

View Article Online View Journal

Dalton Transactions

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: H. Cao, Q. Zhao, Q. Zhang, J. Li, E. J. M. Hamilton, J. Zhang, L. Wang and X. Chen, *Dalton Trans.*, 2016, DOI: 10.1039/C6DT01272H.



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/dalton

Journal Name

ARTICLE

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

Catalyst Design Based on Agostic Interaction: Synthesis, Characterization, and Catalytic Activity of Bis(pyrazolyl)borate Copper Complexes

Hou-Ji Cao,^a Qianyi Zhao,^a Qian-Fan Zhang,^b Jiaxuan Li,^a Ewan J. M. Hamilton,^c Jie Zhang,^a* Lai-Sheng Wang^{b,*} and Xuenian Chen^{a,*}

Agostic interactions are often used to activate inert C–H bonds, and thus facilitate new reactions. We report the first example of designed catalysts based on the agostic interaction. Novel copper(I) complexes $[BBN(pz^x)_2]Cu(PPh_3)_n$ (BBN = 9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane; $pz^x = 3$ -substituted pyrazole; x = H, n = 2; x = Me, n = 1) and $\{[BBN(pz^{iPr})_2]Cu\}_2$ have been synthesized and characterized. Single crystal studies of the three compounds show weak intramolecular C–H···Cu interactions which can be assigned as agostic or anagostic interactions. Catalytic studies of these complexes toward carbenoid insertion into N–H bonds indicate these weak interactions act as a "switch" which will be turned "on" if interacting with the substrate and "off" if eliminating the substrate and regenerating the weak interaction. The process of the "swith" turning "on" or "off", which is related to the catalytic effect, is found to be influenced by both steric effects and the solvent: a less sterically hindered catalyst in non-coordinating benzene results in high yield, while a more sterically hindered catalyst in coordinating THF results in relatively low yield.

Introduction

Published on 28 April 2016. Downloaded by University of Pittsburgh on 03/05/2016 07:50:34

In the past few decades, transition metal organometallic complexes have been found to show some unusual bonding modes. One typical example is the agostic interaction, usually considered as a 3-center-2-electron (3c-2e) bond and formed between a C–H σ bond and an unoccupied orbital of a transition metal center.¹⁻⁴ In addition, non-agostic and anagostic or pregostic are complementary terms used to describe systems that, while showing similar close C-H···M proximity, are not considered truly agostic in nature.⁵⁻⁷ Recently, these metal mediated interactions have been mainly considered candidates for possible catalytic C-H activation (further resulting in C-H bond elimination),8 alkane oxidative addition,9 Ziegler-Natta polymerization,^{10,11} transcyclometallation,¹² and cyclometallation of benzoquinoline.¹³

Neutron diffraction data show that agostic interactions usually lead to changes in interatomic distances, with the C–H bond engaged in agostic interactions being lengthened by about 5-10% than normal, while the M···H distance appears to be *ca*.



15-20% longer than a typical non-agostic M···H separation.¹

This observation implies that the M^{...}H bond due to the agostic interaction may be more flexible and easily cleaved.

Furthermore, solvent molecules can compete with agostic

on



^{a.} School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Henan Key Laboratory of Boron Chemistry and Advanced Energy Materials, Henan Normal University, Xinxiang, Henan 453007, China. E-mail: xnchen@htu.edu.cn, jie.zhang@htu.edu.cn

^{b.} Department of Chemistry, Brown University, Providence, Rhode Island 02912, USA. E-mail: lai-sheng_wang@brown.edu.

^{c.} Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, The Ohio State University at Lima, Lima, Ohio 45804, USA.

