View Article Online View Journal

# ChemComm

# Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: H. J. Kiely-Collins, I. Sechi, P. Brennan and M. G. McLaughlin, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, DOI: 10.1039/C7CC09491D.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the **author guidelines**.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the ethical guidelines, outlined in our <u>author and reviewer resource centre</u>, still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



rsc.li/chemcomm

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.

Open Access Article. Published on 21 December 2017. Downloaded on 21/12/2017 10:03:42.



## Journal Name

# COMMUNICATION

## Mild, Calcium Catalysed Beckmann Rearrangements

H. J. Kiely-Collins,<sup>a</sup> I. Sechi<sup>a</sup> P. E. Brennan<sup>a,b</sup> and M. G. McLaughlin<sup>\*a,c</sup>

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x


www.rsc.org/

A mild calcium catalysed Beckmann rearrangement has been realised, which forgoes the more traditional harsh reactions conditions associated with the transformation. The catalyst system is shown to be tolerant towards a wide variety of functional groups relevant to natural product synthesis and medicinal chemistry and the synthetic utility of the reaction has also been investigated. A preliminary mechanistic investigation was performed to understand the nature of the incoming nucleophile and a possible reaction pathway is described.

The Beckmann rearrangement of aldoximes and ketoximes to the corresponding amides under acidic conditions is an inherently elegant transformation, and has been used to great success in the synthesis of natural products and pharmaceuticals alike.<sup>1</sup> Although the reaction has clear utility in synthetic organic chemistry, the need for harsh reaction conditions limits its usefulness to carefully chosen substrates. In response to this problem, many groups have reported modifications of the reaction that allows the transformation to proceed under milder conditions. For example, Giacomelli reported that cyanuric chloride afforded the desired amides in good yield,<sup>2</sup> and Yamamoto and co-workers realized the catalytic variant using an acid co-catalyst.<sup>3</sup> Other catalytic variants have been reported such as the use of TPAC,<sup>4</sup> gold,<sup>5</sup> chloral,<sup>6</sup> mercury<sup>7</sup> and iodine.<sup>8</sup> Recently Mhaske and coworkers reported a facile radical Beckmann rearrangement,<sup>5</sup> while Yadav and colleagues harnessed visible light to power the reaction.<sup>10</sup>

Although all these methodologies provide the amides in

good yields, they suffer from intolerance to various functional groups. Additionally, many of the reagents and catalysts used Iraditional Approach



Scheme 1. Comparison with previously reported methodology

could conceivably take part in side reactions, thus limiting their uptake in industry (Scheme 1). During the course of a medicinal chemistry project targeting epigenetic enzymes, we required a mild and functional group tolerant method to synthesize a library of amides<sup>11</sup> for structure activity relationship studies.

Among the group 2 metals, calcium has been largely ignored by the synthetic community, which is surprising as calcium is a readily available and non-toxic metal.<sup>12</sup> One major drawback associated with using calcium as a reagent in synthesis is its inherent lack of solubility in traditional organic solvents. However over the past decade, pioneering work by the groups of Niggemann<sup>13</sup>, Kobayashi,<sup>14</sup> France,<sup>15</sup> and others<sup>16</sup> have

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a.</sup> Structural Genomics Consortium & Target Discovery Institute, University of Oxford, NDM Research Building, Roosevelt Drive, Oxford, OX3 7FZ, United Kingdom

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b.</sup> ARUK Oxford Drug Discovery Institute, University of Oxford Oxford, OX3 7FZ Email. P.brennan@sgc.ox.ac.uk

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c.</sup> Faculty of Science & Engineering, Division of Chemistry & Environmental Science, Manchester Metropolitan University, Chester Street, Manchester M1 5GD, United Kingdom. Email. M.mclaughlin@mmu.ac.uk

Electronic Supplementary Information (ESI) available: [Experimental procedures, spectroscopic data and copies of <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>13</sup>C NMR spectra]. See DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

### COMMUNICATION

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence

Open Access Article. Published on 21 December 2017. Downloaded on 21/12/2017 10:03:42.

shown that calcium can be a highly powerful, yet mild catalyst for a range of transformations.

