

# Copper-Catalyzed Silylation of C(sp<sup>3</sup>)–H Bonds Adjacent to Amide Nitrogen Atoms

Jian-Jun Feng<sup>®</sup> and Martin Oestreich\*<sup>®</sup>

Institut für Chemie, Technische Universität Berlin, Strasse des 17. Juni 115, 10623 Berlin, Germany

**S** Supporting Information

**ABSTRACT:** A copper-catalyzed C–Si bond formation between N-halogenated amides and Si–B reagents is described. This oxidative coupling enables the silylation of  $C(sp^3)$ –H bonds  $\alpha$  to an amide nitrogen atom. The utility of the new method is demonstrated for sulfonamides, and N-chlorination with *t*BuOCl and C–H silylation employing CuSCN/4,4'dimethoxy-2,2'-bipyridine as catalyst can be performed without purification of the N–Cl intermediate.

atalytic oxidative functionalization of C(sp<sup>3</sup>)-H bonds adjacent to the nitrogen atom of amines is an important way of diversifying their substitution pattern.<sup>1</sup> While C-Cbond formation in this position is now relatively well established,<sup>1</sup> the related installation of heteroatoms  $\alpha$  to the nitrogen atom is less developed.<sup>2</sup> To the best of our knowledge, there is no report on the formation of a C-Si bond by this strategy. Aside from the conventional preparation of  $\alpha$ -silvlated amines from amides by directed metalationelectrophilic silvlation,<sup>3</sup> there are just a few catalytic approaches known.<sup>4,5</sup> Mita and Sato recently disclosed a rhodium-catalyzed dehydrogenative coupling of mainly Me<sub>2</sub>N groups and Et<sub>3</sub>SiH directed by a pyridin-2-yl donor; further substitution at the methyl group is detrimental (Scheme 1A).<sup>4</sup> Similar observations were made by Zeng and co-workers in their [1,5]-hydrogen atom transfer strategy starting from *ortho*fluorinated benzamides with different alkyl groups attached to the nitrogen atom (Scheme 1B).<sup>5</sup> We therefore targeted an oxidative procedure that would convert those  $C(sp^3)$ -H into  $C(sp^3)$ -Si bonds without the structural bias of the aforementioned examples (Scheme 1C). Our approach is intended to complement the existing methods for the catalytic preparation of  $\alpha$ -silvlated amines,<sup>6</sup> particularly the addition of silicon nucleophiles to imines<sup>7</sup> and carbon nucleophiles to silylated imines,<sup>8</sup> respectively.<sup>9,10</sup>

Our plan was to make use of copper/bipyridine catalysts<sup>11</sup> and alkoxide-mediated activation of the Si–B bond<sup>12,13</sup> in Si– B reagents<sup>14</sup> (Scheme 1C). To avoid the use of oxidants in the presence of Si–B reagents,<sup>15</sup> we decided to transform the amine substrates into the readily available<sup>16</sup> N-chlorinated derivatives. The N–Cl linkage could serve as a traceless activating group in the coupling of the C(sp<sup>3</sup>)–H bond  $\alpha$  to the nitrogen atom by engaging in single electron transfer (SET) processes.<sup>17</sup> To demonstrate the feasibility of our proposal, we began with the optimization of the reaction using *N*-chlorosulfonamide 1a as the model substrate and Me<sub>2</sub>PhSi– Bpin<sup>14a</sup> as the silicon source (Table 1). After screening of various reaction parameters, we found that the desired bond



Scheme 1. Catalytic Approaches to  $\alpha$ -Silylated Amines and Amides by Silylation of  $\alpha$ -C(sp<sup>3</sup>)–H Bonds<sup>*a*</sup>



formation occurred with CuSCN/4,4'-dimethoxy-2,2'-bipyridine as catalyst and LiOMe as alkoxide base in CH<sub>3</sub>CN at room temperature; the  $\alpha$ -silylated amide **2a** was obtained in 78% NMR yield along with 22% NMR yield of amide **3a** resulting from hydrodechlorination at the nitrogen atom (entry 1; see the Supporting Information for the complete set of optimization data). Control experiments showed that both the copper salt and the alkoxide are essential (entries 2 and 3). Of note, when CuSCN was not employed, 42% NMR yield of hydrodechlorinated **3a** as well as a similar amount of the

