Green Chemistry



View Article Online

COMMUNICATION



Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/c5gc02612a Received 30th October 2015, Accepted 18th November 2015 DOI: 10.1039/c5gc02612a

www.rsc.org/greenchem

Methyl NFSI: atom-economical alternative to NFSI shows higher fluorination reactivity under Lewis acid-catalysis and non-catalysis[†]

Kazunobu Fukushi,^a Satoru Suzuki,^a Tomohiro Kamo,^a Etsuko Tokunaga,^b Yuji Sumii,^a Takumi Kagawa,^c Kosuke Kawada^c and Norio Shibata*^{a,b}

Me-NFSI was first reported in 1994. Despite its atom-economical structure and similarity to a well-explored fluorinating reagent, NFSI, Me-NFSI has not appeared in the literature in over 20 years. We disclose that Me-NFSI is more effective for the fluorination of active methines under Lewis acid-catalysis and non-catalysis than NFSI.

Introduction

Direct electrophilic fluorination of organic molecules is surely one of the most straightforward methods for the synthesis of organofluorine compounds which are sought after in the fields of pharmaceuticals, agrochemicals and specialty materials.^{1,2} The early days of electrophilic fluorination were problematic since there was a lack of suitable reagents for this purpose, and highly toxic gaseous fluorine (F_2) , explosive fluoro perchlorite (FClO₃), trifluoromethyl hypofluorite (CF_3OF), or expensive xenon difluoride (XeF_2) were being used. Since the initial report by Barnette in the mid-1980s claiming that *N*-fluorosulfonamide **1** is useful for the direct electrophilic fluorination of carbanions,³ research on the development of N-F type shelf-stable reagents for electrophilic fluorination has been widely spurred worldwide,⁴ including by our group.⁵ Among the many kinds of reagents developed,^{2,4} chloromethyl-4-fluoro-1,4-diazoniabicyclo[2.2.2]octane bis(tetrafluoroborate) (Selectfluor®)⁶ and *N*-fluorobenzenesulfonimide (NFSI)⁷ have become two of the most popular reagents in this field due to their accessibility, suitable reactivity and stability (Fig. 1). While the two reagents are very useful and have con-



Fig. 1 Shelf-stable N-F type electrophilic fluorination reagents.

tinuously been employed to discover new reactions and valuable compounds with expected properties in both academia and industry, they suffer from an intrinsic drawback, namely poor atom-economical transformation, limiting large-scale preparations in process chemistry. Electrophilic fluorination reagents, many of which have been reported in the literature, can fulfil the atom-economical and environmentally-friendly needs of modern chemistry to serve society's needs.⁸ However, we noticed that *N*-fluoromethanesulfonimide (F–N(SO₂Me)₂, Me-NFSI) has been poorly explored despite its atom-economical structure (Fig. 1).⁹

Me-NFSI was first reported by Bohlmann in 1994 during the electrophilic fluorination of carbanions but there were only three examples of the reaction with sodium malonate, lithium acetylide and anthracenyl lithium.9 However, the two methyl groups of Me-NFSI might be a problem due to their acidity under basic conditions. On the other hand, it is reasonable to expect that higher basicity of sulfonyl oxygens of Me-NFSI than regular NFSI will bring about a desirable outcome under acid catalysis. Moreover, the water solubility of methanesulfonimide, $HN(SO_2Me)_2$, a residue that forms after fluorination, is very beneficial from a practical point of view, since it is easily washed out during the work-up process. In this paper, we disclose herein that Me-NFSI is an atom-economical alternative to NFSI, and has notable advantages over NFSI in the electrophilic fluorination of active methine compounds including β-keto esters, oxindoles, and malonates under Lewis acid-catalysis. More interestingly, the reaction of β -keto esters also proceeds smoothly without any catalyst providing the corresponding

