivity observed in this  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

conversion is presumably the result of the steric effects exerted by the cyanomethyl group in substrate **9**. In anticipation of performing a palladium-catalyzed Ullmann cross-coupling reaction,<sup>13</sup> cyclohexenone **10** was subjected to a Johnson-type  $\alpha$ -iodination reaction<sup>19</sup> and thus affording the iodo-derivative **11** (81%) that was readily obtained in spectroscopically pure form after flash chromatography. The pivotal cross-coupling of compound **11** with *o*-iodonitrobenzene proceeded smoothly when a DMSO solution of the two reaction partners was treated with copper powder and Pd<sub>2</sub>(dba)<sub>3</sub> (ca. 8 mole % wrt **11**) and the ensuing mixture heated at 90 °C for 0.66 h. As a result, and after chromatographic purification, the crystalline product **12** was obtained in 81% yield.




**Scheme 1:** *Synthesis of Substrate 12 Required for the Tandem Reductive Cyclization Reaction*

All of the conventional spectroscopic data acquired on compound **12** were in complete accord with the assigned structure but final confirmation of this followed from a single-

1  
2  
3  
4 crystal X-ray analysis, some details of which are provided in the Experimental Section  
5  
6 and the Supporting Information. Most importantly, this analysis confirmed the *trans*-  
7  
8 relationship between the ethyl and cyanomethyl residues within the compound. Such a  
9  
10 relationship was essential for establishing the correct relative stereochemistry of the ethyl  
11  
12 group at C-20 in target **1** (a vexed issue encountered in a number of earlier synthetic  
13  
14 studies<sup>9c</sup>).  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19


20 With compound **12** to hand, its capacity to engage in the pivotal tandem reductive  
21  
22 cyclisation reaction could be explored. When a THF/methanol solution of compound **12**  
23  
24 containing *p*-toluenesulfonic acid monohydrate (*p*-TsOH•H<sub>2</sub>O – introduced to suppress  
25  
26 intermolecular reductive alkylation reactions) was treated with 200 wt % of freshly  
27  
28 prepared Raney cobalt<sup>20</sup> and exposed to an atmosphere of hydrogen gas then the desired  
29  
30 transform took place and such that after chromatographic purification the anticipated 1,5-  
31  
32 methanoazocino[4,3-*b*]indole **13** was obtained in 55% yield (Scheme 2). The modest  
33  
34 yields observed during this conversion are attributed to the rather unstable nature of the  
35  
36 product and, for example, the apparent ready propensity of it to oxidise on standing. All  
37  
38 of the spectral data acquired on compound **13** were in accord with the assigned structure  
39  
40 but final confirmation of this followed from a single-crystal X-ray analysis of a Boc-  
41  
42 derivative (see below).  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



**Scheme 2: The Pivotal Tandem Reductive Cyclization Reaction**

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11 Interestingly, compound **13** has served as an advanced intermediate in a total synthesis of  
12 the *Strychnos* alkaloid tubotaiwine reported by Bosch and co-workers.<sup>11a</sup>  
13  
14

15  
16  
17 The route used in elaborating compound **13** to noruleine (**3**) and then, through *N*-  
18 methylation, to uleine (**1**) is shown in Scheme 3. So, the 2°-amine **13** was first converted  
19 into the corresponding Boc-derivative **14** (80%), the structure of which was confirmed  
20 through a single-crystal X-ray analysis (see Experimental Section and the Supporting  
21 Information for some details). In CDCl<sub>3</sub> solutions at room temperature, this material  
22 existed as a ca. 1:1 mixture of rotamers. Upon treating a dichloromethane solution of  
23 carbamate **14** with pyridinium chlorochromate (PCC), a relatively smooth oxidation  
24 reaction took place to deliver ketone **15** (75%) and on reacting this with methyl lithium at  
25 -78 °C, the 3°-alcohol **16** was produced. This last compound was not isolated but simply  
26 treated with a ca. four-fold excess of trifluoroacetic acid at ambient temperature. As a  
27 result, both dehydration and cleavage of the Boc-group took place (no specific order of  
28 events implied). After work up and chromatographic purification then noruleine (**3**) was  
29 obtained in 75% yield.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60



**Scheme 3:** Elaboration of the Tandem Reductive Cyclization Product **13** to Noruleine (**3**) and Uleine (**1**)

A comparison of the  $^1\text{H}$  and  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectral data acquired on this material with those recorded by Patir and Ertürk<sup>9e</sup> on their (synthetically derived) material revealed an excellent match (see Table 1 for comparisons of the  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR data sets).


**Table 1:** Comparison of the  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR Data Recorded for Synthetically-derived Compounds **3** and **1** with those Reported for Noruleine (**3**) and Uleine (**1**)

$^{13}\text{C}$ NMR Data for Compound <b>3</b> ( $\delta_{\text{C}}$ ) <sup>a</sup>	$^{13}\text{C}$ NMR Data for Noruleine ( $\delta_{\text{C}}$ ) <sup>b</sup>	$^{13}\text{C}$ NMR Data for Compound <b>1</b> ( $\delta_{\text{C}}$ ) <sup>a</sup>	$^{13}\text{C}$ NMR Data for Uleine ( $\delta_{\text{C}}$ ) <sup>b</sup>
138.7	138.6	138.7	138.7
137.1	137.1	136.6	136.6
134.9	135.0	135.2	135.2
126.8	126.8	129.4	129.4
123.0	122.9	122.8	122.7
119.8	119.7	119.9	119.9
118.6	118.5	119.6	119.5
111.5	111.2	110.8	110.7
110.9	110.9	107.7	107.7
106.7	106.9	106.9	106.8
49.3	49.3	56.6 <sup>c</sup>	55.6 <sup>c</sup>
45.8	45.6	46.3	46.1
40.6	40.5	46.1	46.3
37.4	37.3	44.3	44.3
35.0	34.8	39.5	39.5
24.6	24.6	34.7	34.7
11.8	11.7	24.4	24.4
–	–	11.8	11.8

<sup>a</sup>spectrum recorded in  $\text{CDCl}_3$  at 100 MHz; <sup>b</sup> data obtained from reference 9e – spectrum recorded in  $\text{CDCl}_3$  at 125 or 150 MHz; <sup>c</sup> the difference in these  $\delta_{\text{C}}$  values could arise from variations in the pH of the medium in which each spectrum was acquired.

The conversion of noruleine (**3**) into uleine (**1**) proved a straightforward matter and simply involved (Scheme 3) subjecting the former compound to a reductive methylation reaction using a combination of formaldehyde and sodium cyanoborohydride. By such means parent compound **1** was obtained in 85% yield and all the derived spectral data were, once again, a good match with those reported by others (see Table 1 for example).

Completion of syntheses of nordasycarpidone (**4**) and dasycarpidone (**2**) proved equally straightforward and involved, as shown in Scheme 4, TFA-induced cleavage of compound **15** to give, in 84% yield, the former natural product. Reductive methylation of this product (viz. **4**) using formaldehyde and hydrogen gas in the presence of palladium on carbon then gave dasycarpidone (**2**) in 70% yield.



