Synthesis of Novel Oxazolyl-indoles

Sudipta Roy,^a Sakhina Haque,^b Gordon W. Gribble^{*a}

^a Department of Chemistry, Dartmouth College, Hanover, NH 03755, USA Fax +1(603)6463946; E-mail: ggribble@dartmouth.edu

^b Department of Medicine, Microbiology and Immunology, Dartmouth Medical School, Lebanon, NH 03756, USA *Received 10 July 2006*

Abstract: We describe the synthesis of oxazolyl-indoles that are structurally related to pimprinaphine. The effect of the indole *N*-cy-anoalkyl substituents on the 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzo-quinone (DDQ) mediated oxidation was evaluated.

Key words: oxazolyl-indoles, Yonemitsu reaction, DDQ-mediated oxidation, cyclizations, coupling

Pimprinaphine (1) is a 2,5-disubstituted (3-indolyl)oxazole that was isolated from Streptoverticillium olivoreti-(Figure 1).^{1,2} The related (3-indolyl)oxazole culi pimprinine (2) was isolated from *Streptomyces pimprina*, pimprinethine (3) was isolated from Streptomyces cinnamoneus, and WS-30581A (4) and WS-30581B (5) were isolated from Streptoverticillium wasksmanni.^{3,4} All of these compounds 1-5 show interesting biological activities. For example, pimprinine (2) inhibits monoamine oxidase (MAO) and has an anti-epileptic effect, while compounds 4 and 5 have potent inhibitory effects on platelet aggregation. Recently, Pettit and co-workers isolated the new oxazolyl-indoles in this series, labradorin 1 (6) and labradorin 2 (7), from Pseudomonas syringae, which were found to be potent inhibitors against human cancer cells.⁵ Oxazole subunits are also found in other biologically active natural products.⁶ Although the syntheses of 1-7 have been reported,⁷ bis(indolyl)oxazoles related to pimprinaphine (1) are unknown in the literature.

We herein describe the synthesis of novel bis(indolyl)oxazoles $\mathbf{8}$, $\mathbf{9}^8$ and the parent compound $\mathbf{10}$.

For the synthesis of **8** and **9**, tryptamine hydrochloride was first protected using di*-tert*-butyl dicarbonate in the presence of triethylamine to furnish **11** in quantitative yield.⁹ Cyanoalkyl groups were then attached to the indole nitrogen of **11** to furnish **12** and **13** in 67% and 60% yields, respectively (Scheme 1).



Scheme 1



Figure 1

SYNTHESIS 2006, No. 23, pp 3948–3954 Advanced online publication: 12.10.2006 DOI: 10.1055/s-2006-950318; Art ID: M04506SS © Georg Thieme Verlag Stuttgart · New York

Compounds **12** and **13** were then subjected to 2,3-dichloro-5,6-dicyano-1,4-benzoquinone (DDQ) mediated oxidation² to give the desired products **14** and **15**, respectively (Scheme 2). A small difference in yields was observed in this DDQ-mediated oxidation.

To study the DDQ-mediated oxidation more carefully, we subjected compound **16**, prepared from **11**, to the same reaction conditions (Scheme 3). A striking reduction in yield and rate of reaction was observed in the preparation of **17**. The DDQ-mediated oxidation was found to be very difficult for **16**, which we believe could be due to the electron-withdrawing effect of the cyanomethyl substituent. A longer reaction time was required even in the presence of three equivalents of DDQ. We have previously observed a similar effect in the Pd(II)-catalyzed oxidative cyclization of a bisindolylmaleimide bearing a cyanomethyl functionality.^{8a}





The Boc groups of **14** and **15** were deprotected using trifluoroacetic acid to furnish the free amine as a trifluoroacetic acid salt in quantitative yield (Scheme 4).¹⁰ The crude products **18** and **19**, which were reasonably pure by proton-NMR, were used in the next step without further purification. Coupling of **18** and **19** with *N*-methylindole3-acetic acid (20)¹¹ in the presence of *N*-ethyl-*N'*-(3-dimethylaminopropyl)carbodiimide and *N*-hydroxybenzotriazole gave the ketoamides 21 and 22 in 70% and 63% yields, respectively. Finally, compounds 21 and 22 were treated with triphenylphosphine and iodine in the presence of triethylamine¹² to furnish the target oxazoles 8 and 9. A higher yield was obtained for oxazole 9 whereas a lower yield was registered for 8, perhaps due to the decomposition of the starting material 21 via a retro-Michael reaction and loss of acrylonitrile in the presence of triethylamine.

In an initial biological study, an in vitro proliferation assay of the new oxazolyl-indole **9** was performed. A dosedependent proliferative response of murine T cells was apparent when cells were stimulated with oxazole **9** at a concentration range of 2–8 nM.^{13,14} Further biological evaluation of these compounds is in progress.



Scheme 3



Scheme 4



Scheme 5

In order to synthesize **10**, we acylated indole with chloroacetyl chloride in pyridine to furnish **23** (Scheme 5).¹⁵ The chloride **23** was subsequently converted to the azide **24** by treatment with sodium azide in acetone–water in 97% yield.¹⁶ The azide **24** was easily converted to the amine hydrochloride **25** in excellent yield by hydrogenation over palladium-on-carbon in the presence of hydrochloric acid in methanol.¹⁷ No column chromatography was necessary for the preparation of the hydrochloride salt **25** from indole via this three-step sequence.

