

# Green Chemistry

## Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: Z. Luo, Y. M. Wang, M. He and C. Zhao, *Green Chem.*, 2015, DOI: 10.1039/C5GC01790D.



This is an *Accepted Manuscript*, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about *Accepted Manuscripts* in the **Information for Authors**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this *Accepted Manuscript* or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



www.rsc.org/greenchem

Published on 21 August 2015. Downloaded by University of Cambridge on 22/08/2015 20:21:30

## **Green Chemistry**

## ARTICLE

Received 00th xx 20xx, Accepted 00th xx 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

## Precise Oxygen Scission of Lignin Derived Aryl Ethers to Quantitatively Produce Aromatic Hydrocarbons in Water

Zhicheng Luo,<sup>a</sup> YimengWang,<sup>a</sup> Mingyuan He,<sup>a</sup> Chen Zhao<sup>\*a</sup>

To produce aromatic hydrocarbons from biomass, a novel route is reported for one-pot selective hydrodeoxygenation of lignin derived aryl ether mixture to  $C_6$ - $C_9$  aromatic hydrocarbons over Ru/sulfate zirconia in aqueous phase. The cascade steps undergo the initial precise cleavage of Caliphatic-O bond of phenolic dimers or Caromatic-OCH<sub>3</sub> of phenolic monomers, as well as the full blockage of benzene-ring and cyclic-alkene hydrogenation to realize nearly quantitative aromatic hydrocarbons formation at 240 °C in presence of a low pressurized H<sub>2</sub> (2 - 8 bar). With Ru catalyst, the primary step in competition of hydrogenolysis of C-O bond as well as hydrogenation of benzene ring is shown to be sensitive to temperatures and hydrogen pressures, which can subtly modify the concentrations and spatial distributions of the surface adsorbed H. A high temperature and a low hydrogen pressure are found to be essential for hydrogenolysis, since the H. species nearby the oxygen atom are more strongly adsorbed at such conditions and thus preferred to be reserved on the Ru surface. Herein it is defined as "atom-induced H sorption effect", probably resulted from the analogous hydrogen-bond force between adsorbed H- with the adjacent oxygen atom on the metal surface. Besides the initial step, another key point for aromatic hydrocarbons formation is to optimize the target route of cycloalkene dehydrogenation, but suppressing the parallel hydrogenation pathway to saturated cycloalkane. It is found that the produced phenol intermediate serves as the proper H-acceptor at selected catalytic system during the whole conversion, via fast consuming the in-situ produced Hfrom cyclohexene dehydrogenation. Such interesting phenomenon of internal hydrogen transfer not only promotes the dehydrogenation and hydrogenation equilibrium on cyclohexene but also lowers the in-situ H- concentration on the Ru surface, both of which would be beneficial for reaching a high benzene yield. This hypothesis for internal hydrogen transfer is further confirmed by separate experiments and density functional theory modelling results.

## Introduction

The efficient utilization of lignocellulose biomass has attracted increasing attention.<sup>1</sup> As the second abundant lignocellulosic resource, lignin is a three-dimensional highly branched aromatic bio-polymer composed of various C-O linkages connected with methoxylated phenyl-propane units. Through depolymerization, lignin is converted to diverse aryl ether fragments comprising of Caromatic-OCH<sub>3</sub>, Caromatic-O-Caromatic, and Caromatic<sup>-</sup> O-Caliphatic units.<sup>2</sup> These phenolic fragments are rich in inherent aromatic structures with high energy-density, but the technique for precise removal of oxygen in these bio-derived molecules to produce aromatic hydrocarbons has been a challenging issue.<sup>3</sup>

Because of the high C-O bond dissociation energy of aryl ethers  $(218-314 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1})^4$ , it is contradictory to break down

E-mail: czhao@chem.ecnu.edu.cn

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [details of any supplementary information available should be included here]. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

## the ether bonds in presence of H<sub>2</sub> without hydrogenation of benzene-ring. Parallel hydrotreating routes including partial/ full hydrogenation of benzene-ring, hydrogenolysis of Caromatic-O bonds, and hydrogenolysis of Caliphatic-O bonds co-exist, and therefore, it is quite arduous to select a specific selective route to defunctionalize the oxygen-containing groups as well as simultaneously protect the benzene-rings in liquid phase.

Previous researches are primarily aimed at cleaving of the C-O bonds of lignin derived fragments in the liquid phase. The homogeneous catalysts<sup>5</sup> such as Ni<sup>5a</sup>, Ru<sup>5b</sup>, V<sup>5c</sup> complexes, as well as unsupported nanoparticles Fe<sup>6</sup> and RuNi<sup>7a</sup>, AuNi<sup>7b</sup>, are capable in selective hydrogenolysis of lignin-derived aryl ethers to a mixture of aromatics and phenolics/cycloalcohols in solvents under mild conditions. Besides, the heterogeneous Ni-based catalysts shows considerable activities in cleaving the Caliphatic-O bond of aryl ethers to produce aromatics and cycloalcohols in the liquid phase, as well.<sup>8</sup> The various –OCH<sub>3</sub> substituted phenolic ethers can also be quantitatively hydrodeoxygenated to cyclic alkanes with the bifunctional metal/acid catalysts in water.9 Phenols can be dehydroxylated by combined Raney Ni and HBEA catalysts using 2-PrOH as Hdonor.<sup>10</sup> In the non-polar solvent decane, bimetallic FeMoP catalyst can selectively cleave C-O bonds of aryl ethers to produce aromatics at a high temperature of 400 °C.<sup>11</sup> Apart



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Shanghai Key Laboratory of Green Chemistry and Chemical Processes,

School of Chemistry and Molecular Engineering, East China Normal University, Shanghai 200062, China.