Our group has a burgeoning interest in using alkaline earth metals such as calcium as redox neutral catalysts to power organic transformations, and we reasoned that through judicious choice of catalyst system we could perform a mild Beckmann rearrangement to afford a wide variety of amides. We anticipated that the Lewis acidic nature of the calcium catalyst would be sufficient in generating the nitrillium ion which subsequently provides the requisite amide.

With this in mind we conducted an initial feasibility study to determine if the reaction could proceed (Table 1). Pleasingly, 10 mol% Ca(NTf<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>/nBu<sub>4</sub>NPF<sub>6</sub> at room temperature provided the amide in 10% isolated yield. We were initially concerned that the reaction was proceeding stoichiometrically; however upon heating the mixture at  $40^{\circ}$ C, the product was obtained in 49% yield. Increasing the temperature further to 80°C increased both the rate of reaction and isolated yield, affording the product in 93% yield in 2 hours. We next explored the possibility of decreasing the catalyst loading, but we observed a steady decrease in isolated yield with decreasing catalyst loading; however under prolonged reaction times the yield can be recovered. Additionally, the reaction was set-up without either the calcium or ammonium salt, and as shown the reaction did not proceed. Furthermore, we also attempted the reaction in the presence of HNTf<sub>2</sub>, however only trace amounts of product were obtained in all cases.

able 1. Optimisation Studies					
	N Ph Me 1a	cat. Ca Source → Pr Additive Solvent		H O 2a	
Entry	Ca source	Additive	Temp <sup>o</sup> C	Time (hr)	Yield (%
1	Ca(NTf <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	<i>n</i> Bu₄NPF <sub>6</sub>	r.t	12	10
2	Ca(NTf <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	<i>n</i> Bu₄NPF <sub>6</sub>	40	12	49
3	Ca(NTf <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	<i>n</i> Bu₄NPF <sub>6</sub>	60	12	73
4	Ca(NTf <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	<i>n</i> Bu₄NPF <sub>6</sub>	80	2	93
5	Ca(NTf <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	<i>n</i> Bu₄NPF <sub>6</sub>	80	12	93
6	Ca(NTf <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>2</sub>	none	80	12	0
7	none	<i>n</i> Bu₄NPF <sub>6</sub>	80	12	0
8	none	none	80	12	0

We next turned our attention to the choice of solvent for the reaction (Table 2). As noted, one of the main drawbacks in using calcium as a reagent is its inherent insolubility in many organic solvents. This is partly circumvented through the use of the  $NTf_2/nBu_4NPF_6$  system, but it was noted early in this study that the reactions performed in 1,2-dichloroethane (DCE), upon prolonged reactions times, became heterogeneous. To combat this, several solvents were tested including dichloromethane (DCM), chlorobenzene, n-methyl-2pyrrolidone (NMP) and dimethylformamide (DMF), however a reduction in isolated yield was observed in each case. We therefore focused our attention on using solvent mixtures employing DCE as the major constituent. After extensive

experimentation, a 4:1 mixture of DCE:DME proved optimum and this was used throughout.

Table 2. Solvent Optimisation 10 mol% Ca(NTf2)2 Ņ́<sup>\_OH</sup> 10 mol% nBu<sub>4</sub>NPF<sub>6</sub> Dh Me Solvent 1a 2a 80 °C Temp <sup>o</sup>C Entry Solvent Time (hr) Yield (%) 1.2-DCE 80 93 1 2 2 DCM 40 12 31 3 DMF 80 12 0 4 NMP 80 6 81 5 DME 80 6 71 6 Et<sub>2</sub>O 40 6 12 THF 80 6 39 7 8 DCE:DME (1:1) 80 2 86 DCE:DME (4:1) 80 9 2 92 10 DCE:DME (10:1) 80 2 81