⁺Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: Experimental procedures and characterization data for all compounds are provided. CCDC 1442819– 1442821. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

ARTICLE

Since first reported 50 years ago by Trofimenko,¹⁶ polypyrazolylborate ligands have found applications in a wide range of chemistry from organic synthesis, to analytical chemistry, catalysis, and materials science.^{17,18} In our study, we have chosen one class of polypyrazolylborate ligands, the bis(pyrazolyl)borate ligand ([RR'B(pz^x)₂]⁻, Fig. 1), because most bis(pyrazolyl)borate complexes ([RR'B(pz^x)₂]ML_n, show C–H···M interactions and high stability, and yet the chemistry of these particular ligands is still relatively poorly developed. In addition, different substituents on the pyrazole rings may permit tuning of the catalytic activity through steric and electronic effects.



Fig. 1 Structures of the bis(pyrazolyl)borate ligand $[RR'B(pz^{x})_{2}]^{-1}$ and bis(pyrazolyl)borate complex $[RR'B(pz^{x})_{2}]ML_{n}$.

Herein we report the synthesis and characterization of the new Cu(I) complexes $[BBN(pz)_2]Cu(PPh_3)_2$ (4), $[BBN(pz^{Me})_2]Cu(PPh_3)$ (5) and $\{[BBN(pz^{iPr})_2]Cu\}_2$ dimer (6), and their catalytic activity toward carbene insertion into N–H bonds in different solvents. Single crystal X-ray structural analyses demonstrated that intramolecular C–H…Cu agostic or anagostic interactions occurred in all three compounds and these C–H…Cu interactions take part in catalytic cycles and act as a "switch". It is also found that the C–H…Cu interaction, the steric effect of the ligands, and the coordinating ability of the solvents synergistically influence these catalytic reactions.

Results and discussion

Syntheses and structural characterization of Copper(I) Complexes.

Complex 4 was prepared by direct reaction of $Na[BBN(pz)_2]$ (1)^{19,20} with an equimolar amount of $(PPh_3)_2CuNO_3$ in toluene at room temperature (RT) (Scheme 2). The white crystalline solid 4 is stable in air for months without any change, and no obvious decomposition is observed in solution under an N_2 atmosphere after a few days. It is soluble in many common organic solvents such as toluene, dichloromethane, or THF, but has low solubility in hexane.



Scheme 2 Syntheses of complexes 4 and 5.

A single-crystal X-ray diffraction study clarified the structure of **4**. As shown in Figure 2, the bis(pyrazolyl)borate ligand in **4** coordinates in a bidentate fashion with a bite angle N1–Cu1– N3 of 94.71 °. The central six-membered ring, Cu1-N1-N2-N3-N4-B1, displays an approximate boat conformation.²¹ The interaction between H43 on the C_a of BBN and Cu1 shows a distance of 2.66 Å and a C43–H43–Cu1 angle of 132.66 °. Agostic interactions tend to have shorter H^{...}M distances and smaller C–H^{...}M angles than those found in anagostic interactions; these are characterized by H^{...}M distances of 1.8~2.3 Å and C–H^{...}M angles of 90~140 ° for the former, and 2.3~2.9 Å and 110~170 ° for the latter.^{22,23} Therefore, the parameters for the interaction seen in **4** lie well within the typical range for anagostic interactions.



Fig. 2 The molecular structure of **4**. Hydrogen atoms on phenyl, pyrazole, and BBN rings (except C_{α} hydrogen) are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): Cu1–N1, 2.086(1); Cu1–N3, 2.107(1); Cu1–P1, 2.267(1); Cu1–P2, 2.317(1); N1–Cu1–N3, 94.71(5).

Consistent with the solid-state structure, the ¹H, ¹³C{¹H} and ¹³C-¹H HSQC NMR spectra show five aliphatic signals with relative integrals of 1:2:2:2:1 for the BBN moiety, which are comparable to those of the related $[BBN(pz^x)_2]RhL_n$ complex.⁵ The inequivalence of the 1 and 5, 2 and 4, 3 and 7, and 6 and 8-positions (numbered in Scheme 2) may be attributed to the restricted flexibility of the bicyclic BBN and the H^{...}Cu

Journal Name

Dalton Transactions Accepted Manuscrip

Journal Name

interaction, indicating that the solid structure is maintained in solution. $^{\rm 5}$

