analytical chemistry



Subscriber access provided by Nottingham Trent University

Article

A New Mass Spectrometry Based Approach for Organic Synthesis Monitoring

Veronica Termopoli, Elena Torrisi, Giorgio Famiglini, Pierangela Palma, Giovanni Zappia, Achille Cappiello, Gregory W. Vandergrift, Misha Zvekic, Erik T. Krogh, and Chris G. Gill *Anal. Chem.*, Just Accepted Manuscript • DOI: 10.1021/acs.analchem.9b02681 • Publication Date (Web): 12 Aug 2019 Downloaded from pubs.acs.org on August 13, 2019

Just Accepted

"Just Accepted" manuscripts have been peer-reviewed and accepted for publication. They are posted online prior to technical editing, formatting for publication and author proofing. The American Chemical Society provides "Just Accepted" as a service to the research community to expedite the dissemination of scientific material as soon as possible after acceptance. "Just Accepted" manuscripts appear in full in PDF format accompanied by an HTML abstract. "Just Accepted" manuscripts have been fully peer reviewed, but should not be considered the official version of record. They are citable by the Digital Object Identifier (DOI®). "Just Accepted" is an optional service offered to authors. Therefore, the "Just Accepted" Web site may not include all articles that will be published in the journal. After a manuscript is technically edited and formatted, it will be removed from the "Just Accepted" Web site and published as an ASAP article. Note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the manuscript text and/or graphics which could affect content, and all legal disclaimers and ethical guidelines that apply to the journal pertain. ACS cannot be held responsible for errors or consequences arising from the use of information contained in these "Just Accepted" manuscripts.

is published by the American Chemical Society. 1155 Sixteenth Street N.W., Washington, DC 20036

Published by American Chemical Society. Copyright © American Chemical Society. However, no copyright claim is made to original U.S. Government works, or works produced by employees of any Commonwealth realm Crown government in the course of their duties.

A New Mass Spectrometry Based Approach for Organic Synthesis Monitoring

Veronica Termopoli^{1‡}, Elena Torrisi⁴, Giorgio Famiglini¹, Pierangela Palma^{1,2}, Giovanni Zappia⁴, Achille Cappiello^{1,2}*

and

Gregory W. Vandergrift^{2,3‡}, Misha Zvekic², Erik T. Krogh^{2,3}, Chris G. Gill^{2,3,5,6*}

¹LC-MS Laboratory, Department of Pure and Applied Sciences, University of Urbino Carlo Bo, Urbino, Italy, 61029
 ² Applied Environmental Research Laboratories (AERL), Chemistry Department, Vancouver Island University, Nanaimo, BC, Canada, V9R 5S5

³ Chemistry Department, University of Victoria, Victoria, BC, Canada, V8P 5C2

⁴ Biomolecular Sciences Department, University of Urbino Carlo Bo, Urbino, Italy, 61029

⁵ Chemistry Department, Simon Fraser University, Burnaby, BC, Canada, V5A 1S6

⁶ Department of Environmental and Occupational Health Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, WA, USA, 98195

ABSTRACT: Current mass spectrometry-based methodologies for synthetic organic reaction monitoring largely use electrospray ionization (ESI), or other related atmospheric pressure ionization-based approaches. Monitoring of complex, heterogeneous systems may be problematic because of sampling hardware limitations, and many relevant analytes (neutrals) exhibit poor ESI performance. An alternative monitoring strategy addressing this significant impasse is condensed phase membrane introduction mass spectrometry using liquid electron ionization (CP-MIMS-LEI). In CP-MIMS, a semi-permeable silicone membrane selects hydrophobic neutral analytes, rejecting particulates and charged chemical components. Analytes partition through the membrane, and are then transported to the LEI interface for sequential nebulization, vaporization, and ionization. CP-MIMS and LEI are both ideal for continuous monitoring applications of hydrophobic neutral molecules. We demonstrate quantitative reaction monitoring of harsh, complex reaction mixtures (alkaline, acidic, heterogeneous) in protic and aprotic organic solvents. Also presented are solvent-membrane compatibility investigations, and *in situ*, quantitative monitoring of catalytic oxidation and alkylation reactions.

The synthesis of organic compounds on an industrial scale is of enormous economic importance. Understanding optimum reaction conditions is key to maximizing the yields of desired products, as well as simultaneously reducing the production of inadvertent side products, which lower yields, may be harmful, and require additional separation steps. Synthetic chemists have a wide variety of analytical methodologies at their disposal to investigate and optimize reaction conditions for industrial applications. These include spectroscopic methods (e.g. FT-IR, UV-vis, fluorescence, NMR, etc.)¹⁻³ as well as mass spectrometry (MS)⁴. However, many of these techniques are carried out off-line, requiring subsample collection and rapid analysis, frequently with some form of quenching. This is because the reaction will continue in the subsamples until the time of measurement, potentially compromising any information obtained. Online dilutions of subsampled reaction mixtures are also frequently employed to make satisfactory measurements, reducing sensitivity for trace analytes. Kinetic 49 data obtained in this manner is laborious and often 50 intermittent. A number of spectroscopic techniques have been 51 adapted for continuous online monitoring⁵⁻⁶, but these tend to 52 lack specificity for target analytes and/or the sensitivity for 53 quantitation of trace components. 54