Received: May 30, 2018

H Ph	H N <sup>Ts</sup> Cl 1a	CuSCN (10 mol %) 4,4'-(OMe) <sub>2</sub> bpy (10 mol %) Me <sub>2</sub> PhSi–Bpin (1.5 equiv) LiOMe (1.5 equiv) MeCN rt for 18 h	H H Ph N <sup>-Ts</sup> Me <sub>2</sub> PhSi H Ph N <sup>-</sup> H Ph N <sup>-Ts</sup>	5 3a ⊱ <sup>Ts</sup> 2a , 4a
entry		variation	yield <sup>b</sup> of <b>2a</b> (%)	yield <sup>b</sup> o 3a (%)
1	none		78	22
2 <sup>c</sup>	w/o CuSC	N	15	42
3 <sup>d</sup>	w/o LiOM	le	0	94
4	w/o 4,4'-(	OMe) <sub>2</sub> bpy	60	40
5	1,4-dioxane	e/DMF (9:1) instead of MeCN	76	23
6	1,4-dioxane	e instead of MeCN	67	33
7	DMF inste	ad of MeCN	33	48
8	THF instead	ad of MeCN	37	62

Table 1. Selected Examples of the Optimization of the  $C(sp^3)$ -H Silylation<sup>4</sup>

<sup>*a*</sup>All reactions performed on a 0.10 mmol scale. <sup>*b*</sup>NMR yield with  $CH_2Br_2$  as an internal standard. <sup>*c*</sup>43% NMR yield of the **4a** observed. <sup>*d*</sup>5% NMR yield of **4a** observed.

corresponding aldimine **4a** were produced (entry 2); moreover, the formation of the  $\alpha$ -silylated amide **2a** in 15% NMR yield suggests that LiOMe alone is able to mediate the Si–B bond activation and the subsequent silyl transfer onto **4a**.<sup>18</sup> The combination of CuSCN and 4,4<sup>-</sup>(OMe)<sub>2</sub>bpy is crucial to secure high yield (entry 4). The solvent also had substantial effect on the product distribution but no improvement over acetonitrile was seen (entries 5–8).

With the optimized conditions in hand, we evaluated different protecting groups on the nitrogen atom (Table 2). It was found that the electronic situation of the sulfonamide had a significant impact on the reaction outcome. Electron-rich **1a**, **1b**, and **1d** afforded relatively better results than electron-deficient **1c** and **1e** (entries 1-5). Ms-protected **1f** furnished **2f** in moderate yield whereas **1g** bearing a strongly electron-

#### Table 2. Assessment of Different Amides<sup>a</sup>

H I Ph	4,4 • Me `N <sup>^PG</sup> Cl —i	CuSCN (10 mol %) '-(OMe) <sub>2</sub> bpy (10 mol <sup>r</sup> b <sub>2</sub> <b>PhSi</b> –Bpin (1.5 equi LiOMe (1.5 equiv) MeCN rt for 18 h	%) <sup>iv)</sup> Me₂PhS → Ph 2	ii H ≺N <sup>,</sup> PG H a−i
entry	amide	PG	amine	yield <sup>b</sup> (%)
1	1a	Ts	2a	77
2	1b	p-MeOPhSO <sub>2</sub>	2b	80
3 <sup>c</sup>	1c	<i>p</i> -NO <sub>2</sub> PhSO <sub>2</sub>	2c	50
4	1d	o-MePhSO <sub>2</sub>	2d	80
5	1e	o-BrPhSO <sub>2</sub>	2e	72
6	1f	MeSO <sub>2</sub>	2f	66
7	1g	CF <sub>3</sub> SO <sub>2</sub>	2g	0
8	1h	$Ph_2P(O)$	2h	78
9	1i	Bz	2i	60

<sup>a</sup>All reactions performed on a 0.20 mmol scale. <sup>b</sup>Isolated yield after flash chromatography on silica gel. <sup>c</sup>Performed in 1,4-dioxane/DMF (9:1) instead of MeCN for better solubility. withdrawing Tf group did not lead to C–Si bond formation (entries 6 and 7). Aside from sulfonamides, phosphinamide **1h** and benzoylamide **1i** are also suitable substrates (entries 8 and 9).