Page 2 of 10

#### ARTICLE

Published on 21 August 2015. Downloaded by University of Cambridge on 22/08/2015 20:21:30

from the reactions occurred in the liquid phase, MoO<sub>3</sub> was shown to be active for hydrodeoxygenation of phenolic monomers to high yields of aromatic hydrocarbons in the gas phase.<sup>12</sup>

Water is present ubiquitously in the biomass resource, and is a green and excellent solvent for upgrading of biomass derived oxygenates as well. However, in neat aqueous phase it has not been reported that lignin derived aryl ethers can be selectively converted to more valuable aromatic hydrocarbons in a one-step process. Herein, in this contribution we report a new approach to selectively cleave and deoxygenate the aryl ether mixture to  $C_6$ - $C_9$  aromatic hydrocarbons with a multifunctional Ru/sulfate zirconia (SZ) catalyst in aqueous phase *via* cascade steps.

## **Results and discussion**

## Selective cleavage and hydrodeoxygenation of phenethoxybenzene ( $\beta$ -O-4 model compound of lignin) in water.

Lignin depolymerization generates an abundant variety of phenolic aryl ethers.<sup>3</sup> Firstly, a representative  $\beta$ -O-4 model compound (contributing to 45-62% C-O linkages in lignin), phenethoxybenzene (PEB, <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra were displayed in Fig. S1) is selected to investigate the proper route for aromatics formation. In the blank test, PEB was inactive in the high-temperature water. In the whole hydrodeoxygenation process, metal centers may greatly influence the pathways and rates of individual steps. To explore the effect of three metals in water, Ru/C, Pt/C, and Pd/C (5 wt%) were comparatively studied at 240 °C in presence of 8 bar H<sub>2</sub> in aqueous phase (see Fig. S2). The results (Table S1) showed that Ru/C and Pd/C both catalyzed high hydrogenolysis rates on PEB (165 and 270 mmol·g<sup>-1</sup>·h<sup>-1</sup>, respectively), while Pt/C performed poorly with a slow rate of 47 mmol·g<sup>-1</sup>·h<sup>-1</sup>. The parallel route of hydrogenation of benzene ring was shown to be active on Pd/C site (rate: 47 mmol·g<sup>-1</sup>·h<sup>-1</sup>), whereas it was almost inert with Ru/C (3.3 mmol·g<sup>-1</sup>·h<sup>-1</sup>). Through the rate comparison of hydrogenolysis to hydrogenation, Ru/C is more capable than Pd/C for selective hydrogenolysis (hydrogenolysis / hydrogenation ratio = 55) of the C-O bond of PEB (see Table S1).

It should be addressed that the Ru based catalysts, e.g. Ru/C, have already exhibited uniquely high selectivity in hydrogenolysis of C-O bonds in polyols <sup>13</sup> and cellulose. <sup>14</sup> For achieving a more selective route for hydrogenolysis of C-O bond, Ru/C is thus selected for further investigation. Kinetics of PEB conversion with Ru/C at identical conditions (see Fig. 1a) revealed that at an initial time PEB was cleaved to C<sub>6</sub> phenol and C<sub>8</sub> ethyl-benzene with nearly 100% selectivity. It is notable that it does not produce any benzene-ring hydrogenation products, as well as the cleaved products of C<sub>6</sub> benzene and C<sub>8</sub> phenyl ethanol (see Fig. 1a). This indicates that at optimized conditions, Ru catalyzes the exclusive route for cleaving of the C<sub>aliphatic</sub>-O bond of PEB, while fully blocking the possible hydrogenation pathway on benzene-ring and the hydrogenol set of C<sub>aromatic</sub>-O bond (Scheme S1). As a function of

time. the intermediate phenol was converted to cyclohexanone and cyclohexanol with a rapid rate over Ru/C, and by contrast, the other intermediate ethyl-benzene was quite stable without any reacting. Such great hydrogenation rate disparity was further evidenced by the separate experiment that co-introduction of phenol and ethyl-benzene over Ru/C led to a high phenol hydrogenation rate of 96 mmol·g<sup>-1</sup>·h<sup>-1</sup>, and by contrast a slow rate for ethyl-benzene hydrogenation (7.0 mmol·g<sup>-1</sup>·h<sup>-1</sup>) at identical conditions (Fig. S3), probably attributed to the better solubility of phenol in water as well as the stronger adsorption of phenolic -OH groups than ethyl-benzene on the Ru site, as similar as the case on the Ni surface.<sup>8b</sup> In addition, it can be also observed that a small part of benzene (5% yield) was formed from PEB over Ru/C in high-temperature water at 100 min.



Figure 1. (a) Ru/C (0.10 g) and (b) Ru/SZ (0.10 g) catalyzed PEB hydrodeoxygenation as a function of time. (c) Selective hydrogenation of PEB to aromatic hydrocarbons over diverse supported Ru catalysts (5 wt.%, 0.10 g) for 1 h. General conditions: PEB (1.0 g), H<sub>2</sub>O (100 mL), 240 °C, 8 bar H<sub>2</sub>, stirring at 650 rpm.



Scheme 1. The designed reaction pathway for Ru/SZ catalyzed selective hydrodeoxygenation of PEB to aromatic hydrocarbons in aqueous phase.

