

ChemComm

Chemical Communications

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: J. Higham and J. A. Bull, *Chem. Commun.*, 2020, DOI: 10.1039/D0CC01576H.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

Journal Name

COMMUNICATION

Copper Catalysed Oxidative α -Sulfonylation of Branched Aldehydes Using the Acid Enhanced Reactivity of Manganese(IV) Oxide

Joe I. Higham^a and James A. Bull^{*a}

Received 00th January 20xx,
Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

www.rsc.org/

The oxidative coupling of secondary aldehydes and sulfinate salts is achieved using copper catalysis to form α -sulfonyl aldehydes. The use of an acidic co-solvent is important to adjust the oxidation potential of MnO_2 as oxidant. A broad range of sulfonylated aldehydes is prepared, and their further functionalisation is demonstrated. A dual ionic/radical pathway mechanism is proposed.

Oxidative cross-coupling reactions are formally a coupling between two nucleophiles (Figure 1a), with the Chan–Lam coupling as a notable copper-mediated example.¹ The choice of oxidant is crucial to effectively remove excess electrons from the system. In the case where either, or both, coupling partners and the product could be oxidised in a non-productive manner, requirements are narrow to avoid side reactions. Sulfinate salts are attractive nucleophiles to use in oxidative couplings as readily available, easily handled solids, for the direct preparation of medicinally important sulfones,² but can themselves be oxidised in an unproductive manner. α -Quaternary sulfones feature in several medicinally relevant compounds (Figure 1b).^{3–6} Examples include CB_2 agonists,³ 5-lipoxygenase-activating protein (FLAP) modulators,⁴ RIP2 kinase inhibitors,⁵ and matrix metalloprotein inhibitors which have shown efficacy in the treatment of cancer, arthritis and cardiovascular disease.⁶ There are few direct methods used for their synthesis and current routes require multiple steps: thioalkylation then oxidation, double alkylation of a sulfonyl ester/nitrile, reaction of a pre-formed enolate with a sulfonyl fluoride under cryogenic conditions,^{3–6} rearrangement of vinyl tosylates,⁷ or rearrangement of an epoxysulfone.⁸ The oxidative coupling of sulfinate salts to form α - and β -sulfonylketones has been demonstrated using aryl-ethyl-ketones,⁹ and tertiary cyclopropanols respectively.^{10,11} The oxidative cross-coupling of aldehydes and sulfinate salts forming α -sulfonyl aldehydes directly is not known. The coupling of branched aldehydes with sulfinate salts would allow

a) Schematic oxidative coupling




b) Medicinally relevant α -quaternary sulfonesc) This work - oxidative coupling to α -sulfonylaldehydes

Figure 1: a) Schematic oxidative coupling. b) Medicinally relevant quaternary sulfones. c) This work detailing a method to access quaternary sulfonyl-aldehydes via a key oxidative coupling.

rapid access to α -sulfonyl aldehydes in a single step and provide a valuable handle to install directly the type of adjacent functionality displayed in the compounds in Figure 1.


Notably the targeted coupling involves three potentially oxidatively sensitive species, presenting an interesting challenge to identify an oxidant of high selectivity to achieve product formation without degradation. Here we report a realisation of this approach using MnO_2 in the presence of acetic acid to enhance the redox potential.

Initially, we examined copper catalysed processes along with a range of oxidants, intending to form Cu enolates to intercept with sulfonyl radicals. An initial hit was achieved using silver acetate as an oxidant, and the reaction conditions were optimised examining all parameters (See S.I. for full discussion). Effective conditions for the coupling of cyclohexane carboxaldehyde **1** with *p*-tolylSO₂Na **2a** were achieved using the combination of copper triflate with bathophenanthroline ligand, and silver acetate (Scheme 1a). A solvent

^a Department of Chemistry, Imperial College London, Molecular Sciences Research Hub, White City Campus, Wood Lane, London W12 0BZ (UK).


Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [Additional optimisation and control reactions, DOE results, experimental procedures and characterisation data]. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x. All raw and processed data for this manuscript can be found at the Imperial College London Research Data Repository (doi: https://doi.org/10.14469/hpc/6794).

mixture of water and ethanol was most productive, ensuring homogeneity of the reaction mixture. This reaction was optimised to provide 69% of the coupled product, however, other aryl sulfinate salts were significantly less reactive (Scheme 1b), and the yields were not improved by modifying reaction conditions or increasing time. There was an apparent correlation between yield and the electrode potential of the sulfinate salt (Scheme 1c).¹² In order to improve the scope of the reaction, a stronger oxidant was needed, preferably one which could be fine-tuned to maximise the efficiency of the coupling.



