### Bodipy-VAD-Fmk, a useful tool to study yeast peptide N-glycanase activity<sup>†</sup>

## Martin D. Witte, Carlos V. Descals, Sebastiaan V. P. de Lavoir, Bogdan I. Florea, Gijsbert A. van der Marel\* and Herman S. Overkleeft\*

Received 27th July 2007, Accepted 13th August 2007 First published as an Advance Article on the web 29th August 2007 DOI: 10.1039/b711531h

In this paper the development of a fluorescent activity based probe, Bodipy-VAD-Fmk, for visualization of yeast peptide *N*-glycanase is described. The activity based probe is used to assess the efficacy of known and new chitobiose-based electrophilic traps to bind yeast peptide *N*-glycanase.

### Introduction

The majority of proteins in eukaryotes are synthesized by membrane bound ribosomes on the endoplasmic reticulum (ER). The newly synthesized proteins are inserted into the lumen of the ER *via* a specialized structure referred to as the translocon. In a cotranslational process, oligosaccharyl transferase may glycosylate asparagine residues within the Asn-X-Ser/Thr (X cannot be Pro) consensus sequence.<sup>1</sup> The resulting Glc<sub>3</sub>Man<sub>9</sub>GlcNHAc<sub>2</sub> glycan is deglycosylated to GlcMan<sub>9</sub>GlcNHAc<sub>2</sub> which is then recognized by calnexin and calrectulin. These chaperones retain glycoproteins in the ER until they are folded properly. Properly folded proteins progress through the ER and Golgi. A series of deglycosylation/glycosylation events transform the high mannose *N*-glycan into complex-type *N*-glycans. These glycans in turn help in guiding the glycoprotein to its final destination, such as the cell surface or the endocytic pathway.

The Man<sub>8</sub>GlcNAc<sub>2</sub> glycan of misfolded *N*-linked glycoproteins is recognized by EDEM, a lectin-like protein of the endoplasmic reticulum associated degradation pathway, resulting in dislocation of misfolded proteins from the ER to the cytosol. Upon arrival the misfolded proteins are ubiquitinated and are then directed to the proteasome for degradation.<sup>2</sup>

In this pathway, the amidase peptide *N*-glycanase (PNGase) is responsible for deglycosylation of most *N*-glycosylated proteins.<sup>3</sup> PNGase contains the characteristic catalytic Cys, His, Asp triad responsible for the cleavage of the  $\beta$ -aspartyl–glucosamine bond. PNGase can associate with the proteasome as well as with ERbound proteins, suggesting that PNGase has multiple modes of action.<sup>4</sup> For fundamental studies on the role of PNGase *in vivo*, specific inhibitors can be beneficial. However, only few such inhibitors are available to date and little is known about their efficacy and selectivity. PNGase activity can be blocked by either commercially available broad spectrum caspase inhibitor Z-VAD(OMe)-Fmk **2** or haloacetamidyl chitobiose inhibitor **3** (Fig. 1).<sup>5</sup>

In the search for new inhibitors, a sensitive and straightforward assay would be of great use. The *N*-glycanase activity assay




Fig. 1 Target compounds.


currently in use entails the deglycosylation of RNase B by PNGase, followed by SDS-PAGE and visualization of digestion products with Coomassie blue. Before use as a substrate, commercially available RNase B requires further purification and denaturation.<sup>6</sup> Our group recently published an activitybased fluorescent proteasome probe, which enables direct ingel detection of proteasome activity.<sup>7</sup> We reasoned that the availability of a fluorescent analogue of **2**, such as Bodipy TMR-Ahx-Val-Ala-Asp(OMe)-fluoromethyl ketone **1** ( $\beta$ -VAD-Fmk),<sup>8</sup> would allow straightforward screening of potential irreversible *N*glycanase inhibitors in an analogous fashion. We here report the validity of this reasoning by the application of  $\beta$ -VAD-Fmk **1** in the identification of the two new chitobiose-based *N*-glycanase inhibitors, **4** and **5** (Fig. 1).

### **Results and discussion**

The synthesis of  $\beta$ -VAD-Fmk 1 is depicted in Scheme 1. Boc-Asp-OBn 6 was converted to the fluoromethyl ketone *via* a modified procedure of the patented method by Palmer.<sup>9</sup> Hence,

Bioorganic Synthesis, Leiden Institute of Chemistry, Leiden University, P.O. Box 9502, 2300 RA Leiden, The Netherlands. E-mail: marel\_g@ chem.leidenuniv.nl, h.s.overkleeft@chem.leidenuniv.nl; Fax: 31715274307; Tel: 31715274342

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: All general procedures, the synthesis and characterization of all new compounds, and biological data. See DOI: 10.1039/b711531h




Scheme 1 Reagents and conditions: (a) (i) MeI,  $K_2CO_3$ , DMF; (ii) 10% Pd/C,  $H_2$ , EtOAc, quant.; (b) (i) CDI, THF, 1 h; (ii) monobenzyl fluoromalonate magnesium enolate, THF; (iii) 10% Pd/C,  $H_2$ , EtOAc, 68%; (c) (i) 4 M HCl-dioxane, 45 min; (ii) 9, HCTU, DiPEA, DMF 68%; (d) (i) TFA-H<sub>2</sub>O (95 : 5, v/v), 30 min; (ii) Bodipy TMR-OSu, DiPEA, 16 h, 41%.

Boc-Asp-OBn **6** was converted to the methyl ester, and ensuing reduction of the benzyl ester gave Boc-Asp(OMe)OH **7**. Treatment of the resulting acid with 1,1'-carbodiimidazole followed by reaction with the magnesium enolate of the monobenzylfluoromalonate and hydrogenation gave fluoromethylketone **8**. Removal of the Boc protective group in **8** and condensation of the resulting free amine with peptide **9** (see ESI†) was followed by N-Boc deprotection and treatment with Bodipy TMR-OSu to give target compound **1**.  $\beta$ -VAD-Fmk **1** was obtained as a diastereomeric mixture due to epimerization of the alanine  $\alpha$ -carbon during the block coupling.

With  $\beta$ -VAD-Fmk 1 in hand, our attention was focused on the synthesis of reference compound 3 and epoxysuccinate inhibitor 4 (Scheme 2). Known donor 11 was condensed with acceptor 12 under the agency of Ph<sub>2</sub>SO–Tf<sub>2</sub>O giving disaccharide 13.<sup>10</sup> Removal of the phthaloyl group and subsequent acetylation afforded protected chitobiose 14. Reduction of the azido group followed by coupling with either chloroacetic anhydride or epoxy-succinate monoethylester afforded the protected inhibitors 15 and 16. Removal of protective groups furnished epoxide inhibitor 4. In the case of inhibitor 3 partial reduction of the chloroacetamide moiety was observed.

Fluoromethyl ketone 5 was synthesized as follows (Scheme 3). C-glycoside 17, prepared according to the literature procedure,<sup>11</sup> was treated with acidic methanol, followed by reaction with benzaldehyde dimethylacetal to give after benzylation of the 3-OH protected C-glycoside 18. Reaction of the ethylene moiety in 18 with mCPBA afforded the desired epoxide 19 as a diastereomeric mixture. Regioselective opening of the epoxide in 19 with tetrabutylammonium dihydrogen trifluoride furnished fluorohydrin 20. Acetylation or benzoylation of the resulting alcohol followed by opening of the benzylidene to the C6 position afforded acceptors 23 and 24, respectively. Condensation of donor 11 with either acceptor 23 or 24 by treatment with Ph<sub>2</sub>SO-Tf<sub>2</sub>O gave disaccharides 25 and 26. Deprotection of the amine functionalities in 25 and 26, ensuing acetylation of the resulting free amines and O-deacetylation furnished fluorohydrin 27. During the removal of the phthaloyl protective groups of benzoylated disaccharide 26, O to N benzoyl migration was observed. This problem was however overcome by synthesizing acetyl protected 25. Oxidation of 27 afforded fully protected fluoromethyl ketone 28. Global deprotection gave inhibitor 5 in a reasonable yield.