To increase both sensitivity and selectivity for quantitative online reaction monitoring, chemists have largely turned to MS based approaches, as resolution by m/z ratios is more selective and reliable when compared to other chemical analysis strategies (*i.e.*, monitoring chromophores). Atmospheric pressure ionization sources, particularly electrospray ionization (ESI), have been used extensively for coupling reactions to MS. As a recent example, the Cooks group has demonstrated online, multiplexed MS reaction monitoring system based upon direct sampling ESI-MS, simultaneously monitoring up to six reactions types without sample carryover7. The McIndoe group has also been exploring the use of online ESI-MS monitoring to investigate catalytic reactions⁸⁻¹⁰. Many other variants of ESI-MS have been used for reaction monitoring, including desorption ESI (DESI), extractive ESI (EESI), ESI assisted laser desorption ionization (ELDI) and paper spray (PS-MS)⁴. However, for many ESI-based techniques, care must be taken to ensure that clogging of fine sampling capillaries does not occur. This may preclude their use in complicated, heterogeneous reaction slurries or for small reaction volumes, as the monitoring itself consumes a portion of the reacting mixture.

While the Cooks group has bypassed many practical challenges of this strategy by using inductive ESI¹¹⁻¹², perhaps the most significant obstacle associated with ESI related monitoring techniques is the requirement that the chemical species being monitored must be satisfactorily ionized by ESI (*e.g.* easily charged species). ESI sensitivity is further confounded by high salt concentrations and varying solvent compositions¹³⁻¹⁴, excluding the use of these techniques from many reaction monitoring applications because of analyte and

55

56

57

58 59

60

reaction mixture incompatibility. Other ambient ionization techniques, such as direct analysis in real-time (DART)¹⁵, have been used to bypass ESI ionization complications encountered in reaction monitoring in principle. In a particularly intriguing application of DART-MS/MS, the Volmer group monitored micro-reactions in acoustically levitated droplets¹⁶. However, DART based MS methods often lack reliable quantitative information, and are predominantly restricted to offline, snapshot measurements⁴.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

58 59

60

As an alternative sample introduction strategy for online reaction monitoring, the method of membrane introduction mass spectrometry (MIMS) may offer a suitable solution to the identified deficiencies. There are several reviews of MIMS that explain the methodology, as well as its suitability for direct, online monitoring in complex sample mixtures¹⁷⁻¹⁸. Simply described, MIMS utilizes a semi-permeable membrane, often polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS, aka silicone). to non-exhaustively extract and directly transfer analytes (as a mixture) to a mass spectrometer, where they are resolved by their m/z ratios, by selective ionization, and/or by tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS). The membrane rejects particulate materials and is hydrophobic, with perm-selectivity characteristics favoring mass transfer of non-polar analytes, rejecting highly polar and charged components, making MIMS ideally suited for the online extraction of neutral compounds from a complex reaction mixture¹⁷⁻¹⁸.

23 There is some precedent in the literature for the use of MIMS 24 for reaction monitoring utilizing electron ionization (EI). As a 25 few examples, in early work, the Cooks group used a silicone 26 membrane interface to transfer permeating analytes directly 27 into a high vacuum EI triple quadrupole MS. While effective, 28 the membrane interface design was also prone to memory 29 effects and slow signal response times¹⁹. The Cooks group also 30 demonstrated MIMS use for the online monitoring of the 31 reactions of epichlorohydrin in water using a polyphenyl ether 32 liquid membrane and a quadrupole ion trap²⁰. Our group has used a flow cell interface MIMS system to follow the 33 oxidative degradation kinetics for trace gasoline contaminants 34 in aqueous samples²¹ and also the reductive dehalogenation 35 kinetics of organic contaminants in natural waters²². A 36 commonality with these early MIMS reaction-monitoring 37 studies was the use of a gaseous acceptor (or high vacuum) to 38 desorb permeating analytes from the membrane, and 39 frequently from aqueous reaction systems. While effective for 40 smaller analytes of higher volatility, these strategies were 41 ineffective for larger, less volatile analytes. Alternatively, 42 permeating analytes in a MIMS measurement can be 43 transferred from the membrane by a continuously flowed liquid (condensed) phase, and then coupled with a variety of 44 atmospheric pressure ionization strategies. This approach has 45 been termed condensed phase MIMS (CP-MIMS), and has 46 been described in several reviews¹⁷⁻¹⁸.