 $(2)^{5,19,20}$ Na[BBN(pz^{Me})₂] When was treated with (PPh₃)₂CuNO₃ under the same synthetic condition as that of complex 4, the crystalline solid 5 was obtained. Compound 5 has similar air stability, solubility and spectral signatures as 4. Single-crystal X-ray diffraction of 5 indicates that only one PPh₃ ligand is coordinated to the Cu center (Fig. 3), in contrast to 4 where two PPh₃ ligands are bonded to Cu (Fig. 2). The result is consistent with the fact that the R₃ substituent in an $[RR'B(pz^{x})_{2}]^{-}$ ligand has the most critical effect on the coordination chemistry of the $[RR'B(pz^{x})_{2}]^{-1}$ ligand, as it defines the size of the cavity for the metal center. The Cu center in 5 adopts sp^3 hybridization to form a distorted tetrahedral geometry involving two N atoms, one P atom, and an interaction with a C-H bonding electron pair. The H1…Cu1 distance of 2.22 Å in 5 is significantly shorter than the corresponding value in 4 (H43…Cu1 = 2.66 Å), while the C1– H1…Cu1 angle of 135.47° is only slightly larger than the corresponding angle in 4 (132.66%), indicating a stronger interaction between H1 and Cu1 that should be considered an agostic interaction.



Fig. 3 The molecular structure of **5**. Hydrogen atoms on phenyl, pyrazole, and BBN rings (except the C_{α} hydrogen) are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): Cu1–N1, 1.975(3); Cu1–N4, 1.998(3); Cu1–P1, 2.152(1); N1–Cu1–N4, 97.16(10).

In order to further examine the impact of steric effects on the structure and catalytic activity, the reaction of a more sterically **(3)**,^{19,20} $Na[BBN(pz^{iPr})_2]$ demanding complex, with (PPh₃)₂CuNO₃ was attempted, but no reaction occurred. This may be ascribed to the steric hindrance of both the iPr substituent on the pyrazole unit and the strong coordinating ability of the PPh3 ligand that prevent the approach of the [BBN(pz^{iPr})₂]⁻ anion to the metal center. An alternative copper(I) starting material featuring only weakly coordinating ligands, Cu(CH₃CN)₄PF₆, was then investigated. After running the reaction for 48 h in toluene at room temperature, we obtained an unexpected dimeric complex $\{[BBN(pz^{iPr})_2]Cu\}_2$ (6) (Scheme 3). Consequently, it seemed that ligand exchange

between $[BBN(pz^{iPr})_2]^-$ and PF_6^- , dissociation of VCH3CN0 and dimerization took place. DOI: 10.1039/C6DT01272H



Scheme 3 Synthesis of Complex 6.

The solid state structure of $\mathbf{6}$ is centrosymmetric as shown in Fig. 4. Each Cu(I) center is coordinated by two pyrazole groups from two different [BBN(pz^{iPr})₂]⁻ ligands. The interaction between α -C-H of BBN and Cu(I) belongs to the class of anagostic interactions according to its H11...Cu1 distance and C11-H11...Cu1 angle of 2.34 Å and 134.87 °, respectively. Also, an additional anagostic interaction was found at each Cu(I) center with the isopropyl C-H bonds, with H…Cu distances of 2.59 Å and 2.61 Å, and C11-H11...Cu1 angles of 119.53 ° and 117.87 ° (Fig. 4). The Cu(I)–Cu(I) distance of 2.86 Å falls within the range of a weak intramolecular interaction.²⁴ The interaction is also reflected in a nonlinear N1-Cu1-N4' angle of 163.32°. This relatively short metal-metal distance is not unusual in d¹⁰ complexes,²⁵⁻²⁹ and may be due to steric effects imposed by the ligand.³⁰⁻³² As a result, the formation of the dimeric structure of $\mathbf{6}$ further supports the influence of the R_3 substituent on the chemistry of the $[RR'B(pz^x)_2]^-$ ligand.