The further strategy for target C<sub>6</sub> aromatic hydrocarbon formation is designed as dehydration of as-received cyclohexanol over acid sites, subsequently dehydrogenation of the formed cyclohexene to benzene with metal sites at a low hydrogen pressure, via suppressing the by-product cyclohexane formation (see Scheme 1). To achieve it, some Ru catalysts supported on solid acids were tested for catalyzing the cascade reactions (see Figs. 1b and 1c). With Lewis acids supported Ru such as Ru/Al<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> and Ru/ZrO<sub>2</sub>, the cyclohexanol intermediate was stable at 35-40%, implying that Lewis acid sites are not active for dehydration in the selected aqueous system.<sup>9b</sup> Compared to H-zeolites supported Ru/HY (5), Ru/HBeta (20), Ru/HZSM-5 (5), and Ru/HZSM-5 (38), the Ru/sulfate zirconia (SZ) sample catalyzed a much higher yield (73%) of C $_6$  benzene and C $_8$  ethyl-benzene for 1 h. If extending the reaction time to 100 min., 90% C<sub>6</sub> and C<sub>8</sub> aromatic hydrocarbons can be achieved on Ru/SZ. Based on these results, it is implied that the addition of SZ may facilitate cyclohexanol dehydration to cyclohexene, and the produced cyclohexene is selectively dehydrogenated to the target benzene at the selected condition with a high temperature and a low H<sub>2</sub> pressure (240 °C, 8 bar H<sub>2</sub>). The kinetics of PEB conversion to aromatics over Ru/SZ (Fig. 1b) revealed that Ru/SZ is capable of catalyzing all cascade steps including C-O bond cleavage of PEB (188 mmol·g<sup>-1</sup>·h<sup>-1</sup>), phenol hydrogenation to cyclohexanol, cyclohexanol dehydration to cyclohexene, as well as cyclohexene dehydrogenation to benzene, and therefore, it leads to the highly selective aromatics formation via the integrated steps.

The characterization of Ru/SZ feathered that Ru nanoparticles (synthesized by liquid phase HCHO reduction) were shown to be uniform at sizes of 2.0  $\pm$  0.4 nm, as

demonstrated by TEM image (supporting information at Fig. S4a). The high-resolution TEM image illuminated that Ru nanoparticles are of high crystallinity, composed by multicrystalline particles with exposed major Ru (100) facets, as well as some minor Ru (111) and Ru (110) facets (Fig. S4b). Determined from the CO chemisorption measurement, the Ru dispersion was 21%. SEM images (Fig. S4c) illuminated that the Ru/SZ sample exhibited granulated and inhomogeneous shape with a crystal size of around 1-10  $\mu$ m. The acid site was determined to be 0.1078 mmol·g<sup>-1</sup>, as characterized by the temperature programmed desorption of ammonia (Fig. S4d). These results indicate that Ru nanoparticles are well dispersed on the acidic SZ carrier, constructing to a bi- or multi-functional catalyst.

# Controlling the primary step of hydrogenolysis and hydrogenation of PEB over Ru/SZ in water.

The crucial competing routes of hydrogenolysis (at position a or b) and hydrogenation (partial and full) can be substantially influenced *via* the adsorbed H· on the Ru/SZ surface (scheme S1). The surface adsorbed H· is determined by multi-factors. The influence of H<sub>2</sub> pressure (see Fig. 2a) demonstrated that hydrogenolysis played as the major role in presence of a relatively low H<sub>2</sub> pressure ( $\leq 10$  bar) at 240 °C, and PEB was selectively cleaved at the *C*<sub>aliphatic</sub>-O position to produce C<sub>6</sub> phenol and C<sub>8</sub> ethyl-benzene. When the H<sub>2</sub> pressure was increased to 30 bar, the major reaction pathway was altered to full hydrogenation (Fig. S5a). It indicates that the relatively high concentrations of activated H· (at high H<sub>2</sub> pressure) mainly contribute to the hydrogenation of PEB, and as well accelerate the cleavage rate of C<sub>aromatic</sub>-O of PEB. Consequently,

Page 4 of 10

### ARTICLE

Published on 21 August 2015. Downloaded by University of Cambridge on 22/08/2015 20:21:30

the high H<sub>2</sub> pressure leads to a complicated and unselective product distribution, and the desired aromatic hydrocarbons such as ethyl-benzene and benzene were substantially hydrogenated to cycloalkanes. In explaining the effect of hydrogen pressures, it is assumed that at the low H<sub>2</sub> pressures such as 2 bar, the surface adsorption sites are probably not fully occupied, and with the increasing H· incorporation by introducing a higher-pressure H<sub>2</sub>, the H· concentrations around the benzene-rings will be greatly accumulated to accelerate the hydrogenation rates since that PEB is preferably adsorbed in the planar mode with an adsorption energy of 262 kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>, as determined from the DFT modelling result (Fig. S6).



**Figure 2**. Influence of (a) hydrogen pressure and (b) temperature towards hydrogenolysis and hydrogenation of PEB over Ru/SZ. Reaction conditions: PEB (1.0 g), Ru/SZ (5 wt.%, 0.10 g),  $H_2O$  (100 mL), 1 h, stirring at 650 rpm, (a) 240 °C, (b) 8 bar  $H_2$ .

In addition to the hydrogen pressure, the temperature effect manifested that at relative low temperatures (120 - 200 °C, 8 bar  $H_2$ ), hydrogenation as well as hydrogenolysis (at positions a and b, Scheme S1) co-existed (see Fig. 2b). With the increasing temperatures from 200 to 260 °C, hydrogenolysis

dominated as the major route (yield > 95%), and hydrogenation occurred in a minor part (see Fig. S5b), suggesting that a high temperature, e.g., 240 °C, benefits for the cleavage of  $C_{aliphatic}$ -O bond of PEB (95% selectivity). Due to the much lower C-O bond dissociation energy barrier, <sup>4</sup> the  $C_{aliphatic}$ -O bond rather than  $C_{aromatic}$ -O bond of PEB is cleaved at a higher temperature.