47 The use of CP-MIMS has allowed researchers to utilize a 48 variety of MS ionization strategies, including ESI, atmospheric 49 pressure chemical ionization (APCI)²³⁻²⁸ and liquid electron 50 ionization (LEI),²⁹⁻³¹ to extend the application of MIMS to 51 larger, significantly less volatile analytes. In early work, 52 Creaser and co-workers demonstrated the use of a CP-MIMS 53 type interface system with a hydrophobic polyvinylidene fluoride microporous sheet membrane coupled with APCI to 54 follow the course of a synthetic reaction, implemented by 55 sampling reaction aliquots as a function of time³². 56 Additionally, we have presented the real-time monitoring of 57

aqueous chlorination reactions,^{24, 27} as well as the photooxidative degradation²⁸ and adsorption of naphthenic acids in aqueous solutions¹⁸ by CP-MIMS using ESI. The coupling of LEI with CP-MIMS is of particular interest for reaction monitoring, as it is a more universal ion source and overcomes a limitation with ESI, which typically requires polar functional group to carry a charge. Thus, CP-MIMS paired with LEI represents an ideal monitoring strategy for synthetic organic reactions, especially for measuring neutral hydrophobic analytes that exhibit poor performance with ESI.

The LEI concept has been discussed in several recent publications³⁰⁻³¹. It represents a new approach for efficiently addressing the difficult conversion of a liquid-phase into a gas-phase for EI, using a nano-scale flowrate, generating library searchable spectra. Since ionization occurs in the gas phase, LEI strongly mitigates suppression effects from co-eluting reaction components permeating through the membrane, offering reliable quantitative reaction data.

We present the newer variant of LEI³³ paired with CP-MIMS, as a strategy for direct, quantitative online monitoring directly in organic solvent reaction mixtures. Permeating neutral analytes are effectively vaporized and ionized directly by LEI, where the vaporization and ionization steps are spatially decoupled. As previously mentioned, LEI is effective for ionizing analytes with poor ESI performance, and as presented with CP-MIMS, addresses a significant gap in currently available online quantitative reaction monitoring approaches, providing complementary results to those obtained using ESI based strategies. This manuscript presents the first use of CP-MIMS coupled with LEI for quantitative online monitoring of non-aqueous synthetic organic reactions. The resulting system is robust, and facilitates direct, quantitative measurements of neutral reactant and product species in complex, highly acidic and heterogeneous organic solvent reaction mixtures.

Experimental section

Standards and Solvents

Details regarding solvent and standard sources and their preparation and the characterization of the alkyl glycinate standards is reported in the supporting information. All standard and reaction measurements were made in magnetically stirred 20 or 40 mL glass vials with Teflon faced septum seals (EPA/VOA Type, Scientific Specialties Inc., Hanover, MD, USA) at ambient conditions (*ca* 25 °C, 101 kPa) unless otherwise noted.

Instrumentation

Two EI-MS systems were employed for these studies, including a single quadrupole system (Model 5975, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) operated in selected ion monitoring mode (SIM), and a triple quadrupole tandem mass spectrometry (MS/MS) system (Model 7010, Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) operated in multiple reaction monitoring mode (MRM). Both MS systems were equipped with identical CP-MIMS, hollow fiber polydimethylsiloxane membranes (5.0 mm long, 0.30 mm i.d., 0.64 mm o.d., 170 μ m thick and LEI configurations (Figure 1). Details of the SIM and MRM conditions used for all analytes are given in the supporting information (Table S-1). In

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40 41

42

43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

60

addition, for the MS/MS system, concomitant changes in the UV/Vis absorbance of post membrane permeant was also continuously monitored by diverting the splitter waste flow through a capillary UV-Vis detector (Spectra 100, Spectra Physics Inc., Santa Clara, CA). MS tuning parameters were checked periodically, and remained constant for the studies shown here, demonstrating robustness and ease of use.

Oxidation of Phenylacetylene Reaction

Phenylacetylene undergoes acid-catalyzed hydrolysis, and the reaction rate is greatly increased in the presence of a chloroauric acid (HAuCl₄) catalyst. 90 mM phenylacetylene was added to the 20 mL reaction mixture (95:4:1 methanol:de-ionized water:sulfuric acid v/v, 4 mM HAuCl₄) with gentle heating (~50°C) and magnetic stirring. This procedure is described elsewhere³⁴.

Alkyl Glycinate Reaction

To initiate the reaction, 1.0 mL of ethylbromoacetate (yielding an initial concentration of 330 mM) was added to a magnetically stirred mixture containing 330 mM triethylamine, and 300 mM α -methyl benzylamine in 24 mL of dry acetonitrile.

Alkyl Glycinate Standard Synthesis

To a mixture of (R)-α-methyl benzylamine (0.92 mL, 7.27 mmol) and triethylamine (1.11 mL, 7.99 mmol) in dry acetonitrile (20 ml), 0.89 mL of ethyl bromoacetate (7.99 mmol) was added in one portion. The solution was maintained under stirring at room temperature until the disappearance of (R)- α -methyl benzylamine, concentrating the reaction mixture under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate (50 ml) and washed with H₂O (15 ml), saturated NaCl brine (15 ml), dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash chromatography on silica gel, eluting with cyclohexane/ethyl acetate (20:80%, v/v) to give first the dialkyl product: diethyl (R)-2,2'-((1-phenylethyl)-azanediyl)-diacetate [Minor product] (0.176 g, 0.6 mmol, 8%) as an oil, followed by the monoalkyl product: ethyl (R)-(1-phenylethyl)glycinate [Major product] (1.18 g, 5.7 mmol, 78%) as an oil.