Fig. 4 The molecular structure of **6**. Most hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (deg): Cu1–N1, 1.892(1); Cu1–N4, 1.891(1); Cu1–Cu1,2.8649(4); N1–Cu1–N4', 163.32(5).

Journal Name

ARTICLE

Hence, we have observed that all three synthesized compounds contain intramolecular C–H···Cu agostic or anagostic interactions.^{5,19,21,33,34} While these weak interactions stabilize the compounds, they may also play an important role in catalytic transformations.¹⁵

Catalytic Activity of the Copper(I) Complexes. To verify the catalytic properties of the synthesized compounds 4-6, we investigated the catalytic transfer of a carbene moiety into an N-H bond, a very powerful tool for the construction of C-N bonds.³⁵⁻³⁸ Here, the carbene was generated as a metallo-carbenoid species via metal-catalyzed decomposition of the diazo reagent ethyl diazoacetate, (N=N=CHC(O)OC $_2H_5$, EDA). In the past few years, catalysts for this reaction have undergone great evolution, from noble metals Rh^{39-44} and $Ru^{45,46}$ to much more low-cost Cu^{-47-52} and Fe-complexes⁵³⁻⁵⁸ and even metal-free systems.^{59,60} In the present study, we investigated the ability of the new Cu(I) complexes prepared herein to decompose EDA and subsequently transfer the resulting carbene CHCO₂Et group into the N-H bonds catalytically. All reactions were performed with EDA and arylamines (PhNH₂ and Ph_2NH) in the presence of 2.5 mol% (4 and 5) or 1.25 mol% (6) as catalysts at room temperature. The results are displayed in Table 1.

It should be noted that no product of insertion into the N–H bond was found in the absence of a catalyst (entries 1 and 2) and all catalytic insertion reactions were clean, proceeding without formation of diethyl maleate or other by-products (entries 3 to 18).

Table 1 N–H Functionalization Reaction by Carbene Insertion.

Entry	substrate	catalyst	Solvent	Yield(%) ^d
1	PhNH ₂	None	Benzene	$0^{\rm e}$
2	Ph_2NH	None	Benzene	$0^{\rm e}$
3	$PhNH_2$	4 ^a	Benzene	55
4	$PhNH_2$	4 ^a	THF	24
5	$PhNH_2$	5 ^a	Benzene	>95
6	$PhNH_2$	5 ^a	THF	53
7	$PhNH_2$	6 ^b	Benzene	78
8	$PhNH_2$	6 ^c	Benzene	>95
9	$PhNH_2$	6 ^b	THF	63
10	$PhNH_2$	6 ^c	THF	86
11	Ph_2NH	4 ^a	Benzene	45
12	Ph_2NH	4 ^a	THF	33
13	Ph_2NH	5 ^a	Benzene	85
14	Ph_2NH	5 ^a	THF	52
15	Ph_2NH	6 ^b	Benzene	75
16	Ph_2NH	6 ^c	Benzene	>98
17	Ph ₂ NH	6 ^b	THF	48
18	Ph ₂ NH	6 ^c	THF	84

^{*a*}Reaction conditions: arylamine/EDA/catalyst (100:40:1) in solvent (10 mL) at room temperature for 12 h. ^{*b*}Reaction conditions: arylamine/EDA/catalyst (200:80:1) in solvent (10 mL) at room temperature for 12 h.^{*c*}Reaction conditions: arylamine/EDA/catalyst (200:80:1) in solvent (10 mL) at room temperature for 24 h. ^{*d*}Yield of isolated product by column chromatography. ^{*e*}No reaction.

Compound 5 can be considered a 16e or 18e complex, depending on whether the C–H bonding electron pair is counted. When used in the catalytic transfer of a carbene moiety into the N–H bond in the non-coordinating solvent benzene, it appears

from NMR spectra that **5** still maintains its solid state structure, where C-H is believed to interact with Cu 10ka/anD ages and interaction with an sp^3 orbital. When substrate EDA attacks the Cu center, the C-H...Cu interaction is broken to provide a vacant coordination site. The catalyst turns "on" to form the carbene intermediate Cu=CHCO₂Et with cocomitant elimination of N₂ (Scheme 4). Subsequently, arylamines, PhR'NH, react with the carbene to give PhR'NCH₂CO₂Et and regenerate **5**.