The change of temperatures may modify the status of the surface adsorbed H- species. Concerning on the concentrations of surface adsorbed H. species, it is commonly recognized that such H. species will be preferably desorbed at increasingly high temperatures, especially when the adsorption of H. on the metal surface is exothermic with a typical enthalpy value of -125 to -150 kJ·mol<sup>-1.15</sup> Additionally, the higher temperature would lower the solubility of hydrogen gas in the selected aqueous system.<sup>16</sup> In order to track the concentration of adsorbed H. on the surface of Ru/SZ at two different reaction temperatures (120 °C and 240 °C) in presence of 8 bar H<sub>2</sub>, temperature programmed desorption of H· (from 80 °C to 350 °C) was performed on the used Ru/SZ (after reaction with PEB at designated conditions) in a He flow monitored by a mass spectrometry. In the blank test with the fresh catalyst Ru/SZ, no signal was obtained either with thermal conductivity detector or with mass spectroscopy detector (Fig. 3a). The physisorbed H. was then removed by heating at 80 °C in a He flow for 0.5 h, and only the chemisorbed H· was reserved. With the increasing temperatures, the two-state peaks appeared at 150 °C and 300 °C detected by temperature programmed desorption may be correlated with the occupation of threefold Ru sites on the hcp and fcc facets, respectively, as suggested by Feulner and Menzel<sup>17</sup>. The results manifested that the surface adsorbed H. was more abundant at the reaction temperature of 120 °C than that at 240 °C, as compared by the normalized areas of the detected H· signals (Fig. 3a).

Besides the evidence derived from the detected surface H- species at two working temperatures tracked by temperature programmed desorption, we design another experiment, that is, phenol hydrogenation over Ru/SZ in presence of 8 bar H<sub>2</sub> with varying temperatures (Fig. 3b). The results showed that the rate for phenol hydrogenation sharply decreased from 285 to 66 mmol·g<sup>-1</sup>·h<sup>-1</sup> when the temperature was increased from 160 to 260 °C. The rate express for phenol hydrogenation is  $r = k \cdot [\text{phenol}_{ads}]^a \cdot [H_{ads}]^b$ . In principle, the solubility of phenol in water is infinite above 65 °C, and the reaction orders of phenol (a) and hydrogen (b) are positive.<sup>18</sup> This implies that the increase of temperature would not change the concentration of adsorbed phenol, and the rate constant k should be increased. However, oppositely the obtained overall rate was decreased. Based on the rate equation, it is rationally inferred that the reduced surface adsorbed H· species  $[H_{ads}]$  at higher temperatures leads to the lower hydrogenation rate on phenol, when the value (kx [phenol<sub>ads</sub>]<sup>a</sup>) is increased.

With these two reliable proofs, it can be firmly concluded that the higher temperatures (at selected range) would lower

Published on 21 August 2015. Downloaded by University of Cambridge on 22/08/2015 20:21:30

the concentrations of surface adsorbed H·. However, this gained information cannot fully explain the changed ratios of hydrogenolysis to hydrogenation with temperature variations as displayed at Fig. 2b, as the aromatic benzene rings of PEB are adsorbed in a planar model, which suggests that in principle the hydrogenation of benzene ring is more favored even with few adsorbed H· species on the Ru surface. We may argue that hydrogenation of benzene ring needs higher amounts of metal-activated H· than hydrogenolysis of C-O bonds, but this argument also cannot intrinsically support the observed experimental phenomenon when the aromatic rings are planarly-adsorbed.

The only probable reason is that the higher temperatures change the surface adsorbed H· species not only by the concentrations, but also by the spacial distributions. In another word, the weaker adsorbed H· species on the Ru surface surrounding the benzene rings will be fast desorbed as the temperature increases, while the H· species nearby the oxygen atoms are strongly adsorbed due to the induction by the oxygen atom of PEB, and thus are reserved on the Ru surface even with a rising temperature (Fig. 3c). We define such phenomenon as "atom-induced H adsorption effect".

In fact, the electron of 1s (H) prefers to shift to the empty orbital of 4d (Ru) when  $H \cdot$  is adsorbed on the Ru surface,<sup>15</sup> and this leads to form a strong analogous hydrogen-bond force between the electron-deficient orbital of 1s (H) and electron-

rich orbital of 2p (O) (Fig. 3d). Such force induces a strong H-adsorption strength on the Ru surface. In comparison,  $\pi$  or P- $\pi$  conjugation makes the benzene rings highly stable, and thus, weakens the available interaction of adsorbed H- with benzene rings of PEB (Fig. 3d). Resultantly a high selectivity of hydrogenolysis of the C-O bond rather than hydrogenation of benzene-rings is attained with few adsorbed H- species.

Therefore, the competition of hydrogenolysis to hydrogenation depends on the concentration together with the strength of adsorbed H. at working conditions. If the adsorbed H. is abundant, the rates of hydrogenation and hydrogenolysis are both speeded up. When the Hconcentration is decreased, a great disparity on strength of adsorbed H. nearby the oxygen atom and benzene rings of PEB leads to the high selectivity to the hydrogenolysis route on C-O bond. With the variation of temperatures and H<sub>2</sub> pressures, the reaction pathways for PEB conversion over Ru/SZ are depicted at Scheme S2. The low temperatures and high H<sub>2</sub> pressures are beneficial for the partial and full hydrogenation, together with the hydrogenolysis route on Caromatic-O bond at position a. However, the targeted ether cleavage route in the whole designed hydrodeoxygenation process favors the specific conditions of the relatively high temperature and low H<sub>2</sub> pressure.



