Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters 22 (2012) 1705-1708

Contents lists available at SciVerse ScienceDirect

Bioorganic & Medicinal Chemistry Letters

journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/bmcl



11β-benzoxazole-substituted steroids

Chunyang Jin[∗], Scott E. Fix, John A. Kepler[∗], C. Edgar Cook[★]

Center for Organic and Medicinal Chemistry, Research Triangle Institute, PO Box 12194, Research Triangle Park, NC 27709-2194, USA

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 7 October 2011 Revised 20 December 2011 Accepted 21 December 2011 Available online 30 December 2011

Keywords: Glucocorticoid receptor Progesterone receptor Antagonist 11β-Arylsteroids Mifepristone

ABSTRACT

Early studies led to the identification of 11β -aryl-4',5'-dihydrospiro[estra-4,9-diene- 17β ,4'-oxazole] analogs with potent and more selective antiprogestational activity compared to antiglucocorticoid activity than mifepristone. In the present study, we replaced the 4'-dimethylaminophenyl group of mifepristone with the benzoxazol group to give **5a**–**d**. We also prepared the 17β -formamido analogs **6a,b** using a new synthetic strategy via the intermediate epoxide **21**. These compounds were evaluated for their antagonist hormonal properties using the T47D cell-based alkaline phosphatase assay and the A549 cell-based functional assay. Compound **5c** showed potent antagonist activity at GR with better selectivity for GR versus PR than mifepristone and is a promising lead for further development.

© 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved.

Nuclear receptors play crucial roles in development, homeostasis and other biological processes in mammals.¹ A subset of these receptors binds specific steroids and related ligands to mediate the effects by influencing transcription of target genes.² One such receptor, the glucocorticoid receptor (GR), acts primarily via binding to cortisol in humans and is crucially involved in the normal development and maintenance of basal and stress-related homeostasis.³ Potent and selective GR antagonists have been suggested for the possible treatment of depression,⁴ diabetes,⁵ glaucoma,⁶ hypertension⁷ and Cushing's disease.⁸ The well-known steroidal GR antagonist, mifepristone (RU 486, 1, Fig. 1), was introduced by the Roussel-Uclaf group in 1980s.⁹ A serious drawback of mifepristone is its abortifacient effects due to its potent progesterone receptor (PR) antagonism.¹⁰ Since the discovery of mifepristone, hundreds of analogs have been synthesized to optimize the potency and selectivity for GR or PR.¹¹ Nonetheless, mifepristone remains the most used drug to date for the study of biology involving GR.

Steroid receptors are closely related in the structure and their mechanism of action.¹² Crystal structures of mifepristone bound to GR and PR have recently been solved.^{13,14} Superposition of mifepristone bound to GR and PR ligand binding domains (LBD) revealed its different binding interactions in GR and PR, indicating that modification of the steroidal structure would have a profound effect on the affinity and activity for the corresponding receptors.¹⁴

SAR studies from our laboratory as well as others have shown that combinations of specific substitutions at the 11- and 17-positions have a marked influence on the antihormonal properties at GR and PR.^{11,15–24} For example, replacement of the N,N-dimethylamino group of mifepristone with a diethoxyphosponyl group led to a potent and selective GR antagonist 2.22 Interestingly, substitution of the methyl on the propynyl group with dialkyl or dialkoxyphosphonyl groups resulted in selective PR antagonists.²¹ Some time ago, we found that various nitro²⁵ and amido $(3)^{26}$ substituents on the 17 β position could also generate potent antihormonal effects, in some cases, with dissociated activities at GR versus PR. We also showed that replacement of the 17β -hydroxyl and 17α -propynyl groups with a substituted 17,17-spiro-oxazole group (4) led to potent antagonism at PR with considerably reduced activity at GR.²⁴ Oxazoles have been suggested as bioisosteres for the carboxylic ester group.²⁷ We envisioned that oxazole could also serve as a bioisostere in steroids for the N,N-dimethylamino group in mifepristone or the diethoxyphosponyl group in 2. In this Letter, we describe the synthesis of several 11β-benzoxazole-substituted 17β-hydroxy- or 17β-formamido-steroids, and report their antagonist activities at GR and PR.