Scheme 4 The proposed mechanism for catalytic N–H insertion.

In comparison, compound 4 is a saturated 18e complex. This electronic saturation may preclude it from readily participating in the catalytic reaction. However, 4 did show observable catalytic activity, although its efficiency is dramatically decreased in comparison with that of 5 (entries 3 and 5). A plausible explanation is that an initial decoordination of the PPh₃ ligand takes place to give the catalytic site,⁶¹ which is stabilized by an anagostic interaction and then undergoes a similar catalytic cycle as complex 5. The eliminated PPh₃ was determined to form Ph₃P=CHCO₂Et by analysis of the crude product by ³¹P{¹H}NMR. Hence, a side reaction might be occurring between EDA and the dissociated PPh3.62 To test this assumption, a supplementary run in the presence of excess PPh₃ was carried out (see Supporting Information for details). Under these conditions, catalytic insertion of the N-H bonds was not observed. In contrast, Ph3P=CHCO2Et was the dominant product. This observation indicates that EDA reacted with PPh₃ in advance of interacting with the Cu catalyst to form the carbene, further confirming that it is the elimination of the PPh₃ ligand that induces the (relatively low) catalytic activity of 4.

For the dimeric compound **6**, there are only two N atoms interacting with each Cu center through chemical bonds, with the coordination sphere of each Cu being completed by weak interactions. Therefore, it has available coordination sites that should result in increased catalytic efficiency. However, the reaction rate was slow, as shown by comparison of entries 5 and 7 in Table 1. This low catalytic efficiency is believed to be due to steric effects of the bulky *i*Pr substituent groups. Thus, the reaction time was extended to 24 h and higher catalytic efficiency was observed (compare entries 7 and 8 in Table 1).

The mechanism was further confirmed by carrying out the catalytic reaction in the coordinating solvent THF. Catalytic efficiency of all reactions was decreased significantly in THF

ns Accepted Manu

Journal Name

solution. One reason is that the strong coordinating ability of THF disturbs or displaces agostic interactions to occupy an active site of the catalyst. Another reason may be competition between THF and substrate to interact with Cu, decelerating the rate of formation of the copper carbene intermediate. Considering that THF has been shown to displace an agostic interaction in a Ti complex,¹⁴ it is believed that the first reason may be the more important but both factors cannot be ruled out as potential influences on the reaction process.

A set of analogous reactions was performed using Ph_2NH in place of $PhNH_2$, and similar results were obtained (see entries 11 to 18 in Table 1), implying that the substitution of hydrogen by the bulkier phenyl group has no significant influence on the reaction.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we report the design and syntheses of novel Cu(I) complexes with interesting catalytic properties due to the inherent structural feature of an intramolecular C-H…Cu interaction. These compounds are synthesized by reaction of a Cu(I) precursor with the $[BBN(pz^*)_2]^-$ ligand. All the Cu(I) complexes have been structurally characterized and possess agostic or anagostic C-H…Cu interactions. Their catalytic behaviors toward carbenoid insertion into N-H bonds are examined, demonstrating that the C-H…Cu interaction acts as a "switch", with the "off" state featuring an agostic interaction and the "on" state featuring the binding of the substrate to the Cu(I) center. It is found that steric effects and the coordinating ability of the chosen solvent both influence the catalytic ability of the Cu(I) complexes: higher yields are observed for less sterically crowded catalysts in non-coordinating benzene while relatively low yields are observed for more sterically crowded catalysts in coordinating THF.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21371051, 21501048 and 21571052). We are also grateful for the financial support from Henan Province (14B150032).