Results and Discussion

CP-MIMS-LEI Instrumentation

The CP-MIMS-LEI experimental system has been described elsewhere³⁰. A schematic diagram of the specific configuration used for synthetic reaction monitoring is given in Figure 1. Several modifications were necessary to reduce the analyte flux transferred to the MS ion source, allowing successful monitoring of high concentrations present in synthetic reaction mixtures. These included a shorter membrane, an increased methanol acceptor phase flowrate through the membrane lumen (100 μ L/min), and drastically reducing acceptor phase flowrate to the LEI interface (*ca* 50 nL/min, split ratio 1: 2000); all of which act to reduce the mass transfer of analyte to the MS. The sensitivity of the presented system is highly tunable, and may be adjusted according to the requirements of a given online reaction monitoring application.

Solvent-Membrane Compatibility Investigations

To date, the majority of CP-MIMS type measurements have been made in aqueous samples^{23, 25, 29-30}. It is known that solvent-membrane solubility can significantly influence membrane permeability³⁵⁻³⁷. We have begun to exploit acceptor phase co-solvents with CP-MIMS by forming *in situ* polymer inclusion membranes, which improve both the sensitivity and measurement duty cycle^{30, 36}. In addition, recent developments utilizing modified donor phases (*e.g.* mixed organic samplesolvents) with CP-MIMS have shown promise for making direct measurements of fatty acids³⁸. However, because synthetic reactions are most often conducted in nonaqueous solvents, the analytical performance of PDMS membranes in a variety of non-aqueous sample solvents was investigated.

A series of individual standards containing biphenyl or chlorobenzene in the 10 to 50 mM range were prepared in a wide range of common protic and aprotic solvents that could potentially be used for organic syntheses (acetonitrile, dichloromethane, N,N-dimethylformamide, ethanol, methanol). Analyte signals for CP-MIMS-LEI measurements for each standard were allowed to reach steady state, and were background-subtracted using the signal from the appropriate solvent blank (Table S-2 and S-3). In all cases, calibrations showed satisfactory linearity (R²≥0.98), suggesting broad applicability of CP-MIMS-LEI quantitative reaction monitoring in different solvent/reaction systems. The order of the relative sensitivities for both analytes (i.e. calibration slopes) in the different solvents was conserved., and faster t_{10} . 90% signal response times also correlated with greater sensitivities. These trends may be understood by considering the intrinsic factors governing membrane transport. For a given analyte, the steady-state signal intensity for a CP-MIMS measurement is related to membrane permeability (P), which can be expressed as the product of analyte diffusivity through the membrane (D_m) and the partitioning constant of the analyte between the membrane

and the sample $(K_{m-s}, \text{Eq. }1)^{39}$:

(1) $\mathbf{P} = K_{m-s} D_m$

The time required for an analyte signal to reach steady-state can be expressed as the $t_{10.90\%}$ response time and is inversely proportional to D_m (Eq. 2)⁴⁰.

(2) $t_{10-90\%} \propto l^2 / D_m$



The differing analyte response times in different solvents indicate changes in the corresponding diffusivities (D_m) , as these solvents swell membrane to varying extents and change their permeation characteristics. However, it is clear that the permeability is also affected by concomitant changes in the partitioning behavior (K_{m-s}) in different solvent systems.

Simultaneous Time Resolved MS and UV-Vis Detection

Because a large fraction of the (post-membrane) acceptor phase is diverted from the CP-MIMS-LEI combination via the passive flow splitter (Figure 1), this stream can also be analyzed by other continuous analyzers to provide orthogonal datasets. To demonstrate this, the diverted acceptor phase waste was passed through a capillary UV-Vis detector. Figure 2 illustrates an example of an orthogonal time series dataset obtained from MS and UV-Vis detection of a 25 mM chlorobenzene standard prepared in methanol (Original MS and UV-Vis recorded traces are given in the supporting information Figure S-1). As expected, the data illustrates identical time resolved signals, with superior signal-to-noise for the MS trace (due to the lower sensitivity of UV-Vis absorbance measurements). The time constant associated with the rise to steady-state signal is correlated with membrane transport kinetics and depends on a variety of factors including the membrane thickness, the size of the permeant and the presence of solvent co-permeants. The $t_{10-90\%}$ signal risetime for chlorobenzene under these conditions is 0.33 minutes, typical for the compounds studied here. The technique is therefore well suited for monitoring reactions that take place on the time scale of minutes to hours, applicable for a wide range of synthetic organic reactions. While spectroscopic methods can be utilized to characterize a number of reactions based upon changes in their optical properties, they are inherently less selective than MS, and may therefore be challenging in complex reaction mixtures with overlapping chromophores. This highlights the advantages of MS based reaction monitoring strategies.