**Scheme 4:** Completion of Synthesis of Nordasycarpidon (**4**) and Dasycarpidone (**2**)

As before, all of the spectroscopic data obtained on compounds **2** and **4** were in accord with the assigned structures and, with one minor discrepancy (see Table 2), matched those reported by Bosch and co-workers.<sup>9a</sup>

**Table 2:** Comparison of the <sup>13</sup>C NMR Data Recorded for Synthetically-derived Compounds **4** and **2** with those Reported for Nordasycarpidon (**4**) and Dasycarpidone (**2**)

<sup>13</sup> C NMR Data for Compound <b>4</b> (δ <sub>C</sub> ) <sup>a</sup>	<sup>13</sup> C NMR Data for Nordasycarpidon (δ <sub>C</sub> ) <sup>b</sup>	<sup>13</sup> C NMR Data for Compound <b>2</b> (δ <sub>C</sub> ) <sup>a</sup>	<sup>13</sup> C NMR Data for Dasycarpidone (δ <sub>C</sub> ) <sup>b</sup>
193.2 <sup>c</sup>	193.9 <sup>c</sup>	193.2	193.5
138.7	139.0	138.0	138.1
132.8	132.9	132.8	132.9
127.1	127.0	127.7	127.8
125.1	125.1	126.8	126.9
122.8 <sup>c</sup>	123.8 <sup>c</sup>	121.9	122.0
121.0	121.0	121.1	121.1
121.0	120.8	119.7	119.9
112.9	113.0	112.6	112.7
49.0	49.0	56.4	56.2
48.7	49.0	49.7	49.6
47.2	47.4	46.4	46.3
37.2	37.2	46.1	46.0
29.8	30.2	44.1	44.0
25.2	25.0	30.2	30.1
11.7	11.5	25.0	24.8
–	–	11.8	11.8

<sup>a</sup>spectrum recorded in CDCl<sub>3</sub> at 100 MHz; <sup>b</sup> data obtained from reference 9a – spectrum recorded in CDCl<sub>3</sub> at 125 MHz; <sup>c</sup> the difference in these δ<sub>C</sub> values could arise from variations in the pH of the medium in which each spectrum was acquired.

## CONCLUSION

The work reported here, when considered in conjunction with our earlier studies,<sup>10f, 12,21</sup> serves to emphasize the considerable utility of both the palladium-catalyzed Ullmann cross-coupling reaction and certain tandem reductive cyclization processes, especially when these are applied together within a given synthetic sequence. The capacity to exploit such processes in the assembly of other biologically relevant heterocyclic frameworks is the subject of ongoing studies in our laboratories.

It should also be noted that rather efficient methods for the synthesis of optically active 4-substituted 2-cyclohexenones, including the *S*-enantiomer of **6**, have been reported.<sup>22</sup> Accordingly, there is every prospect that the work reported here could be applied in a straightforward manner to the enantioselective synthesis of the uleines and, perhaps even, the *Strychnos* alkaloids.

## EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

### General Protocols.

Unless otherwise specified, proton (<sup>1</sup>H) and carbon (<sup>13</sup>C) NMR spectra were recorded at room temperature in base-filtered CDCl<sub>3</sub> on a spectrometer operating at 400 MHz for proton and 100 MHz for carbon nuclei. The signal due to residual CHCl<sub>3</sub> appearing at δ<sub>H</sub> 7.26 and the central resonance of the CDCl<sub>3</sub> “triplet” appearing at δ<sub>C</sub> 77.0 were used to reference <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra, respectively. <sup>1</sup>H NMR data are recorded as follows: chemical shift (δ) [multiplicity, coupling constant(s) *J* (Hz), relative integral] where multiplicity is defined as: s = singlet; d = doublet; t = triplet; q = quartet; m =

1  
2  
3 multiplet or combinations of the above. Infrared spectra ( $\nu_{\max}$ ) were recorded on a FTIR  
4 Spectrometer. Samples were analyzed as thin films on KBr plates. Low-resolution ESI  
5 mass spectra were recorded on a single quadrupole liquid chromatograph-mass  
6 spectrometer, while high-resolution measurements were conducted on a time-of-flight  
7 instrument. Low- and high-resolution EI mass spectra were recorded on a magnetic-  
8 sector machine. Melting points were measured on an automated melting point system and  
9 are uncorrected. Analytical thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed on  
10 aluminum-backed 0.2 mm thick silica gel 60 F<sub>254</sub> plates. Eluted plates were visualized  
11 using a 254 nm UV lamp and/or by treatment with a suitable dip followed by heating.  
12 These dips included phosphomolybdic acid : ceric sulfate : sulfuric acid (conc.) : water  
13 (37.5 g : 7.5 g : 37.5 g : 720 mL), potassium permanganate : potassium carbonate : 5%  
14 sodium hydroxide aqueous solution : water (3 g : 20 g : 5 mL : 300 mL), *p*-anisaldehyde  
15 or vanillin: sulfuric acid (conc.): ethanol (15 g : 2.5 mL : 250 mL). Flash  
16 chromatographic separations were carried out following protocols defined by Still *et al.*<sup>23</sup>  
17 with silica gel 60 (40–63  $\mu\text{m}$ ) as the stationary phase and using the AR- or HPLC-grade  
18 solvents indicated. Starting materials, reagents, drying agents and other inorganic salts  
19 were generally commercially available and were used as supplied. Tetrahydrofuran  
20 (THF), methanol and dichloromethane were dried using a solvent purification system that  
21 is based upon a technology originally described by Grubbs *et al.*<sup>24</sup> Where necessary,  
22 reactions were performed under an nitrogen atmosphere.  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49

### 50 **Specific Chemical Transformations.**

51  
52  
53 **4-Ethylcyclohex-2-en-1-one (6).** A magnetically stirred solution of 4-  
54 ethylcyclohexanone (2.00 g, 15.9 mmol) in DMSO (50 mL) was treated with IBX (9.10  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

g, 32.5 mmol) and the resulting mixture heated at 70 °C for 3 h then cooled to room temperature and quenched with NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (50 mL of a saturated aqueous solution). The ensuing mixture was filtered through diatomaceous earth and the solids thus retained washed with diethyl ether (3 × 20 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with diethyl ether (3 × 40 mL) and the combined organic phases were washed with brine (1 × 50 mL) before being dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The ensuing black oil was subjected to flash chromatography (silica, 1:50 → 1:20 v/v ethyl acetate/hexane gradient elution) and concentration of relevant fractions (*R<sub>f</sub>* = 0.4 in 1:7 v/v ethyl acetate/hexane elution) afforded the title compound **6**<sup>14</sup> (1.18 g, 60%) as a clear, colorless oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) δ 6.88 (ddd, *J* = 10.2, 2.6 and 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.98 (dd, *J* = 10.2 and 2.2 Hz, 1H), 2.50 (dt, *J* = 16.7 and 4.7 Hz, 1H), 2.42–2.27 (complex m, 2H), 2.16–2.08 (complex m, 1H), 1.76–1.62 (complex m, 1H), 1.62–1.39 (complex m, 2H), 1.01 (t, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz) δ 200.0, 155.0, 129.0, 37.7, 37.0, 28.2, 27.5, 11.4; IR ν<sub>max</sub> 2963, 1683, 1461, 1390, 1148, 942, 854, 742 cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS (ESI, +ve) *m/z* 125 [(*M* + *H*)<sup>+</sup>, 100%]; HRMS (*M* + *Na*)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>8</sub>H<sub>12</sub>NaO 147.0786, found 147.0787.