Notes and references

- 1 M. Brookhart and M. L. Green, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1983, **250**, 395.
- 2 M. Brookhart, M. L. Green and L. L. Wong, *Progress in Inorganic Chemistry*, 1988, **36**, 1.
- 3 R. N. Perutz and S. Sabo-Etienne, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 2578.
- 4 M. Lein, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2009, 253, 625.
- 5 M. Bortolin, U. E. Bucher, H. Riregser and L. M. Venanzi, Organometallics, 1992, **11**, 2514.
- 6 W. I. Sundquist, D. P. Bancroft and S. J. Lippard, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1990, **112**, 1590.
- 7 D. Braga, F. Grepioni, E. Tedesco, K. Biradha and G. R. Desiraju, *Organometallics*, 1997, **16**, 1846.
- 8 B. Rybtchinski, L. Konstantinovsky, L. J. Shimon, A. Vigalok and D. Milstein, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2000, **6**, 3287

- 9 R. H. Crabtree, J. Organomet. Chem, 2004, 689, 4083.
- T. Ziegler, *Can. J. Chem.*, 1995, **73**, 7430,1039/C6DT01272H
 H. H. Brintzinger, D. Fischer, R. Mülhaupt, B. Rieger and
- R. M. Waymouth, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 1995, **34**, 1143
- 12 I. Omae, J. Organomet. Chem., 2011, 696, 1128.
- 13 E. Clot, O. Eisenstein, T. Dubé, J. W. Faller and R. H. Crabtree, *Organometallics*, 2002, **21**, 575.
- 14 E. Ding, B. Du, F.-C. Liu, S. Liu, E. A. Meyers and S. G. Shore, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2005, **44**, 4871.
- 15 M. A. Ortuno, P. Vidossich, G. Ujaque, S. Conejero and A. Liedos, *Dalton Trans.*, 2013, **42**, 12165.
- 16 S. Trofimenko, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1966, 88, 1842.
- 17 J. A. McCleverty and T. J. Meyer, *Comprehensive coordination chemistry II*, Elsevier, 2003, Volume 1.
- 18 S. Trofimenko, Scorpionates: *The Coordination Chemistry of Polypyrazolylborate Ligands*, Imperial College Press, London, 1999.
- 19 M. H. Chisholm, S. S. Iyer and W. E. Streib, *New J. Chem.*, 2000, **24**, 393.
- 20 S. Trofimenko, J. C. Calabrese and J. S. Thompson, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 1989, 28, 205.
- 21 W. Kläui, B. Turkowski, G. Rheinwald and H. Lang, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.* 2002, **2002**, 205.
- 22 M. K. Yadav, G. Rajput, L. B. Prasad, M. G. B. Drew and N. Singh, *New. J. Chem.*, 2015, **39**, 5493.
- 23 M. Brookhart, M. L. Green and G. Parkin, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA*, 2007, **104**, 6908.
- 24 E. Kühnel, I. V. Shishkov, F. Rominger, T. Oeser and P. Hofmann, *Organometallics*, 2012, **31**, 8000.
- 25 P. Pyykkö, Chem. Rev., 1997, 97, 597.
- 26 P. Pyykkö and F. Mendizabal, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 1997, **3**, 1458.
- 27 P. Pyykkö, N. Runeberg and F. Mendizabal, *Chem.-Eur. J*, 1997, **3**, 1451.
- 28 P. Pyykkö and Y. Zhao, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 1991, 30, 604.
- 29 P. Pyykkö, Chem. Rev., 1988, 88, 563.
- 30 F. A. Cotton, X. Feng and D. J. Timmons, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1998, **37**, 4066.
- 31 S. W. Lee and W. C. Trogler, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1990, **29**, 1659.
- 32 F. A. Cotton, X. Feng, M. Matusz and R. Poli, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1988, **110**, 7077.
- 33 K. Niedenzu, J. Serwatowski and S. Trofimenko, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1991, **30**, 524.
- 34 S. Trofimenko, J. C. Calabrese and J. S. Thompson, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1992, **31**, 974.
- 35 M. P. Doyle, M. A. McKervey and T. Ye, Modern Catalytic Methods for Organic Synthesis with Diazo Compounds, Wiley, 1998.
- 36 C. J. Moody, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 9148.
- 37 D. Gillingham and N. Fei, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2013, 42, 4918.
- 38 S.-F. Zhu and Q.-L. Zhou, Acc. Chem. Res., 2012, 45, 1365.
- 39 O. Pavlyuk, H. Teller and M. C. McMills, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2009, **50**, 2716.
- 40 D. Lecercle, S. Gabillet, J. M. Goinis and F. Taran, Tetrahedron Lett., 2008, 49, 2083.
- 41 H. Matsushita, S.-H. Lee, K. Yoshida, B. Clapham, G. Koch, J. Zimmermann and K. D. Janda, Org. Lett., 2004, 6, 4627.
- 42 J. R. Davies, P. D. Kane and C. J. Moody, *Tetrahedron*, 2004, **60**, 3967.
- 43 A. C. B. Burtoloso and C. R. D. Correia, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2004, **45**, 3355.