Online Synthetic Reaction Monitoring Examples

As a first demonstration of CP-MIMS-LEI for in situ, continuous reaction monitoring, the catalytic oxidation of phenylacetylene to acetophenone in highly acidic methanol solvent was examined (Figure 3) using a single quadrupole MS system (SIM mode). As shown in Figure 3, phenylacetylene $(m/z \ 102)$ undergoes rapid catalytic conversion to the Markovnikov-favoured addition product, acetophenone (m/z 105, 100% vield). Concentrations were determined using direct calibrations made with methanol standards, and the $t_{10-90\%}$ signal rise times were ≤ 0.4 minutes (Table S-4), whereas the reaction occurred over ca 30 minutes. The presence of acetophenone was monitored by m/z 105 ([M- CH_3]⁺), chosen since it is free of isobaric interference from phenylacetaldehyde, a possible non-Markovnikov product. No substantial amount of phenylacetaldehyde was formed under the given reaction conditions, indicated by the stoichiometric mass balance illustrated in Figure 3.

The online reaction monitoring of phenylacetylene oxidation to acetophenone illustrates the significance of MIMS-LEI pairing, as both the reactant and product are incompatible with ESI based monitoring systems. As shown by the photo insets in Figure 3, the reaction is occurring in a heterogeneous (cloudy) system, which would pose serious problems if direct capillary sampling strategies (*e.g.* ESI) were employed.



Figure 2. Comparison of simultaneous online monitoring data measured by CP-MIMS-LEI (m/z 112) and parallel UV-Vis spectrophotometry detection (λ =260 nm) for 25 mM chlorobenzene in methanol (spiked at t = 0.2 minutes).

2

3

4

5



Figure 3. CP-MIMS-LEI online monitoring of the catalytic oxidation of phenylacetylene (A) to acetophenone (B) under highly acidic conditions.

The PDMS membrane provides an on-line 'clean-up' that effectively removes particulates, and charged corrosive components (*e.g.* sulfuric acid, chloroauric acid catalyst), while allowing hydrophobic molecules to permeate for continuous mass spectrometric analysis. While some oxidative damage was observed for the PDMS membrane near the completion of the reaction (due to the high concentration of sulfuric acid, ~1% v/v in methanol, larger images in Figure S-2), the membrane and/or probe assembly are both inexpensive and easily replaced within minutes, without the need to vent the MS. The LEI interface required no maintenance during the experiments here, but the LEI capillary may also be simply replaced as needed without venting the MS.

To further demonstrate the utility of CP-MIMS-LEI for online reaction monitoring, the synthesis of alkyl glycinates in an aprotic solvent was examined. Alkyl glycinates have been used as intermediates for the preparation of α -amino acids⁴¹, as well as building blocks in the preparation of peptidomimetics⁴². A synthesis strategy is presented in the reaction scheme given in Figure 4. While advantageous because of the mild reaction conditions, this reaction can be plagued by over-alkylation products, reducing the yield of a desired product⁴³. In this context, simultaneous monitoring of the formation of various alkylation products is of significant synthetic interest.

For this demonstration, the MS/MS system was employed. To initiate the reaction, ethylbromoacetate was added to reaction mixture at t = 2 min. Production of both monoalkylated (major product, 70% Yield) and dialkylated (minor product, 0.7% Yield) species was observed (Figure 4). The synthesis was conducted in acetonitrile with a basic catalyst, yielding an alkaline reaction mixture. Under these conditions, both the reactants and products are neutral, and successfully monitored by CP-MIMS-LEI. If attempted, direct sampling ESI reaction monitoring would not be satisfactory, as it would require post-

sampling pH adjustments to protonate the analytes, as well as dilution steps. Figure 4 also illustrates that the ethylbromoacetate alkylation reagent is consumed at a faster rate than α -methyl benzylamine. This is likely due to formation of the dialkylated product and the presence of trace moisture in the reaction system, possibly present in the triethylamine catalyst used. Information such as that shown in Figure 4 may be used for rapid, online reaction optimization, as the real-time data allows for continuous assessment of reagent purity, consumption, and production of possible side-products.

All reagents and products for this study demonstrated linear direct calibrations over the concentration ranges presented, and the $t_{10-90\%}$ signal rise times were significantly faster than the observed reaction rates (Table S-5). Even for reactions where product crystallization occurred over the course of a measurement (Figure 5), free solution concentration changes for reactants and products were still observable in these heterogeneous slurries, further demonstrating the robustness of the CP-MIMS-LEI synthesis monitoring strategy.

Conclusions

We demonstrate the use of an in-situ mass spectrometry based reaction monitoring technique compatible with complex, nonaqueous solutions. This approach yields real-time feedback for the optimization of synthetic reaction conditions and important mechanistic insight. The coupling of CP-MIMS and LEI exploits advantages of both methodologies, resulting in a robust system that allows the direct measurements of neutral organic analytes in complex, heterogeneous and corrosive reaction mixtures. CP-MIMS and LEI are ideally paired to suit the online measurement of a variety of synthetic reactants and products. Further, CP-MIMS-LEI quantitatively measures molecules that are not amenable to other online reaction monitoring strategies, such as direct sampling ESI approaches, providing a complementary strategy that addresses a significant gap in current synthetic reaction monitoring methodology using mass spectrometry. The quality of online quantitative data is ensured by both membrane selectivity and LEI's capacity to operate in the presence of co-permeating reagents, with concentrations varying in time, and by employing analytical calibrations obtained in the same solvents used for a given reaction. Although beyond the scope of the presented work, in future studies, the membrane may be replaced with one made from a different material (such as NafionTM) for more polar compound applications. Future work includes the use of online CP-MIMS monitoring simultaneously employing both LEI-MS and ESI-MS to enable the simultaneous online measurement of both charged and neutral species in synthetic reactions.