The amine hydrochloride **25** could be coupled with indole-3-acetic acid (**26**) in the previous manner to produce the corresponding ketoamide, but we decided on a different method. In the event, coupling of the azide **24** with indole-3-acetic acid (**26**) in the presence of trimethylphosphine in tetrahydrofuran-toluene furnished ketoamide **27** in high yield (Scheme 6).¹⁸ This N-unsubstituted ketoamide **27** did not furnish the oxazole **10** upon treatment with triphenylphosphine and iodine even in the presence of excess triethylamine. Ketoamide **27** was finally treated with phosphorus oxychloride in pyridine to furnish the target molecule **10** in good yield.¹⁹

In summary, we have synthesized novel bis(indolyl)oxazoles **8**, **9** and **10**. A strong deactivating effect was observed for the DDQ-mediated oxidation of indole **16** bearing an *N*-cyanomethyl group, indicating that an electron-withdrawing group in simple indoles would generally disfavor the Yonemitsu oxidation.²⁰

Melting points were determined with a Mel-Temp Laboratory Device apparatus and are uncorrected. IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin–Elmer 600 series FTIR spectrophotometer. ¹H and ¹³C NMR

spectra were recorded on either a Varian XL-300 or 500 Fourier transform NMR spectrometer. Both low- and high-resolution mass spectra were carried out at the Mass Spectrometry Laboratory, School of Chemical Sciences, University of Illinois at Urbana Champaign. Anhydrous THF and CH_2Cl_2 were prepared by a solvent purification system. All other solvents (analytical grade) including anhydrous solvents and reagents were used as received. All experiments were performed under a nitrogen atmosphere.

1,1-Dimethylethyl[2-(1*H*-indol-3-yl)ethyl]carbamate (11)

To a stirred solution of tryptamine hydrochloride (5.90 g, 30 mmol) and Et_3N (12.7 mL, 90 mmol) in dioxane (25 mL) at r.t. was added dropwise a solution of Boc₂O (7.20 g, 33 mmol) in dioxane (25 mL). The mixture was stirred at r.t. for 24 h. EtOAc (150 mL) was added and the mixture was washed with H₂O (3 × 100 mL), brine (100 mL) and then dried over Na₂SO₄. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexanes–EtOAc, 2:1) to yield the desired product (7.81 g, 100%) as a white solid.

Mp 88–90 °C (Lit.⁹ 94–95 °C).

IR (thin film): 3411, 3327, 2976, 1692, 1512, 1457, 1366, 1250, 1169, 742 $\rm cm^{-1}.$

¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 8.27$ (br s, 1 H), 7.62 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.38 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.21–7.24 (m, 1 H), 7.13–7.16 (m, 1 H), 7.02 (s, 1 H), 4.67 (br s, 1 H), 3.48 (m, 2 H), 2.97 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 2 H), 1.47 (s, 9 H).

 ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 156.3, 136.6, 127.5, 122.3, 122.2, 119.5, 118.9, 113.1, 111.4, 79.4, 41.1, 28.6, 26.0.

1,1-Dimethylethyl{2-[1-(2-cyanoethyl)-1*H*-indol-3-yl]ethyl}carbamate (12)

To a stirred solution of **11** (2.60 g, 10 mmol) and acrylonitrile (1.4 mL, 20 mmol) in dioxane–THF (60 mL, 1:1) at 0 °C was added dropwise a catalytic amount (0.5 mL) of Triton-B (40% benzyltrimethylammonium hydroxide solution in MeOH). The reaction mixture was stirred for 4 h allowing it to warm to r.t. It was then heated at 70 °C for 2 h. The mixture was cooled to r.t. and poured into H₂O (50 mL). It was acidified with HCl (0.5 N, 25 mL) and the aq phase was extracted with EtOAc (2×75 mL). The combined organic layer was washed with H₂O (50 mL), brine (50 mL) and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexanes–EtOAc, 1:1) to yield the desired product (2.09 g, 67%) as a white solid.

Mp 85-87 °C.

IR (thin film): 3415, 2975, 2932, 2251, 1700, 1512, 1467, 1366, 1250, 1172, 743 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.62 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.24–7.30 (m, 2 H), 7.14–7.17 (m, 1 H), 6.98 (s, 1 H), 4.36 (t, *J* = 6.71 Hz, 2 H), 3.43 (m, 2 H), 2.93 (t, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 2 H), 2.75 (t, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 2 H), 1.45 (s, 9 H).

¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 156.0, 135.8, 128.4, 125.3, 122.3, 119.7, 119.5, 117.5, 113.4, 108.8, 67.0, 58.9, 41.9, 28.4, 25.8, 19.1.



Scheme 6

Synthesis 2006, No. 23, 3948–3954 $\,$ © Thieme Stuttgart \cdot New York

MS (EI): *m*/*z* (%) = 313 [M⁺], 257, 240, 212, 183 (100), 156, 143, 106, 91.

HRMS (EI): *m*/*z* calcd for C₁₈H₂₃N₃O₂: 313.1790; found: 313.1795.