Before examining the substrate scope with Ts as protective group, we tested silicon pronucleophiles other than Me<sub>2</sub>PhSi–Bpin in the model reaction. MePh<sub>2</sub>Si–Bpin<sup>14a</sup> led to a comparable result (70% versus 77% yield, see the Supporting Information for characterization data and NMR spectra) while  $Et_3Si$ –Bpin<sup>14b</sup> did not react;<sup>15</sup> degradation of 1a to 3a (65%) and 4a (35%) was detected. The substrate scope is summarized in Table 3. The reaction of sulfonamides 5a–

Table 3. Scope of Copper-Catalyzed Silylation of  $C(sp^3)$ -H Bonds Adjacent to an Amide Nitrogen Atom<sup>*a*</sup>

H R <sup>1</sup> 5a	R <sup>2</sup> ∧_Ts ĊI a <b>–15</b> a	CuSCN (10 mc 4,4'-(OMe) <sub>2</sub> bpy (10 Me <sub>2</sub> PhSi-Bpin (1. LiOMe (1.5 eq MeCN rt for 18 h	ol %) ) mol %) 5 equiv) uiv)	Me <sub>2</sub> PhSi - R <sup>1</sup> 16a–2	R <sup>2</sup> ∕Ts ⊢ H 26a
entry	amide	$\mathbb{R}^1$	R <sup>2</sup>	amine	yield <sup><math>b</math></sup> (%)
1	5a	p-MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Н	16a	76
2	6a	p-ClC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Н	17a	71
3	7a	<i>p</i> -BrC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Н	18a	51
4	8a	p-CF <sub>3</sub> C <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Н	19a	56
5	9a	<i>m</i> -MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Н	20a	69
6	10a	o-MeC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Н	21a	63
7	11a	o-BrC <sub>6</sub> H <sub>4</sub>	Н	22a	60
8°	12a	naphth-1-yl	Н	23a	69
9	13a	N-SO <sub>2</sub> Ph	Η	24a	50
10	14a	Et	Н	25a	29
11	15a	Ph	Me	26a	35

<sup>a</sup>All reactions performed on a 0.20 mmol scale. <sup>b</sup>Isolated yield after flash chromatography on silica gel. <sup>c</sup>Performed in 1,4-dioxane/DMF (9:1) instead of MeCN for better solubility.

**11a** bearing various benzyl groups with substituents in the *para, meta,* and *ortho* positions proceeded smoothly, and the corresponding  $\alpha$ -silylated amines **16a–22a** were obtained in moderate yields (entries 1–7). In general, substrates with electron-withdrawing substituents on the aryl moiety showed lower yields than those bearing an electron-donating group (entry 1 versus 4). The phenyl unit in **1a** could be replaced by an  $\alpha$ -naphthyl (**12a**  $\rightarrow$  **23a**, entry 8) and a heteroaryl group (**13a**  $\rightarrow$  **24a**, entry 9), still maintaining decent yields. However, the yield dropped to 29% for R<sup>1</sup> = alkyl (**14a**  $\rightarrow$  **25a**, entry 10). Conversely, fully substituted **15a** with R<sup>1</sup> = Ph and R<sup>2</sup> = Me yielded  $\alpha$ -silylated amine **26a** with a "quaternary" carbon atom in acceptable yield (entry 11).

As mentioned above, the *N*-chlorosulfonamides are easily accessible from the corresponding sulfonamides by oxidation with *t*BuOCl,<sup>17c</sup> e.g.,  $3a \rightarrow 1a$  (Scheme 2). This procedure is in fact compatible with the formal C–H silylation, and the  $\alpha$ -silylated amine 2a was isolated in 70% yield after subjecting crude 1a to the standard protocol (Scheme 2).

Scheme 2. Procedure on Larger Scale without Purification of the N-Chlorosulfonamide



To gain preliminary insight into the reaction mechanism, specifically the formation of the imine 4a, we performed two control experiments (Scheme 3). The reaction of 1a in the



absence of the Si–B reagent did form imine **4a** in 64% NMR yield along with amine **3a** in 25% NMR yield (top). Treatment of **4a** with the standard setup then gave  $\alpha$ -silylated amine **2a** in 85% NMR yield (bottom).<sup>7a</sup>