Scheme 1: a) Silver mediated oxidative sulfonation of cyclohexanecarboxaldehyde **1**. b) Yield of sulfone and electrode potential of sulfinate salt.¹² c) Correlation of yield and electrode potential with silver mediated conditions. Reactions performed on 0.4 mmol scale. All yields are isolated yields.

Strong oxidants, such as potassium persulfate, led to over-oxidation. Instead, we chose to examine MnO₂, which gave low yields initially but was compatible with the reaction conditions. The oxidative ability of MnO₂ is known to change with pH, which can be understood by its redox couple (Eq 1).



A broad range of electrode potentials can be accessed by tuning the pH of the system [pH 4–0, 0.8–1.2 V].¹³ This property has been little explored in synthetic studies, therefore MnO₂ was selected for further investigation.

Several acidic additives were screened with a range of sulfonates, and acetic acid was identified as an effective additive. Using acetic acid as a co-solvent gave 71% of the sulfonated adduct **3a** using cyclohexylcarboxaldehyde **1** and *p*-tolylSO₂Na **2a** (Table 1, entry 1). In the absence of acetic acid, a low yield was observed (Entry 2). In the absence of MnO₂ the reaction proceeds in low yield, indicating oxygen from air can facilitate the reaction and some turnover of the Cu catalyst (Entry 3). A lower loading of AcOH led to a small decrease in yield (Entry 4). When using trichloroacetic acid, no product formation was observed, potentially due to the lower pH leading to the MnO₂ being rendered too oxidising leading to product and substrate degradation (Entry 5). Under ligandless conditions, a significant reduction in yield was observed emphasising its importance in the reaction (Entry 6). The reaction was still observed in the absence of copper, indicating that under MnO₂ conditions there is a copper-independent mechanism for product formation (Entry 7).¹⁴ A slight decrease in reaction yield was observed when the reaction was run under argon indicating that while air is beneficial it has only a minor role in reactivity (Entry 8). Unexpectedly, the reaction


proceeded in the presence of radical traps (TEMPO and dihydroanthracene) without significant loss in yield (Entry 9 and 10). This suggests that the reaction could be proceeding by multiple reaction pathways. When increasing the scale of the reaction, a further increase in yield was observed, potentially due to reduced losses from evaporation, affording 85% of sulfonyl-aldehyde **3a** on 6 mmol scale (Scheme 2).

Table 1: Change to standard conditions.

Entry	Change to standard conditions	Yield 3a (%)
1	none	71
2	No AcOH	27
3	No MnO ₂	29
4	4 equiv AcOH	63
5	Cl ₃ CCO ₂ H (10 equiv) instead of AcOH	0
6	No BPhen	35
7	No Cu	21
8	Under Ar	61
9	+ 2 equiv TEMPO	50
10	+ 2 equiv dihydroanthracene	42

Reactions performed on 0.2 mmol scale. ^aYield determined by ¹H NMR using 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene as an internal standard.

With these conditions, we next investigated the tolerance of the reaction to substrate changes (Scheme 2). Improved yields were observed for all aryl sulfinate salts compared to the silver mediated conditions. Electron-neutral (phenyl) and aryl groups with electron-rich substituents (*p*-OMe and *p*-tBu) gave **3b–3d** in excellent yields. Aryl sulfonates with *p*-F, *p*-Cl and *p*-Br substituents reacted effectively under these conditions (**3e–3g**), with a significant increase in the yield of **3g** (60% vs 32%, Mn vs Ag oxidants).




Scheme 2: Reaction scope varying the sulfinate salts. Reactions performed on 0.4 mmol scale. All yields are of the isolated product.

Importantly, the most challenging electron poor *p*-trifluoromethylphenyl sulfinate salt reacted effectively under these conditions to yield **3h** in 62% (a threefold increase). More sterically demanding sulfinate salts (1-naphthyl and *o*-tolyl) are also reactive affording **3i** and **3j**, albeit in lower yields. Methyl and cyclopropane sulfinate salts could be used to access dialkyl sulfones **3k** and **3l**.

The scope of the aldehydes proved to be broad (Scheme 3). Ring sizes from 4 to 8 could be coupled to give the quaternary sulfonated products **4a–7a**, with higher yields observed for the less strained ring systems. 4-*tert*-Butylcyclohexane carboxaldehyde was sulfonated in a 1:1 mixture of *cis*- and *trans*-diastereoisomers to give **8a**, which is consistent with the involvement of a planar intermediate derived from the aldehyde in the reaction mechanism. Aldehydes bearing phenyl, CH₂OBn and ester substituents were also successful (**9a–11a**). Heterocyclic THP and Cbz-protected piperidine carboxaldehydes were compatible to give **12a** and **13a** which are attractive building blocks for use in medicinal chemistry.