1,1-Dimethylethyl[2-[1-(3-cyanopropyl)-1*H*-indol-3-yl]ethvl]carbamate (13)

To a stirred suspension of NaH (60% dispersion in mineral oil, 0.84 g, 21 mmol) in DMF (10 mL) at 0 °C was added dropwise a solution of **11** (3.65 g, 14 mmol) dissolved in DMF (15 mL). The mixture was stirred 0 °C for 30 min and then a solution of bromobutyronitrile (2.61 g, 17.5 mmol) in DMF (10 mL) was added dropwise. The mixture was allowed to warm to r.t. and stirred for 40 h. It was then cooled to 0 °C and cold H₂O (100 mL) was added very slowly. The aq phase was extracted with EtOAc (2×50 mL). The combined organic phase was washed with H₂O (3×50 mL), brine (50 mL) and dried over Na₂SO₄. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexanes–EtOAc, 1:1) to yield the desired product (2.74 g, 60%) as a yellowish oil.

IR (thin film): 3365, 2974, 2932, 2246, 1699, 1511, 1468, 1365, 1249, 1170, 742 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.64 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.34 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.25–7.28 (m, 1 H), 6.96 (s, 1 H), 4.68 (br s, 1 H), 4.28 (t, *J* = 6.4 Hz, 2 H), 3.46 (m, 2 H), 2.96 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2 H), 2.25–2.28 (m, 2 H), 2.19 (quin, *J* = 6.7 Hz, 2 H), 1.46 (s, 9 H).

 ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 156.1, 136.4, 128.3, 125.7, 122.3, 119.5, 118.9, 112.9, 109.3, 44.3, 41.1, 28.6, 26.2, 25.9, 14.8.

MS (EI): m/z (%) = 327 [M⁺], 271, 254, 210, 197 (100), 143, 130.

HRMS (EI): *m/z* calcd for C₁₉H₂₅N₃O₂: 327.1947; found: 327.1945.

1,1-Dimethylethyl[2-[1-(2-cyanoethyl)-1*H*-indol-3-yl]-2-oxoeth-yl]carbamate (14)

To a stirred solution of **12** (0.94 mg, 3 mmol) in THF–H₂O (50 mL, 9:1) at 0 °C was added DDQ (1.37 g, 6 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 3 h at 0 °C, then slowly allowed to warm to r.t. and continued to stir for 4 h. It was then poured into EtOAc (100 mL), washed with 5% NaOH solution (100 mL), sat. aq NaHCO₃ solution $(2 \times 100 \text{ mL})$ and dried over Na₂SO₄. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexanes–EtOAc, 1:2) to yield the desired product (0.60 g, 61%) as a white solid.

Mp 126-128 °C.

IR (thin film): 3418, 2977, 2252, 1705, 1657, 1529, 1392, 1166, 749 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6): $\delta = 8.52$ (s, 1 H), 8.17 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.71 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.24–7.32 (m, 2 H), 7.02 (t, J = 5.8 Hz, 2 H), 4.58 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2 H), 4.28 (d, J = 6.1 Hz, 2 H), 3.15 (d, J = 6.6 Hz, 2 H), 1.41 (s, 9 H).

¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6): $\delta = 190.4$, 156.0, 136.2, 135.9, 125.8, 123.2, 122.4, 121.4, 118.5, 113.6, 110.9, 77.9, 46.8, 28.2, 18.4.

MS (EI): m/z (%) = 327 [M⁺], 271, 254, 213, 197 (100), 129.

HRMS (EI): m/z calcd for $C_{18}H_{21}N_3O_3$: 327.1583; found: 327.1580.

A small amount of unreacted starting material (0.22 g, 23%) was recovered after column chromatography.

1,1-Dimethylethyl[2-[1-(3-cyanopropyl)-1*H*-indol-3-yl]-2-oxoethyl]carbamate (15)

To a stirred solution of **13** (1.34 g, 4.09 mmol) in THF–H₂O (50 mL, 9:1) at 0 °C was added DDQ (1.86 g, 8.18 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 8 h at 0 °C. It was then poured into EtOAc (100 mL), washed with 5% NaOH solution (100 mL), sat. aq NaHCO₃ solution (2 × 100 mL), brine (100 mL) and dried over Na₂SO₄. The solvent

was evaporated and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexanes–EtOAc, 1:2) to yield the desired product (1.12 g, 80%) as a yellowish oil.

IR (thin film): 3416, 2976, 2931, 2360, 2247, 1705, 1652, 1527, 1393, 1163, 748 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): $\delta = 8.30-8.32$ (m, 1 H), 7.85 (s, 1 H), 7.38–7.40 (m, 1 H), 7.30–7.36 (m, 2 H), 5.65 (br s, 1 H), 4.52 (s, 2 H), 4.34 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 2 H), 2.32 (t, J = 6.1 Hz, 2 H), 2.23 (quin, J = 6.7 Hz, 2 H), 1.48 (s, 9 H).

 ^{13}C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 189.5, 156.1, 136.5, 133.9, 126.3, 124.2, 123.3, 122.7, 118.4, 114.8, 109.9, 79.8, 47.8, 45.3, 28.5, 25.8, 14.8.

MS (EI): m/z (%) = 341 [M⁺], 268, 211 (100), 144.

HRMS (EI): *m/z* calcd for C₁₉H₂₃N₃O₃: 341.1740; found: 341.1745.

A trace amount of unreacted starting material (0.08 g, 6%) was recovered after column chromatography.