With probe 1 and inhibitors 2-5 in hand, we focused our attention on their biological evaluation. First, the capability of β-VAD-Fmk 1 to label PNGase was examined. To this end, purified recombinant yeast peptide N-glycanase (YPng1) was incubated with various concentrations of 1 for 1 h. Direct ingel visualization with a fluorescent scanner revealed that probe 1 labeled yeast peptide N-glycanase in a concentration-dependent manner. From this image (Fig. 2(A)) it can be seen that the saturation of the enzyme is reached at a concentration between 1 and 5 µM. Moreover, heat inactivated enzyme was not labeled by β-VAD-Fmk 1 suggesting that probe 1 labeled YPng1 specifically (Fig. 2(A), (B)). The sensitivity was tested by labeling a serial dilution of YPng1 with 1 (0.5 µM). The labeling proved to be very sensitive since as little as 0.9 ng of purified YPng1 could be detected (Fig. 2(C)). The specificity of  $\beta$ -VAD-Fmk 1 was further investigated by incubating the probe with an Escherichia coli (E. coli) cell extract expressing YPng1. Exclusive labeling of YPng1 by probe 1 was observed by in-gel visualization. We next investigated the binding site of 1. The reported X-ray structure of YPng1 co-crystallized with Z-VAD-Fmk 2 showed that Z-VAD-Fmk 2 binds to the catalytic Cys residue 191 of the active site of YPng1.12 It is therefore reasonable to assume that 1,



Scheme 2 Reagents and conditions: (a) 12, Ph<sub>2</sub>SO, TTBP, Tf<sub>2</sub>O, DCM, -60 to 0 °C, 85%; (b) (i) (H<sub>2</sub>NCH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>-*n*-BuOH (1 : 10), 90 °C; (ii) Ac<sub>2</sub>O, pyr, 81%; (c) (i) Lindlar's cat. H<sub>2</sub>, DMF; (iii) (ClCH<sub>2</sub>CO)<sub>2</sub>O, Et<sub>3</sub>N, DMF; (iii) MeOH, *p*-TsOH, 16 h, 47%; (d) (i) Lindlar's cat. H<sub>2</sub>; (ii) epoxysuccinate monoethylester, HCTU, Et<sub>3</sub>N, DMF, 39%; (e) 5% TFA-DCM, 70%; (f) 20% Pd(OH)<sub>2</sub>/C, H<sub>2</sub>, MeOH **3**: 14%, **4**: 67%.

just as lead-compound **2** binds to the same site. To verify this, catalytically inactive YPng(C191A) was expressed in *E. coli*. Incubation of YPng(C191A) with **1** followed by visualization revealed, as expected, no significant labeling of YPng(C191A) (Fig. 2(D), (E)).

Having assessed the active-site dependent labeling-ability of 1, its application in the identification of the inhibitory potential of irreversible inhibitors was investigated. A solution of YPng1 and BSA was incubated with serial dilutions of the known activesite binding inhibitors 2 and 3, followed by incubation with 1.13 Remarkably, labeling of YPng1 was still observed at 1 mM inhibitor concentration when the experiment was conducted in the presence of 5 mM DTT. In addition, non-specific labeling of BSA was detected, suggesting that reduction of disulfide bonds by DTT caused non-specific labeling by  $\beta$ -VAD-Fmk 1 (Fig. 2(F)). Therefore a similar competition assay was conducted without DTT. Non-specific labeling was minimized allowing us determine the concentration at which 50% of enzyme activity was inhibited (apparent IC<sub>50</sub>) by quantification of the data with imaging software. The apparent IC<sub>50</sub> of **2** was determined at 22  $\pm$ 5  $\mu M$  and the IC\_{50} of haloacetamide 3 was 1.6  $\pm$  0.5  $\mu M.$  We are aware that our assay is not ideally suited for an accurate determination of IC<sub>50</sub> values and is not suitable at all for the establishment of  $k_i$  values. Such detailed kinetic studies are executed best by making use of a substrate-based assay such as those reported by Ito and Ploegh.5,6 We believe, however, that our assay is highly useful for the rapid identification of potential YPng1 inhibitors, and to give a first impression of its potency.

In order to further illustrate this point, the inhibitory activity of potential chitobiose inhibitors **4** and **5** was examined *via* the competition assay. The apparent IC<sub>50</sub> of epoxysuccinate **4** proved to be  $1.6 \pm 0.5 \,\mu$ M. To our surprise, fluoromethyl ketone **5** proved to be a poor inhibitor of YPng1. Disaccharide **5** was preincubated with YPng1 for 2 h allowing **5** to react with the active site of the

enzyme. Next, unreacted active sites were labeled by treatment with 1 for 30 min. Even after preincubation, fluoromethyl ketone 5 inhibits YPng1 in the high micromolar range (Fig. 2 (G)).

### Conclusions


In summary, we have described the synthesis of  $\beta$ -VAD-Fmk 1 and have demonstrated its ability to covalently bind to the active site Cys191 of recombinant yeast peptide *N*-glycanase.  $\beta$ -VAD-Fmk 1 can now be used in an enzyme inhibitory assay that allows the rapid identification of potential YPng1 inhibitors as we validated for two known inhibitors (2 and 3) and two new chitobiose-based inhibitors (4 and 5). Current research is focused on the design of new inhibitors, both with respect to nature of the electrophilic trap and the length of the oligosaccharide position.

### Experimental

All general experimental details, the synthesis of peptide 9, monobenzyl fluoromalonate magnesium enolate, disaccharides 13 and 14 and acceptors 23 and 24, the silver stained gels of YPng labeling in *E. coli* cell extracts and inhibitor-dose-response curves are available in the ESI.† TTBP (*tert*-butylpyrimidine), Bodipy TMR-OSu, tetrabutylammonium dihydrogen trifluoride (TBA·H<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub>) and epoxisuccinate monoethyl ester were synthesized as described.<sup>7,14</sup>

#### N-tert-Butoxycarbonylaspartyl(OMe) fluoromethyl ketone (8)

Boc-Asp-OBn **6** (1.6 g, 5 mmol) was treated with iodomethane (0.622 mL, 10 mmol, 2 equiv.) and  $K_2CO_3$  (0.69 g, 5 mmol) in DMF. After 16 h stirring, the reaction was diluted with EtOAc, washed with 1 M HCl, NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (sat. aq.), brine, dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated *in vacuo*. The resulting Boc-Asp(OMe)OBn



**Fig. 2** (A) Fluorescent in-gel detection of YPng1 by labeling with the indicated concentration of 1. "PS" represents the molecular marker (prestained marker purchased from Fermentas). "M" represents the dual color marker. "—" represents heat-inactivated YPng1, incubated with 50  $\mu$ M 1 for 2 h at 37 °C. (B) Silver stained gel of labeled YPng1. (C) Determination of the sensitivity of probe 1 by incubating 1 (0.5  $\mu$ M) with various amounts of YPng1. (D) Labeling of catalytically inactive YPng(C191A) (1 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>) with a serial dilution of 1 in *E. coli* cell extracts. (E) Labeling of YPng1 (1 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>) with 1 in *E. coli* cell extracts. (F) Non-specific labeling of BSA by  $\beta$ -VAD-Fmk 1 in the presence of 5 mM DTT. (G) Competition assay. The indicated amount of inhibitors **2–4** in the presence of 1 (0.5  $\mu$ M) was incubated with YPng1 (100 ng) and BSA (9  $\mu$ g) in PBS (20 mM sodium phosphate, 150 mM NaCl, pH = 7.2) for 1 h. Fluoromethyl ketone **5** was preincubated with YPng1 for 2 h followed by incubation with 1 for 30 min.