Retrosynthetic analysis suggested that dieneketal **10** was a suitable starting material for making the target compounds with the major question being the order of introduction of the substituents to give intermediate **8** or **9** (Scheme 1). The synthesis of 11 β -benzox-azole-substituted 17 β -hydroxy-steroids **5a–d** is presented in Scheme 2. Regioselective 5,10-epoxidation of commercial dieneketal **10** using hexafluoroacetone hydroperoxide, generated in situ from hexafluoroacetone trihydrate and hydrogen peroxide, afforded





^{*} Corresponding authors. Tel.: +1 919 541 6328; fax: +1 919 541 8868.

E-mail address: cjin@rti.org (C. Jin).

[™] Dr. C. Edgar Cook is deceased.

⁰⁹⁶⁰⁻⁸⁹⁴X/\$ - see front matter \odot 2011 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. doi:10.1016/j.bmcl.2011.12.110



Figure 1. Structures of mifepristone (1) and 11β-arylsteroids.



Scheme 1. Retrosynthesis of 11β-aryl 17,17-disubstituted steroids.

epoxide **11** in 53% yield.²⁵ Original attempts to generate the Grignard or lithiate reagent from the corresponding 5-bromobenzoxazoles followed by addition to epoxide **11** were not successful. It was therefore necessary to introduce the oxazole moiety at a later stage in the synthesis. Thus, treatment of diallyamino-2-allyloxy-5-bromobenzene, prepared from 2-amino-4-bromophenol with ally bromide and potassium hydroxide, with magnesium in tetrahydrofuran (THF) afforded the required Grignard reagent. Subsequent addition of the Grignard reagent to a solution of **11** in THF in the presence of CuI gave **12** in 85% yield.²⁸ Deprotection of the allyl groups was achieved in 69% yield by using *N*,*N'*-dimethylbarbituric acid and catalytic Pd(PPh₃)₄ to give aminophenol **13**.²⁹ Ring formation with *s*-triazine³⁰ or an appropriate ortho ester³¹ provided oxazoles **14a**-**c** in 57–73% yields. The trifluoromethyl analog **14d** was synthesized in 67% yield using freshly prepared 2,2,2-trifluoroacetimidic acid methyl ester.^{32,33} Addition of 1-propynylmagnesium bromide followed by deketalization and dehydration with trifluoroacetic acid



Scheme 2. Reagents and conditions: (a) CF₃COCF₃, Na₂HPO₄, H₂O₂, 0 °C, 53%; (b) diallylamino-2-allyloxy-5-bromobenzene/Mg, THF, Cul, 0 °C, 85%; (c) Pd(PPh₃)₄, *N.N*-dimethylbarbituric acid, CH₂Cl₂, 40 °C, 69%; (d) **14a**: s-triazine, toluene, reflux, 57%; **14b**: triethyl orthoacetate, DMF, 100 °C, 73%; **14c**: triethyl orthopropionate, DMF, 100 °C, 69%; **14d**: 2,2,2-trifluoroacetimidic acid methyl ester, CHCl₃, rt, then toluene, reflux, 67%; (e) 1-propynylmagnesium bromide, THF, rt, 80–87%; (f) TFA, CH₂Cl₂, H₂O, 0 °C, 70–83%.



Scheme 3. Reagents and conditions: (a) allylamine, *p*-TsOH, benzene, reflux; (b) allyl bromide, CH₂Cl₂, rt; (c) KCN, MeOH, rt; (d) 1-propynylmagnesium bromide, THF, rt, 25% over 4 steps; (e) Pd(PPh₃)₄, *N*,*N*'-dimethylbarbituric acid, CH₂Cl₂, 35 °C, 84%; (f) cyanomethyl formate, CH₂Cl₂, rt, 75%; (g) CF₃COCF₃, Na₂HPO₄, H₂O₂, 0 °C, 90%.