1,1-Dimethylethyl[2-(1-cyanomethyl-1*H*-indol-3-yl)ethyl]carbamate (16)

To a stirred suspension of NaH (60% dispersion in mineral oil, 0.84 g, 21 mmol) in DMF (10 mL) at 0 °C was added dropwise a solution of **11** (3.65 g, 14 mmol) dissolved in DMF (15 mL). The mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 30 min and then a solution of bromoacetonitrile (2.11 g, 17.5 mmol) in DMF (10 mL) was added dropwise. The mixture was allowed to warm to r.t. and stirred for 24 h. Then another portion of bromoacetonitrile (0.42 g) was added and the reaction was stirred for a further 12 h. It was then cooled to 0 °C and diluted with Et₂O (10 mL). H₂O (100 mL) was added very slowly. The aq phase was extracted with Et₂O (2 × 50 mL) and then EtOAc (2 × 50 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with H₂O (3 × 100 mL), brine (100 mL) and dried over Na₂SO₄. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexanes–EtOAc, 2:1) to yield the desired product (2.55 g, 61%) as a white solid.

Mp 99-101 °C.

IR (thin film): 3417, 3354, 2976, 2931, 1694, 1614, 1513, 1465, 1366, 1250, 1171, 743 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 7.64 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.31– 7.36 (m, 2 H), 7.20–7.24 (m, 1 H), 6.92 (s, 1 H), 4.94 (s, 2 H), 4.69 (br s, 1 H), 3.46 (s, 2 H), 2.94 (t, *J* = 7.0 Hz, 2 H), 1.46 (s, 9 H).

¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃): δ = 156.1, 136.4, 128.7, 125.0, 123.2, 120.6, 119.8, 115.1, 114.7, 109.0, 40.8, 34.2, 28.6, 25.9.

MS (EI): *m*/*z* (%) = 299 [M⁺], 276, 260, 243, 220, 182, 169 (100), 143, 130, 104.

HRMS (EI): m/z calcd for $C_{17}H_{21}N_3O_2$: 299.1634; found: 299.1633.

1,1-Dimethylethyl[2-(1-cyanomethyl-1*H*-indol-3-yl)-2-oxoethyl]carbamate (17)

To a stirred solution of **16** (1.33 g, 4.44 mmol) in THF–H₂O (50 mL, 9:1) at 0 °C was added DDQ (2.02 g, 8.88 mmol). The mixture was stirred for 3 h at 0 °C, then slowly allowed to warm to r.t. and continued to stir for 3 h. An additional amount of DDQ (1.01 g, 4.44 mmol) was added and the mixture was stirred at r.t. for 48 h. It was then poured into EtOAc (100 mL), washed with 5% NaOH solution (100 mL), sat. aq NaHCO₃ solution (2 × 100 mL) and dried over Na₂SO₄. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexanes–EtOAc, 1:2) to yield the desired product (0.24 g, 18%) as a white solid.

Mp 139-141 °C.

IR (thin film): 3415, 2978, 1702, 1659, 1531, 1391, 1166, 1047, 748 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ = 8.51 (s, 1 H), 8.21 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.71 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.39 (t, J = 8.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.32 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.08 (t, J = 5.8 Hz, 1 H), 5.65 (s, 2 H), 4.29 (d, J = 5.8 Hz, 2 H), 1.41 (s, 9 H).

¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ = 190.9, 156.0, 135.9, 135.8, 125.8, 123.8, 123.0, 121.7, 115.9, 114.5, 110.6, 78.0, 46.9, 34.5, 28.2;

MS (EI): m/z (%) = 313 [M⁺], 257, 240, 213, 183 (100), 169, 143, 91.

HRMS (EI): *m/z* calcd for C₁₇H₁₉N₃O₃: 313.1427; found: 313.1423.

A large amount of unreacted starting material (0.89 g, 67%) was recovered from the reaction mixture after column chromatography.

3-[3-(2-Aminoacetyl)indol-1-yl]propionitrile Trifluoroacetate (18)

Compound 14 (0.17 g, 0.5 mmol) was dissolved in neat TFA (8 mL) at r.t. and stirred for 15 min. The solvent was evaporated by rotary evaporation. The residual TFA was removed by co-evaporation with benzene (3×10 mL). It was then extensively dried in vacuo and the white solid (0.17 g, 100%) was used in the next reaction without any further purification.

¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6): $\delta = 8.63$ (s, 1 H), 8.26 (br s, 3 H), 8.19 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.77 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.30–7.37 (m, 2 H), 4.62 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2 H), 4.36 (s, 2 H), 3.17 (t, J = 6.4 Hz, 2 H).

4-[3-(2-Aminoacetyl)indol-1-yl]butyronitrile Trifluoroacetate (19)

Compound **15** (0.84 g, 2.45 mmol) was dissolved in neat TFA (30 mL) at r.t. and stirred for 25 min. The solvent was evaporated by rotary evaporation. The residual TFA was removed by co-evaporation with benzene (4×10 mL). The residue was then extensively dried in vacuo to yield the desired product (0.87 g, 100%) as a white solid which was used in the next reaction without any further purification.

¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6): $\delta = 8.58$ (s, 1 H), 8.27 (br s, 2 H), 8.18–8.20 (m, 1 H), 7.68 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1 H), 7.28–7.36 (m, 2 H), 4.33–4.38 (m, 4 H), 2.58 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 2 H), 2.16 (quin, J = 6.96 Hz, 2 H).