was dissolved in EtOAc before being reduced under the agency of palladium (10%) on charcoal under H<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. TLCanalysis showed complete reduction of the benzyl ester after 3 h. Filtration and ensuing concentration afforded Boc-Asp(OMe)OH 7 (1.36 g, 5 mmol) which was co-evaporated with toluene and dissolved in THF (25 mL). The solution was cooled to 0 °C, carbodiimidazole (851 mg, 5.25 mmol) was added and the reaction was stirred for 1 h at 0 °C. The mixture was cooled to -20 °C before monobenzyl fluoromalonate magnesium enolate (see ESI<sup>†</sup>) (6.25 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) was added dropwise. After 45 min stirring at -20 °C and 3.5 h additional stirring at room temperature, the reaction was poured into 1 M HCl, extracted with EtOAc, washed with NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (sat. aq.), brine, dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated in vacuo. The residue was redissolved in EtOAc, a catalytic amount of activated palladium (10%) on charcoal was added and the mixture was stirred overnight under H<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. Filtration over Celite, concentration under reduced pressure followed by silica gel column chromatography (Tol  $\rightarrow$  5% EtOAc–Tol) gave title compound 8 in 68% yield (0.893 g, 3.4 mmol). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ /ppm 5.54 (d, J = 7.9 Hz, 1H, NH), 5.23  $(dd, J = 47.0, 16.4 Hz, 1H, CH_2F), 5.12 (dd, J = 47.3, 16.5 Hz,$ 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>F), 4.63 (td, J = 9.2, 5.2, 5.2 Hz, 1H, H- $\alpha$ ), 3.70 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub> OMe), 3.08 (dd, J = 17.3, 4.1 Hz, 1H), 2.84 (dd, J = 17.3, 4.5 Hz, 1H, H-β), 1.46 (s, 9H, CH<sub>3</sub> tBu). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ /ppm 203.17 (d, J = 16.4 Hz, C=O ketone), 171.69 (C=O COOtBu), 155.19 (C=O Boc), 84.14 (d, J = 183.4 Hz, CH<sub>2</sub>F), 80.76 (C<sub>q</sub> tBu), 53.49 (CH-α), 52.21 (CH<sub>3</sub> OMe), 35.36  $(CH_2-\beta)$ , 28.20  $(CH_3 \text{ tBu})$ .  $[a]_D^{23} - 5.6 (c = 1.26, CHCl_3)$ . FT-IR:  $v_{\text{max}}(\text{neat})/\text{cm}^{-1}$  3362.2, 2980.0, 1706.0, 1505.8, 1438.7, 1393.8, 1367.6, 1247.6, 1159.8, 1055.4, 1000.0, 861.3, 780.3, 631.8. HRMS:  $(M - Boc + H^{+})$  calc. for C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>11</sub>FNO<sub>3</sub> 164.07175, found 164.07166.

### Bodipy-Ahx-Val-Ala-Asp(OMe) fluoromethyl ketone (1)

Fluoromethyl ketone 8 (40 mg, 0.15 mmol, 1.25 equiv.) was dissolved in 4 M HCl in dioxane (2 mL). After 45 min, TLC analysis showed complete conversion to a very polar product. The solution was concentrated in vacuo, co-evaporated thrice with toluene and dissolved in DMF (2 mL) before Boc-Ahx-Val-Ala-OH 9 (48 mg, 0.12 mmol), HCTU (62 mg, 0.15 mmol, 1.25 equiv.) and diisopropylethylamine (52 µL, 0.30 mmol, 2.5 equiv.) were added. LC/MS analysis showed complete consumption after 2 h. The reaction was diluted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, washed with NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (sat. aq.), 1 M HCl, brine, dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated. Purification by silica gel chromatography (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>  $\rightarrow$  1% MeOH– CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) gave peptide 10 (68%, 45 mg, 82 µmol). LC/MS: R<sub>t</sub> 7.10 min; linear gradient  $10 \rightarrow 90\%$  B in 13.5 min; m/z = 547.2 $(M + H)^+$ , 447.2  $(M - Boc + H)^+$ . Intermediate 10 was dissolved in TFA- $H_2O$  (2 mL, 95 : 5 v/v), stirred for 30 min and concentrated under reduced pressure. Residual traces of TFA were removed by co-evaporation with toluene. Subsequently, the free amine was dissolved in DMF, treated with Bodipy-TMR-OSu (41 mg, 82 µmol, 1 equiv.) and diisopropylethylamine (36 µL, 0.2 mmol, 2.5 equiv.) and stirred overnight. The solution was diluted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, washed with 1 M HCl, dried (Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated. RP-HPLC yielded Bodipy TMR-Ahx-Val-Ala-Asp(OMe)-Fmk 1 as a diastereomeric mixture (41%, 28.3 mg, 34  $\mu$ mol). LC/MS:  $R_{t}$ 8.15 min; linear gradient  $10 \rightarrow 90\%$  B in 13.5 min; m/z = 827.4 $(M + H)^+$ , 807.3  $(M - F)^+$ . RP-HPLC:  $R_t$  2.8 cv (column volume =

 $250 \times 10.00$  mm, particle size is 5); linear gradient  $45 \rightarrow 48.5\%$ in 3 cv. Silica gel chromatography (CHCl<sub>3</sub>  $\rightarrow$  3% MeOH–CHCl<sub>3</sub>) gave both diastereomers. Diastereomer 1: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ /ppm 7.97–7.77 (m, 2H), 7.69–7.44 (m, 2H), 7.01–6.93 (m, 2H), 6.61–6.47 (m, 1H), 6.11–5.94 (m, 1H), 5.23–4.76 (m, 2H), 4.47-4.40 (m, 1H), 3.86 (s, 1H), 3.66 (s, 1H), 3.31-3.19 (m, 1H), 3.14-3.05 (m, 1H), 2.98-2.67 (m, 4H), 2.53 (s, 3H), 2.33-2.26 (m, 2H), 2.21 (s, 1H), 2.17–1.93 (m, 4H), 1.49–1.08 (m, 1H), 1.00–0.78 (m, 9H). Diastereomer 2: <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ /ppm 7.90-7.84 (m, 2H), 7.14-7.05 (m, 1H), 6.98-6.94 (m, 2H), 6.61-6.52 (m, 1H), 6.16-5.96 (m, 1H), 5.16 (dd, J = 46.2, 16.0 Hz, 1H),5.02 (dd, J = 47.0, 16.3 Hz, 1H), 4.89-4.84 (m, 1H), 4.46-4.36 (m, 1H)1H), 4.14 (td, *J* = 15.0, 6.9, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 3.86 (s, 1H), 3.68 (s, 3H), 3.36-3.22 (m, 1H), 3.14-2.66 (m, 5H), 2.55 (s, 3H), 2.36-2.24 (m, 2H), 2.22 (s, 1H), 2.14–1.96 (m, 3H), 1.47–1.07 (m, 1H), 1.01–0.69 (m, 9H). FT–IR:  $v_{max}$ (neat)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 3267.9, 2940.0, 1602.3, 1526.8, 1461.8, 1435.9, 1293.8, 1255.0, 1232.7, 1199.7, 1176.9, 1135.2, 1056.5, 995.8, 942.1, 836.6, 799.1, 721.9, 662.6, 609.8.