^aDepartment of Nanopharmaceutical Sciences, Nagoya Institute of Technology (NIT), Gokiso, Showa-ku, Nagoya 466-8555, Japan. E-mail: nozshiba@nitech.ac.jp; Fax: +81-52-735-7543

^bDepartment of Frontier Materials, Nagoya Institute of Technology (NIT), Gokiso, Showa-ku, Nagoya 466-8555, Japan

^cTOSOH F-TECH, Inc., 4988, Kaisei-cho, Shunan, Yamaguchi 746-0006, Japan. Fax: +81-834-62-1303: Tel: +81-834-62-1300

[†]Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/ c5gc02612a

fluorinated compounds in good to high yields. In particular, methanol is the best choice of solvent. Water is also useful as a solvent for fluorination by Me-NFSI.

Results and discussion

Me-NFSI is easily prepared in two steps from the reaction of commercially available methanesulfonyl chloride according to Bohlmann's procedure.⁹ Namely, methanesulfonyl chloride was treated with NH_4Cl and NaOH in aqueous acetone at 0 °C to provide $HN(SO_2Me)_2$ in 61% yield.¹⁰ $HN(SO_2Me)_2$ was fluorinated using 10% gaseous fluorine in nitrogen in the presence of NaF in MeCN at -40 °C to furnish Me-NFSI in 76% yield. The Me-NFSI obtained is a shelf-stable colorless solid (mp = 48-49 °C; CH_2Cl_2) (Scheme 1).

Initially, due to the difference in basicity of the sulfonyl oxygens in NFSI and Me-NFSI, we envisaged that fluorination under acid-catalysis using Me-NFSI would be clearly advantageous than the use of NFSI. Thus, the initial comparison of the fluorination of β -keto esters **2a,b** by Me-NFSI and NFSI was examined under Ti(OⁱPr)₄ catalysis at room temperature in CH₂Cl₂. This expectation was realized in practice, in particular the fluorination of sterically demanding 'Bu ester **2b** (Fig. 2 and Table S1 in the ESI†). The fluorination of **2b** by NFSI gave product **3b** in 20% yield after 10 min, and the yield of **3b** gradually increased to 80% over 24 hours. On the other hand, Me-NFSI produced **3b** in 90% yield within only 5 min. A similar rate-acceleration tendency by Me-NFSI over NFSI was also observed for the fluorination of methyl ester **2a**, although





Fig. 2 GC-analysis of titanium-catalyzed fluorination. Reaction conditions: 2b (1.0 mmol), Me-NFSI or NFSI (1.2 equiv.), $Ti(O^{i}Pr)_{4}$ (10 mol%), $CH_{2}Cl_{2}$ (10 mL, 0.1 M), rt.

the difference was not as large as that for 2b (Table S2[†]). The rapid reaction by Me-NFSI can be explained by the activation of Me-NFSI via the coordination of its sulfonyl oxygens with Ti(IV), which was ascertained by ¹⁹F-NMR experiments. Namely, the chemical shift of Me-NFSI is -44.381 (internal standard was PhCF₃ -63.000, CD₂Cl₂) which shifted to -44.400 ppm after the addition of 1 equiv. of $Ti(O^{i}Pr)_{4}$ (see Fig. S4 and S5[†]). On the other hand, the chemical shift of NFSI remained constant at -38.331 ppm, independent of the existence of Ti(OⁱPr)₄ (see Fig. S6 and S7[†]). Interestingly, different chemical shifts were also observed depending on the amount of $Ti(O^{i}Pr)_{4}$. The original -44.425 ppm (Me-NFSI, in CDCl₃) was shifted to -44.499 ppm with 0.5 equiv. of Ti(OⁱPr)₄, and to -44.462 ppm with 1.0 equiv. of $Ti(O^{i}Pr)_{4}$ (Fig. S8-S10[†]). These results would suggest that Ti(OⁱPr)₄ coordinates Me-NSFI sulfonyl oxygen atoms, as depicted in Fig. 3a and b.