N-[2-[1-(2-Cyanoethyl)-1*H*-indol-3-yl]-2-oxoethyl]-1-methyl-1*H*-indole-3-acetamide (21)

To a mixture of amine trifluoroacetate **18** (0.17 g, 0.5 mmol), 1methylindole-3-acetic acid (0.11 g, 0.6 mmol), EDC·H₂O (0.29 g, 1.5 mmol), HOBt·H₂O (0.20 g, 1.5 mmol) and NaHCO₃ (0.63 g, 7.5 mmol) at r.t. was added DMF (5 mL). The mixture was stirred at r.t. for 20 h. It was then poured into sat. aq NaHCO₃ solution (50 mL) and extracted with EtOAc (3×50 mL). The organic phase was washed with HCl (1 N, 50 mL), H₂O (50 mL), brine (50 mL) and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (CH₂Cl₂–MeOH, 9:1) to yield the desired product (0.14 g, 70%) as a yellowish solid.

Mp 155–157 °C.

IR (thin film): 3392, 3053, 2928, 2251, 1644, 1527, 1392, 1185, 1064, 746 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO- d_6): $\delta = 8.53$ (s, 1 H), 8.17–8.22 (m, 2 H), 7.70–7.33(m, 1 H), 7.62 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1 H), 7.39 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1 H), 7.25–7.33 (m, 3 H), 7.15 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.00–7.05 (m, 1 H), 4.56 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2 H), 4.47 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 2 H), 3.76 (s, 3 H), 3.65 (s, 2 H), 3.13 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 2 H).

¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ = 189.9, 170.9, 136.5, 136.2, 136.1, 128.2, 127.6, 125.8, 123.2, 122.5, 121.4, 121.1, 119.0, 118.5, 118.4, 113.7, 110.9, 109.5, 108.1, 45.9, 41.8, 32.3, 18.3.

MS (EI): *m*/*z* (%) = 398 [M⁺], 323, 271, 197 (100), 144, 129, 102.

HRMS (EI): *m/z* calcd for C₂₄H₂₂N₄O₂: 398.1743; found: 398.1745.

N-{2-[1-(3-Cyanopropyl)-1*H*-indol-3-yl]-2-oxoethyl}-1-methyl-1*H*-indole-3-acetamide (22)

To a mixture of amine trifluoroacetate **19** (0.87 g, 2.45 mmol), 1-methylindole-3-acetic acid (1.40 g, 7.35 mmol), EDC·H₂O (1.41 g, 7.35 mmol), HOBt·H₂O (0.99 g, 7.35 mmol) and NaHCO₃ (3.09 g, 36.75 mmol) at r.t. was added DMF (25 mL). The mixture was stirred at r.t. for 24 h. It was then poured into sat. aq NaHCO₃ solution (100 mL) and extracted with EtOAc (2×100 mL). The organic phase was washed with HCl (1N, 100 mL), H₂O (100 mL), brine (100 mL) and dried over MgSO₄. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (CH₂Cl₂–MeOH, 95:5) to yield the desired product (0.64 g, 63%) as a yellowish-white solid.

Mp 73-75 °C.

IR (thin film): 3392, 3053, 2935, 2247, 1646, 1527, 1467, 1394, 1215, 1161, 1073, 919, 744 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ = 8.48 (s, 1 H), 8.18–8.21 (m, 2 H), 7.62 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 2 H), 7.39 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.24–7.31 (m, 3 H), 7.15 (t, J = 8.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.02 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.48 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 2 H), 4.29 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2 H), 3.76 (s, 3 H), 3.65 (s, 2 H), 2.51 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2 H), 2.12 (quin, J = 7.0 Hz, 2 H).

¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ = 189.9, 170.9, 136.5, 136.3, 128.3, 127.6, 125.9, 123.1, 122.3, 121.5, 121.1, 120.0, 119.0, 118.4, 113.3, 110.6, 109.5, 108.1, 45.9, 44.9, 32.3, 25.3, 13.9.

MS (EI): *m/z* (%) = 412 [M⁺], 394, 285, 228, 211 (100), 197, 171, 144, 129, 77.

HRMS (EI): *m/z* calcd for C₂₅H₂₄N₄O₂: 412.1899; found: 412.1892.

3-{3-[2-(1-Methyl-1*H*-indol-3-ylmethyl)oxazol-5-yl]indol-1-yl}propionitrile (8)

To a stirred solution of PPh₃ (53 mg, 0.2 mmol), I₂ (52 mg, 0.2 mmol) and Et₃N (41 mg, 0.4 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (2 mL) at r.t. was added a solution of ketoamide **21** (40 mg, 0.1 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL). The mixture was stirred for 24 h and then diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (15 mL). The organic phase was treated with 5% aq Na₂S₂O₃ solution (25 mL). Et₂O (100 mL) was added and the combined organic phase was washed with sat. aq NaHCO₃ (25 mL). The bicarbonate solution was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (15 mL). The combined organic phases were dried over Na₂SO₄. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (CH₂Cl₂–MeOH, 95:5) to yield the desired product (16 mg, 42%) as a yellowish-brown oil.

IR (thin film): 1690, 1611, 1467, 990, 745 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ = 7.80 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.74 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.43 (s, 1 H), 7.32–7.34 (m, 3 H), 7.25–7.28 (m, 2 H), 7.17–7.20 (m, 2 H), 7.12 (s, 1 H), 4.47 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 2 H), 4.37 (s, 2 H), 3.79 (s, 3 H), 2.85 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 2 H).

¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆): δ = 160.8, 146.7, 135.9, 127.9, 127.2, 125.8, 123.9, 122.5, 121.3, 120.6, 119.9, 119.7, 118.8, 118.7, 118.6, 110.6, 109.7, 107.9, 104.0, 41.3, 32.3, 24.2, 18.5.

MS (EI): *m*/*z* (%) = 380 (100) [M⁺], 214, 197, 174, 144, 129.

HRMS (EI): *m*/*z* calcd for C₂₄H₂₀N₄O: 380.1641; found: 380.1637.

4-{3-[2-(1-Methyl-1*H*-indol-3-ylmethyl)oxazol-5-yl]indol-1-yl}butyronitrile (9)

To a stirred solution of PPh₃ (106 mg, 0.4 mmol), I₂ (103 mg, 0.4 mmol), Et₃N (0.12 mL, 0.8 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (3 mL) at r.t. was added a solution of **22** (83 mg, 0.2 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (1.5 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at r.t. for 22 h and then diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) and washed with 5% aq Na₂S₂O₃ (25 mL). Et₂O (100 mL) was added and the combined organic phase was washed with sat. aq NaHCO₃ solution (25 mL) and then dried over Na₂SO₄. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (CH_2Cl_2 -hexanes, 95:5) to yield the desired product (56 mg, 71%) as a yellowish oil.

IR (thin film): 1693, 1635, 1613, 1467, 1373, 1172, 742 cm⁻¹.

¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ = 7.82 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.76 (s, 1 H), 7.63 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.56 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1 H), 7.40 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1 H), 7.34 (s, 1 H), 7.31 (s, 1 H), 7.25 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 1 H), 7.14–7.17 (m, 2 H), 7.05 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 1 H), 4.28 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 4 H), 3.76 (s, 3 H), 2.46 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2 H), 2.09 (quin, J = 7.0 Hz, 2 H).

¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6): $\delta = 160.7$, 146.9, 136.7, 136.1, 127.9, 127.2, 125.9, 123.9, 122.4, 121.3, 120.4, 120.0, 119.9, 119.5, 118.8, 118.7, 110.3, 109.7, 108.0, 103.6, 44.4, 32.3, 25.6, 24.2, 13.9.

MS (EI): *m*/*z* (%) = 394 (100) [M⁺], 319, 293, 277, 265, 201, 171, 158, 144, 84.

HRMS (EI): *m/z* calcd for C₂₅H₂₂N₄O: 394.1794; found: 394.1786.

A small amount of starting material (12 mg, 15%) was recovered after column chromatography.

2-Chloro-1-(1H-indol-3-yl)ethanone (23)

To a stirred solution of indole (11.72 g, 100 mmol) and pyridine (8.2 mL, 100 mmol) in toluene (250 mL) at 60 °C was added dropwise chloroacetyl chloride (1.13 g, 100 mmol) over 1.5 h. After the addition was complete, the reaction mixture was stirred at the same temperature for a further 1 h. After cooling to r.t., H₂O (300 mL) and MeOH (50 mL) were added. The mixture was stirred at r.t. for an additional 1 h. The solid precipitate was filtered through a sintered glass funnel and washed with H₂O. The crude solid was purified by recrystallization from EtOH to yield the desired product (9.68 g, 50%) as a brownish solid.²¹

Mp 231-233 °C (Lit.15 230-232 °C).

¹H NMR (DMSO- d_6): δ = 12.15 (br s, 1 H), 8.44 (d, J = 2.7 Hz, 1 H), 8.17 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.50 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1 H), 7.23 (quin, J = 7.1 Hz, 2 H), 4.89 (s, 2 H).

¹³C NMR (DMSO- d_6): δ = 186.2, 136.6, 134.8, 125.4, 123.2, 122.2, 121.2, 113.6, 112.3, 46.4.

2-Azido-1-(1H-indol-3-yl)ethanone (24)

A mixture of **23** (0.90 g, 4.65 mmol) and sodium azide (0.61 g, 9.3 mmol) in acetone (120 mL) and H_2O (60 mL) was heated at reflux for 20 h. After cooling to r.t., H_2O (50 mL) was added and the product was extracted into CH₂Cl₂ (50 mL, 2 × 25 mL) and dried over Na₂SO₄. The solvent was evaporated and the crude solid was purified by recrystallization from CH₂Cl₂–MeOH to yield the desired product (0.90 g, 97%) as a light brown solid.

Mp 182-184 °C (Lit.16 181-183 °C).

¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ = 12.12 (br s, 1 H), 8.37 (s, 1 H), 8.16–8.18 (m, 1 H), 7.49–7.51 (m, 1 H), 7.21–7.26 (m, 2 H), 4.62 (s, 2 H).

¹³C NMR (75 MHz, DMSO- d_6): $\delta = 189.0$, 136.5, 134.4, 125.2, 123.2, 122.2, 121.1, 113.6, 112.4, 53.9.