***rac*-(1*S*,5*R*,6*S*)-5-Ethylbicyclo[4.1.0]heptan-2-one (7)**. A magnetically stirred suspension of NaH (82 mg, 3.4 mmol) in dry DMSO (10 mL) was treated with Me<sub>3</sub>SOI (441 mg, 2.0 mmol) and after being maintained at room temperature for 0.17 h the reaction mixture was warmed to 50 °C and stirred for a further 0.34 h. The cooled mixture was treated with enone **6** (224 mg, 1.8 mmol) then stirred at room temperature for 0.5 h before being quenched with H<sub>2</sub>O (15 mL) and extracted with ethyl acetate (3 × 20 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (1 × 50 mL) then dried

(Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The ensuing yellow oil was subjected to flash chromatography (silica, 1:15 → 1:10 v/v ethyl acetate/hexane gradient elution) and concentration of relevant fractions ( $R_f = 0.3$  in 1:7 v/v ethyl acetate/hexane) afforded a ca. 10:1 mixture of the title compound **7** and the diastereoisomeric cyclopropane (224 mg, 90%) as a clear, colorless oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  2.17–2.05 (complex m, 2H), 1.93–1.83 (complex m, 1H), 1.82–1.65 (complex m, 2H), 1.66–1.32 (complex m, 4H), 1.27–1.09 (complex m, 2H), 0.97 (t,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz)  $\delta$  209.8, 33.5, 33.1, 27.4, 25.5, 24.2, 23.8, 12.8, 12.0; IR  $\nu_{\max}$  2960, 1686, 1462, 1345, 1246, 1197, 939, 883, 824 cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS (ESI, +ve)  $m/z$  161 [(M + Na)<sup>+</sup>, 3%], 139 [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>, 100]; HRMS (M + Na)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>9</sub>H<sub>14</sub>NaO 161.0942, found 161.0939.

***rac*-(3*R*,4*R*)-4-Ethyl-3-(iodomethyl)cyclohexan-1-one (8).** A magnetically stirred solution of ketone **7** (510 mg, 4.0 mmol) and NaI (2.20 g, 14.7 mmol) in HCOOH (10 mL) was heated at 40 °C for 0.5 h then cooled to room temperature and quenched with H<sub>2</sub>O (15 mL). The resulting mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate (3 × 20 mL) and the combined organic phases washed with NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (50 mL of a saturated aqueous solution) and brine (1 × 50 mL) before being dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The ensuing yellow oil was subjected to flash chromatography (silica, 1:18 v/v ethyl acetate/hexane elution) and concentration of relevant fractions ( $R_f = 0.5$  in 1:7 v/v ethyl acetate/hexane) afforded a ca. 10:1 mixture of the title compound **8** and a diastereoisomer (930 mg, 95%) as a clear, colorless oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  3.41 (dd,  $J = 10.3$  and 4.9 Hz, 1H), 3.24 (dd,  $J = 10.3$  and 2.9 Hz, 1H), 2.46–2.25 (complex m, 4H), 2.16–2.04 (complex m, 1H), 1.73–1.40 (complex m, 3H), 1.36–1.14

1  
2  
3 (complex m, 2H), 0.95 (t,  $J = 7.4$  Hz, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 100 MHz)  $\delta$  210.7, 47.1,  
4  
5 41.6, 40.9, 40.7, 28.8, 24.2, 15.2, 10.4; IR  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  2960, 2873, 1716, 1461, 1427, 1318,  
6  
7 1218, 1177  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ; MS (ESI, +ve)  $m/z$  289 [(M + Na) $^+$ , 100%], 267 [(M + H) $^+$ , 23]; HRMS  
8  
9 (M + Na) $^+$  calcd for  $\text{C}_9\text{H}_{15}\text{INaO}$  289.0065, found 289.0067.

10  
11  
12 ***rac*-2-[(1*R*,2*R*)-2-Ethyl-5-oxocyclohexyl]acetonitrile (9)**. *Step i*. A magnetically  
13  
14 stirred solution of iodide **8** (1.08 g, 4.1 mmol) in anhydrous MeOH (10 mL) was treated  
15  
16 with trimethyl orthoformate (480  $\mu\text{L}$ , 4.5 mmol) and benzyltrimethylammonium  
17  
18 tribromide (30 mg, 0.08 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature  
19  
20 for 0.5 h then quenched with  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  (10 mL of a saturated aqueous solution) and  
21  
22 extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 20$  mL). The combined organic phases were washed with  
23  
24 brine ( $1 \times 50$  mL) before being dried ( $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ ), filtered and concentrated under reduced  
25  
26 pressure. The resulting yellow oil, presumed to contain the dimethyl ketal of compound  
27  
28 **8**, was immediately subjected to the reaction conditions defined in Step ii.

29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34 *Step ii*. A magnetically stirred solution of crude material obtained from Step i in DMSO  
35  
36 (6 mL) was treated with KCN (480 mg, 7.4 mmol). The resulting solution was stirred at  
37  
38 40  $^\circ\text{C}$  for 0.5 h then quenched with  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (15 mL) and extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 20$   
39  
40 mL). The combined organic phases were concentrated under reduced pressure and the  
41  
42 yellow oil thus obtained, and presumed to contain the dimethyl ketal of compound **9**, was  
43  
44 immediately subjected to the reaction conditions defined in Step iii.

45  
46  
47  
48 *Step iii*. A magnetically stirred solution of the oil obtained from Step ii in THF (10 mL)  
49  
50 was treated with HCl (5 mL of a 1 M aqueous solution) (CAUTION: possibility of HCN  
51  
52 generation) and the resulting mixture stirred at room temperature for 0.33 h before being  
53  
54 quenched with  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  (20 mL of a saturated aqueous solution) then extracted with  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

ethyl acetate (3 × 20 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (1 × 50 mL) then dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The ensuing yellow oil was subjected to flash chromatography (silica, 1:9 v/v ethyl acetate/hexane elution) and concentration of relevant fractions ( $R_f = 0.2$  in 1:3 v/v ethyl acetate/hexane) afforded the title compound **9** (590 mg, 88%) as a clear, colorless oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  2.50 (dd,  $J = 17.1$  and  $6.3$  Hz, 1H), 2.45–2.25 (complex m, 5H), 2.13–2.03 (complex m, 1H), 1.93–1.85 (complex m, 1H), 1.66–1.59 (complex m, 2H), 1.49–1.32 (complex m, 1H), 1.31–1.15 (complex m, 1H), 0.90 (t,  $J = 7.3$  Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz)  $\delta$  209.2, 117.5, 45.6, 40.4, 39.9, 38.3, 29.1, 24.5, 21.9, 10.5; IR  $\nu_{\max}$  2964, 2877, 2245, 1715, 1464, 1426, 1328, 1250, 1193, 954, 853 cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS (ESI, +ve)  $m/z$  188 [(M + Na)<sup>+</sup>, 45%], 188 [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>, 30], 122 (100); HRMS (M + Na)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>15</sub>NNaO 188.1051, found 188.1052.