Acyclic substrates were effective which included *gem*-dimethyl products **14a**, **14e** and **14h** bearing *p*-Me, *p*-Cl and *p*-CF₃ substituents, as the *gem*-dimethyl motif is a common substructure in bioactive compounds. Increasing the chain length of the aldehyde was tolerated giving **15a** and **16a** in 73% and 49% respectively. Benzodioxazole substituted aldehyde **17a** could be accessed without any observed hydrolysis of the acetal or oxidation of the benzylic position.



Scheme 3: Reaction scope varying the aldehyde. All reactions performed on 0.4 mmol scale. All yields are of the isolated product. ^a 1:1 dr determined by ¹H NMR. ^b Reaction performed on 1 mmol scale. ^c Basic aqueous workup performed to avoid product instability.


Exploitation of the aldehyde functionality enabled divergent access to more complex sulfone derivatives in a single step. Addition of a

Grignard reagent afforded benzylic alcohol **18** in 77% yield. Reduction with NaBH₄ afforded alcohol **19** and oxidative cyclisation with *o*-phenylene diamine afforded benzimidazole **20** in excellent yield.¹⁵ A Pictet–Spengler reaction gave access to indole containing **21**.¹⁶ Hydroxamic acid **22** was synthesised by oxidative amination of the aldehyde.¹⁷ Aldehyde **3a** was oxidised with a Pinnick oxidation to acid **23**, itself providing a valuable building block.¹⁸



Scheme 4: Derivatization of sulfonated aldehyde. i) *p*-MeOC₆H₄MgBr, THF, 0 °C. ii) NaBH₄, EtOH, 0 °C. iii) *o*-phenylenediamine, CAN (10 mol%), 30% H₂O₂ in H₂O (4 equiv), MeCN, 50 °C. iv) tryptamine, TFA, CH₂Cl₂, r.t. v) *N*-hydroxysuccinimide and PhI(OAc)₂, 0 °C, MeCN; then NH₂OH·HCl (2 equiv, 50 w/w% in H₂O), rt vi) NaClO₂ (4 equiv), NaH₂PO₄ (2.2 equiv), H₂O (1 equiv) in MeCN/H₂O, rt.

Based on the above results and prior literature, we propose a plausible dual mechanistic pathway involving separate ionic and radical steps (Scheme 5).^{11c,19} Both pathways involve coordination of the aldehyde to Cu^{II} complex **A** to form cationic complex **B**. Coordination of the aldehyde acidifies the α -position, allowing deprotonation of **B** to form the copper enol/enolate **C**. At this point, the mechanisms diverge: in the ionic mechanism, the copper centre could be oxidised to Cu^{III} intermediate **D** which could then be attacked by the sulfinate to give the product aldehyde and Cu^I intermediate **E**. Alternatively the product could be formed by direct attack of intermediate **C** by a sulfonyl radical formed by oxidation of the sulfinate salt.



Scheme 5: Proposed mechanism of α -sulfonylation

In summary, a new α -sulfonylation reaction of secondary aldehydes has been achieved using earth abundant copper catalysis. Manganese(IV) oxide was an effective oxidant when using AcOH to enhance the redox potential. These conditions were compatible with the potentially sensitive aldehyde products and a wide range of sulfinate salts. Control and radical trapping experiments indicate this process could proceed by concurrent polar and radical mechanisms. The utility of these aldehyde building blocks was shown by their derivatisation into functionally diverse fragments, suggesting this methodology may of value in medicinal chemistry programs.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge The Royal Society for funding [University Research Fellowship, UF140161 (to J.A.B), URF appointed grant RG150444 and URF enhancement grant RGF\EA\180031]