### N-(O-(2-Acetamido-3-O-benzyl-2-deoxy- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranosyl)-(1 $\rightarrow$ 4)-2-acetamido-3,6-di-O-benzyl-2-deoxy- $\beta$ -Dglucopyranosyl)chloroacetamide (15)

Azide 14 (225 mg, 0.3 mmol) was dissolved in DMF (2 mL), Lindlar's catalyst (50 mg) was added and the solution was stirred overnight under H<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. Subsequently, the mixture was purged with argon gas after which chloroacetic anhydride (77 mg, 0.45 mmol, 1.5 equiv.) and Et<sub>3</sub>N (71 µL, 0.51 mmol, 1.7 equiv.) were added. The solution was stirred overnight, filtered, concentrated in vacuo and redissolved in MeOH (2 mL). p-Toluenesulfonic acid (6 mg, 30 µmol) was added. TLC analysis showed complete conversion to a polar product after overnight stirring. The reaction was quenched with Et<sub>3</sub>N (0.1 mL), concentrated and applied to silica gel chromatography (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>  $\rightarrow$  2% MeOH–CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) affording title compound 15 in 47% (109 mg, 0.14 mmol). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, MeOD)  $\delta$ /ppm 7.41–7.23 (m, 15H, H arom), 5.06 (d, J = 11.6, CH<sub>2</sub> Bn), 5.02 (d, J = 10.4 Hz, 1H, H-1) 4.90 (d, J = 11.5 Hz, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub> Bn), 4.72–4.58 (m, 5H,  $4 \times CH_2$  Bn, H-1'), 4.12 (t, J = 9.2, 9.2 Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.02 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl), 3.99 (t, J = 10.0, 10.0 Hz, 1H, H-2), 3.83–3.75 (m, 4H, H-2', H-6, H-6a'), 3.64 (dd, J = 9.9, 9.1 Hz, 1H, H-3), 3.56-3.46 (m, 2H, H-3', H-5), 3.42 (dd, J = 9.7, 8.8 Hz, 1H, H-6b'), 3.21 (ddd, J = 9.5, 7.1, 2.1 Hz, 1H, H-5'), 1.90 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub>) NHAc), 1.90 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub> NHAc). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (125 MHz, MeOD)  $\delta$ /ppm 173.75 (C=O), 173.52 (C=O), 169.85 (C=O), 140.29 (C<sub>a</sub>) Bn), 139.67 (C<sub>q</sub> Bn), 139.51 (C<sub>q</sub> Bn), 129.64–128.57 (CH arom), 101.14 (C-1'), 83.87 (C-3'), 83.00 (C-3), 80.48 (C-1), 78.72 (C-5'), 78.35 (C-5), 77.00 (CH<sub>2</sub> Bn), 75.83 (CH<sub>2</sub> Bn), 75.75 (C-4), 74.36 (CH<sub>2</sub> Bn), 72.67 (C-4'), 69.40 (C-6), 62.96 (C-6'), 57.30 (C-2'), 54.92 (C-2), 43.10 (CH2Cl), 23.17 (CH3 NHAc), 22.82 (CH3 NHAc). FT-IR: *v*<sub>max</sub>(neat)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 3277.8, 1651.8, 1557.8, 1455.5, 1372.5, 1312.7, 1050.9, 737.6, 696.0.  $[a]_{D}^{23}$  -2.4 (c = 0.74, MeOH). HRMS: (M + H<sup>+</sup>) calc. for C<sub>39</sub>H<sub>49</sub>ClN<sub>3</sub>O<sub>11</sub> 770.30501, found 770.30547.

## N-(O-(2-Acetamido-2-deoxy- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranosyl)-(1 $\rightarrow$ 4)-2-acetamido-2-deoxy- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranosyl)chloroacetamide (3)

Partially deprotected chloroacetamide **15** (30 mg, 39  $\mu$ mol) was dissolved in MeOH (1 mL). 20% Pd(OH)<sub>2</sub> on activated charcoal

(20 mg) was added. The resulting suspension was stirred under H<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. After 4 h, the mixture was filtered and concentrated in vacuo. The crude product was acetylated by treatment with acetic anhydride (1 mL) and pyridine (2 mL). After stirring overnight, the reaction was concentrated and purified by silica gel chromatography (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>  $\rightarrow$  2% MeOH–CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>). Fully acetylated haloacetamide was deprotected by stirring it under the agency of 30% NaOMe in MeOH (0.1 mL) in methanol (1 mL) until TLC showed complete conversion. Neutralization by amberlite IR-120 H<sup>+</sup> followed by concentration and purification over HW-40 gel filtration (1% AcOH-H<sub>2</sub>O) afforded title compound 3 as a white solid (14%, 2.69 mg, 5.4 μmol). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)δ/ppm 5.09 (d, J = 9.7 Hz, 1H, H-1), 4.60 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H, H-1'), 4.15 $(d, J = 14.3 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}, \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}), 4.11 (d, J = 14.3 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}, \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}),$ 3.96-3.44 (m, 12H), 2.07 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub> NHAc), 2.00 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub> NHAc). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz,  $D_2O$ ) $\delta$ /ppm 174.31 (C=O), 174.06 (C=O), 169.91 (C=O), 100.83 (C-1'), 78.21, 78.19 (C-1), 75.77, 75.33, 72.85, 71.98, 69.12, 59.95 (C-6 or C-6'), 59.31 (C-6 or C-6'), 55.01 (C-2'), 53.12 (C-2), 41.46 (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl), 21.53 (CH<sub>3</sub> NHAc), 21.35 (CH<sub>3</sub> NHAc).

# $(2S,3S)-3-N-(O-(2-Acetamido-3-O-benzyl-4,6-O-benzylidene-2-deoxy-\beta-D-glucopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 4)-2-acetamido-3,6-di-O-benzyl-2-deoxy-\beta-D-glucopyranosylcarbamoyl)oxirane-2-carboxylic acid ethyl ester (16)$

Azide 14 (225 mg, 0.3 mmol) was dissolved in DMF (2 mL), Lindlar's catalyst (50 mg) was added and the solution was stirred overnight under H<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. Subsequently, the mixture was purged with argon gas after which epoxisuccinate monoethyl ester (115 mg, 0.72 mmol, 2.4 equiv.), HCTU (323 mg, 0.78 mmol, 2.6 equiv.), Et<sub>3</sub>N (0.216 mL, 1.56 mmol, 5.2 equiv.) were added. After stirring overnight, the reaction was concentrated in vacuo, diluted with CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, washed with aqueous 1 M HCl, NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (sat. aq.) and brine, dried (Na2SO4) and evaporate to dryness. Silica gel chromatography (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>  $\rightarrow$  2% MeOH–CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) furnished title compound 16 in 39% (110 mg, 0.119 mmol). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, DMF)  $\delta$ /ppm 8.72 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 1H, NH), 8.24 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 1H, NH), 8.21 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H, NH), 7.52-7.24 (m, 20H, H arom), 5.73 (s, 1H, CHPh), 5.12 (t, J = 9.3, 9.3 Hz, 1H), 5.00 (d, J = 11.1 Hz, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub> Bn), 4.92 (d, J =7.6 Hz, 1H, H-1'), 4.84 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub> Bn), 4.72 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub> Bn), 4.70 (d, J = 11.8 Hz, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub> Bn),  $4.67 (d, J = 11.8 Hz, 1H, CH_2 Bn), 4.62 (d, J = 11.8 Hz, 1H, CH_2)$ Bn), 4.26–4.19 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub> OEt), 4.11 (dd, J = 10.2, 5.0 Hz, 1H, H-6a), 4.01 (t, J = 9.0, 9.0 Hz, 1H), 3.97–3.90 (m, 3H), 3.88–3.83 (m, 2H), 3.82-3.77 (m, 2H), 3.74 (d, J = 1.8 Hz, 1H), 3.57-3.52(m, 1H), 3.35-3.27 (m, 3H), 1.98 (s, 3H, CH3 NHAc), 1.90 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub> NHAc), 1.25 (t, J = 7.1, 7.1 Hz, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub> OEt). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO) δ/ppm 169.48 (C=O), 169.32 (C=O), 166.78 (C=O), 165.42 (C=O), 138.92 (C<sub>q</sub> Bn or Ph), 138.63 (C<sub>q</sub> Bn or Ph), 138.44 (C<sub>q</sub> Bn or Ph), 137.47 (C<sub>q</sub> Bn or Ph), 128.67-125.87 (CH arom), 100.40 (C-1'), 99.93 (CHPh), 80.85, 80.68, 78.31, 76.01, 75.10, 73.36 (CH<sub>2</sub> Bn), 73.15 (CH<sub>2</sub> Bn), 71.81 (CH<sub>2</sub> Bn), 68.22 (C-6 or C-6'), 67.68 (C-6 or C-6'), 65.50, 61.49 (CH<sub>2</sub> OEt), 53.24, 52.67, 51.13, 22.88 (CH<sub>3</sub> NHAc), 22.67 (CH<sub>3</sub> NHAc), 13.79 (CH<sub>3</sub> OEt). FT-IR: v<sub>max</sub>(neat)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 3277.7, 1651.9, 1538.3, 1455.4, 1371.6, 1205.0, 1069.8, 735.8, 694.4.  $[a]_{D}^{23}$  +11.2 (c = 0.66,

DMF). HRMS:  $(M + H^+)$  calc. for  $C_{50}H_{58}N_3O_{14}$  924.39133, found 924.39219.