***rac*-2-[(1*R*,2*S*)-2-Ethyl-5-oxocyclohex-3-en-1-yl]acetonitrile (10).** A magnetically stirred solution of ketone **9** (280 mg, 1.7 mmol) in DMSO (10 mL) was treated with *p*-TsOH•H<sub>2</sub>O (90 mg, 0.5 mmol) and IBX (960 mg, 3.4 mmol) then heated at 55 °C for 15 h. The cooled reaction mixture was quenched with NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (15 mL of a saturated aqueous solution) then filtered through a pad of diatomaceous earth. The solids thus retained were washed with ethyl acetate (3 × 20 mL) and the separated aqueous phase associated with the filtrate was extracted with ethyl acetate (3 × 40 mL). The combined organic phases washed with brine (1 × 50 mL) then dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The yellow oil thus obtained was subjected to flash chromatography (silica, 1:9 → 1:4 v/v ethyl acetate/hexane gradient elution) and concentration of relevant fractions ( $R_f = 0.3$  in 1:3 v/v ethyl acetate/hexane) afforded the

1  
2  
3 title compound **10** (150 mg, 56%) as a clear, colorless oil.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 400 MHz)  $\delta$   
4 6.81 (dd,  $J = 10.2$  and  $2.9$  Hz, 1H), 6.00 (dd,  $J = 10.2$  and  $2.1$  Hz, 1H), 2.58 (dd,  $J = 16.2$   
5 and  $4.0$  Hz, 1H), 2.46 (t,  $J = 6.0$  Hz, 2H), 2.42–2.21 (complex m, 3H), 1.73–1.63  
6 (complex m, 1H), 1.59–1.43 (complex m, 1H), 0.94 (t,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR  
7 (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz)  $\delta$  197.1, 152.4, 129.3, 117.5, 41.5, 40.9, 34.9, 24.4, 21.6, 10.4; IR  $\nu_{\text{max}}$   
8 2966, 2257, 1679, 1389, 1249, 868, 504  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ; MS (ESI, +ve)  $m/z$  186 [(M + Na)<sup>+</sup>, 100%];  
9 HRMS (M + Na)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>13</sub>NNaO 186.0895, found 186.0897.

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20 ***rac*-2-[(1*R*,2*S*)-2-Ethyl-4-iodo-5-oxocyclohex-3-en-1-yl]acetonitrile (11).** A  
21 magnetically stirred solution of enone **10** (140 mg, 0.48 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/pyridine (4 mL  
22 of a 1:1 v/v mixture) maintained at room temperature was treated dropwise with a  
23 solution of molecular iodine (330 mg, 1.20 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>/pyridine (4 mL of a 1:1 v/v  
24 mixture). The ensuing solution was stirred at room temperature for 12 h then treated with  
25 H<sub>2</sub>O (10 mL). The separated aqueous phase was extracted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3 × 15 mL) and  
26 the combined organic phases were washed, sequentially, with HCl (1 × 20 mL of a 1 M  
27 aqueous solution), Na<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (1 × 20 mL of a 10% w/w aqueous solution) and brine (1 ×  
28 20 mL) before being dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure.  
29 The yellow oil thus obtained was subjected to flash chromatography (silica, 1:6 → 1:3  
30 v/v ethyl acetate/hexane gradient elution) and concentration of relevant fractions ( $R_f = 0.4$   
31 in 1:3 v/v ethyl acetate/hexane) afforded the title compound **11** (190 mg, 81%) as a clear,  
32 colorless oil.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 400 MHz)  $\delta$  7.63 (d,  $J = 3.2$  Hz, 1H), 2.90 (dd,  $J = 16.3$   
33 and  $4.1$  Hz, 1H), 2.72–2.49 (complex m, 4H), 2.46–2.33 (complex m, 1H), 1.81–1.71  
34 (complex m, 1H), 1.68–1.53 (complex m, 1H), 1.04 (t,  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR  
35 (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz)  $\delta$  190.0, 160.8, 117.0, 103.0, 45.3, 40.5, 35.1, 24.3, 21.4, 10.6; IR  $\nu_{\text{max}}$   
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

1  
2  
3 2964, 2245, 1686, 1589, 1461, 1421, 1329, 1191, 1116, 948, 899, 772  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ; MS (ESI,  
4 +ve)  $m/z$  312  $[(M + Na)^+, 100\%]$ , 290  $[(M + H)^+, 14]$ ; HRMS  $(M + Na)^+$  calcd for  
5  $C_{10}H_{12}INNaO$  311.9861, found 311.9862.  
6  
7  
8  
9