With these optimised conditions in hand, we probed the substrate scope of the reaction (Table 3). Substituted aryl groups underwent the rearrangement to afford the requisite amides in good yield with both electron donating (2b, 2e) and electron withdrawing groups (2c) being well tolerated. Similar to other reports on the Beckmann rearrangement, electron withdrawing groups resulted in a much slower reaction rate. Free amines (2d) also underwent the rearrangement in good yields. Heteroaryls containing basic nitrogen atoms such as pyridine (2f), indole (2g) and benzimidazole (2h) also underwent clean conversion to the product in excellent yields. Additionally, aryl acetal (2i) was also tolerated well, as was Boc-protected piperidine (2j) which underwent the reaction cleanly to afford the desired amide in good yields. This demonstrates the utility of our catalyst system toward acid labile functional groups. Our attention then turned to the cyclic, symmetrical oximes as these can provide ready access to a wide range of medicinally relevant scaffolds. Simple cycloalkyl compounds underwent the rearrangement (2k, 2l), cleanly affording the requisite lactam in high yields. Tetrahydropyranyl oxime also performed well, affording the oxazepanone (2m) in excellent yield. Finally we investigated changing the group at R2, with n-Pr (2n) and benzyl (2o) also cleanly rearranging to the requisite amides in good yield.


This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.

Access Article. Published on 21 December 2017. Downloaded on 21/12/2017 10:03:42.

Open


### Journal Name







<sup>a</sup> Reaction performed with 30 mol% catalyst  $Ca(NTf_2)_2/nBu_4NPF_6$ . All reactions were performed with anhydrous solvents however no precautions were taken to exclude air.

We next wanted to demonstrate the synthetic utility of calcium catalyst in a complex molecular setting, and chose to perform the rearrangement on the oxime of Prasterone (3) and pregnenolone (5) acetate. Under the optimised conditions, the rearrangement proceeded smoothly furnishing the products in high yield (Scheme 2).



To ensure that our methodology could be applicable in the arena of total synthesis, we chose the well established synthesis of the macrolide antibiotic azithromycin from erythromycin, employing our catalyst system to mediate the key "trapped" Beckmann rearrangement.<sup>17</sup> We were intially






Finally we turned our attention onto whether the reaction could be performed as a one pot procedure. After screening several inorganic bases (NaOAc, Na2CO3, K2CO3) with little success, we speculated that due to the high tolerance of the calcium catalyst to nitrogen heterocycles, pyridine may be the optimum choice. This proved to be the case, and the reaction proceeded smoothly to afford the amide in excellent vield. As shown, further examples also proved successful (Table 4).



Table 4. One-pot oxime formation-Beckmann rearrangement




The initial mechanistic steps for the Beckmann rearrangements have been argued over and subjected to prolonged investigation over the past 50 years.<sup>19</sup> It is generally accepted that after activation and dehydration of the oxime, a reactive nitrillium ion is formed. Consequently, the preformed water attacks this reactive intermediate, which following tautomerization, affords the requisite amide. We wanted to investigate the nature of the incoming nucleophile, and

DOI: 10.1039/C7CC09491D Journal Name

whether it was free water or a calcium-alkoxide type species. We therefore attempted the reaction with **1b**, employing 4 Å molecular sieves to remove molecular water from the reaction, and observed full conversion to the amide in 2 hours. Based on this preliminary result, a plausible reaction mechanism is described below (**Figure 1**). The calcium catalyst activates the hydroxyl moiety of the oxime which produces transient [HO<sup>°</sup> Ca<sup>2+</sup> PF<sub>6</sub><sup>-</sup>]. This potentially attacks the nitrillium to form intermediate **3a**, and following loss of the amide, the active calcium species is regenerated through recomplexation with PF6<sup>°</sup>.

COMMUNICATION



In conclusion we have developed a mild, catalytic Beckmann rearrangement employing a calcium (II) catalyst. Our system is tolerant of a range of functionalities pertinent to natural product synthesis and medicinal chemistry, as well as allowing the use of acid labile moieties. The synthentic utility of the reaction has been demonstrated, and we show that the reaction is ammenable to one pot. We propose a plausible mechanism based on preliminary investigations, with further detailed studies underway.

The SGC is a registered charity (number 1097737) that receives funds from AbbVie, Bayer, Boehringer Ingelheim, the Canada Foundation for Innovation, the Canadian Institutes for Health Research, Genome Canada, GlaxoSmithKline, Janssen, Lilly Canada, the Novartis Research Foundation, the Ontario Ministry of Economic Development and Innovation, Pfizer, Takeda, and the Wellcome Trust [092809/Z/10/Z]. MGM wishes to thank MMU for startup funding.