## (2S,3S)-3-N-(O-(2-Acetamido-2-deoxy- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranosyl)- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ -2-acetamido-2-deoxy- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranosylcarbamoyl)-oxirane-2-carboxylic acid ethyl ester (4)

Epoxide 16 (27 mg, 29.2 µmol) was suspended in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (1 ml), cooled to 0 °C and treated with TFA (50  $\mu$ l) and H<sub>2</sub>O (5  $\mu$ l). After 45 min stirring at 0 °C, the reaction was quenched with NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (sat. aq.) followed by extraction. The organic layer was dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated. Silica gel chromatography purification  $(CH_2Cl_2 \rightarrow 2\% MeOH-CH_2Cl_2)$  afforded the partially protected epoxide (68%, 17 mg, 20.3 µmol). The remaining benzyl groups were removed by dissolving the epoxide in EtOH, followed by the addition of 20% Pd(OH)<sub>2</sub> on activated charcoal (cat.) and stirring under H<sub>2</sub> atmosphere for 16 h. The solution was filtered, concentrated and applied to HW-40 gel filtration (1% AcOH-H<sub>2</sub>O) furnishing epoxide inhibitor 4 as a white solid (67% over two steps, 11.06 mg, 19.6  $\mu$ mol). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$ /ppm 5.09 (d, J = 9.6 Hz, 1H, H-1), 4.59 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H, H-1'), 4.28 (q, J = 7.1, 7.1, 7.1 Hz, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub> OEt), 3.96–3.43 (m, 14H), 2.06 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub> NHAc), 2.01 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub> NHAc), 1.29 (t, J = 7.2, 7.2 Hz, 1H, CH<sub>3</sub> OEt). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O) δ/ppm 174.26 (C=O), 174.06 (C=O), 168.31 (C=O), 167.98 (C=O), 100.83 (C-1'), 78.16, 77.85 (C-1), 75.79, 75.33, 72.87, 72.85, 71.92, 69.12, 62.90 (CH<sub>2</sub> OEt), 59.95 (C-6 or C-6'), 59.30 (C-6 or C-6'), 55.01, 53.19 (C-2), 52.72 (C-2'), 52.05, 21.53 (CH<sub>3</sub> NHAc), 21.38 (CH<sub>3</sub> NHAc), 12.58 (CH<sub>3</sub> OEt). FT-IR: *v*<sub>max</sub>(neat)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 3275.0, 1737.5, 1651.0, 1539.8, 1410.3, 1374.5, 1309.5, 1205.3, 1159.8, 1023.7, 943.9, 896.9.  $[a]_{D}^{23}$  +19.2 (c = 0.24, H<sub>2</sub>O). HRMS: (M + H<sup>+</sup>) calc. for C<sub>22</sub>H<sub>36</sub>N<sub>3</sub>O<sub>14</sub> 566.21918, found 566.21904.

# (2R/S)-3-C-(O-(3-O-Benzyl-4,6-O-benzylidene-2-deoxy-2-phthalimido- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranosyl)- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ -3,6-di-O-benzyl-2-deoxy-2-phthalimido- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranosyl)-1-fluoro-2-acetoxypropane (25)

Before being dissolved in freshly distilled CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, known donor 11 (454 mg, 0.78 mmol, 1.2 equiv.), diphenyl sulfoxide (174 mg, 0.86 mmol, 1.3 equiv.) and TTBP (487 mg, 1.96 mmol, 3.0 equiv.) were co-evaporated thrice with toluene. Subsequently, activated 4Å MS were added and the reaction mixture was cooled to -60 °C. Tf<sub>2</sub>O (145 µL, 0.86 mmol, 1.3 equiv.) was added. After 10 min pre-activation, acceptor 23 (427 mg, 0.65 mmol) was added, followed by stirring at -60 °C for 1 h. The temperature was slowly raised to 0 °C over a period of 4 h, the reaction was quenched by addition of Et<sub>3</sub>N, diluted with EtOAc, washed with NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (sat. aq.), brine, dried and concentrate in vacuo. Column chromatography (Tol  $\rightarrow$  10% EtOAc–Tol) furnished title compound 26 as a colorless oil (97%, 668 mg, 0.63 mmol) which was directly used for the next reaction. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$ /ppm 8.03–6.79 (m, 28H, H arom), 5.53–5.50 (m, 1H, CHPh), 5.39-5.34 (m, 1H, H-1"), 5.22-5.00 (m, 1H, H-2"), 4.85-4.76 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub> Bn), 4.57–4.10 (m, 11H), 4.02–3.91 (m, 2H), 3.76-3.68 (m, 1H), 3.57-3.34 (m, 3H), 3.32-3.18 (m, 2H), 1.96-1.85 (m, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub> OAc), 1.71–1.51 (m, 2H, H-1'). <sup>13</sup>C NMR  $(150 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3) \delta/\text{ppm} 170.31 \text{ (C=O Ac)}, 170.09 \text{ (C=O Ac)},$ 167.86 (C=O phth), 167.73 (C=O phth), 167.70 (C=O phth), 167.61 (C=O phth), 138.53 (C<sub>q</sub> Bn or Ph), 138.45 (C<sub>q</sub> Bn or Ph),

138.22 (C<sub>q</sub> Bn or Ph), 138.04 (C<sub>q</sub> Bn or Ph), 137.85 (C<sub>q</sub> Bn or Ph), 137.30 (C<sub>q</sub> Bn or Ph), 137.28 (C<sub>q</sub> Bn or Ph), 133.86 (CH phth), 133.78 (CH phth), 131.40 (C<sub>q</sub> phth), 128.93–126.01 (CH arom), 123.35 (CH phth), 123.25 (CH phth), 101.13 (CHPh), 97.58 (C-1"), 97.39 (C-1"), 83.97 (d, J = 173.7 Hz, C-3'), 83.14, 83.13, 83.09 (d, J = 172.6 Hz, C-3'), 78.25, 78.16, 77.27, 77.22, 77.16, 77.01, 76.79, 76.18, 75.93, 74.43, 74.40, 74.35, 74.31, 74.04, 72.61, 72.59, 71.58, 71.33, 69.97 (d, J = 19.4 Hz, C-2'), 68.85 (d, J = 19.0 Hz, C-2'), 68.70, 68.68, 68.17, 68.08, 65.69, 65.61, 56.46, 55.82, 55.67, 32.40 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, C-1'), 31.50 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, C-1'), 20.87 (CH<sub>3</sub> OAc), 20.83 (CH<sub>3</sub> OAc). FT-IR:  $\nu_{max}(neat)/cm^{-1}$  2873.8, 1774.7, 1739.9, 1710.2, 1612.1, 1496.1, 1468.1, 1454.0, 1383.9, 1233.5, 1173.0, 1144.7, 1070.8, 1027.4, 997.4, 967.8, 874.0, 794.3, 736.0, 719.7, 695.8, 661.5. HRMS: (M + Na<sup>+</sup>) calc. for C<sub>61</sub>H<sub>57</sub>FN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>14</sub>Na 1083.36860, found 1083.36897.