10 **Compound 12.** A magnetically stirred solution of iodide **11** (240 mg, 0.86 mmol)  
11 and *o*-iodonitrobenzene (420 mg, 1.7 mmol) in DMSO (4 mL) was treated with  $Pd_2(dba)_3$   
12 (60 mg, 0.07 mmol) and Cu powder (260 mg, 4.1 g.atom). The resulting mixture was  
13 heated at 90 °C for 0.66 h before being cooled to room temperature then diluted with  
14 ethyl acetate (10 mL). The mixture thus obtained was filtered through diatomaceous earth  
15 and the solids thus retained washed with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 10$  mL). The combined  
16 filtrates were washed with water (2 x 30 mL) and the combined aqueous phases extracted  
17 with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 30$  mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (1  
18  $\times 30$  mL) before being dried ( $Na_2SO_4$ ), filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure.  
19 The ensuing yellow oil was subjected to flash chromatography (silica, 1:10  $\rightarrow$  1:5 v/v  
20 ethyl acetate/hexane gradient elution) and concentration of relevant fractions ( $R_f = 0.2$  in  
21 1:3 v/v ethyl acetate/hexane) afforded the title compound **12** (190 mg, 81%) as a yellow,  
22 crystalline solid, m.p. = 109-113 °C.  $^1H$  NMR ( $CDCl_3$ , 400 MHz)  $\delta$  8.07 (dd,  $J = 8.2$  and  
23 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.65 (td,  $J = 7.9$  and 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (td,  $J = 7.9$  and 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.36–  
24 7.18 (complex m, 1H), 6.89 (d,  $J = 3.5$  Hz, 1H), 2.81 (dd,  $J = 16.1$  and 4.0 Hz, 1H), 2.76–  
25 2.43 (complex m, 5H), 1.95–1.78 (complex m, 1H), 1.76–1.67 (complex m, 1H), 1.11 (t,  
26  $J = 7.5$  Hz, 3H);  $^{13}C$  NMR ( $CDCl_3$ , 100 MHz)  $\delta$  193.9, 148.5, 148.0, 139.3, 133.6, 131.6,  
27 131.3, 129.3, 124.5, 117.6, 41.3, 41.2, 34.8, 24.9, 21.6, 10.8; IR  $\nu_{max}$  2971, 2245, 1683,  
28 1524, 1353, 1184, 854, 788  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ; MS (ESI, +ve)  $m/z$  307  $[(M + Na)^+, 100\%]$ , 285  $[(M +$   
29  $H)^+, 22]$ ; HRMS  $(M + Na)^+$  calcd for  $C_{16}H_{16}N_2NaO_3$  307.1059, found 307.1059.  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**Compound 13.** A magnetically stirred solution of nitrile **12** (200 mg, 0.70 mmol), *p*-TsOH•H<sub>2</sub>O (700 mg, 3.7 mmol) and Raney-cobalt (430 mg, 200% w/w) in THF/methanol (15 mL of a 1:1 v/v mixture) was heated at 45 °C for 16 h while being maintained under an atmosphere of hydrogen. The resulting mixture was cooled to room temperature then filtered through diatomaceous earth and the solids thus retained washed with methanol (3 × 20 mL). The combined filtrates were concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a yellow oil that was subjected to flash chromatography (silica, 1:20 → 1:5 v/v methanol/dichloromethane gradient elution). Concentration of relevant fractions (*R<sub>f</sub>* = 0.4 in 1:4 v/v methanol/dichloromethane) afforded the title compound **13**<sup>9a,11a</sup> (93 mg, 55%) as a clear, unstable yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz) δ 8.20 (s, 1H), 7.43 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.25 (d, *J* = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.03 (m, 2H), 2.91 (dd, *J* = 17.4 and 6.6 Hz, 1H), 2.67–2.35 (complex m, 3H), 2.20 (broad s, 1H), 2.01–1.75 (complex m, 2H), 1.54 (d, *J* = 13.4 Hz, 2H), 1.31–1.01 (complex m, 3H), 0.81 (t, *J* = 7.4 Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz) δ 136.3, 136.0, 126.8, 121.1, 119.4, 117.4, 110.6, 107.2, 48.8, 43.4, 37.1, 34.0, 29.9, 25.6, 24.0, 11.8; IR *v*<sub>max</sub> 2958, 2925, 2873, 1617, 1456, 1304, 1238, 1010, 906, 727 cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS (ESI, +ve) *m/z* 241 [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>, 100%], 224 (45), 198 (30); HRMS (M + H)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>21</sub>N<sub>2</sub> 241.1705, found 241.1702.

**Compound 14.** A magnetically stirred solution of amine **13** (87 mg, 0.36 mmol) in dichloromethane (5 mL) was treated with Boc<sub>2</sub>O (157 mg, 0.72 mmol) and triethylamine (300 μL, 2.2 mmol). The ensuing mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h then quenched with H<sub>2</sub>O (20 mL) and extracted with dichloromethane (3 × 20 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (1 × 30 mL) before being dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The yellow oil thus

1  
2  
3 obtained was subjected to flash chromatography (silica, 1:10 → 1:5 v/v ethyl  
4 acetate/hexane gradient elution) and concentration of relevant fractions ( $R_f = 0.5$  in 1:3  
5 v/v ethyl acetate/hexane) afforded the title compound **14** (98 mg, 80%) as a white,  
6 crystalline solid and a ca. 1:1 mixture of rotamers, m.p. = 216 °C.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ ,  
7 400 MHz)  $\delta$  (mixture of rotamers) 10.91 (s, 0.5H), 10.85 (s, 0.5H), 7.41 (d,  $J = 7.6$  Hz,  
8 1H), 7.27 (dd,  $J = 10.7$  and 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.02–6.89 (complex m, 2H), 5.36 (s, 0.5H), 5.27  
9 (s, 0.5H), 3.62 (dd,  $J = 13.2$  and 5.5 Hz, 0.5H), 3.52 (dd,  $J = 13.3$  and 5.7 Hz, 0.5H), 2.96  
10 (dd,  $J = 17.8$  and 6.7 Hz, 1H), 2.60 (dd,  $J = 17.7$  and 13.1 Hz, 1H), 2.46–2.35 (complex  
11 m, 1H), 2.25 (broad s, 1H), 1.85–1.59 (complex m, 3H), 1.52 (s, 4.5H), 1.35 (s, 4.5H),  
12 1.25–1.10 (complex m, 2H), 0.89 (t,  $J = 7.4$  Hz, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (DMSO- $d_6$ , 100 MHz)  $\delta$   
13 (mixture of rotamers) 154.6, 154.1, 136.8, 136.7, 126.9, 126.8, 120.7(1), 120.6(5), 118.8,  
14 118.2, 117.6, 111.3, 111.1, 105.9, 105.5, 78.9, 78.6, 48.1, 46.9, 43.6, 43.2, 37.2, 36.0,  
15 33.4, 33.2, 29.3, 28.7, 28.5, 25.0, 23.7, 23.6, 12.2, 12.1; IR  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  3402, 3301, 2961, 2929,  
16 2874, 1662, 1462, 1416, 1365, 1308, 1169, 1127, 864, 742  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ; MS (ESI, +ve)  $m/z$  363  
17 [(M + Na) $^+$ , 100%], 341 [(M + H) $^+$ , 33]; HRMS (M + Na) $^+$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{21}\text{H}_{28}\text{N}_2\text{NaO}_2$   
18 363.2048, found 363.2047.

19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
**Compound 15.** A magnetically stirred solution of compound **14** (45 mg, 0.13 mmol) in dichloromethane (5 mL) was treated with PCC (57 mg, 0.27 mmol) and the ensuing mixture stirred at room temperature for 0.66 h then quenched with *iso*-propanol (3 mL). The resulting mixture was treated with water (15 mL) then extracted with dichloromethane (3 × 20 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (1 × 30 mL) before being dried ( $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ ), filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The ensuing yellow oil was subjected to flash chromatography (silica, 1:10 → 1:5 v/v

ethyl acetate/hexane gradient elution) and concentration of relevant fractions ( $R_f = 0.5$  in 1:3 v/v ethyl acetate/hexane) afforded the title compound **15** (35 mg, 75%) as a clear, yellow oil and a ca. 1:1 mixture of rotamers.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 400 MHz)  $\delta$  (mixture of rotamers) 9.89 (s, 0.5H), 9.81 (s, 0.5H), 7.95 (d,  $J = 8.2$  Hz, 0.5H), 7.80 (d,  $J = 8.1$  Hz, 0.5H), 7.53 (t,  $J = 9.3$  Hz, 1H), 7.41 (t,  $J = 7.8$  Hz, 1H), 7.21 (m, 1H), 5.85 (s, 0.5H), 5.67 (s, 0.5H), 3.99 (dd,  $J = 14.0$  and 5.5 Hz, 0.5H), 3.79 (dd,  $J = 14.3$  and 5.7 Hz, 0.5H), 2.86 (s, 1H), 2.79–2.60 (complex m, 1H), 2.22 (t,  $J = 7.3$  Hz, 1H), 2.15–1.87 (complex m, 2H), 1.65 (s, 4.5H), 1.45 (s, 4.5H), 1.43–1.34 (complex m, 2H), 0.96 (t,  $J = 7.4$  Hz, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 100 MHz)  $\delta$  (mixture of rotamers) 193.0, 155.0, 154.3, 138.6, 132.4, 127.3, 125.4, 125.3, 123.0, 122.7, 122.3, 121.6, 121.1, 112.9, 112.5, 80.3, 79.9, 48.3, 48.1, 47.8, 47.0, 46.7, 36.9, 35.7, 31.6, 30.2, 28.7, 28.4, 24.9, 24.8, 22.7, 11.8; IR  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  3268, 2964, 2932, 2876, 1649, 1470, 1407, 1366, 1277, 1254, 1154, 1127, 1019, 867, 747  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ; MS (ESI, +ve)  $m/z$  731 [ $(2\text{M} + \text{Na})^+$ , 100%], 377 [ $(\text{M} + \text{Na})^+$ , 50]; HRMS ( $\text{M} + \text{Na})^+$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{21}\text{H}_{26}\text{N}_2\text{NaO}_3$  377.1841, found 377.1843.