Scheme 4. Reagents and conditions: (a) diallylamino-2-allyloxy-5-bromobenzene/Mg, THF, Cul, 0 °C, 71%; (b) Pd(PPh₃)₄, *N*,*N*-dimethylbarbituric acid, CH₂Cl₂, 40 °C, 77%; (c) 24a: s-triazine, toluene, reflux, 79%; 24b: 2,2,2-trifluoroacetimidic acid methyl ester, CHCl₃, rt, then toluene, reflux, 77%; (d) TFA, CH₂Cl₂, H₂O, 0 °C, 92–98%.

(TFA) furnished the title compounds **5a-d** in 56–72% yields over two steps.

The synthesis of 17β-formamido analogs **6a,b** was investigated next. Attempts to convert the 17-keto group of intermediate 12 or **14** to the 17α -propynyl- 17β -formamido²⁶ functionalities were not successful. Therefore, an alternative synthetic strategy, outlined in Schemes 3 and 4, was pursued, in which the 17-substituents were introduced first. Reaction of dieneketal 10 with allylamine followed by excess allyl bromide afforded the iminium salt 17 (Scheme 3). Addition of potassium cvanide to the iminium moiety followed by substitution with 1-propynylmagesium bromide under Bruylants reaction conditions³⁴ provided the 17β-diallylamino-17 α -propynyl derivative **18** in 25% yield over four steps. Pd(0)-catalyzed deprotection of the ally groups afforded amine 19 in 84% yield. Formylation of **19** with cyanomethyl formate³⁵ followed by epoxidation gave the key epoxide 21 in 67% yield. Following a similar procedure analogous to that for preparing **5a–d**, CuI-catalyzed addition of the Grignard reagent, generated from diallyamino-2allyloxy-5-bromobenzene, to 21 afforded the Grignard adduct 22 in 71% yield (Scheme 4). Ally groups deprotection using N,N'-dimethylbarbituric acid and catalytic Pd(PPh₃)₄ gave aminophenol 23 in 77% yield. Oxazole ring formation with *s*-triazine or 2,2,2-trifluoroacetimidic acid methyl ester gave **24a,b** in 79% and 77% yield, respectively. Finally, deketalization and dehydration with TFA provided 17β-formamido analogs **6a,b** in 92–98% yields. All synthesized steroids **5a–d** and **6a,b** were \geq 98% pure as determined by HPLC analyses. The ¹H NMR and HRMS analyses of the compounds were in agreement with the assigned structures.³⁶

Compounds **5a–d** and **6a,b** were evaluated for GR antagonist activity based on the ability to inhibit dexamethasone-induced transcription from a glucocorticoid response element (GRE)-linked luciferase reporter gene in the human lung carcinoma cell line A549.³⁷ Their PR antagonist activity was tested on the ability to block progesterone induction of alkaline phosphatase activity in the human breast cancer cell line T47D.³⁷ The IC₅₀ values of the tested compounds were determined using regression analysis of the luminescent data and are listed in Table 1. The ratio of the T47D IC₅₀ to the A549 IC₅₀ was also calculated as a measure of the separation of GR and PR antagonism. The commercial drug mifepristone was tested as a control. Compounds **5a–d** are potent GR antagonists with similar or better selectivity, though less potent, than mifepristone. The best selectivity (fivefold) in differentiating GR