# $(2R/S)-3-C-(O-(3-O-Benzyl-4,6-O-benzylidene-2-deoxy-2-phthalimido-\beta-D-glucopyranosyl)-(1 \rightarrow 4)-3,6-di-O-benzyl-2-deoxy-2-phthalimido-\beta-D-glucopyranosyl)-1-fluoro-2-benzoyloxypropane (26)$

Acceptor 24 (574 mg, 0.88 mmol) was condensed with donor 11 as described for disaccharide 25. Purification by silica gel chromatography (Tol  $\rightarrow$  7.5% EtOAc–Tol) gave disaccharide 26 in 51% (500 mg, 0.45 mmol) as a colorless oil.

# (2R/S)-3-*C*-(O-(2-Acetamido-3-*O*-benzyl-4,6-*O*-benzylidene-2-deoxy- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranosyl)- $(1 \rightarrow 4)$ -2-acetamido-3,6-di-*O*-benzyl-2-deoxy- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranosyl)-1-fluoro-2-hydroxypropane (27)


Starting from 26: Disaccharide 26 (500 mg, 0.45 mmol) was dissolved in *n*-BuOH–ethylenediamine (10 : 1 v/v, 11 mL), before being stirred at 90 °C for 8 h. The mixture was cooled to rt, concentrated *in vacuo*, co-evaporated with toluene and used for the next reaction. The mixture was suspended in pyridine (5 mL), cooled to 0 °C, treated with Ac<sub>2</sub>O (3 mL) and stirred overnight. After being quenched with MeOH, the mixture was concentrated *in vacuo*, redissolved in dichloromethane, washed with 1 M HCl, dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated. The resulting off-white powder was dissolved in MeOH (2 mL), reacted with 30% NaOMe in MeOH (0.2 mL) for 1 h, after which it was neutralized with AcOH and concentrated. Silica gel column chromatography (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>  $\rightarrow$ 

2% MeOH–CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) furnished benzoyl migrated compound **30** (95 mg, 0.105 mmol, 23%, Scheme 3) and title compound **27** (211 mg, 0.250 mmol, 56%).

Starting from 25: disaccharide 25 (286 mg, 0.27 mmol) was converted to fluorohydrin 27 as depicted above. After silica gel purification (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>  $\rightarrow$  2% MeOH–CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) title compound 27 was obtained as a white solid (67%, 152 mg, 0.180 mmol). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$ /ppm 8.11 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 1H, NH), 7.96-7.93 (m, 1H, NH), 7.43-7.23 (m, 20H, H arom), 5.67 (s, 1H, CHPh), 5.04-4.92 (m, 1H), 4.86-4.82 (m, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub> Bn), 4.75-4.71 (m, 2H, CH<sub>2</sub> Bn, H-1"), 4.65-4.54 (m, 4H, CH<sub>2</sub> Bn), 4.43-4.15 (m, 2H, H-3'), 4.06-4.00 (m, 1H), 3.94-3.81 (m, 1H), 3.79-3.29 (m, 12H), 3.19-3.08 (m, 1H), 1.88-1.79 (m, 6H, CH<sub>3</sub> NHAc), 1.54–1.21 (m, 2H, H-1'). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO) δ/ppm 169.31 (C=O NHAc), 169.28 (C=O NHAc), 169.16 (C=O NHAc), 139.29 (C<sub>q</sub> Bn or Ph), 139.27 (C<sub>q</sub> Bn or Ph), 138.77 (C<sub>q</sub> Bn or Ph), 138.74 (C<sub>q</sub> Bn or Ph), 138.70 (C<sub>q</sub> Bn or Ph), 137.60 (C<sub>a</sub> Bn or Ph), 128.77–125.99 (CH arom), 100.91 (C-1"), 100.07 (CHPh), 87.29 (d, J = 168.4 Hz, C-3'), 86.50 (d, J = 167.1 Hz, C-3'), 80.95, 78.13, 78.02, 76.32, 74.72, 73.29, 73.26, 71.96, 71.86, 68.82, 67.80, 66.55 (d, J = 18.6 Hz, C-2'), 65.59, 65.49 (d, J =18.9 Hz, C-2'), 54.17, 54.06, 35.01 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, C-1'), 34.85 (d, J = 6.5 Hz, C-1'), 22.98 (CH<sub>3</sub> NHAc), 22.92 (CH<sub>3</sub> NHAc). FT-IR: *v*<sub>max</sub>(neat)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 3275.4, 2870.2, 1652.0, 1538.6, 1453.7, 1371.7, 1319.7, 1072.8, 1011.3, 746.9, 694.4, 668.1, 615.9. HRMS: (M + H<sup>+</sup>) calc. for C<sub>47</sub>H<sub>56</sub>FN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>11</sub> 843.38627, found 843.38699.

# $\label{eq:solution} \begin{array}{l} 3-C-(O-(2-Acetamido-3-O-benzyl-4,6-O-benzylidene-2-deoxy-\beta-D-glucopyranosyl)-(1\rightarrow 4)-2-acetamido-3,6-di-O-benzyl-2-deoxy-\beta-D-glucopyranosyl)-1-fluoro-2-propanone (28) \end{array}$

Fluorohydrin **27** (211 mg, 0.250 mmol) was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (5 mL) before being reacted with Dess–Martin periodinane (415 mg, 0.98 mmol, 3 equiv.). After 5 h, TLC analysis showed complete consumption of the starting material and the reaction was quenched with 10% aq. NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (10 mL) and 2 M aq. NaS<sub>2</sub>O<sub>3</sub> (10 mL). The layers were separated, the organic layer was dried (MgSO<sub>4</sub>) and concentrated. Purification over silica gel column chromatography (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>  $\rightarrow$  2% MeOH–CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) gave fluoromethyl ketone **28** (162 mg, 0.193 mmol) in 77% yield as a white solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$ /ppm 8.08 (d,



Scheme 3 *Reagents and conditions*: (a) (i) Amberlite H<sup>+</sup>, MeOH, reflux, 16 h, quant; (ii) PhCH(OMe)<sub>2</sub>, *p*TsOH, MeCN, 91%; (b) BnBr, NaH, TBAI, DMF, 6 h, 68%; (c) *m*CPBA, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, reflux, 4 h, 88%; (d) TBA·H<sub>2</sub>F<sub>3</sub>, Tol, microwave, 180 °C, 20 min, 84%; (e) Ac<sub>2</sub>O, pyr, 96%; (f) BzCl, DMAP, pyr, 92%; (g) TES, TfOH, DCM, -78 °C, 45 min, 79–85%; (h) **11**, Ph<sub>2</sub>SO, Tf<sub>2</sub>O, TTBP, DCM, -60 to 0 °C, **25**: 97% **26**: 51%; (i) (i) (H<sub>2</sub>NCH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>–*n*-BuOH (1 : 10), 90 °C; (ii) Ac<sub>2</sub>O, pyr; (iii) NaOMe, MeOH, starting from **25**: 67%, starting from **26**: 56%; (j) Dess–Martin periodinane, DCM, 77%; (k) 5% TFA–DCM, H<sub>2</sub>O, 0 °C, 87%; (l) 20% Pd(OH)<sub>2</sub>/C, H<sub>2</sub>, MeOH, 45%.

