

PII: S0040-4020(96)00658-8

Short Synthetic Route to the Enantiomerically Pure (R)-(+)- γ -Decalactone

Jozef Kula*, Magdalena Sikora, Halina Sadowska and Jacek Piwowarski

Institute of General Food Chemistry, Technical University of Lodz, 90-924 Lodz, Poland

Abstract: An efficient procedure for the preparation of homochiral (R)-(+)- γ -decalactone 4 based on castor oil ozonolysis is described. The key intermediate, (R)-(-)-1,3-nonandiol 1, was transformed into monotosylate 2 and then reacted with sodium cyanide to give (R)-(-)-4-hydroxydecanitrile 3. Treatment of the latter with a dilute hydrochloric acid provided the enantiomerically pure lactone 4. Copyright © 1996 Elsevier Science Ltd

Lactones are very common flavour compounds used in the perfume and food industry, and they are useful intermediates in the synthesis of natural products. Among the flavour-active lactones, y-decalactone is used in fruit and dairy flavours.¹


A recent study revealed that dextrorotatory γ -decalactone is the major lactone of ripe fruits both of peaches² and nectarines.³ It is also an important contributor to the apricot⁴ and mango⁵ aroma.

Sensory characteristics and specific optical rotations of both enantiomers have been described and it is known that the (R)-enantiomer is more attractive than its (S)-antipode as far as the flavour is concerned.⁶

Generally, commercial synthetic γ -decalactone is the racemate form, but the (+)- γ -decalactone has also been available. It is produced by a microbial process from ricinoleic acid⁷ which is a very costly procedure. Therefore, the search for new, efficient routes to this nature-identical microcomponent is justified, and the purpose of this paper is to show a simple and cost-effective synthetic route to the enantiomerically pure (+)- γ decalactone.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Castor oil contains, up to 90% of the mixed acids, a unique hydroxy acid, (R.Z)-(+)-12-hydroxy-9-octadecenoic acid or ricinoleic acid.⁸ Ozonolysis of the acid provides (R)-(-)-1,3-nonandiol 1.⁹ The same outcome could be observed when a commercial castor oil was subjected to ozonolysis.¹⁰ On the basis of the suitable absolute configuration of diol 1 and its availability, the compound was a promising starting material for the preparation of (R)-y-decalactone 4 (Scheme)



Ozonolysis of castor oil in methanol followed by reduction of the resulting intermediate ozonides afforded a product composed of diol 1 and methyl 9-hydroxynonanoate (\cong 1:1, GC). The latter was formed as a result of a spontaneous transesterification of the triglyceride and was difficult to separate from diol 1 by distillation. However, alkaline hydrolysis of the ester allowed isolation of (-)-1,3-nonandiol 1 in 73% yield (based on 85% castor oil).

light of the literature report," it was tempting to try to transform diol 1 into (R)-4-In hydroxydecanitrile 3 by a one-pot procedure (via bis-trifluoroacetate of the diol), but it did not work well under our conditions and gave a poor result. A much better procedure appeared, which involved a selective tosylation of diol 1 with tosyl chloride providing tosylate 2 in 97% yield. The purity and the structure of the intermediate 2 was confirmed by TLC and IR, and without further purification, the compound was reacted with sodium cyanide in DMSO to give crude 4-hydroxydecanitrile 3. The product contained 89% of compound 3 and 6% of y-decalactone 4 the retention time of which was very close to nitrile 3. Apparently, in the course of the work-up, a partial hydrolysis of the hydroxynitrile and lactonization took place. Fortunately, compound 3 became solid when refrigerated and could be easily purified by a three-fold crystallisation from nhexane to deliver a chemically pure 4-hydroxydecanitrile 3 (>99%, GC) with an optical rotation $[\alpha \ln^{20}-20.1^{\circ}]$ (c=1. MeOH). Its structure was confirmed by spectral analysis (¹³C-⁻¹H-NMR, IR and MS) and by its acidic hydrolysis to (+)-y-decalactone 4. The hydrolysis of nitrile 3 in the presence of a dilute HCl was practically quantitative and the product showed one peak (GC). Spectral examination of the compound proved its identity by comparison with the authentic sample of gamma-n-decalactone. This means that an acidic treatment of hydroxynitrile 3 involves both the hydrolysis and lactonization reaction. The measurement of the specific optical rotation of the lactone 4, $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ +48.5° (c=1.1, MeOH), demonstrated its homochirality.⁶ Additionally, this was supported by chiral GC analysis (column Lipodex[®]B, comparison with the racemic y-decalactone).