60



2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13 14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24 25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39 40 41

42 43

44

45

46

47

48

49

50

51

52

53

54

55

56

57 58 59

60

Figure 4. CP-MIMS-LEI demonstration of the quantitative online monitoring of an alkyl glycinate synthesis in dry acetonitrile with triethylamine (TEA) catalyst. A) (R)- α -methyl benzylamine; B) ethyl bromoacetate; C) ethyl (R)-(1-phenylethyl)glycinate; D) diethyl (R)-2,2'-((1-phenylethyl)-azanediyl)-diacetate.



Figure 5. Photograph of an alkylation reaction mixture with CP-MIMS-LEI probe in place illustrating crystallized product formation.

ASSOCIATED CONTENT

Supporting Information

Details of the synthesis and purification of the product standards, SIM and MRM acquisition parameters.

AUTHORS INFORMATION

Corresponding Authors

Page 6 of 9

* Professor Chris G. Gill, Ph.D., P. Chem.
Co-Director, Applied Environmental Research Laboratories (AERL) Chemistry Department
Vancouver Island University
900 Fifth Street, Nanaimo, BC
Canada V9R 5S5
Ph: 250-753-3245
Chris.Gill@viu.ca
* Professor Achille Cappiello
LC-MS Laboratory, Department of Pure and Applied Sciences
University of Urbino
Piazza Rinascimento, 6
Urbino, Italy 61029
Ph: +390722303344
achille.cappiello@uniurb.it

ORCID

Achille Cappiello: 0000-0002-6416-304X Chris G. Gill: 0000-0001-7696-5894

Author Contributions

[‡]These authors contributed equally.

Funding Sources

Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC), Discovery Grants Program Funding (RGPIN-2016-05380)

Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

The authors would like to thank the AERL and LC-MS group members for their contributions that helped make this work possible. The authors acknowledge the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada (NSERC) for research funding under the Discovery Grants Program (RGPIN-2016-05380), Urbino University for providing a visiting Professor Appointment for Prof. Gill during a portion of this work, and also Vancouver Island University and the University of Victoria for their on-going support of both faculty and graduate students. We gratefully acknowledge Agilent Technologies for providing the instrumentation used for this study.

REFERENCES

1. Chen, L.-A.; Sung, K. Direct UV Observation and Kinetic Studies of a α-Alkoxy Benzyloxy Radical. *Org. Lett.*, **2009**, *11*, 3370-3373.

2. Marchetti, F.; Pampaloni, G.; Pinzino, C. Roomtemperature long-lived [Nb2F11]– salts of radical cations of simple arenes: EPR, UV–Vis and DFT results. *J. Organomet. Chem.*, **2011**, *696*, 1294-1300.

3. Herrera, A.; Fernández-Valle, E.; Martínez-Álvarez, R.; Molero-Vílchez, D.; Pardo-Botero, Z. D.; Sáez-Barajas, E. Monitoring organic reactions by UF-NMR spectroscopy. *Magn. Reson. Chem.*, **2015**, *53*, 952-970.

4. Chen, C.-C.; Lin, P.-C. Monitoring of chemical transformations by mass spectrometry. *Anal. Methods*, **2015**, 7, 6947-6959.