J = 8.4 Hz, 1H, NH), 7.97 (d, J = 8.8 Hz, 1H, NH), 7.42–7.24 (m, 20H, H arom), 5.67 (s, 1H, CHPh), 5.06 (ddd, J = 46.8, 30.1, 16.7 Hz, 2H, H-3'), 4.83 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub> Bn), 4.74–4.69  $(m, 2H, CH_2 Bn, H-1''), 4.61-4.52 (m, 4H, CH_2 Bn), 4.03 (dd, J =$ 10.0, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 3.80–3.58 (m, 8H), 3.55 (t, J = 10.1, 10.1 Hz, 1H), 3.50 (t, J = 9.1, 9.1 Hz, 1H), 3.36 (dd, J = 9.2, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 3.13 (dt, J = 9.6, 9.4, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 1.84 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub> NHAc), 1.80 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub> NHAc). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$ /ppm 203.14 (d, J = 14.8 Hz, C-2'), 169.31 (C=O NHAc), 169.20 (C=O NHAc), 139.05 (C<sub>q</sub> Bn), 138.64 (C<sub>q</sub> Bn), 138.56 (C<sub>q</sub> Bn), 137.48 (Cq Bn), 128.66–125.86 (CH arom), 100.71 (C-1"), 99.91 (CHPh), 85.12 (d, J = 179.8 Hz, C-3'), 81.46, 80.81, 78.17, 75.94, 74.13, 73.26 (CH<sub>2</sub> Bn), 73.12 (CH<sub>2</sub> Bn), 71.72 (CH<sub>2</sub> Bn), 68.51 (C-6 or C-6'), 67.67 (C-6 or C-6'), 65.47, 55.33 (C-2 or C-2'), 53.63 (C-2 or C-2'), 40.71 (C-1'), 22.86 (CH<sub>3</sub> NHAc), 22.72 (CH<sub>3</sub> NHAc). FT-IR:  $v_{\text{max}}(\text{neat})/\text{cm}^{-1}$  3274.2, 2863.9, 1727.9, 1657.8, 1651.9, 1557.8, 1497.1, 1454.4, 1371.4, 1319.4, 1174.3, 1085.8, 1028.1, 1016.2, 960.2, 917.5, 733.9, 694.1.  $[a]_{D}^{23}$  -5 (c = 0.28, DMF). HRMS:  $(M + H^{+})$  calc. for  $C_{47}H_{54}FN_2O_{11}$  841.37062, found 841.37119.

### 3-*C*-(*O*-(2-Acetamido-3-*O*-benzyl-2-deoxy- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranosyl)-(1 $\rightarrow$ 4)-2-acetamido-3,6-di-*O*-benzyl-2-deoxy- $\beta$ -D-glucopyranosyl)-1-fluoro-2-propanone (29)

The benzylidene of fully protected 28 (152 mg, 0.181 mmol) was removed as described in the first step of the synthesis of disaccharide 4. Silica gel column chromatography (CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>  $\rightarrow$  5% MeOH–CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>) furnished title compound **29** (118 mg, 0.157 mmol) in 87% as a white powder. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, MeOD)  $\delta$ /ppm 7.37–7.22 (m, 15H, H arom), 5.00 (d, J = 10.9 Hz, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub> Bn), 4.89 (d, J = 47.2 Hz, 1H, H-3'), 4.88 (d, J = 11.5 Hz, 1H, CH<sub>2</sub> Bn), 4.66–4.53 (m, 6H), 4.00 (t, J = 9.2, 9.2 Hz, 1H), 3.86–3.65 (m, 7H), 3.53 (dd, J = 9.6, 9.0 Hz, 1H), 3.50–3.44 (m, 2H), 3.43-3.38 (m, 2H), 3.20 (ddd, J = 9.5, 7.2, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 2.70 (ddd, J = 16.2, 8.9, 2.1 Hz, 1H, H-1a'), 2.58–2.52 (m, 1H, H-1b'), 1.89 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub> NHAc), 1.87 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub> NHAc). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, DMSO)  $\delta$ /ppm 203.20 (d, J = 14.9 Hz, C-2'), 169.32 (C=O NHAc), 169.12 (C=O NHAc), 139.25 (C<sub>q</sub> Bn), 139.17 (C<sub>q</sub> Bn), 138.54 (C<sub>q</sub> Bn), 128.14–126.95 (CH arom), 99.99 (C-1"), 85.15 (d, J = 179.9 Hz, C-3'), 82.37, 81.77, 78.27, 76.78, 75.23, 74.22, 73.26 (CH<sub>2</sub> Bn), 72.85 (CH<sub>2</sub> Bn), 71.86 (CH<sub>2</sub> Bn), 70.09, 68.76 (C-6), 60.80 (C-6'), 55.00 (C-2 or C-2'), 53.55 (C-2 or C-2'), 40.80 (C-1'), 22.91 (CH<sub>3</sub> NHAc), 22.73 (CH<sub>3</sub> NHAc). FT-IR: *v*<sub>max</sub>(neat)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 3292.1, 1733.0, 1652.0, 1549.7, 1455.2, 1372.2, 1317.1, 1104.3, 1073.7, 1054.8, 1024.1, 741.9, 695.9, 634.0, 602.0.  $[a]_{D}^{23}$  +23.3 (c = 0.42, MeOH). HRMS: (M + H<sup>+</sup>) calc. for C<sub>40</sub>H<sub>50</sub>FN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>11</sub> 753.33931, found 753.33980.

## $\label{eq:2-decomplexity} \begin{array}{l} 3-C-(O-(2-Acetamido-2-deoxy-\beta-D-glucopyranosyl)-(1\rightarrow 4)-2-acetamido-2-deoxy-\beta-D-glucopyranosyl)-1-fluoro-2-propanone (5) \end{array}$

Partly deprotected fluoromethyl ketone **29** (59 mg, 78.5  $\mu$ mol) was dissolved in MeOH (1 mL), followed by the addition of 20% Pd(OH)<sub>2</sub> on activate charcoal (15 mg). The reaction mixture was stirred under H<sub>2</sub> atmosphere for 8 h, after which TLC-analysis showed complete conversion. Argon gas was bubbled through, the solution was filtered over Celite concentrated and purified over a HW-40 gel filtration (1% AcOH–H<sub>2</sub>O). Title compound

**5** (16 mg, 33.2 µmol, 45%) was obtained as a white solid. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (600 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$ /ppm 5.08 (d, J = 46.5 Hz, 1H, H-3'), 4.57 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H, H-1"), 3.96–3.41 (m, 13H), 2.77–2.68 (m, 2H), 2.06 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub> NHAc), 2.00 (s, 3H, CH<sub>3</sub> NHAc). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (150 MHz, D<sub>2</sub>O)  $\delta$ /ppm 207.24 (d, J = 15.3 Hz, C-2'), 174.57 (C=O NHAc), 174.53 (C=O NHAc), 101.41 (C-1"), 85.35 (d, J = 180.1 Hz, C-3'), 79.45, 78.03, 75.88, 73.52, 73.42, 69.66, 60.49 (C-6 or C-6"), 60.11 (C-6 or C-6"), 55.56 (C-2"), 54.41 (C-2), 40.09 (C-2'), 22.09 (CH<sub>3</sub> NHAc), 22.04 (CH<sub>3</sub> NHAc). FT-IR:  $v_{max}$ (neat)/cm<sup>-1</sup> 3270.1, 2872.1, 1732.6, 1652.1, 1558.1, 1406.9, 1372.9, 1306.3, 1204.1, 1162.8, 1105.6, 1077.5, 1025.8, 948.3, 893.7. [a]<sub>D</sub><sup>23</sup> –11.1 (c = 0.36, H<sub>2</sub>O). HRMS: (M + H<sup>+</sup>) calc. for C<sub>19</sub>H<sub>32</sub>FN<sub>2</sub>O<sub>11</sub> 483.19846, found 483.19824.

### Expression of YPng1 and YPng(C191A)

BL21/DE3 *E. coli* transformed with either pET28a-YPng1 or pET28a-YPng(C191A) were cultured overnight at 37 °C in 50 mL LB media containing kanamycin (50  $\mu$ g mL<sup>-1</sup>). The culture was transferred to 450 mL fresh LB media and cultured at 37 °C until OD<sub>600</sub> = 0.8. Subsequently, IPTG (5 mL, 0.1 M) was added and the cells were further incubated for 3 h at 37 °C. Next, the cells were centrifuged at 6000 rpm for 20 min at 4 °C. The resulting pellet was resuspended in 20 mM Tris (pH = 8), 100 mM NaCl, 5% glycerol and 1% Triton X-100. The resulting suspension was incubate on ice for 30 min followed by 20 min sonication (90% maximum power, 5 s pulse and 3 s wait), the solution was centrifuged at 12000 g (10 min at 4 °C) affording the cell extracts.