**Figure 3.** (a) Temperature programmed desorption of adsorbed H· on the used Ru/SZ (after adsorption of 8 bar H<sub>2</sub> in water at 120 °C or 240 °C for 1 h, (b) Rates of hydrodeoxygenation of phenol over Ru/SZ by different temperatures, reaction conditions: Phenol (1.0 g), Ru/SZ (5 wt.%, 0.10 g), H<sub>2</sub>O (100 mL), 8 bar H<sub>2</sub>, 1 h, stirring at 650 rpm. (c) Hydrogen adsorption over the Ru surface at different temperatures, white atom: H, red atom: oxygen, yellow atom: carbon. (d) Different interactions between activated H· species with oxygen atom and double benzene rings of PEB on the Ru surface.

#### ARTICLE

Published on 21 August 2015. Downloaded by University of Cambridge on 22/08/2015 20:21:30

# Controlling the critical step of cyclohexene dehydrogenation over Ru/SZ in water.

In the overall PEB hydrodeoxygenation process to aromatic hydrocarbons, the critical step is controlling the selectivity of the individual step of cyclohexene dehydrogenation to benzene, and meanwhile preventing the parallel step of cyclohexene hydrogenation to cyclohexane. Calculated by HSC software, the thermodynamic data for cyclohexene conversion to benzene and cyclohexane at 240 °C are displayed at Figure 4. It shows that in the dehydrogenation reaction for forming benzene,  $\Delta G$  equals to -10.4 kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup> within an endothermic reaction ( $\Delta H = 26.9 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ ), while the other direction for forming cyclohexane is exothermic ( $\Delta H = -24.7 \text{ kJ} \cdot \text{mol}^{-1}$ ) and  $\Delta G$  equals to -6.9 kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>. By comparing the thermodynamic data, it is suggested that dehydrogenation of cyclohexene to benzene is more preferred at 240 °C under the ideal conditions when the reaction approaches to the thermodynamic equilibrium.



Figure 4. Thermodynamic data for dehydrogenation/hydrogenation of cyclohexene to benzene or cyclohexane.

To explore the key step of cyclohexene dehydrogenation, this individual step was separately investigated over Ru/SZ at selected condition (240 °C, 8 bar H<sub>2</sub>, see Fig. 5). Unexpectedly, cyclohexane but not benzene was the solo product from cyclohexene conversion with 100% selectively with Ru/SZ in the aqueous phase. Considering that in the overall PEB conversion over Ru/SZ, phenol and cyclohexanol were the two major intermediates during the conversion (see Fig. 1b), the co-reactions of cyclohexene and cyclohexanol/phenol were conducted afterwards. These results showed that co-reacted with cyclohexanol and cyclohexene, the selectivity to benzene was increased to 40%, and more surprisingly, the target benzene was enhanced to 90% when co-reacting of phenol and cyclohexene at 240 °C in presence of 8 bar H<sub>2</sub>. The high selectivity of benzene is speculated to the reason that the phenol intermediate serves as the H-acceptor, which can digest the in-situ produced H<sub>2</sub> from cyclohexene dehydrogenation. The consumption of H<sub>2</sub> not only promotes the dehydrogenation and hydrogenation equilibrium on cyclohexene but also lowers the in-situ H· concentration on the Ru surface, both of which would be beneficial for reaching a high benzene yield. This is further proven by the comparative experiment that in presence of N<sub>2</sub>, co-reaction of cyclohexene and phenol led to the formation of 100% selectivity of benzene from cyclohexene dehydrogenation, and phenol was partially

converted to cyclohexanol. The internal H-transfer between cyclohexene dehydrogenation and phenol hydrogenation at selected condition helps shifting the reaction route to specifically produce the dehydrogenated product benzene.



**Figure 5.** The reaction of cyclohexene (1), and co-reaction of cyclohexene and cyclohexanol (2), co-reaction of cyclohexene and phenol (3) over Ru/SZ in presence or absence of  $H_2$  in the aqueous phase. Reaction conditions: cyclohexene (1.0 g) or mixture of cyclohexanol (0.5 g) and cyclohexene (0.5 g) or mixture of phenol (0.5 g) and cyclohexene (0.5 g) or mixture of  $P_2$  or  $P_2$  or

In the theory of internal hydrogen transfer between cyclohexene dehydrogenation and phenol hydrogenation, it is assumed that phenol is more preferably adsorbed on the Ru surface than cyclohexene. Under such condition, then the adsorbed phenol can easily accept the in-situ generated H. from cyclohexene dehydrogenation to complete the internal hydrogen transfer process. To verify this assumption, the adsorption energies of five intermediates (ethyl-benzene, phenol, cyclohexanol, cyclohexanone, and cyclohexene) from PEB conversion were calculated by DFT on Ru (0001) surface (see Table 1). The DFT modelling results demonstrated that on the clean Ru (0001) surface, the adsorption energies followed the order,  $E_{ads}$  (phenol) >  $E_{ads}$  (ethyl-benzene) >  $E_{ads}$ (cyclohexanone) >  $E_{ads}$  (cyclohexanol) >  $E_{ads}$  (cyclohexene). If calculated on the Ru (0001) surface with a water mono-layer, the adsorption energies of these compounds were decreased due to the introduced water interference, but the order trend was not changed, that is, the adsorption energy of phenol (-160 kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>) was still the highest among these compounds, and was four times higher than that of cyclohexene (-26 kJ·mol<sup>-1</sup>). These DFT results confirm our inference that the adsorption of phenol is much stronger than other intermediates, and therefore, the presence of phenol as Hacceptor is the critical factor for manipulating the individual step of cyclohexene dehydrogenation.