Dalton Transactions Accepted Manuscript

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/C6DT01272H

- 44 C. Bolm, A. Kasyan, K. Drauz, K. Günther and G. Raabe, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2000, **39**, 2288.
- 45 R. Varala, R. Enugala and S. R. Adapa, *Monatsh. Chem.*, 2008, **139**, 1369.
- 46 Q. H. Deng, H. W. Xu, A. W. H. Yuen, Z. J. Xu and C. M. Che, Org. Lett, 2008, **10**, 1529.
- 47 K. Tishinov, N. Fei and D. Gillingham, *Chem. Sci.*, 2013, 4, 4401.
- 48 Z. R. Hou, J. Wang, P. He, J. Wang, B. Qin, X. H. Liu, L. L. Lin and X. M. Feng, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 4763.
- 49 J. A. Flores, V. Badarinarayana, S. Singh, C. J. Lovely and H. V. R. Dias, *Dalton Trans.*, 2009, 7648.
- 50 B. Liu, S. F. Zhu, W. Zhang, C. Chen and Q. L. Zhou, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2007, **129**, 5834.
- 51 S. Bachmann, D. Fielenbach and K. A. Jorgensen, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2004, 2, 3044.
- M. E. Morilla, M. M. Diaz-Requejo, T. R. Belderrain, M. C. Nicasio, S. Trofimenko and P. J. Perez, *Chem. Commun.*, 2002, 2998.
- 53 J. Yoo, N. Park, J. H. Park, J. H. Park, S. Kang, S. M. Lee, H. J. Kim, H. Jo, J. G. Park and S. U. Son, *ACS Catal.*, 2015, **5**, 350.
- 54 G. Sreenilayam and R. Fasan, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 1532.
- 55 Z. J. Wang, N. E. Peck, H. Renata and F. H. Arnold, *Chem. Sci.*, 2014, **5**, 598.
- 56 I. Aviv and Z. Gross, Chem.-Eur. J., 2008, 14, 3995.
- 57 L. K. Baumann, H. M. Mbuvi, G. Du and L. K. Woo, *Organometallics*, 2007, **26**, 3995.
- 58 I. Aviv and Z. Gross, *Chem. Commun.*, 2006, 4477.
- 59 J. Akbari, A. Ebrahimi and A. Heydari, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2014, **55**, 5417.
- 60 S. R. Hansen, J. E. Spangler, J. H. Hansen and H. M. L. Davies, *Org. Lett.*, 2012, **14**, 4626.
- 61 M. A. Fuentes, E. Alvarez, A. Caballero and P. J. Perez, Organometallics, 2012, **31**, 959.
- 62 G. A. Ardizzoia, S. Brenna, S. Durini and B. Therrien, *Organometallics*, 2012, **31**, 5427.



The first example of designed catalysts based on the agostic-like weak interaction acts as a "switch" which will be turned "on" and "off" during the catalytic process.