**Noruleine (3).** *Step i.* A magnetically stirred solution of ketone **15** (14 mg, 0.04 mmol) in THF (4 mL) was cooled to  $-78$  °C then treated with methyllithium (40.0  $\mu\text{L}$  of a 3.0 M solution in diethoxymethane). The resulting mixture was stirred at  $-78$  °C for 0.16 h then quenched with water (15 mL). After warming the resulting mixture to room temperature, the aqueous phase was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 20$  mL) and the combined organic phases were washed with brine ( $1 \times 30$  mL) before being dried ( $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ ), filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The ensuing yellow oil, presumed to contain the anticipated *tert*-alcohol, was subjected to the Step ii of the reaction sequence as described immediately below.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
*Step ii.* A magnetically stirred solution of the yellow oil obtained from Step i in dichloromethane (4 mL) was treated with trifluoroacetic acid (15  $\mu$ L, 0.19 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h then concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a yellow oil that was subjected to flash chromatography (silica, 1:25  $\rightarrow$  1:7 v/v methanol / dichloromethane gradient elution). Concentration of relevant fractions ( $R_f = 0.5$  in 1:4 v/v methanol / dichloromethane) afforded the title compound **3**<sup>9e</sup> (7.5 mg, 75%) as a clear, yellow oil. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 400 MHz)  $\delta$  8.13 (s, 1H), 7.51 (d,  $J = 7.8$  Hz, 1H), 7.29 (d,  $J = 7.8$  Hz, 1H), 7.13 (t,  $J = 7.8$  Hz, 1H), 7.04 (t,  $J = 7.8$  Hz, 1H), 5.20 (s, 1H), 4.93 (s, 1H), 4.32 (s, 1H), 2.89–2.36 (complex m, 3H), 2.26–1.88 (complex m, 3H), 1.60 (d,  $J = 12.6$  Hz, 1H), 1.18–0.98 (complex m, 2H), 0.79 (t,  $J = 7.4$  Hz, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 100 MHz)  $\delta$  see Table 1; IR  $\nu_{\max}$  3230, 2960, 2930, 1672, 1613, 1454, 1325, 1201, 1179, 1134, 906, 798, 740 cm<sup>-1</sup>; MS (ESI, +ve)  $m/z$  253 [(M + H)<sup>+</sup>, 40%], 236 (100); HRMS (M + H)<sup>+</sup> calcd for C<sub>17</sub>H<sub>21</sub>N<sub>2</sub> 253.1705, found 253.1701.

34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60  
**Uleine (1).** A magnetically stirred solution of amine **3** (15 mg, 0.06 mmol) in acetonitrile (4 mL) was treated, sequentially, with formaldehyde (100  $\mu$ L of a 35% w/w aqueous solution, 1.2 mmol) and NaCNBH<sub>3</sub> (8 mg, 0.13 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 0.66 h then quenched with NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (10 mL of a saturated aqueous solution). The separated aqueous phase was extracted with dichloromethane (3  $\times$  20 mL) and the combined organic phases were washed with brine (1  $\times$  30 mL) before being dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>), filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The resulting yellow oil was subjected to flash chromatography (silica, 1:25  $\rightarrow$  1:7 v/v methanol/dichloromethane gradient elution) and concentration of relevant fractions ( $R_f = 0.6$  in 1:4 v/v methanol/dichloromethane) afforded the title compound **1**<sup>9e</sup>

(13 mg, 85%) as a clear, yellow oil.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 400 MHz)  $\delta$  8.27 (s, 1H), 7.58 (d,  $J = 7.9$  Hz, 1H), 7.37 (d,  $J = 7.9$  Hz, 1H), 7.21 (t,  $J = 7.9$  Hz, 1H), 7.12 (t,  $J = 7.9$  Hz, 1H), 5.29 (s, 1H), 5.02 (s, 1H), 4.12 (d,  $J = 2.0$  Hz, 1H), 2.72 (d,  $J = 2.0$  Hz, 1H), 2.58–2.42 (complex m, 1H), 2.32 (s, 3H), 2.25–1.96 (complex m, 3H), 1.72 (d,  $J = 7.6$  Hz, 1H), 1.22–1.04 (complex m, 2H), 0.87 (t,  $J = 7.4$  Hz, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 100 MHz)  $\delta$  see Table 1; IR  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  3231, 2957, 2926, 1668, 1456, 1320, 1050, 880, 738  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ; MS (ESI, +ve)  $m/z$  267 [(M + H) $^+$ , 85%], 236 (100); HRMS (M + H) $^+$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{23}\text{N}_2$  267.1861, found 267.1860.

**Nordasycarpidone (4).** A magnetically stirred solution of ketone **15** (17 mg, 0.05 mmol) in dichloromethane (4 mL) was treated with trifluoroacetic acid (15  $\mu\text{L}$ , 0.19 mmol) and the ensuing mixture stirred at room temperature for 12 h before being concentrated under reduced pressure to afford a yellow oil. Subjection of this material to flash chromatography (silica, 1:25  $\rightarrow$  1:7 v/v methanol/dichloromethane gradient elution) and concentration of relevant fractions ( $R_f = 0.6$  in 1:4 v/v methanol/dichloromethane) afforded the title compound **4**<sup>9a</sup> (10 mg, 84%) as a clear, yellow oil.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 400 MHz)  $\delta$  9.89 (s, 1H), 7.72 (d,  $J = 8.0$  Hz, 1H), 7.45 (d,  $J = 8.0$  Hz, 1H), 7.34 (t,  $J = 8.0$  Hz, 1H), 7.13 (t,  $J = 8.0$  Hz, 1H), 6.14 (broad s, 1H), 4.83 (s, 1H), 2.97 (broad s, 1H), 2.75 (s, 2H), 2.49 (s, 1H), 2.17 (m, 1H), 1.86 (d,  $J = 12.7$  Hz, 1H), 1.31–1.23 (complex m, 2H), 0.83 (t,  $J = 7.4$  Hz, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 100 MHz)  $\delta$  see Table 2; IR  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  3255, 2960, 1654, 1541, 1474, 1328, 1199, 1132, 908, 800, 746  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ; MS (ESI, +ve)  $m/z$  255 [(M + H) $^+$ , 55%], 238 (100); HRMS (M + H) $^+$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{19}\text{N}_2\text{O}$  255.1497, found 255.1499.