### Labeling of purified YPNGase with bodipy probe 1

Purified recombinant yeast peptide N-glycanase (4.7 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>) was diluted with 20 mM sodium-phosphate buffer (pH = 7.2), 150 mM NaCl, 5 mM DTT to a final enzyme concentration of 11.1 ng  $\mu L^{-1}$ . To assess the labeling properties of Bodipy TMR-Ahx-Val-Ala-Asp(OMe)-Fmk 1, 9 µL of enzyme solution (11.1 ng  $\mu$ L<sup>-1</sup>) was labeled for 2 h at 37 °C with increasing concentrations of  $\beta$ -VAD-Fmk 1 (1  $\mu$ L). The reaction mixture was quenched by the addition of  $4 \times$  SDS-PAGE sample buffer (5 µL), boiled for 3 min and separated on 10% SDS-PAGE. In gel-visualization of protein labeling was directly performed in the wet gel slabs by using the Cy3/Tamra settings ( $\lambda_{ex}$  532,  $\lambda_{em}$  560) on a Thyphoon Variable Mode Imager (Amersham Biosciences). Afterwards the protein amount was quantified by silverstaining. Non-specific labeling of YPng1 was evaluated: by treating heat inactivated YPng1 (9  $\mu L,$  11.1 ng  $\mu L^{\scriptscriptstyle -1}$  boiled with 1% SDS for 3 min) with  $\beta$ -VAD-Fmk 1 (50  $\mu$ M) or by treating a solution of 9  $\mu$ L YPng1 (100 ng) and BSA (9  $\mu$ g) with probe 1 (0.5  $\mu$ M) in the presence or in the absence of 5 mM DTT. To assess the minimal amount of YPng1 which could be labeled and visualized with  $\beta$ -VAD-Fmk 1, a serial dilution of YPng1 was incubated with 0.5 µM 1 for 1 h after which direct in gel-visualization was performed.

#### Labeling of YPng1 and C191A with β-VAD-Fmk 1

*E. coli* cell extracts (1 mg mL<sup>-1</sup>) overexpressing either YPng1 or C191A were incubated with a serial dilution of  $\beta$ -VAD-Fmk 1 for 1 h. After denaturation, resolving on 10% SDS-PAGE, labeling

was visualized as described for purified YPng1. The total protein amount was quantified using silver staining (see ESI<sup>†</sup>).

### **Competition experiments**

For competition experiments, 9  $\mu$ L of YPng1 (11.1 ng  $\mu$ L<sup>-1</sup>) in reaction buffer (1  $\mu$ g  $\mu$ L<sup>-1</sup> BSA, 20 mM sodium-phosphate buffer (pH = 7.2), 150 mM NaCl) was incubated with 1  $\mu$ L of inhibitors **2–4** and Bodipy TMR-Ahx-Val-Ala-Asp(OMe)-Fmk 1 (0.5  $\mu$ M) for 1 h. The reaction was quenched by the addition of 4 × SDS-PAGE sample buffer (5  $\mu$ L) and boiling for 3 min. The samples were analyzed by SDS-PAGE as described above. Data was quantified with ImageQuant and analyzed with Graphpad Prism (see ESI†). To analyze the inhibitory potential of fluoromethyl ketone **5**, 1  $\mu$ L of **5** was preincubated with 9  $\mu$ L of YPng1 (11.1 ng  $\mu$ L<sup>-1</sup>) in reaction buffer for 2 h followed by labeling with  $\beta$ -VAD-Fmk **1** (0.5  $\mu$ M) for 30 min. The reaction was quenched and analyzed as described above.

### Acknowledgements

YPng1 and active site mutant YPng(C191A) were provided by S. Misaghi and H. L. Ploegh, Whitehead Institute for Biomedical Research. This work was supported by the Netherlands Organization for Scientific Research (NWO) and the Netherlands Proteomic Centre.

### Notes and references

- 1 R. E. Dempski, Jr. and B. Imperiali, Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol., 2002, 6, 844.
- 2 R. Y. Hampton, Curr. Opin. Cell Biol., 2002, 14, 476; R. G. Spiro, Cell. Mol. Life Sci., 2004, 61, 1025.

- 3 C. Hirsch, D. Blom and H. L. Ploegh, *EMBO J.*, 2003, **22**, 1036; T. Suzuki, H. Park, N. Hollingsworth, R. Sternglanz and W. J. Lennarz, *J. Cell Biol.*, 2000, **149**, 1039.
- 4 S. Katiyar, S. Joshi and W. J. Lennarz, *Mol. Biol. Cell*, 2005, 16, 4584; G. Li, G. Zhao, X. Zhou, H. Schindelin and W. J. Lennarz, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2005, 102, 15809; G. Li, G. Zhao, X. Zhou, H. Schindelin and W. J. Lennarz, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2006, 103, 8348.
- 5 S. Misaghi, M. E. Pacold, D. Blom, H. L. Ploegh and G. A. Korbel, *Chem. Biol.*, 2004, **11**, 1677; T. Suzuki, I. Hara, M. Nakano, G. Zhao, W. J. Lennarz, H. Schindelin, N. Taniguchi, K. Totani, I. Matsuo and Y. Ito, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2006, **281**, 22152.
- 6 C. Hirsch, S. Misaghi, D. Blom, M. E. Pacold and H. L. Ploegh, *EMBO Rep.*, 2004, 5, 201; T. Suzuki, *Methods*, 2005, 35, 360.
- 7 M. Verdoes, B. I. Florea, V. Menendez-Benito, C. J. Maynard, M. D. Witte, W. A. van der Linden, A. M. van den Nieuwendijk, T. Hofmann, C. R. Berkers, F. W. van Leeuwen, T. A. Groothuis, M. A. Leeuwenburgh, H. Ovaa, J. J. Neefjes, D. V. Filippov, G. A. van der Marel, N. P. Dantuma and H. S. Overkleeft, *Chem. Biol.*, 2006, 13, 1217.
- 8 Several fluorescent VAD-Fmk derivatives (Fam-VAD-Fmk, FITC-VAD-Fmk, SR-VAD-Fmk) have been reported as caspase activity probes. See: WO Pat., WO03058194, 2003; J. Grabarek, B. Adbelt, L. Du and Z. Darzynkiewicz, Exp. Cell Res., 2002, 278, 61.
- 9 J. T. Palmer, US Pat., 5101068, 1992.
- 10 W. Bröder and H. Kunz, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 1993, **249**, 221; T. Ogawa, S. Nakabayashi and K. Sasajima, *Carbohydr. Res.*, 1981, **95**, 308; J. D. C. Codée, R. E. J. N. Litjens, R. den Heeten, H. S. Overkleeft, J. H. van Boom and G. A. van der Marel, *Org. Lett.*, 2003, **5**, 1519.
- 11 B. A. Roe, C. G. Boojamra, J. L. Griggs and C. R. Bertozzi, J. Org. Chem., 1996, 61, 6442.
- 12 J.-H. Lee, J. M. Choi, C. Lee, K. J. Yi and Y. Cho, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 2005, 102, 9144.
- 13 BSA was added to the competition experiment to increase reproducibility: A. F. Kisselev and A. L. Goldberg, *Methods Enzymol.*, 2005, 398, 364.
- 14 D. Crich, M. Smith, Q. Yao and J. Picione, *Synthesis*, 2001, 323; D. Landini, H. Molinari, M. Penso and A. Rampoldi, *Synthesis*, 1988, 953; A. Korn, S. Rudolph-Boehner and L. Moroder, *Tetrahedron*, 1994, 50, 8381.