As for the absolute configuration of (-)-4-hydroxydecanitrile 3, it can be deduced that the compound possesses the original configuration of the substrate diol 1, and its optical rotation, -20° (in methanol), is specific for the enantiomerically pure (*R*)-configurated enantiomer.

Our approach with (R)-(-)-1.3-nonandiol 1 as the starting material, has significant advantages and provides a useful alternative for the preparation of (+)- γ -decalactone by microbial and multi-step chemical methods.¹²¹³

EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

General. Commercial-grade castor oil, $[\alpha]_D^{20}+5.6^{\circ}$ (neat), n_D^{20} 1.4778 from *Ricinus communis* was used. All solvents (methanol. pyridine, DMSO. CH₂Cl₂ diethyl ether, n-hexane and ethyl acetate) were freshly distilled prior to their use. NaCN was powdered and previously dried at 120 °C/0.2 mm Hg for 3 h. Crude tosylate 2 was, before the reaction, dried over P₂O₅ for 25 h.

Gas Chromatography (GC). - A Carlo Erba Vega 6000 gas chromatograph with FID, equipped with a column Rtx-1 (30 m), 0.25 mm i.d. was used. Conditions: temperature program 60 - 250 °C (4°/min.), nitrogen as carrier gas (0.8 mL/min.). Chiral GC analysis was performed using capillary column Lipodex[®]B. 25 m fused silica, column temp. 150 °C, injection temp. 190 °C, He as carrier gas. Gas Chromatography - Mass Spectrometry (GC-MS). - A Carlo Erba GC 8000 coupled to MD 800 Fisons Instruments were used. The apparatus was equipped with the same column as for GC analysis. Helium was used as carrier gas; electron ionization, 70 eV. Thin - Layer Chromatography (TLC). - Analytical TLC was performed on procoated plates (2.5 x 7.5 cm, silica gel 60 F₂₅₄, 0.25 mm, Merck). The chromatograms were developed with hexane:ethyl acetate 60:40 (v/v) for compound 2 and 50:50 (v/v) for hydroxynitrile 3. IR spectroscopy. - The IR spectra were measured as a smear on sodium chloride plates with a Spectro 71 spectrophotometer. NMR Spectroscopy. - All NMR spectra were recorded on a Bruker AC (200 MHz) spectrometer in CDCl₃ solutions. TMS as internal standard and chemical ships in δ (ppm): s - singlet, d - doublet, t - triplet, q - quartiplet and m - multiplet. Resonance multiplicities for carbon-13 were obtained by DEPT technique. Optical Rotation. - The optical rotations were measured on Perkin Elmer 241 MC instrument, ±0.01 accuracy.

(*R*)-(-)-1, 3-Nonaudiol 1. Castor oil (50 g in 200 mL methanol) was ozonized under the conditions described elsewhere.¹⁰ and the ozonide products were reduced with NaBH₄ (7 g, 1.3 equiv) by a portionwise addition of the reducing agent at 0 - 5 °C for 5 h during an intensive stirring. The mixture was stirred at 20 °C for another 2 h and then 200 mL of water was added. A part of methanol and water was distilled in vacuo and the product was extracted with diethyl ether (4 x 200 mL). The combined extracts were washed with water and dried with Na₂SO₄ and the solvent removed. The residue (52 g) was refluxed with 1.2 equiv of NaOH (4% in methanol) for 2 h (to hydrolyze the accompanied methyl 9-hydroxynananoate). After solvent evaporation, diethyl ether (300 mL) was added and the solvent evaporated. The crude product was fractionally distilled to give 16 g (ca. 73% yield) of 1,3-nonandiol 1; b.p. 110 - 113 °C/0.4 mm Hg. [α]₀²⁰-6° (c=1, EtOH) {lit.° [α]₀²² -5.5° (c=5.8, ethanol)}, IR: 3360, 1130, 1090, 1060, 1010 cm⁻¹. Its MS spectrum coincided with that given earlier.⁹