In order to further discuss the higher reactivity achieved by Me-NFSI, DFT calculations¹¹ were attempted next. The charge distributions of fluorine (F) on Me-NFSI, NFSI and their titanium complexes were calculated (DFT/B3LYP/6-31G*) (Fig. 3c–f, also see Table S5†). In Me-NFSI and NFSI, the charge distributions of the F were almost similar (Fig. 3c *vs.* e). On the other hand, the charge distribution of each F in titanium complexes is rather different, and F in Me-NFSI is more positive than that of NFSI (Fig. 3d *vs.* f). These computed results suggest that the reactivity of Me-NFSI seems to be higher than that of NFSI when it is complexed with Ti(rv).

The acid-catalyzed fluorination by Me-NFSI was found to be quite general for a series of β-keto esters **2a–r** (Table 1). The substrates with sterically demanding ^{*t*}Bu ester **2b** and 1-adamantyl ester **2c** gave similar high yields of **3b,c** as methyl ester **2a** within 3 h. The reaction was also adapted to substituted indanone derivatives **2d–h** with electron-donating Me and MeO, and electron-withdrawing Br and Cl groups on the benzene ring. Tetralone derivatives **2i–k** were also fluorinated in good to excellent yields with a slightly extended reaction time. A benzosuberone derivative having a 7-membered ring **2l** was also converted smoothly to the desired product **3l** in 92% yield, although a longer reaction time (24 h) was required. The fluorination of cyclopentanone carboxylates **2m,n**, cyclohexe-



Fig. 3 (a, b) Proposed activations of Me-NFSI by $Ti(O^{i}Pr)_{4}$. (c–f) B3LYP/ 6-31G* atomic charges of fluorine in Me-NFSI and NFSI for the complexes with Ti(v): electrostatic, Mulliken (), and Natural [].



 Table 1
 Lewis acid-catalyzed fluorination of active methine compounds by Me-NFSI^a

 a Reaction conditions: 2 or 4 (0.3 mmol), Me-NFSI (1.2 equiv.), Ti(O^iPr)_4 (10 mol%), CH_2Cl_2 (3 mL, 0.1 M), rt. b 1.0 equiv. of Ti(O^iPr)_4 was used.

none carboxylate **20**, cycloheptanone **2p** and acyclic β -keto esters **2q,r** was comparatively slower than the benzene-attached cyclic substrates providing the corresponding fluorinated products **3m–r** in low to moderate yields (18–67%). The fluorination by Me-NFSI under acid-catalysis is also effective for the reaction of oxindole derivatives¹² **4a–e** independent of the nature of substitutions at the 3- and 5-positions providing fluorinated oxindoles **5a–e** in 74–92% yields.

We next examined the fluorination of malonates **6a–d** (Table 2). Unfortunately, fluorination was not effective when β -keto esters were used. After a brief optimization of the reaction, the conditions consisting of 20 mol% Ti(OⁱPr₄), 2 equiv. of Me-NFSI in toluene at reflux temperature furnished fluorinated malonates **7a–d** in satisfactory yields (51–91%).

The fluorination of **2a** by Me-NFSI was further attempted under catalyst-free conditions (Table 3). To our surprise, fluorination proceeded without catalysis to provide **3a** in good to high yields (49–98%). In toluene, 49% of **3a** was obtained after 24 h, but this increased to 63% in CH_2Cl_2 for 24 h (entries 1

Table 2 Fluorination of malonates 6 under Lewis acid-catalysis^a

	1	MoN			p1	
RO		20 r	nol% Ti(O ⁱ Pr) ₄	→ RO ₂ C CO ₂ R		
	6	tolue	ene, reflux, 12 h	7		
Entry	6	R	\mathbb{R}^1	7	Yield ^b (%)	
1	6a	Et	Ме	7a	91	
2	6b	Et	Ph	7 b	52	
3	3 6c		Bn	7c	77	
4	6d	Bn	Bn	7d	51	

^a Reaction conditions: 6 (0.3 mmol), Me-NFSI (2.0 equiv.), Ti(OⁱPr)₄ (20 mol%), toluene (3 mL, 0.1 M), reflux. ^b Isolated yield.