60

	5. Santos, J. C.; Reis, M. M.; Machado, R. A. F.;	19. Brodbelt, J. S.; Cooks, R. G. An exceedingly simple
1	Bolzan, A.; Sayer, C.; Giudici, R.; Araújo, P. H. H. Online	mass spectrometer interface with application to reaction
2	Monitoring of Suspension Polymerization Reactions Using	monitoring and environmental analysis. Anal. Chem., 1985,
3	Raman Spectroscopy. Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2004, 43, 7282-	57, 1153-1155.
4	7289.	20. Johnson, R. C.; Koch, K.; Cooks, R. G. On-Line
5	6. Drexler, M. T.; Foley, D. A.; Ward, H. W.; Clarke,	Monitoring of Reactions of Epichlorohydrin in Water Using
6	H. J. IR and NMR Reaction Monitoring Techniques for	Liquid Membrane Introduction Mass Spectrometry. Ind. Eng.
7	Nucleophilic Addition Reactions: In Situ Monitoring of the	Chem. Res., 1999, 38, 343-351.
<i>/</i>	Addition of Benzimidazole to a Pyridinium Salt. Org. Process	21. Nelson, J. H. L.; Friesen, D. A.; Gill, C. G.; Krogh,
0	Res. Dev., 2015, 19, 1119-1127.	E. T. On-line measurement of oxidative degradation kinetics
9	7. Pulliam, C. J.; Bain, R. M.; Osswald, H. L.; Snyder,	for trace gasoline contaminants in aqueous solutions and
10	D. T.; Fedick, P. W.; Ayrton, S. T.; Flick, T. G.; Cooks, R. G.	natural water by membrane introduction tandem mass
11	Simultaneous Online Monitoring of Multiple Reactions Using	spectrometry. J. Environ. Sci. Health., Part A, 2010, 45, 1720-
12	a Miniature Mass Spectrometer. Anal. Chem., 2017, 89, 6969-	1731.
13	6975.	22. Letourneau, D. R.; Gill, C. G.; Krogh, E. T.
14	8. Luo, J.; Wu, Y.; Zijlstra, H. S.; Harrington, D. A.;	Photosensitized degredation kinetics of trace halogenated
15	McIndoe, J. S. Mass transfer and convection effects in small-	contaminants in natural waters using membrane introduction
16	scale catalytic hydrogenation. Catal. Sci. Technol., 2017, 7,	mass spectrometry as an in situ reaction monitor. Photochem.
17	2609-2615.	Photobiol. Sci., 2015, 14, 2108-2118.
10	9. Theron, R.; Wu, Y.; Yunker, L. P. E.; Hesketh, A.	23. Duncan, K. D.; Letourneau, D. R.; Vandergrift, G.
10	V.; Pernik, I.; Weller, A. S.; McIndoe, J. S. Simultaneous	W.; Jobst, K. J.; Reiner, E. J.; Gill, C. G.; Krogh, E. T. A
19	Orthogonal Methods for the Real-Time Analysis of Catalytic	semi-quantitative approach for the rapid screening and mass
20	Reactions. ACS Catal., 2016, 6, 6911-6917.	profiling of naphthenic acids directly in contaminated aqueous
21	10. Yunker, L. P. E.; Ahmadi, Z.; Logan, J. R.; Wu, W.;	samples. J. Mass Spectrom., 2015, 51, 44-52.
22	Li, T.; Martindale, A.; Oliver, A. G.; McIndoe, J. S. Real-Time	24. Duncan, K. D.; McCauley, E. P. B.; Krogh, E. T.;
23	Mass Spectrometric Investigations into the Mechanism of the	Gill, C. G. Characterization of a condensed-phase membrane
24	Suzuki–Miyaura Reaction. Organometallics, 2018, 37, 4297-	introduction mass spectrometry (CP-MIMS) interface using a
25	4308.	methanol acceptor phase coupled with electrospray ionization
26	11. Yan, X.; Sokol, E.; L1, X.; L1, G.; Xu, S.; Cooks, R.	for the continuous on-line quantitation of polar, low-volatility
27	G. On-Line Reaction Monitoring and Mechanistic Studies by	analytes at trace levels in complex aqueous samples. <i>Rapid</i>
28	Mass Spectrometry: Negishi Cross-Coupling, Hydrogenolysis,	Commun. Mass Spectrom., 2011, 25, 1141-1151.
29	and Reductive Amination. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2014, 53,	25. Duncan, K. D.; Vandergriff, G. W.; Krogh, E. T.;
30	5931-5935.	Gill, C. G. Ionization suppression effects with condensed
31	12. Huang, G.; Li, G.; Ducan, J.; Ouyang, Z.; Cooks, K.	phase memorane introduction mass spectrometry: methods to
27	G. Synchronized inductive Desorption Electrospray Ionization	Superformer 2015 50, 427, 442
5Z 22	Mass Spectrometry. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 2505-	Spectrom., 2015, $50, 457-445$.
33	2300. 12 — Pana I. Vikaa K. I. Janusson E. Taylor N.	20. Dunicali, K. D., Volinici, D. A., Gili, C. G., Kiogil, E. T. Panid Saraaning of Carboxylia Asids from Wasta and
34	MaIndoa I. S. Salvant affacts on surface activity of aggregate	Surface Water by ESI MS/MS Using Derium Ion Chamistry
35	ions in electrospray ionization Int. I Mass Spectrom 2014	and On Line Membrane Sampling L Am Soc Mass
36	373 66-71	Spectrom 2015 27 1/3-150
37	14 King R · Bonfiglio R · Fernandez-Metzler C ·	27 Duncan K D · Willis M D · Krogh F T · Gill C
38	Miller-Stein C: Olah T Mechanistic investigation of	G A miniature condensed nhase membrane introduction mass
39	ionization suppression in electrospray ionization <i>I</i> Am Soc	spectrometry (CP-MIMS) probe for direct and on-line
40	Mass Snectrom 2000 11 942-950	measurements of nharmaceuticals and contaminants in small
41	15 Cody R B Laramée I A Durst H D Versatile	complex samples Rapid Commun Mass Spectrom 2013 27
42	New Ion Source for the Analysis of Materials in Open Air	1213-1221
43	under Ambient Conditions. Anal. Chem. 2005 77 2297-2302	28. Willis, M. D.: Duncan, K. D.: Krogh E. T. Gill C.
44	16 Crawford E A · Esen C · Volmer D A Real Time	G Delicate polydimethylsiloxane hollow fibre membrane
45	Monitoring of Containerless Microreactions in Acoustically	interfaces for condensed phase membrane introduction mass
т.) Лб	Levitated Droplets via Ambient Ionization Mass Spectrometry.	spectrometry (CP-MIMS). Rapid Commun. Mass Spectrom.
40	Anal. Chem., 2016 , 88, 8396-8403.	2014 . <i>28</i> . 671-681.
47	17. Krogh. E. T.: Gill. C. G. Membrane introduction	29. Termopoli, V.: Famiglini, G.: Palma, P.: Cappiello,
48	mass spectrometry (MIMS): a versatile tool for direct. real-	A.; Vandergrift, G. W.; Krogh, E. T.; Gill. C. G. Condensed
49	time chemical measurements. J. Mass Spectrom., 2014, 49.	Phase Membrane Introduction Mass Spectrometry with Direct
50	1205-1213.	Electron Ionization: On-line Measurement of PAHs in
51	18. Krogh, E. T.; Gill, C. G., Condensed Phase	Complex Aqueous Samples. J. Am. Soc. Mass. Spectrom.
52	Membrane Introduction Mass Spectrometry - Continuous.	2015, 27, 301-308.
53	Direct and Online Measurements in Complex Samples. In	30. Vandergrift, G. W.; Monaghan, J.; Krogh, E. T.: Gill.
54	Advances in the Use of Liquid Chromatography Mass	C. G. Direct Analysis of Polyaromatic Hydrocarbons in Soil
55	Spectrometry (LC-MS): Instrumentation Developments and	and Aqueous Samples Using Condensed Phase Membrane
56	Applications, Cappiello, A.; Palma, P., Eds. Elsevier:	Introduction Tandem Mass Spectrometry with Low-Energy
57	Amsterdam, NL, 2018; pp 173-200.	Liquid Electron Ionization. Anal. Chem., 2019, 91, 1587-1594.
57		-
20		