2-Amino-1-(1*H*-indol-3-yl)ethanone Hydrochloride (25)

To a mixture of **24** (200 mg, 1 mmol) in MeOH (10 mL) was carefully added Pd/C (50 mg) followed by HCl (0.5 mL). The flask was fitted with a three-way stop-cock connected to a H₂-filled balloon and the air inside the flask was removed. The reaction mixture was stirred under this H₂ atmosphere for 3 h. The Pd/C was removed by filtration through Hyflo. The solvent was evaporated from the filtrate and the crude residue was purified by recrystallization from $CH_2Cl_2\text{-}MeOH$ to yield the desired product (193 mg, 92%) as a pale brown solid.

Mp > 250 °C (Lit.²² 267–269 °C).

¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6): $\delta = 12.51$ (br s, 1 H), 8.52 (d, J = 3.4 Hz, 1 H), 8.41 (br s, 3 H), 8.15–8.17 (m, 1 H), 7.52–7.54 (m, 1 H), 7.22–7.27 (m, 2 H), 4.36 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 2 H).

¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ = 186.7, 136.6, 135.2, 125.1, 123.2, 122.3, 120.9, 113.1, 112.5, 43.9.

N-[2-(1H-Indol-3-yl)-2-oxoethyl]-1H-indole-3-acetamide (27)

To a stirred solution of **24** (1.00 g, 5 mmol) and indole-3-acetic acid (1.05 g, 6 mmol) in THF (50 mL) was added PMe₃ (1 M solution in toluene, 5 mL, 5 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at r.t. for 15 h and then heated at reflux for 90 min. After cooling, EtOAc (30 mL) was added. The mixture was washed with 5% aq NaOH solution (20 mL). The organic phase was dried (MgSO₄) and concentrated. The solid residue was slurried with Et₂O (30 mL) and filtered through a sintered glass funnel to yield the desired product (1.24 g, 75%) as a yellowish solid.

Mp 220-222 °C (dec).

¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6): $\delta = 12.03$ (br s, 1 H), 10.92 (s, 1 H), 8.42 (s, 1 H), 8.16–8.18 (d, 2 H), 7.61 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.48 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1 H), 7.36 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.29 (s, 1 H), 7.18–7.24 (m, 2 H), 7.08 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1 H), 6.99 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 1 H), 4.50 (d, J = 4.9 Hz, 2 H), 3.66 (s, 2 H).

¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6): δ = 190.3, 171.1, 136.4, 136.1, 133.7, 127.3, 125.4, 124.0, 122.9, 121.9, 121.2, 121.0, 118.8, 118.4, 114.0, 112.2, 111.3, 108.8, 45.9, 32.6.

ESI-MS: $m/z = 332 [M + H^+]$.

HRMS (ESI): $m/z [M + H]^+$ calcd for $C_{20}H_{18}N_3O_2$: 332.1399; found: 332.1397.

3-[2-[(3-Indolyl)methyl]-5-oxazolyl]-1H-indole (10)

To a stirred solution of **27** (33 mg, 0.1 mmol) in pyridine (2.5 mL) at r.t. was added dropwise POCl₃ (0.5 mL, 5.5 mmol). The mixture was stirred at r.t. for 3 h. It was then diluted with EtOAc (25 mL) and poured into an ice-cold sat. NaHCO₃ solution (50 mL). The aq phase was extracted with EtOAc (3×50 mL). The combined organic extract was then washed with H₂O (50 mL) and brine (50 mL) and dried over Na₂SO₄. The solvent was evaporated and the residue was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (CH₂Cl₂–MeOH, 9:1) to yield the desired product (26 mg, 83%) as a light yellow solid.

Mp 200-202 °C (dec).

¹H NMR (500 MHz, acetone-*d*₆): δ = 10.64 (br s, 1 H), 10.18 (br s, 1 H), 7.83–7.85 (m, 1 H), 7.73–7.74 (m, 1 H), 7.66 (s, 1 H), 7.47 (d, *J* = 7.9 Hz, 1 H), 7.39–7.41 (m, 1 H), 7.36 (s, 1 H), 7.24–7.25 (m, 1 H), 7.18–7.21 (m, 1 H), 7.10–7.15 (m, 2 H), 7.04–7.07 (m, 1 H), 4.32 (s, 2 H).

¹³C NMR (125 MHz, acetone-*d*₆): δ = 162.0, 148.9, 137.7, 128.4, 125.1, 124.3, 124.1, 123.4, 123.2, 122.4, 121.1, 120.6, 120.4, 119.7, 112.7, 112.2, 110.6, 106.0, 25.5.

ESI-MS: $m/z = 314 [M + H^+]$.

HRMS (ESI): $m/z \ [M + H]^+$ calcd for $C_{20}H_{16}N_3O$: 314.1293; found: 314.1285.

Acknowledgment

This investigation was supported in part by the donors of the Petroleum Research Fund (PRF), administered by the American Chemical Society, and Wyeth.