On the basis of these control experiments and previous experimental observations, we believe that the catalysis proceeds through the imine (gray box, Scheme 4) that, in



turn, undergoes conventional copper-catalyzed 1,2-addition of the silicon nucleophile (not shown).<sup>7</sup> That would also explain the higher yields seen with aldimines compared to the ketimine (see Table 3, entry 11). Two pathways explain the formation of the imine (Scheme 4):<sup>17c</sup> Path a is radical with Cu(I)mediated N–Cl cleavage to generate the corresponding sulfonamidyl radical and Cu(II) ( $1a \rightarrow 27a$ );<sup>19</sup> 27a then converts into carbon-centered radical **28a** by a 1,2-H shift<sup>20</sup> followed by Cu(II)-promoted oxidation to **29a**. Deprotonation of iminium ion **29a** yields the imine **4a**. Path b cannot be excluded as **4a** is also formed from **1a** by base-mediated  $\beta$ elimination<sup>21</sup> (cf. Table 1, entry 2).

In summary, we have introduced here a new approach to the synthesis of synthetically valuable<sup>6,22</sup>  $\alpha$ -silylated amines. The

method allows for the silylation  $C(sp^3)$ -H bonds adjacent to amide nitrogen atoms at room temperature. The starting material, that is, the N-halogenated amide, can be prepared in situ and used without further purification in the subsequent copper-catalyzed silylation.

## ASSOCIATED CONTENT

## **Supporting Information**

The Supporting Information is available free of charge on the ACS Publications website at DOI: 10.1021/acs.or-glett.8b01698.

Experimental procedures and spectral data for all new compounds (PDF)

#### AUTHOR INFORMATION

#### **Corresponding Author**

\*E-mail: martin.oestreich@tu-berlin.de.

## ORCID 💿

Jian-Jun Feng: 0000-0002-6094-3268 Martin Oestreich: 0000-0002-1487-9218

#### Notes

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

J.-J.F. gratefully acknowledges the Alexander von Humboldt Foundation for a postdoctoral fellowship (2017–2019). M.O. is indebted to the Einstein Foundation (Berlin) for an endowed professorship.

## REFERENCES

(1) For reviews, see: (a) Moriyama, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2017, 58, 4655–4662. (b) Murahashi, S.-I.; Zhang, D. Chem. Soc. Rev. 2008, 37, 1490–1501.

(2) For examples of the construction of C-X bonds by cross couplings of  $C(sp^3)$ -H bonds adjacent to nitrogen atoms with noncarbon nucleophiles, see the following. C-O bonds: (a) Murahashi, S.; Naota, T.; Kuwabara, T.; Saito, T.; Kumobayashi, H.; Akutagawa, S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1990, 112, 7820-7822. (b) Yu, P.; Lin, J.-S.; Li, L.; Zheng, S.-C.; Xiong, Y.-P.; Zhao, L.-J.; Tan, B.; Liu, X.-Y. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2014, 53, 11890-11894. (c) Yu, H.; Shen, J. Org. Lett. 2014, 16, 3204-3207. C-N bonds: (d) Zhang, Y.; Fu, H.; Jiang, Y.; Zhao, Y. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 3813-3816. (e) Xia, Q.; Chen, W. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 77, 9366-9373. (f) Mao, X.; Wu, Y.; Jiang, X.; Liu, X.; Cheng, Y.; Zhu, C. RSC Adv. 2012, 2, 6733-6735. C-P bonds: (g) Baslé, O.; Li, C.-J. Chem. Commun. 2009, 4124-4126. (h) Yang, B.; Yang, T.-T.; Li, X.-A.; Wang, J.-J.; Yang, S.-D. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 5024-5027. C-S bonds: (i) Tang, R.-Y.; Xie, Y.-X.; Xie, Y.-L.; Xiang, J.-N.; Li, J.-H. Chem. Commun. 2011, 47, 12867-12869.

(3) For a representative example, see: Barberis, C.; Voyer, N.; Roby, J.; Chénard, S.; Tremblay, M.; Labrie, P. *Tetrahedron* **2001**, *57*, 2965–2972.

(4) Mita, T.; Michigami, K.; Sato, Y. Chem. - Asian J. 2013, 8, 2970–2973.

(5) Liu, P.; Tang, J.; Zeng, X. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 5536-5539.