Notes and references

- For reviews on oxidative couplings, see: (a) I. Funes-Ardoiz and F. Maseras, *ACS Catal.*, 2018, **8**, 1161–1172. (b) W. Shi, C. Liu and A. Lei, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **40**, 2761–2776. (c) For a review of Chan–Lam coupling see: M. J. West, J. W. B. Fyfe, J. C. Vantourout and A. J. B. Watson, *Chem. Rev.*, 2019, **119**, 12491–12523.
- J. Aziz, S. Messaoudi, M. Alami and A. Hamze, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2014, **12**, 9743–9759.
- E. R. Hickey, D. Riether, D. S. Thomson, R. M. Zindell, P. Amouzegh, M. Ermann, C. F. Palmer and M. Whittaker, Sulfone Compounds Which Modulate the CB2 Receptor, US Patent US20120015988A1, 2010.
- G. Sales, M. Bacani, W. Eccles, A. E. Fitzgerald, S. D. Goldberg, M. D. Hack, N. A. Hawryluk, W. M. Jones, J. M. Keith, P. Krawczuk, A. D. Lebsack, A. Lee-Dutra, J. Liu, K. J. McClure, S. P. Meduna, D. J. Pippel, M. D. Rosen and Z. S. Sales, Flap Modulators, US patent US9079866B2, 2015.
- M. J. Bury, L. N. Casillas, A. K. Charnley, M. P. Demartino, X. Dong, P. A. Haile, P. A. Harris, S. A. Lakdawala, B. W. King, R. W. Marquis Jr, J. F. Mehlmann, J. J. Romano, R. R. Singhaus Jr and G. Z. Wang, Amino-quinolines as kinase inhibitors, TW201808946, 2018.
- (a) D. P. Becker, T. E. Barta, L. J. Bedell, T. L. Boehm, B. R. Bond, J. Carroll, C. P. Carron, G. A. Decrescenzo, A. M. Easton, J. N. Freskos, C. L. Funckes-Shippy, M. Heron, S. Hockerman, C. P. Howard, J. R. Kiefer, M. H. Li, K. J. Mathis, J. J. McDonald, P. P. Mehta, G. E. Munie, T. Sunyer, C. A. Swearingen, C. I. Villamil, D. Welsch, J. M. Williams, Y. Yu and J. Yao, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2010, **53**, 6653–6680. (b) J. Orbe, J. A. Sánchez-Arias, O. Rabal, J. A. Rodríguez, A. Salicio, A. Ugarte, M. Belzunce, M. Xu, W. Wu, H. Tan, H. Ma, J. A. Páramo and J. Oyarzabal, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2015, **58**, 2465–2488. (c) I. De Miguel, J. Orbe, J. A. Sánchez-Arias, J. A. Rodríguez, A. Salicio, O. Rabal, M. Belzunce, E. Sáez, M. Xu, W. Wu, H. Tan, H. Ma, J. A. Páramo and J. Oyarzabal, *ACS Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2018, **9**, 428–433.
- a) L. Yi, L. Mai, Y. Dongwei, Method for efficiently synthesizing beta-ketone sulfonyl compounds through visible light induction, CN106905099, 2017. b) L. Xie, X. Zhen, S. Huang, X. Su, M. Lin and Y. Li, *Green Chem.*, 2017, **19**, 3530–3534.
- T. Durst and K. C. Tin, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1970, **11**, 2369–2372.
- X.-W. Lan, N.-X. Wang, C.-B. Bai, W. Zhang, Y. Xing, J.-L. Wen, Y.-J. Wang and Y.-H. Li, *Sci. Rep.*, 2015, **5**, 18391.
- Y. A. Konik, G. Z. Elek, S. Kaabel, I. Järving, M. Lopp and D. G. Kananovich, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2017, **15**, 8334–8340.
- (a) For copper catalysed coupling of sulfinate salts with oxime acetates, see: X. Tang, L. Huang, Y. Xu, J. Yang, W. Wu and H. Jiang, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 4205–4208. (b) For sulfonamide synthesis with sulfinate salts, see: X. Tang, L. Huang, C. Qi, X. Wu, W. Wu and H. Jiang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 6102–6104. (c) For an oxidative alkylation of aldehydes using copper catalysis, see: H. Richter, R. Rohlmann and O. García Mancheño, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2011, **17**, 11622–11627.
- For electrode potentials of sulfinate salts, see: A. U. Meyer, K. Straková, T. Slanina and B. König, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2016, **22**, 8694–8699.
- For pourbaix diagram of Manganese see: a) O. V. Boytsova, T. O. Shekunova and A. E. Baranchikov, *Russ. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2015, **60**, 546–551. b) J. Hem, *US Geol. Surv. water-supply Pap.* 1667-A, 1963 (pg 16).
- Only trace product was observed under silver mediated conditions in the absence of copper.
- Procedure adapted from: K. Bahrami, M. M. Khodaei and F. Naali, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2008, **73**, 6835–6837.
- Procedure for Pictet–Spengler reaction using tertiary aldehydes adapted from: Z. Zhao, Y. Sun, L. Wang, X. Chen, Y. Sun, L. Lin, Y. Tang, F. Li and D. Chen, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2019, **60**, 800–804.
- G. Dettori, S. Gaspa, A. Porcheddu and L. De Luca, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2014, **356**, 2709–2713.
- Procedure using H₂O₂ as HOCl scavenger for Pinnick oxidation adapted from: E. Dalcanele and F. Montanari, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1986, **51**, 567–569.
- X. F. Huang, M. Salman and Z. Z. Huang, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2014, **20**, 6618–6621.