**Table 1**. Adsorption energies of reaction intermediates on Ru(0001) surface calculated by DFT.

	Clean surface	With water
	(kJ∙mol⁻¹)	monolayer (kJ·mol⁻¹)
Ethyl-benzene	-148	-118
Phenol	-172	-160
Cyclohexanol	-49	-32
Cyclohexanone	-77	-68
Cyclohexene	-76	-26

Selective hydrodeoxygenation of lignin-derived diverse aryl ethers to aromatic hydrocarbons in water.

Based on the obtained knowledge, the designed catalytic system was applied to hydrodeoxygenate the lignin derived representative phenolic dimmers including  $\beta$ -O-4,  $\alpha$ -O-4, and 4-O-5 model compounds (see Fig.6). These aryl ether compounds were selectively hydrodeoxygenated to C<sub>6</sub>, C<sub>7</sub>, and C<sub>8</sub> aromatic hydrocarbons with yields exceeding 78% over Ru/SZ in water at 240 °C with 8 bar H<sub>2</sub> for 1.5 h.



**Figure 6.** Selective hydrodeoxygenation of lignin derived phenolic dimers or mixture to aromatic hydrocarbons over Ru/SZ in water. Reaction condition: each reactant (5 mmol), Ru/SZ (5 wt.%, 0.10 g), H<sub>2</sub>O (100 mL), 240 °C, 8 bar H<sub>2</sub>, stirring at 650 rpm. In the conversion of a phenolic dimer mixture, each compound (2.5 mmol) and Ru/SZ (5 wt.%, 0.15 g) were used.

The oxygen elimination route follows the similar mechanism as PEB conversion (see Scheme 1), that is, the initial selective cleavage of ether bond to produce phenol and aromatics, followed by a dedicatedly designed route for sequential hydrogenation of phenol, dehydration of cyclohexanol, and dehydrogenation of cyclohexene to benzene. It should be noted that the side reactions of hydrogenation of ether reactants and aromatic hydrocarbons are well suppressed. Even treating with a mixture of  $\beta$ -O-4,  $\alpha$ -O-4, and 4-O-5 aryl ethers with an equal molar ratio, a high yield (86%) of C<sub>6</sub>-C<sub>8</sub> aromatics mixture was obtained from the one-pot hydrodeoxygenation process with Ru/SZ at the optimized

condition of 240 °C in presence of 8 bar  $\rm H_2$  for 1.5 h (see Fig.6 and Fig. S7).



**Figure 7**. Selective hydrodeoxygenation of lignin derived phenolic monomers or mixture to aromatic hydrocarbons over Ru/SZ in water. Reaction condition: reactant (1.5 *m*mol), Ru/SZ (5 wt%, 0.20 g), H<sub>2</sub>O (100 mL), 240 °C, 2 bar H<sub>2</sub> and 6 bar N<sub>2</sub>, 2 h, stirring at 650 rpm.

Apart from the phenolic dimers, there are substantial amounts of substituted phenolic monomers formed from lignin degradation. They have two types of oxygen-containing groups, that is, the phenolic –OH and –OCH<sub>3</sub> groups. Through lowering the hydrogen pressure to 2 bar (with additional 6 bar N<sub>2</sub>), the conversion of anisole and guaiacol reached nearly 90% yields of C<sub>6</sub> benzene at 240 °C after selectively removing the phenolic -OH and/or -OCH<sub>3</sub> groups over Ru/SZ in aqueous phase (see Fig.7). In the conversion of guaiacol, in addition to 83% yield of benzene, 6% yield of toluene was also formed due to the recombination of CH<sub>3</sub>OH with the benzene ring. When 4-methyl-, 4-ethyl-, and 4-n-allyl- substituted guaiacols were hydrodeoxygenated, the yields of aromatic hydrocarbons were lowered to respective 85%, 79%, and 71% (see Fig.7), indicating the rates for hydrogenation of aryl-benzenes to saturated cycloalkanes were highly accelerated on Ru/SZ. This was verified by the experimental data that the hydrogenation yield on toluene (35%) was 7% lower than that on ethylbenzene (42%) at same reaction conditions (Table S2). In addition, the C-C alkylation products with substituted phenol

#### ARTICLE

Published on 21 August 2015. Downloaded by University of Cambridge on 22/08/2015 20:21:30

and methanol were not observed. It was tested that alkylation of 4-methyl-, 4-ethyl-, and 4-*n*-propyl- substituted phenol with methanol did not occur at selected conditions in aqueous phase (Table S3), suggesting that two-molecular C-C coupling reactions are difficult to be catalyzed with solid acids in aqueous phase albeit that these solid acids are effective in the gas phase or solvent-less environment. The more complex substituted syringols were also quantitatively converted to aromatic hydrocarbons at selected condition. In principle, the mechanisms for cleaving the C<sub>aromatic</sub>-OCH and C<sub>aromatic</sub>-OCH<sub>3</sub> of phenolic monomers are different from that of phenolic dimers. The presence of large amounts of aryl phenols and methanol implies that the hydrogenolysis of the C<sub>aromatic</sub>-OCH<sub>3</sub> bond but not the CH<sub>3</sub>-O bond appears as the primary step for conversion of -OCH<sub>3</sub> substituted phenols.