Table 3 Scope of fluorination of β-keto esters 2 by Me-NFSI^a

R^2 H CO_2R^1					Me-NFSI (1.2 equiv.))	R^2 F R^3 CO_2R^1		
2a-l				MeOH, II, IIIIe			3a-I		
Entry	2	п	R^1	R^2	R^3	3	Time (h)	$\operatorname{Yield}^{b}(\%)$	
1	2a	1	Ме	Н	Н	3a	24	49 ^c (toluene)	
2	2a	1	Me	Η	Η	3a	24	63^{c} (CH ₂ Cl ₂)	
3	2a	1	Me	Н	Н	3a	4	92^c (THF)	
4	2a	1	Me	Н	Н	3a	1	96 (98 c)	
5	1b	1	^t Bu	Н	Н	3b	12	86	
6	1c	1	1-Ad	Н	Н	3c	24	69	
7	1d	1	Me	Me	Н	3d	4	90	
8	1e	1	Me	OMe	Н	3e	4	98	
9	1f	1	Me	OMe	OMe	3f	8	66	
10	1g	1	Me	Н	Cl	3g	8	83	
11	1h	1	Me	Н	Br	3h	12	86	
12	1i	2	Me	Н	Н	3i	24	91	
13	1j	2	Me	Н	OMe	3j	12	85	
14	1k	2	Me	Cl	Н	3k	24	90	
15	1l	3	Me	Н	Н	31	24	35	
16	2a	1	Me	Н	Н	3a	4	$96^{c} (H_{2}O)$	
17^d	2a	1	Me	Н	Н	3a	30	81^{c} (H ₂ O)	

^{*a*} Reaction conditions: 2 (0.3 mmol), Me-NFSI (1.2 equiv.), MeOH (3 mL, 0.1 M), rt. ^{*b*} Isolated yield. ^{*c*} 0.1 mmol of 2a was used and yield was determined by GC. ^{*d*} NFSI was used instead of Me-NFSI.

and 2). Both the yield and reaction time were dramatically improved, exceeding 90% (entries 3 and 4). In particular, in MeOH, **3a** was obtained in 96% (98%) yield in 1 h. Substrate generality for fluorination by Me-NFSI in MeOH under catalystfree conditions was next investigated. As shown in Table 3, a series of β -keto esters **2b–1** were smoothly fluorinated by Me-NFSI almost independent of the nature of the ester moiety or substitution on the aryl group, while a longer reaction time was required for substrates with sterically demanding esters, electron-withdrawing substituents on the aryl moiety and tetralone derivatives (entries 5–14). Benzosuberone **1I** was fluorinated in the absence of catalysts in 35% yield (entry 15). It should be noted that the reaction proceeded very smoothly even in water to provide **3a** in 96% yield (entry 16), while NFSI resulted 81% yield after 30 h (entry 17). The difference



Fig. 4 GC-analysis of fluorination in MeOH. Reaction conditions: 2a (0.2 mmol), Me-NFSI or NFSI (1.2 equiv.), MeOH (4 mL, 0.05 M), -40 °C to rt. Each reaction was repeated four times under the same conditions and their averages were plotted.

observed is due to the higher solubility of Me-NFSI in water than NFSI. This is also a clear advantage of Me-NFSI.