Table 1

Antihormonal properties of 11β-benzoxazole-substituted steroids



| Compound | R | A549 (GR) and T47D (PR) activity | | |
|---------------------------|-----------------|----------------------------------|----------------------------|---------------|
| | | A549 IC ₅₀ (nM) | T47D IC ₅₀ (nM) | Ratio (PR/GR) |
| Mifepristone ^a | | 1.6 | 1.4 | 0.9 |
| 5a | Н | 45 | 101 | 2.2 |
| 5b | CH_3 | 23 | 35 | 1.5 |
| 5c | C_2H_5 | 13 | 65 | 5.0 |
| 5d | CF ₃ | 61 | 85 | 1.4 |
| 6a | Н | 60 | 41 | 0.7 |
| 6b | CF ₃ | 142 | 46 | 0.3 |

^a Data taken from Ref. 37.

from PR was realized when R = Et(5c). Compound 5c is also the most potent compound in the series at GR with an IC₅₀ value of 13 nM. It is interesting to note that the 17β-amido analogs **6a,b** are slightly more selective than mifepristone toward PR.

In summary, a series of novel 11β -benzoxazole-substituted 17β -hydroxy- or 17β -formamido-steroids were synthesized and evaluated for their antihormonal properties at GR and PR. Although all the compounds in this series were less potent than mifepristone, representative compound demonstrated a better selectivity for GR versus PR. Further modification of **5c** is underway to gain additional SAR information for potent and selective GR antagonist.

Acknowledgment

This research was supported by the R. W. Johnson Pharmaceutical Research Institute.

References and notes

- Mangelsdorf, D. J.; Thummel, C.; Beato, M.; Herrlich, P.; Schütz, G.; Umesono, K.; Blumberg, B.; Kastner, P.; Mark, M.; Chambon, P.; Evans, R. M. Cell 1995, 83, 835.
- 2. Egea, P. F.; Klaholz, B. P.; Moras, D. FEBS Lett. 2000, 476, 62.
- DeRijik, R. H.; Sternberg, E. M.; deKloet, E. R. Curr. Opin. Endocrinol. Diabetes 1997. 4, 185.
- 4. Brown, E. S. Ann. N.Y. Acad. Sci. 2009, 1179, 41.