References

- Koyama, Y.; Yokose, K.; Dolby, L. J. Agric. Biol. Chem. 1981, 45, 1285.
- (2) Oikawa, Y.; Yoshioka, T.; Mohri, K.; Yonemitsu, O. *Heterocycles* 1979, *12*, 1457.
- (3) (a) Bhate, D. S.; Hulyalkar, R. K.; Menon, S. K. *Experientia* 1960, *16*, 504. (b) Noltemeyer, M.; Sheldrick, G. M.; Hoppe, H. U.; Zeeck, A. J. Antibiot. 1982, *35*, 549.
- (4) Umehara, K.; Yoshida, K.; Okamoto, M.; Iwami, M.; Tanaka, H.; Kohsaka, M.; Imanaka, H. J. Antibiot. 1984, 37, 1153.
- (5) Pettit, G. R.; Knight, J. C.; Herald, D. L.; Davenport, R.; Pettit, R. K.; Tucker, B. E.; Schmidt, J. M. J. Nat. Prod. 2002, 65, 1793.
- (6) (a) Loughlin, W. A.; Henderson, L. C.; Elson, K. E.; Murphy, M. E. *Synthesis* 2006, 1975. (b) Zhang, H.; Yan, P.-F.; Zhang, G.-L. *Synthesis* 2006, 1763. (c) Guella, G.; Mancini, I.; N'Diaye, I.; Pietra, F. *Helv. Chim. Acta* 1994, 77, 1999. (d) Rudi, A.; Stein, Z.; Green, S.; Goldberg, I.; Kashman, Y.; Benayahu, Y.; Schleyer, M. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1994, *35*, 2589. (e) Lindquist, N.; Fenical, W.; Van Duyne, G. D.; Clardy, J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 1991, *113*, 2303. (f) Hasbun, C.; Calderon, M.; Romero, I. *Fitoterapia* 1990, *61*, 88. (g) Philip, S.; Burke, B. A.; Jacobs, H. *Heterocycles* 1984, *22*, 9.
- (7) (a) Joshi, B. S.; Taylor, W. I.; Bhate, D. S.; Karmarkar, S. S. *Tetrahedron* 1963, *19*, 1437. (b) Somei, M.; Sato, H.; Komura, N.; Kaneko, C. *Heterocycles* 1985, *23*, 1101.
 (c) Molina, P.; Fresneda, P. M.; Almendros, P. *Synthesis* 1993, 54. (d) Doyle, K. J.; Moody, C. J. *Synthesis* 1994, 1021. (e) Nakagawa, M.; Nishida, A.; Fuwa, M.; Saito, H. Int. Pat. Appl. WO99-JP4390, 2001. (f) For the preparation of the oxazole analogue of topsentin A, see: Miyake, F. Y.; Yakushijin, K.; Horne, D. A. *Org. Lett.* 2000, *2*, 2121.
- (8) We previously reported the synthesis and the biological activity of bisindolemaleimides and indolocarbazoles bearing a cyanoalkyl chain: (a) Roy, S.; Eastman, A.; Gribble, G. W. *Tetrahedron* 2006, *62*, 7838. (b) Roy, S.; Eastman, A.; Gribble, G. W. *Synth. Commun.* 2005, *35*, 595.

- (9) Benson, S. C.; Lee, L.; Yang, L.; Snyder, J. K. *Tetrahedron* 2000, 56, 1165.
- (10) Nicolaou, K. C.; Chen, D. Y.-K.; Huang, X.; Ling, T.; Bella, M.; Snyder, S. A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 12888.
- (11) Roy, S.; Eastman, A.; Gribble, G. W. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2006, 4, 3228.
- (12) Wipf, P.; Miller, C. P. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 3604.
- (13) Murine spleen cells were cultured at 37 °C for 72 h in the presence or absence of oxazole 9 and the proliferation was measured by incorporation of [3H]. Results are expressed as stimulation indices (SIs; SI = mean cpm for stimulated sample/mean cpm for unstimulated sample). The stimulation indices were 1.1, 1.3 and 1.4 when measured for concentrations of 2, 4 and 8 nM, respectively. Additional work is underway to determine whether the stimulatory effect of 9 is associated with Th1/Th2 cytokine production by T cells.
- (14) (a) Haque, S.; Haque, A.; Kasper, L. H. *Infect. Immun.* 1995, 63, 3442. (b) Haque, S.; Dumon, H.; Haque, A.; Kasper, L. H. *J. Immunol.* 1998, *161*, 6812.
- (15) Bergman, J.; Backvall, J. E.; Lindstrom, J. O. *Tetrahedron* **1973**, 29, 971.
- (16) Deng, W.-P.; Nam, G.; Fan, J.; Kirk, K. L. J. Org. Chem. 2003, 68, 2798.
- (17) Jiang, B.; Gu, X.-H. Bioorg. Med. Chem. 2000, 8, 363.
- (18) Fresneda, P. M.; Molina, P.; Sanz, M. A. Synlett 2001, 218.
- (19) Nicolaou, K. C.; Hao, J.; Reddy, M. V.; Rao, P. B.; Rassias, G.; Snyder, S. A.; Huang, X.; Chen, D. Y.-K.; Brenzovich, W. E.; Giuseppone, N.; Giannakakou, P.; O' Brate, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 12897.
- (20) Yonemitsu and co-workers observed that no products were formed from *N*-methoxycarbonyl and *N*-phenylsulfonylsubstituted cyclohept[*b*]indoles: Oikawa, Y.; Yonemitsu, O. *J. Org. Chem.* **1977**, *42*, 1213.
- (21) CAUTION: The compound is a severe skin irritant, not unlike α-chloroacetophenone (the tear gas 'CN' or 'Mace').
- (22) Vinograd, L. K.; Sorokina, N. P.; Turchin, K. F.; Dubinskii, R. A.; Suvorov, N. N. J. Org. Chem. USSR (Engl. Transl.) 1980, 16, 2222.