To ascertain the distinct benefit of Me-NFSI over NFSI for fluorination of **2** under catalyst-free conditions, the reaction of **2a** with Me-NFSI or NFSI was again monitored by GC analysis at 0.05 M (Fig. 4 and Table SI3†). As shown in Fig. 4, there appears to be a clear advantage in using Me-NFSI over NFSI as a fluorination reagent, *i.e.*, over 80% of **3a** was observed by Me-NFSI, while about 68% of **3a** was produced by NFSI.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we demonstrated that Me-NFSI is an atom-economical alternative to conventional NFSI. Under Lewis acidcatalysis, the fluorination of active methine compounds by Me-NFSI is much faster than that by well-explored NFSI. A variety of β -keto esters, oxindoles and malonates were smoothly reacted with Me-NFSI providing fluorinated compounds in good to high yields. More interestingly, Me-NFSI is also useful for electrophilic fluorination under catalyst-free conditions in MeOH. H₂O is also useful for catalyst-free fluorination by Me-NFSI. Practical uses may be possible by taking advantage of its excellent reactivity and the water solubility of its by-product, HN(SO₂Me)₂. Rapid reactions by Me-NFSI are also attractive for applications of ¹⁸F-chemistry, since ¹⁸F-NFSI has already been examined.¹³ Further applications of Me-NFSI will be reported in due course.

Acknowledgements

This research is partially supported by the Platform Project for Supporting in Drug Discovery and Life Science Research (Platform for Drug Discovery, Informatics, and Structural Life Science) from the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT), and the Japan Agency for Medical Research and Development (AMED), a JSPS Grand-in-Aid for Scientific Research (B) (25288045), and the Koayashi International Foundation. We also thank Mr Satoru Mori in NIT for the computations in Fig. 3. A part of MeNFSI is a gift from TOSOH F-TECH, Inc.

Notes and references

- 1 (a) T. Hiyama, Organofluorine Compounds. Chemistry and Applications, Springer, Berlin, 2000; (b) R. D. Chambers, Fluorine in Organic Chemistry, Blackwell, Oxford, 2004; (c) Handbook of Fluorous Chemistry, ed. J. A. Gladysz, D. P. Curran and I. T. Horváth, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2004; (d) K. Uneyama, Organofluorine Chemistry, Blackwell, Oxford, 2006; (e) Z. Hong and S. G. Weber, Teflon AF Materials, in Topics in Current Chemistry, ed. I. T. Horváth, Springer, Berlin, 2012, vol. 308, pp. 307–377; (f) P. Kirsch, Modern Fluoroorganic Chemistry, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2013.
- 2 (a) J.-A. Ma and D. Cahard, *Chem. Rev.*, 2008, **108**, PR1;
 (b) Y. Li, Y. Wu, G.-S. Li and X.-S. Wang, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2014, **356**, 1412;
 (c) M. G. Campbell and T. Ritter, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **115**, 612;
 (d) X. Yang, T. Wu, R. J. Phipps and F. D. Toste, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **115**, 826;
 (e) P. A. Champagne, J. Desroches, J.-D. Hamel, M. Vandamme and J.-F. Paquin, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **115**, 9073;
 (f) C. Ni, F. Jiang, Y. Zeng and J. Hu, *J. Fluorine Chem.*, 2015, **179**, 3.
- 3 W. E. Barnette, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1984, 106, 452.
- 4 General review of N-F type reagents for electrophilic fluorination: (a) G. S. Lal, G. P. Pez and R. G. Syvret, Chem. Rev., 1996, 96, 1737; (b) S. D. Taylor, C. C. Kotoris and G. Hum, Tetrahedron, 1999, 55, 12431; (c) A. S. Kiselyov, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2005, 34, 1031; (d) N. Shibata, T. Ishimaru, S. Nakamura and T. Toru, J. Fluorine Chem., 2007, 128, 469. For examples of N-F type reagents for electrophilic fluorination, see: (e) S. Singh, D. D. DesMarteau, S. S. Zuberi, M. Witz and H.-N. Huang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1987, 109, 7194; (f) E. Differding and R. W. Lang, Tetrahedron Lett., 1988, 29, 6087; (g) E. Differding and R. W. Lang, Helv. Chim. Acta, 1989, 72, 1248; (h) F. A. Davis and W. Han, Tetrahedron Lett., 1991, 32, 1631; (i) I. Cabrera and W. K. Appel, Tetrahedron, 1995, 51, 10205; (j) G. L. Chen, F. L. Chen, Y. Zhang, X. Y. Yang, X. M. Yuan, F. H. Wu and X. J. Yang, J. Fluorine Chem., 2012, 133, 155; (k) E.-M. Tanzer, W. B. Schweizer, M.-O. Ebert and R. Gilmour, Chem. - Eur. J., 2012, 18, 2006.
- 5 (a) Y. Takeuchi, Z. Liu, E. Suzuki, N. Shibata and K. L. Kirk, J. Fluorine Chem., 1999, 97, 65; (b) Y. Takeuchi, T. Suzuki, A. Satoh, T. Shiragami and N. Shibata, J. Org. Chem., 1999, 64, 5708; (c) N. Shibata, Z. Liu and Y. Takeuchi, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 2000, 48, 1954; (d) Z. Liu, N. Shibata and Y. Takeuchi, J. Org. Chem., 2000, 65, 7583; (e) H. Yasui,