## **Conflicts of interest**

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Notes and references

1. (a) R. E. Gawley, in *Organic Reactions*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 1988, vol. 35, p. 1; (b) Shin, D. J. Jung and S.-g. Lee, *ACS Catalysis*, 2013, **3**, 525-528.

2. L. De Luca, G. Giacomelli and A. Porcheddu, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2002. **67** 6272-6274.

3. Y. Furuya, K. Ishihara and H. Yamamoto, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2005, **127**, 11240-11241.

4. M. Hashimoto, Y. Obora, S. Sakaguchi and Y. Ishii, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2008, **73**, 2894-2897.

5. R. S. Ramón, J. Bosson, S. Díez-González, N. Marion and S. P. Nolan, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2010, **75**, 1197-1202.

6. S. Chandrasekhar and K. Gopalaiah, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2003, **44**, 755-756.

7. C. Ramalingan and Y.-T. Park, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2007, **72**, 4536-4538.

8. N. C. Ganguly and P. Mondal, *Synthesis*, 2010, **2010**, 3705-3709.

9. P. S. Mahajan, V. T. Humne, S. D. Tanpure and S. B. Mhaske, *Org. Lett.*, 2016, **18**, 3450-3453.

10. V. P. Srivastava, A. K. Yadav and L. D. S. Yadav, *Synlett*, 2014, **25**, 665-670.

11. Y. Yan, Z. Zhang, Y. Wan, G. Zhang, N. Ma and Q. Liu, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2017, **82**, 7957-7963.

12. S. Harder, Chem. Rev., 2010, 110, 3852-3876.

13. (a) J.-M. Begouin, F. Capitta, X. Wu and M. Niggemann, *Org. Lett.*, 2013, **15**, 1370-1373; (b) S. Gao, T. Stopka and M.

Niggemann, Org. Lett., 2015, **17**, 5080-5083; (c) V. J. Meyer, C. Ascheberg and M. Niggemann, Chem. Eur. J., 2015, **21**, 6371-6374; (d) V. J. Meyer and M. Niggemann, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2011, **2011**, 3671-3674; (e) M. Niggemann and M. J. Meel, Angew. Chem. Int. Ed., 2010, **49**, 3684-3687; (f) T. Stopka and M. Niggemann, Org. Lett., 2015, **17**, 1437-1440.

14. (a) S. Kobayashi and Y. Yamashita, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2011, **44**, 58-71; (b) S. Kobayashi, T. Tsubogo, S. Saito and Y. Yamashita, *Org. Lett.*, 2008, **10**, 807-809; (c) S. Shimizu, T. Tsubogo, P. Xu and S. Kobayashi, *Org. Lett.*, 2015, **17**, 2006-2009; (d) T. Tsubogo, Y. Kano, K. Ikemoto, Y. Yamashita and S. Kobayashi, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 2010, **21**, 1221-1225; (e) T. Tsubogo, S. Saito, K. Seki, Y. Yamashita and S. Kobayashi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 13321-13332; (f) T. Tsubogo, Y. Yamashita and S. Kobayashi, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 9117-9120; (g) T. Tsubogo, Y. Yamashita and S. Kobayashi, *Top. Catal.*, 2014, **57**, 935-939.

15. (a) M. C. Martin, M. J. Sandridge, C. W. Williams, Z. A. Francis and S. France, *Tetrahedron*, 2017, **73**, 4093; (b) M. J. Sandridge and S. France, *Org. Lett.*, 2016, **18**, 4218-4221.

16. S. P. Morcillo, M. Presset, S. Floquet, V. Coeffard, C. Greck, C. Bour and V. Gandon, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2016, **2016**, 2688-2694; 17. B. V. Yang, M. Goldsmith and J. P. Rizzi, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1994, **35**, 3025-3028.

18. R. R. Wilkening, R. W. Ratcliffe, G. A. Doss, R. T. Mosley and R. G. Ball, *Tetrahedron*, 1997, **53**, 16923-16944.

19. (a) B.-X. Tian, N. An, W.-P. Deng and L. A. Eriksson, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2013, **78**, 6782-6785; (b) R. Akimoto, T. Tokugawa, Y. Yamamoto and H. Yamataka, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2012, **77**, 4073-4078.

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence

Access Article. Published on 21 December 2017. Downloaded on 21/12/2017 10:03:42.

Open