(*R*)-(-)-Hydroxydecanitrile 3. To a solution of diol 1 (5 g, 0.031 mol) and dry pyridine (4.8 g, 0.06 mol) in dry CH₂Cl₂ (25 mL) was added tosyl chloride (8g, 0.036 mol) with ice-cooling and the mixture was stirred overnight at 4 °C. The reaction mixture was diluted with diethyl ether (150 mL), washed with water, ice-10% HC1 (2 x 30 mL), brine, dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated to give 9.6 g of crude tosylate 2; IR: 3420, 1600, 1365, 1190, 1175, 1100, 960, 910, 820 cm⁻¹. A mixture of the tosylate (9.5 g, 0.03 mol) and NaCN (1.7 g, 0.035 mol) in dry DMSO (30 mL) was stirred for 3 days at room temperature. The reaction mixture was poured into ice-water and extracted with diethyl ether (5 x 80 mL). The combined extracts were washed with water and brine, dried with Na₂SO₄ and concentrated to provide a crude product (4.9 g) of 89% chemical purity (GC) which contained ca. 6% of γ -decalactone (by GC). The crude product while stored overnight in a refrigerator (-15 °C) became solid. The solid (2 g) was three-fold crystallized from hexane to deliver 1.5 g of chemically pure (GC) 4-hydroxydecanitrile 3 in the form of white, minute crystals tending to be liquid (m.p. <15 °C); [α]_D²⁰-20.1° (c=1, MeOH). IR: 3430, 2950, 2870, 2250, 1470, 1425, 1080, 1055 cm⁻¹, ¹H-NMR: 3.70 (m, 1H), 2.49 (dt, J=7 and 1.1 Hz, 2H), 0.88 (t, J=6.7 Hz, 3H), ¹³C-NMR: 119.9 (s), 69.5 (d), 37.1 (t), 32.3 (t), 31.5 (t), 30.0 (t), 25.3 (t), 22.3 (t), 13.8 (q), 13.4 (t), MS (m/z): 169 (M, 0%). 136 (4), 115 (40), 97 (72), 84 (57), 69 (19), 57 (20), 56 (37), 55 (100), 43 (44), 41 (81).

(*R*)-(+)- γ -Decalactone 4. A mixture of hydroxynitrile 3 (1.03 g) and 14% HCl (20 mL) was stirred at 100 °C for 5 h. The product was extracted with diethyl ether (3 x 50 mL), washed with the saturate NaHCO₃ solution and with water, dried (Na₂SO₄) and the solvent thoroughly evaporated in vacuum to provide 1.2 g of chemically pure (GC, TLC) γ -decalactone 4 (as a colorless liquid); [α]_D²⁰+48.5° (c=1.1. MeOH) {for optically pure⁶: [α]_D²⁰+48.5° (in MeOH)}. Its GC, IR and MS data were identical with those of authentic sample.

LITERATURE CITED

- 1. Arctander, S. Perfume and Flavor Chemicals. Montclair, N.J., 1969.
- 2. Sumitani, H.; Suekane, S.; Nakatani, A.; Tatsuka, K. J. Agric. Food Chem. 1994, 42, 785-790.
- 3. Feuerbach, M.; Froelich, O.; Schreier, P. Ibid. 1988, 36, 1236 -1237.
- 4. Takeoka, R. G.; Flath, R. A.; Mon, T.; Teranishi, R.; Guentert, M. Ibid. 1990, 38, 471-477.
- 5. Wilson, C. W.; Shaw, P. E.; Knight, R. J. Ibid. 1990, 38, 1556-1559.
- 6. Mosandl, A.; Gunther, C. *Ibid.* 1989, 37, 413-418.
- 7. Tyrrell, M. H. Food Technology 1990, 44, 68-71.
- 8. Lakshminarayana, G.; Paulose, M. M.; Kumari, N. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 1984, 61, 1871-1872.
- 9. Kitching, W.; Lewis, J. A.; Perkins, M. V.; Drew, R.; Moore, C. J.; Schurig, V.; Koenig, W. A.; Francke, W. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 3893-3902.
- 10. Kula, J.; Sikora, M.; Dabrowski, R. J. Am. Oil Chem. Soc. 1994, 71, 545-546.
- 11. Camps, F.; Gasol, V.; Guererro, A. Synthetic Commun. 1988, 18, 445-452.
- 12. Chong, J. M.; Mar, E. K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 1981-1984.
- 13. Yadav, J. S.; Maniyan, P. P. Synthetic Commun. 1993, 23, 2731-2741.

(Received in UK 6 June 1996; revised 5 July 1996; accepted 11 July 1996)