**Figure 8.** GC chromatography spectra of (a) a reactant mixture of phenolic monomers and dimers, and (b) aromatic hydrocarbon products after one-pot selective hydrodeoxygenation of (a) mixed aryl ethers over Ru/SZ in aqueous phase. Reaction conditions: each compound (0.3125 mmol), Ru/SZ (5 wt%, 0.5 g), H<sub>2</sub>O (100 mL), 240 °C, 2 bar H<sub>2</sub> and 6 bar N<sub>2</sub>, 5 h, stirring at 650 rpm. After reaction, ethyl acetate was used as solvent to extract the organic products.

Selective hydrodeoxygenation of an aqueous mixture of seven kinds of phenolic monomer mixture (anisole, guaiacols, and syringols) allowed to quantitatively convert it to aromatic hydrocarbons mixtures including C<sub>6</sub> benzene (35% yield), C<sub>7</sub> toluene (33% yield), C<sub>8</sub> ethyl-benzene (9.5% yield), and C<sub>9</sub> propyl-benzene (13% yield) at 240 °C in presence of a low hydrogen pressure of 2 bar (see Fig. 7 and Fig. S8a). In the aqueous phase, methanol was produced from the hydrogenolysis of C<sub>aromatic</sub>–OCH<sub>3</sub> group of phenolic ethers. The carbon balance exceeded 95% in the liquid phase. In the gas

phase, only a trace amount of CH<sub>4</sub> was detected (see Fig. S8b). Furthermore, almost quantitative transformation of mixed ten kinds of phenolic dimer and monomer ethers to aromatics (95% yield, consisting of 40% C<sub>6</sub>, 29% C<sub>7</sub>, 16% C<sub>8</sub>, and 9.4% C<sub>9</sub> hydrocarbons) in a one-step process (see Fig. 8) verifies that such developed system exerts the selective hydrodeoxygenation capability for aromatics formation from a variety of phenolic aryl ethers. It should be addressed that such C6-C9 aromatic hydrocarbon products mirrored the distribution of ether reactants in the mixed phenolic oil (see Fig. 5), suggesting that no polymerization occurs during the integrated process and a high feasibility to further deoxygenate of lignin derived complex oil ingredients. The novel and cascade hydrodeoxygenation reaction steps towards aryl ethers leads to a highly integrated atom-economic process for aromatic hydrocarbons formation via a subtly-manipulated reaction route.

## Conclusions

A novel route is established for one-pot hydrodeoxygenation of lignin derived aryl ether mixture to C6-C9 aromatic hydrocarbons over Ru/SZ in the aqueous phase. The multifunctional Ru/SZ catalyzes the selective cleavage of Caliphatic-O bond of phenolic dimers or  $C_{aromatic}$ -OCH<sub>3</sub> of phenolic monomers, as well as the full blockage of benzene-rings hydrogenation, finally reaching the cascade steps to realize nearly quantitative aromatic hydrocarbons formation at 240 °C in presence of a low pressure H<sub>2</sub>. In manipulating the selectivity to aromatic hydrocarbons with Ru catalysts, the primary step is to operate the competition step of hydrogenolysis and hydrogenation, which depends on the concentration together with the strength of adsorbed H- at working conditions. When the H- concentration is decreased at high temperatures or low H<sub>2</sub> pressures, a great disparity on strength of adsorbed H. species that are nearby the benzene rings and oxygen atoms (atom-induced H adsorption effect) leads to the high selectivity to the hydrogenolysis route on the C-O bond of aryl ethers.

In addition, the controlling of the individual target step of cyclohexene dehydrogenation is successfully realized by an internal hydrogen transfer between cyclohexene dehydrogenation and phenol hydrogenation in aqueous phase over Ru/SZ at selected conditions. The function of phenol (acting as Hacceptor) not only promotes the dehydrogenation and hydrogenation equilibrium on cyclohexene but also lowers the in-situ H. concentration on the Ru surface, both of which would be positive for reaching a high yield of aromatic hydrocarbons. Proper metal site (Ru) and solid acid (SZ), together with a favourable low hydrogen pressure (2-8 bar) and a relative high temperature (240 °C) are crucial factors for precisely coordinating multi-steps to achieve target routes and aromatic hydrocarbons. This established selective route provides a new promising technique for directly producing a green aromatics pool from lignin derived bio-oil.

Published on 21 August 2015. Downloaded by University of Cambridge on 22/08/2015 20:21:30

## Acknowledgements

This research was supported by the Recruitment Program of Global Young Experts (Thousand Youth Talents Plan), National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No: 21573075), and Shanghai Pujiang ProgramPJ1403500.