T. Yamamoto, T. Ishimaru, T. Fukuzumi, E. Tokunaga, K. Akikazu, M. Shiro and N. Shibata, *J. Fluorine Chem.*, 2011, 132, 222; (*f*) C.-L. Zhu, M. Maeno, F.-G. Zhang, T. Shigehiro, T. Kagawa, K. Kawada, N. Shibata, J.-A. Ma and D. Cahard, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2013, 6501.

- 6 (a) R. E. Banks, S. N. Mohialdin-Khaffaf, G. S. Lal, I. Sharif and R. G. Syvret, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1992, 595;
 (b) R. P. Singh and J. M. Shreeve, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2004, 37, 31; (c) P. T. Nyffeler, S. G. Durón, M. D. Burkart, S. P. Vincent and C.-H. Wong, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, 44, 192; (d) S. Stavber, *Molecules*, 2011, 16, 6432.
- 7 (a) E. Differidng and H. Ofner, Synlett, 1991, 187;
 (b) E. Differding, A. J. Poss, D. Cahard and N. Shibata, N-fluoro-α-(phenylsulfonyl)benzenesulfonamide, in *e-EROS Encyclopaedia of Reagents for Organic Synthesis*, John Wiley & Sons, Ltd, New York, 2013, DOI: 10.1002/047084289X. rf011.pub3; (c) Y. Li and Q. Zhang, Synthesis, 2015, 159.
- 8 (a) B. M. Trost, *Science*, 1991, 254, 1471; (b) R. A. Sheldon, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 2000, 72, 1233; (c) T. Kitazume, *J. Fluorine*

Chem., 2000, **105**, 265; (*d*) S. J. Tavener and J. H. Clark, *J. Fluorine Chem.*, 2003, **123**, 31.

- 9 R. Bohlmann, DE 4313664, 1994.
- 10 D. M. Dastrup, M. P. VanBrunt and S. M. Weinreb, J. Org. Chem., 2003, 68, 4112.
- (a) Spartan'14, Wavefunction, Inc., Irvine, CA;
 (b) F. Freeman and B. A. Shainyan, Int. J. Quantum Chem., 2005, 105, 313.
- 12 (a) N. Shibata, T. Ishimaru, E. Suzuki and K. L. Kirk, J. Org. Chem., 2003, 68, 2494; (b) N. Shibata, J. Kohno, K. Takai, T. Ishimaru, S. Nakamura, T. Toru and S. Kanemasa, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 4204; (c) Y. Hamashima, T. Suzuki, H. Takano, Y. Shimura and M. Sodeoka, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, 127, 10164; (d) T. Ishimaru, N. Shibata, T. Horikawa, N. Yasuda, S. Nakamura, T. Toru and M. Shiro, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 4157.
- 13 H. Teare, E. G. Robins, E. Arstad, S. K. Luthra and V. Gouverneur, *Chem. Commun.*, 2007, 2330.