Termopoli, V.; Famiglini, G.; Palma, P.; 31. Piergiovanni, M.; Cappiello, A. Atmospheric Pressure Vaporization Mechanism for Coupling a Liquid Phase with Electron Ionization Mass Spectrometry. Anal. Chem., 2017, 89, 2049-2056. 32. Clinton, R.; Creaser, C. S.; Bryant, D. Real-time monitoring of a pharmaceutical process reaction using a membrane interface combined with atmospheric pressure chemical ionisation mass spectrometry. Anal. Chim. Acta, 2005. 539. 133-140. Termopoli, V.; Famiglini, G.; Palma, P.; 33. Piergiovanni, M.; Rocio-Bautista, P.; Ottaviani, M. F.; Cappiello, A.; Saeed, M.; Perry, S. Evaluation of a liquid 12 electron ionization liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry interface. J. Chromatogr. A, 2019. 34. Leslie, J. M.; Tzeel, B. A. Gold(III)-Catalyzed 14 Hydration of Phenylacetylene. J. Chem. Educ., 2016, 93, 1100-1102. 16 35. Gelotte, K. M.; Lostritto, R. T. Solvent Interaction with Polydimethylsiloxane Membranes and Its Effects on 18 Benzocaine Solubility and Diffusion. Pharm. Res., 1990, 7, 523-529. Vandergrift, G. W.; Krogh, E. T.; Gill, C. G. Polymer 36. Inclusion Membranes with Condensed Phase Membrane Introduction Mass Spectrometry (CP-MIMS): Improved 23 Analytical Response Time and Sensitivity. Anal. Chem., 2017, 89, 5629-5636.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

15

17

19

20

21

22

60

Garcia-Rodríguez, A.; Matamoros, V.; Kolev, S. D.; 37. Fontàs, C. Development of a polymer inclusion membrane (PIM) for the preconcentration of antibiotics in environmental water samples. J. Membr. Sci., 2015, 492, 32-39.

38. Borden, S. A.; Damer, H. N.; Krogh, E. T.; Gill, C. G. Direct quantitation and characterization of fatty acids in salmon tissue by condensed phase membrane introduction mass spectrometry (CP-MIMS) using a modified donor phase. Anal. Bioanal. Chem., 2019, 411, 291-303.

Cussler, E. L., Diffusion, Mass transfer in fluid 39 systems. Cambridge University Press: New York, NY, 1984. 40. LaPack, M. A.; Tou, J. C.; Enke, C. G. Membrane mass spectrometry for the direct trace analysis of volatile organic compounds in air and water. Anal. Chem., 1990, 62, 1265-1271.

41. Yamashita, A.; Norton, E. B.; Williamson, R. T.; Ho, D. M.; Sinishtaj, S.; Mansour, T. S. Use of Bis-(chiral αmethylbenzyl)glycine Esters for Synthesis of Enantiopure β-Hydroxyamino Esters. Org. Lett., 2003, 5, 3305-3308.

Jahnsen, R. D.; Sandberg-Schaal, A.; Vissing, K. J.; 42. Nielsen, H. M.; Frimodt-Møller, N.; Franzyk, H. Tailoring Cytotoxicity of Antimicrobial Peptidomimetics with High Activity against Multidrug-Resistant Escherichia coli. J. Med. Chem., 2014, 57, 2864-2873.

Eller, K.; Henkes, E.; Rossbacher, R.; Höke, H., 43. Amines, Aliphatic. In Ullmann's Encyclopedia of Industrial Chemistry, Wiley-VCH: Weinheim, 2005.