1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60

**Dasycarpidone (2).** A magnetically stirred solution of compound **4** (15 mg, 0.06 mmol) in methanol (5 mL) was treated with 10% Pd on carbon (3 mg) and formaldehyde (200  $\mu$ L of a 35% w/v aqueous solution, 2.3 mmol). The resulting mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h while being maintained under an atmosphere of hydrogen. The mixture thus obtained was filtered through diatomaceous earth and the solids thus retained washed with methanol ( $3 \times 10$  mL). The combined filtrates were diluted with water (30 mL) and dichloromethane (30 mL) and the separated aqueous phase was extracted with dichloromethane ( $3 \times 30$  mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine ( $1 \times 30$  mL) before being dried ( $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ ), filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The yellow oil thus obtained was subjected to flash chromatography (silica, 1:25  $\rightarrow$  1:7 v/v methanol/dichloromethane gradient elution) and concentration of relevant fractions ( $R_f = 0.7$  in 1:4 v/v methanol/dichloromethane) afforded the title compound **2**<sup>9a</sup> (11 mg, 70%) as a yellow oil.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 400 MHz)  $\delta$  9.20 (s, 1H), 7.63 (d,  $J = 8.1$  Hz, 1H), 7.41 (d,  $J = 8.1$  Hz, 1H), 7.31 (m, 1H), 7.12 (m, 1H), 4.24 (s, 1H), 2.63 (broad s, 1H), 2.55 (d,  $J = 7.0$  Hz, 1H), 2.32 (s, 1H), 2.27 (s, 3H), 2.15–1.94 (complex m, 2H), 1.85 (d,  $J = 9.7$  Hz, 1H), 1.34–1.04 (complex m, 2H), 0.82 (t,  $J = 7.4$  Hz, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR ( $\text{CDCl}_3$ , 100 MHz)  $\delta$  see Table 2; IR  $\nu_{\text{max}}$  3262, 2929, 1650, 1531, 1467, 1325, 1151, 1021, 744, 489  $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ; MS (ESI, +ve)  $m/z$  269  $[(\text{M} + \text{H})^+]$ , 73%, 238 (100); HRMS  $(\text{M} + \text{H})^+$  calcd for  $\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{21}\text{N}_2\text{O}$  269.1654, found 269.1655.

## Crystallographic Studies

**Crystallographic Data for Compound 12.**  $C_{16}H_{16}N_2O_2$ ,  $M = 284.31$ ,  $T = 150$  K, orthorhombic, space group  $P2_12_12_1$ ,  $Z = 4$ ,  $a = 8.56836(10)$  Å,  $b = 12.02612(14)$  Å,  $c = 14.15115(14)$  Å;  $\beta = 103.2795(16)^\circ$ ;  $V = 1458.19(3)$  Å<sup>3</sup>,  $D_x = 1.295$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>, 2890 unique data ( $2\theta_{\max} = 144.8^\circ$ ),  $R = 0.027$  [for 2828 reflections with  $I > 2.0\sigma(I)$ ];  $R_w = 0.069$  (all data),  $S = 1.0$ .

**Crystallographic Data for Compound 14.**  $C_{21}H_{28}N_2O_2$ ,  $M = 340.47$ ,  $T = 150$  K, monoclinic, space group  $P2_1/n$ ,  $Z = 4$ ,  $a = 10.1738(2)$  Å,  $b = 10.6826(1)$  Å,  $c = 17.3464(3)$  Å;  $V = 1834.84(5)$  Å<sup>3</sup>,  $D_x = 1.232$  g cm<sup>-3</sup>, 3623 unique data ( $2\theta_{\max} = 144.6^\circ$ ),  $R = 0.031$  [for 3271 reflections with  $I > 2.0\sigma(I)$ ];  $R_w = 0.075$  (all data),  $S = 0.99$ .

**Structure Determinations.** Images were measured on a diffractometer (Cu  $K\alpha$ , mirror monochromator,  $\lambda = 1.54184$  Å) fitted with an area detector and data extracted using the CrysAlis package.<sup>25</sup> Structure solution was by direct methods (SIR92).<sup>26</sup> The structures of compounds **12** and **14** were refined using the CRYSTALS program package.<sup>27</sup> Atomic coordinates, bond lengths and angles, and displacement parameters for compounds **12** and **14** have been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC nos. 1450903 and 1450904). These data can be obtained free-of-charge via [www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data\\_request/cif](http://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif), by emailing [data\\_request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk](mailto:data_request@ccdc.cam.ac.uk), or by contacting The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12 Union Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; fax: +44 1223 336033.

## ASSOCIATED CONTENT

### Supporting Information

Crystallographic data (CIF); anisotropic displacement ellipsoid plot derived from the single-crystal analyses of compounds **12** and **14**;  $^1\text{H}$  and  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR spectra for compounds **1-4** and **6-15**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at <http://pubs.acs.org>.

## AUTHOR INFORMATION

### Corresponding Author

\*E-mail: [Martin.Banwell@anu.edu.au](mailto:Martin.Banwell@anu.edu.au)

### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

We thank the Australian Research Council and the Institute of Advanced Studies for financial support. FT is the grateful recipient of a CSC PhD Scholarship provided by the Government of the People's Republic of China.

## REFERENCES

1. Joule, J. A. in *Indoles*, Saxton, J. E., Ed. In *The Chemistry of Heterocyclic Compounds*, Weissberger, A.; Taylor, E. C. Eds.; Wiley, New York **1983**, Vol. 25, Part 4, pp 265-292.
2. Schmutz, J.; Hunziker, F.; Hirt, R. *Helv. Chim. Acta* **1957**, *40*, 1189.