- Gettys, T. W.; Watson, P. M.; Taylor, I. L.; Collins, S. Int. J. Obes. Relat. Metab. Disord. 1997, 21, 865.
- Southren, A. L.; Wandel, T.; Gordon, G. G.; Weinstein, B. I. J. Ocul. Pharmacol. 1994, 10, 385.
- Nussinovitch, U.; de Carvalho, J. F.; Pereira, R. M.; Shoenfeld, Y. Curr. Pharm. Des. 2010, 16, 3574.
- Chu, J. W.; Matthias, D. F.; Belanoff, J.; Schatzberg, A.; Hoffman, A. R.; Feldman, D. J. Clin. Endocrinol. Metab. 2001, 86, 3568.
- 9. Teutsch, G.; Philibert, D. Hum. Reprod. 1994, 9, 12.
- 10. Im, A.; Appleman, L. J. Expert Opin. Pharmacother. 2010, 11, 481.
- 11. Clark, R. D. Curr. Top. Med. Chem. 2008, 8, 813. and references therein.
- 12. Carson-Jurica, M. A.; Schrader, W. T.; O'Malley, B. W. Endocr. Rev. 1990, 11, 201.
- Kauppi, B.; Jakob, C.; Färnegårdh, M.; Yang, J.; Ahola, H.; Alarcon, M.; Calles, K.; Engström, O.; Harlan, J.; Muchmore, S.; Ramqvist, A. K.; Thorell, S.; Ohman, L.; Greer, J.; Gustafsson, J. A.; Carlstedt-Duke, J.; Carlquist, M. J. Biol. Chem. 2003, 278, 22748.
- 14. Raaijmakers, H. C.; Versteegh, J. E.; Uitdehaag, J. C. J. Biol. Chem. 2009, 284, 19572.
- 15. Wehle, H.; Moll, J.; Cato, A. C. B. Steroids 1995, 60, 368.
- Gebhard, R.; Van der Voort, H.; Schuts, W.; Schoonen, W. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1997, 7, 2229.
- Wagner, B. L.; Pollio, G.; Giangrande, P.; Webster, J. C.; Breslin, M.; Mais, D. E.; Cook, C. E.; Vedeckis, W. V.; Cidlowski, J. A.; McDonnell, D. P. *Endocrinology* 1999, 140, 1449.
- Fuhrmann, U.; Hess-Stumpp, H.; Cleve, A.; Neef, G.; Schwede, W.; Hoffmann, J.; Fritzemeier, K. H.; Chwalisz, K. J. Med. Chem. 2000, 43, 5010.
- 19. Cook, C. E.; Raje, P.; Lee, D. Y. -W.; Kepler, J. A. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 101.
- Jiang, W. O.; Allan, G.; Fiordeliso, J. J.; Linton, O.; Tannenbaum, P.; Xu, J.; Zhu, P. F.; Gunnet, J.; Demarest, K.; Lundeen, S.; Sui, Z. H. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* 2006, 14, 6726.
- Jiang, W. Q.; Allan, G.; Chen, X.; Fiordeliso, J. J.; Linton, O.; Tannenbaum, P.; Xu, J.; Zhu, P. F.; Gunnet, J.; Demarest, K.; Lundeen, S.; Sui, Z. H. *Steroids* **2006**, *71*, 949.
- Jiang, W. Q.; Fiordeliso, J. J.; Allan, G.; Linton, O.; Tannenbaum, P.; Xu, J.; Zhu, P. F.; Gunnet, J.; Demarest, K.; Lundeen, S.; Sui, Z. H. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2007, 17, 1471.
- 23. Jin, C.; Burgess, J. P.; Kepler, J. A.; Cook, C. E. Org. Lett. 2007, 9, 1887.
- Jin, C.; Manikumar, G.; Kepler, J. A.; Cook, C. E.; Allan, G. F.; Kiddoe, M.; Bhattacharjee, S.; Linton, O.; Lundeen, S. G.; Sui, Z. H. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* 2007, 17, 5754.
- Cook, C. E.; Kepler, J. A.; Shetty, R. S.; Bartley, G. S.; Lee, D. Y. -W. U.S. Patent 5,962,444, 1999.
- 26. Cook, C. E.; Kepler, J. A.; Bartley, G. S. U.S. Patent 6,262,042, 2001.
- 27. Lima, L. M.; Barreiro, E. J. Curr. Med. Chem. 2005, 12, 23.
- Teutsch, G.; Klich, M.; Bouchoux, F.; Cerede, E.; Philibert, D. Steroids 1994, 59, 22.
- 29. Garro-Helion, F.; Merzouk, A.; GuibB', F. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 6109.
- 30. Grundmann, C.; Kreutzberger, A. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1955, 77, 6559.
- 31. Meyers, A. I.; Yamamoto, Y. Tetrahedron **1984**, 40, 2309
- 32. Brown, H. C.; Wetzel, C. R. J. Org. Chem. 1965, 30, 3724.
- Braz, G. I.; Myasnikova, G. V.; Yakubovich, A. Y.; Bazov, V. P.; Kardash, I. E.; Pravednikov, A. N. Chem. Heterocycl. Compd. 1967, 3, 158.
- 34. Beaufort-Droal, V.; Pereira, E.; Thery, V.; Aitcen, D. J. *Tetrahedron* **2006**, *62*, 11948
- 35. Deutsch. I.: Niclas. H.-I. Svnth. Commun. 1993. 23, 1561.
- The stereochemistry of 5a-d and 6a,b was assigned based on comparison of their NMR spectra with those of reported similar compounds (References 23,26,28).
- Kang, F. A.; Allan, G.; Guan, J. H.; Nareshkumar, J.; Linton, O.; Tannenbaum, P.; Xu, J.; Zhu, P. F.; Gunnet, J.; Chen, X.; Demarest, K.; Lundeen, S.; Sui, Z. H. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2007**, *17*, 907.