(6) For a review of the synthesis of  $\alpha$ -silylated amines, see: Min, G. K.; Hernández, D.; Skrydstrup, T. Acc. Chem. Res. **2013**, 46, 457–470. (7) (a) Vyas, D. J.; Fröhlich, R.; Oestreich, M. Org. Lett. **2011**, 13, 2094–2097. (b) Hensel, A.; Nagura, K.; Delvos, L. B.; Oestreich, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **2014**, 53, 4964–4967. (c) Mita, T.; Sugawara, M.; Saito, K.; Sato, Y. Org. Lett. **2014**, 16, 3028–3031. (d) Zhao, C.; Jiang, C.; Wang, J.; Wu, C.; Zhang, Q.-W.; He, W. Asian J. Org. Chem. **2014**, 3, 851–855.

## **Organic Letters**

(8) Rong, J.; Collados, J. F.; Ortiz, P.; Jumde, R. P.; Otten, E.; Harutyunyan, S. R. Nat. Commun. 2016, 7, 13780–13787.

(9) (a) Bandar, J. S.; Pirnot, M. T.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 14812–14818. (b) Kato, K.; Hirano, K.; Miura, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 14400–14404.

(10) Kondo, H.; Itami, K.; Yamaguchi, J. Chem. Sci. 2017, 8, 3799–3803.

(11) (a) Xue, W.; Qu, Z.-W.; Grimme, S.; Oestreich, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2016, 138, 14222–14225. (b) Xue, W.; Oestreich, M. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 11649–11652.

(12) Hartmann, E.; Oestreich, M. Chim. Oggi 2011, 29, 34-36.

(13) Recent reviews of Si-B chemistry: (a) Delvos, L. B.; Oestreich, M. In *Science of Synthesis Knowledge Updates 2017/1*; Oestreich, M., Ed.; Thieme: Stuttgart, 2017; pp 65–176. (b) Oestreich, M.; Hartmann, E.; Mewald, M. *Chem. Rev.* **2013**, *113*, 402–441.

(14) For the preparation of Si-B reagents, see: (a) Suginome, M.; Matsuda, T.; Ito, Y. *Organometallics* **2000**, *19*, 4647–4649. (b) Boebel, T. A.; Hartwig, J. F. *Organometallics* **2008**, *27*, 6013–6019.

(15) Yamamoto, E.; Shishido, R.; Seki, T.; Ito, H. Organometallics 2017, 36, 3019–3022.

(16) For selected reviews of compounds containing halogenated nitrogen atoms, see: (a) Kovacic, P.; Lowery, M. K.; Field, K. W. *Chem. Rev.* **1970**, *70*, 639–665. (b) Armesto, X. L.; Canle, L. M.; García, M. V.; Santaballa, J. A. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **1998**, *27*, 453–460.

(17) Representative examples of copper-catalyzed reactions involving N-halogenated compounds: (a) He, C.; Chen, C.; Cheng, J.; Liu, C.; Liu, W.; Li, Q.; Lei, A. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2008, 47, 6414–6417. (b) Kawano, T.; Hirano, K.; Satoh, T.; Miura, M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2010, 132, 6900–6901. (c) Moriyama, K.; Kuramochi, M.; Fujii, K.; Morita, T.; Togo, H. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 14546–14551. (d) Zhang, F.; Zheng, D.; Lai, L.; Cheng, J.; Sun, J.; Wu, J. Org. Lett. 2018, 20, 1167–1170.

(18) (a) Kawachi, A.; Minamimoto, T.; Tamao, K. Chem. Lett. 2001, 30, 1216–1217. (b) Ito, H.; Horita, Y.; Yamamoto, E. Chem. Commun. 2012, 48, 8006–8008. (c) Kleeberg, C.; Borner, C. Eur. J. Inorg. Chem. 2013, 2799–2806.

(19) Heuger, G.; Kalsow, S.; Göttlich, R. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2002, 1848–1854.

(20) Fan, R.; Pu, D.; Wen, F.; Wu, J. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 8994-8997.

(21) Hoffman, R. V.; Bartsch, R. A.; Cho, B. R. Acc. Chem. Res. 1989, 22, 211–217.

(22) (a) Sieburth, S. M.; Nittoli, T.; Mutahi, A. M.; Guo, L. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **1998**, 37, 812–814. (b) Mortensen, M.; Husmann, R.; Veri, E.; Bolm, C. Chem. Soc. Rev. **2009**, 38, 1002–1010. (c) Franz, A. K.; Wilson, S. O. J. Med. Chem. **2013**, 56, 388–405.