- 1
  - 2
  - 3
  - 4
  - 5
  - 6
  - 7
  - 8
  - 9
  - 10
  - 11
  - 12
  - 13
  - 14
  - 15
  - 16
  - 17
  - 18
  - 19
  - 20
  - 21
  - 22
  - 23
  - 24
  - 25
  - 26
  - 27
  - 28
  - 29
  - 30
  - 31
  - 32
  - 33
  - 34
  - 35
  - 36
  - 37
  - 38
  - 39
  - 40
  - 41
  - 42
  - 43
  - 44
  - 45
  - 46
  - 47
  - 48
  - 49
  - 50
  - 51
  - 52
  - 53
  - 54
  - 55
  - 56
  - 57
  - 58
  - 59
  - 60
3. Joule, J. A.; Ohashi, M.; Gilbert, B.; Djerassi, C. *Tetrahedron* **1965**, *21*, 1717.
4. See, for example, Gaskell, A. J.; Joule, J. A. *Chem. Ind. (London)* **1967**, 1089.
5. Miranda, E. C.; Blechert, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1982**, *23*, 5395.
6. (a) Seidl, C.; Correia, B. L.; Stinghen, A. E. M.; Santos, C. A. M. *Z. Naturforsch.* **2010**, *65c*, 440; (b) dos Santos Torres, Z. E.; Silveira, E. R.; Rocha e Silva, L. F.; Lima, E. S.; Carvalho de Vasconcellos, M.; Uchoa, D. E. de A.; Filho, R. B.; Pohlit, A. M. *Molecules* **2013**, *18*, 6281; (c) Chierrito, T. P. C.; Aguiar, A. C. C.; de Andrade, I. M.; Ceravolo, I. P.; Gonçalves, R. A. C.; de Oliveira, A. J. B.; Krettli, A. U. *Malaria J.* **2014**, *13*, 142; (d) Uludag, N.; Yakup, M. *Org. Prep. Proced. Int.* **2015**, *47*, 454 and references cited therein.
7. Maes, D.; Maes, R. *Rev. Bras. Farmacogn.* **2015**, *25*, 42.
8. (a) Büchi, G.; Warnhoff, E. W. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1959**, *81*, 4433; (b) Shamma, M.; Weiss, J. A.; Shine, R. J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1967**, 2489. Also see (c) Borris, R. P.; Lankin, D. C.; Cordell, G. A. *J. Nat. Prod.* **1983**, *46*, 200.
9. See, for example, (a) Gracia, J.; Casamitjana, N.; Bonjoch, J.; Bosch, J. *J. Org. Chem.* **1994**, *59*, 3939 and references cited therein; (b) Saito, M.; Kawamura, M.; Hiroya, K.; Ogasawara, K. *Chem. Commun.* **1997**, 765; (c) Schmitt, M. H.; Blechert, S. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **1997**, *36*, 1474; (d) Bennasar, M.-L.; Roca, T.; García-Díaz, D. *J. Org. Chem.* **2008**, *73*, 9033; (e) Patir, S.; Ertük, E. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2013**, *11*, 2804.
10. For studies on the synthesis of the tetracyclic framework of the uleine alkaloids see: (a) Feliz, M.; Bosch, J.; Mauleón, D.; Amat, M.; Domingo, A. *J. Org. Chem.* **1982**, *47*, 2435; (b) Bonjoch, J.; Casamithana, N.; Gracia, J.; Bosch, J.

- 1  
2  
3  
4  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28  
29  
30  
31  
32  
33  
34  
35  
36  
37  
38  
39  
40  
41  
42  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60
- Tetrahedron Lett.* **1989**, *30*, 5659; (c) Rubiralta, M.; Torrens, A.; Reig, I.; Grierson, D. S.; Husson, H.-P. *Heterocycles* **1989**, *29*, 2121; (d) Micouin, L.; Diez, A.; Castells, J.; López, D.; Rubiralta, M.; Quirion, J.-C.; Husson, H.-P. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1995**, *36*, 1693; (e) Uludag, N.; Uyar, T.; Patir, S. *Org. Prep. Proced. Int.* **2003**, *35*, 397; (f) Reekie, T. A.; Banwell, M. G.; Willis, A. C. *J. Org. Chem.* **2012**, *77*, 10773; (g) see ref. 6d.
11. (a) Gracia, J.; Bonjoch, J.; Casmitjana, N.; Amat, M.; Bosch, M. *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.* **1991**, 614; (b) Magnus, P.; Sear, N. L.; Kim, C. S.; Vicker, N. J. *Org. Chem.*, **1992**, *57*, 70; (c) Jiricek, J.; Blechert, S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 3534; (d) Martin, C. L.; Nakamura, S.; Otte, R.; Overman, L. E. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 138.
12. Tan, S. H.; Banwell, M. G.; Willis, A. C.; Reekie, T. A. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 5621.
13. Banwell, M. G.; Jones, M. T.; Reekie, T. A. *Chemistry in New Zealand* **2011**, *75*, 122.
14. (a) Ergün, Y.; Bayraktar, N.; Patir, S.; Okay, G. *J. Heterocyclic Chem.* **2000**, *37*, 11; (b) Tokunaga, M.; Harad, S.; Iwasawa, T.; Obora, Y.; Tsuji, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2007**, *48*, 6860.
15. Nicolaou, K. C.; Montagnon, T.; Baran, P. S.; Zhong, Y.-L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **2002**, *124*, 2245.
16. Corey, E. J.; Chaykovsky, M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1965**, *87*, 1353.
17. For other examples of such processes see: (a) Demuth, M.; Raghavan, P. R. *Helv. Chem. Acta* **1979**, *62*, 2338; (b) Wong, H. N. C.; Hon, M.-Y.; Tse, C.-W.; Yip,

- 1  
2  
3 Y.-C. *Chem. Rev.* **1989**, *89*, 165; (c) Jiang, X.; Lim, Z.; Yeung, Y.-Y.  
4  
5  
6 *Tetrahedron Lett.* **2013**, *54*, 1798.  
7  
8 18. Baldwin, J. E.; Lusch, M. J. *Tetrahedron* **1982**, *38*, 2939 and references cited  
9  
10 therein.  
11  
12 19. Johnson, C. R.; Adams, J. P.; Braun, M. P.; Senanayake, C. B. W.; Wovkulich, P.  
13  
14 M.; Uskokovic, M. R. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992**, *33*, 917.  
15  
16 20. Banwell, M. G.; Jones, M. T.; Reekie, T. A.; Schwartz, B. D.; Tan, S. H.; White,  
17  
18 L. V. *Org. Biomol. Chem.* **2014**, *12*, 7433.  
19  
20 21. White, L. V.; Banwell, M. G. *J. Org. Chem.* **2016**, *81*, 1617.  
21  
22 22. (a) Evarts, J.; Torres, E.; Fuchs, P. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2002**, *124*, 11093; (b)  
23  
24 Houjeiry, T. I.; Poe, S. L.; McQuade, D. T. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 4394.  
25  
26 23. Still, W. C.; Kahn, M.; Mitra, A. *J. Org. Chem.* **1978**, *43*, 2923.  
27  
28 24. Pangborn, A. B.; Giardello, M. A.; Grubbs, R. H.; Rosen, R. K.; Timmers, F. J.  
29  
30 *Organometallics* **1996**, *15*, 1518.  
31  
32 25. CrysAlis PRO Version 1.171.37.35h (release 09-02-2015 CrysAlis171.NET)  
33  
34 (compiled Feb 9 2015,16:26:32) Agilent Technologies: Oxfordshire, UK.  
35  
36 26. SIR92. Altomare, A.; Cascarano, G.; Giacovazzo, C.; Guagliardi, A.; Burla, M.  
37  
38 C.; Polidori, G.; Camalli, M. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **1994**, *27*, 435.  
39  
40 27. Betteridge, P. W.; Carruthers, J. R.; Cooper, R. I.; Prout, K.; Watkin, D. J. *J.*  
41  
42 *Appl. Crystallogr.* **2003**, *36*, 1487.  
43  
44  
45  
46  
47  
48  
49  
50  
51  
52  
53  
54  
55  
56  
57  
58  
59  
60