## Notes and references

- (a) G. W. Huber, S. Iborra and A. Corma, *Chem. Rev.* 2006, **106**, 4044-4098; (b) J. S. Luterbacher, J. M. Rand, D. M. Alonso, J. Han, J. T. Youngquist, C. T. Maravelias, B. F. Pleger and J. A. Dumesic, *Science*, 2014, **343**, 277-280; (c) J. N. Chheda, G. W. Huber and J. A. Dumesic, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed*, 2007, **46**, 7164-7183; (d) T. R. Carlson, Y.T. Cheng, J. Jae and G. W. Huber, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2011, **4**, 145-161.
- (a) Q. Song, F. Wang and J. Xu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, 48, 7019-7021; (b) Q. Song, F. Wang, J. Y. Cai, Y. Wang, J. Zhang, W. Q. Yu and J. Xu, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2013, 6, 994-1007; (c) R. Ma, W. Hao, X. Ma, Y. Tian and Y. Li, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2014, 53, 1-7; (d) A. L. Jongerius, P. C. A. Bruijnincx and B. M. Weckhuysen, *Green Chem.* 2013, 15, 3049-3056.
- (a) A. Corma, S. Iborra and A. Velty, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107**, 2411-2502. (b) J. Zakzeski, P. C. A. Bruijnincx, A. L. Jongerius and B. M. Weckhuysen, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 3552-3599; (b) M. Stocker, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 9200-9211; (c) M. Saidi, F. Samimi, D. Karimipourfard, T. Nimanwudipong, B. C. Gates and M. R. Rahimpour, *Energy Environ.* Sci., 2014, **7**, 103-129; (d) T. P. Vispute, H. Zhang, A. Sanna, R. Xiao and G. W. Huber, *Science*, 2010, **330**, 1222-1227.
- 4. Y. R. Luo, In Comprehensive Handbook of Chemical Bond Energies; CRC Press: Boca Raton, FL, 2007.
- (a) A. G. Sergeev and J. F. Hartwig, *Science.*, 2011, 332, 439-443;
  (b) J. M. Nichols, L. M. Bishop, R. G. Bergman and J. A. Ellman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, 132, 12554-12555;
  (c) S. Son and F. D. Toste, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2010, 49, 3791-3794.
- Y. L. Ren, M.J. Yan, J. J. Wang, Z. C. Zhang and K. S. Yao, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 12674-12678.
- (a) J. Zhang, J. Teo, X. Chen, H. Asakura, T. Tanaka, K. Teramura and N. Yan, ACS Catal., 2014, 4, 1574-1583; (b) J. Zhang, H. Asakura, J. Rijn, J. Yang, P. Duchesne, B. Zhang, X. Chen, P. Zhang, M. Saeys and N. Yan, Green Chem., 2014, 16, 2432-2437.
- (a) A. G. Sergeev, J. D. Webb and J. F. Hartwig, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 20226-20229; (b) J. He, C. Zhao and J. A. Lercher, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 20768-20775; (c) J. He, L. Lu, C. Zhao, D. Mei and J. A. Lercher, J.Catal., 2014, 311, 41-51; (d) J. He, C. Zhao, D. Mei and J. A. Lercher, J.Catal., 2014, 309, 280-290.
- (a) C. Zhao and J. A. Lercher, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2012, 51, 5935-5940; (b) C. Zhao and J. A. Lercher, ChemCatChem, 2012, 4, 64-68; (c) C. Zhao, Y. Kou, A. A. Lemonidou, X. Li and J. A. Lercher, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2009, 121, 4047-4050; (d) C. Zhao, Y. Kou, A. A. Lemonidou, X. Li and J. A. Lercher, Chem. Commun., 2009, 46, 412-414; (e) W. Zhang, J. Chen, R. Liu, S. Wang, L. Chen and K. Li, ACS Catal., 2014, 2, 683-691; (f) H. Xu, K. Wang, H. Zhang, L. Hao, J. Xu and Z. Liu, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2014, 4, 2658-2663; (g) J. G. Dickinson and P. E. Savage, ACS Catal., 2014, 4, 2605-2615.
- X. Wang and R. Rinaldi, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2013, 52, 11499-11503.
- 11. D. J. Rensel, S. Rouvimov, M. E. Gin and J. C. Hicks, *J. Catal.*, 2013, **305**, 256-263.

- (a) T. Prasomsri, T. Shetty, M. Murugappan and Y. Roman-Leshkov, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2014, 7, 2660-2669; (b) W. Lee, Z. Wang, R. Wu and A. Bhan, *J. Catal.*, 2014, 319, 44-53.
- (a) E. P. Maris and R. J. Davis, *J. Catal.*, 2007, **249**, 328-337;
  (b) T. Miyazawa, S. Koso, K. Kunimori and K. Tomishige, *Appl. Catal. A.*, 2007, **318**, 244-251;
   (c) D. G. Lahr and B. H. Shanks, *J. Catal.*, 2005, **232**, 386;
   (d) J. Sun and H. Liu, *Green Chem.*, 2011, **13**, 135-142.
- (a) C. Luo, S. Wang and H. Liu, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2007, 46, 7636-7639; (b) A. Fukuoka and P. L. Dhepe, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2006, 45, 5161-5163; (c) J. Geboers, S. Van de Vyver, K. Carpentier, K. de Blochouse, P. Jacobs and B. F. Sels, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, 46, 3577-3579; (d) H. Kobayashi, T. Komanoya, K. Hara and A. Fukuoka, *ChemSusChem*, 2010, 3, 440-443; (e) B. Op de Beeck, J. Geboers, S. Van de Vyver, J. Van Lishout, J. Snelders, W.J. Huijgen, C.M. Courtin, P.A. Jacobs and B.F. Sels, *ChemSusChem*, 2013, 6, 199-208.
- 15. G. Jerkiewicz, *Electrocatal.*, 2010, **1**, 179-199.
- (a) E. Wilhelm, R. Battino and R. J. Wilcock, *Chem. Rev.*, 1977, **77**, 219-262; (b) V. I. Baranenko and V.S. Kirov, *Atomic Energy*, 1989, **66**, 30-34.
- 17. P. Feulner and D. Menzel, *Surf. Sci.*, 1985, **154**, 465-488.
- 18. J. He, C. Zhao and J. A. Lercher, *J. Catal.*, 2014, **309**, 362-375.

## ARTICLE

Published on 21 August 2015. Downloaded by University of Cambridge on 22/08/2015 20:21:30.





Reactants