

Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2014, 4, 62215

Synthesis and characterization of TiO₂ nanotube supported Rh-nanoparticle catalysts for regioselective hydroformylation of vinyl acetate†

Yukun Shi, Xiaojing Hu, Baolin Zhu, Shurong Wang, Shoumin Zhang and Weiping Huang*

Three procedures: the impregnation-borohydride reduction procedure, the impregnation-alcohol reduction procedure and the impregnation-photoreducing procedure, were utilized for preparing TiO₂ nanotube supported rhodium nanoparticle catalysts, in which rhodium acetate was used as a rhodium source. Catalysts were characterized with TEM, ICP, XPS and XRD; their catalytic performances for hydroformylation of vinyl acetate were evaluated. Of these catalysts prepared by three different methods, the catalyst prepared by the impregnation-photoreducing procedure showed the highest catalytic activity under the same reaction conditions. The effects of pressure of syngas, solvents, temperature, Rh content and reaction time on the hydroformylation were examined in detail. Under the optimized reaction conditions, the conversion of vinyl acetate can reach at 100%; the chemoselectivity for aldehydes was 74.70% and the regioselectivity for the branched aldehyde was 95%.

Received 25th September 2014

Accepted 7th November 2014

DOI: 10.1039/c4ra11156g

www.rsc.org/advances

1. Introduction

There are several methods for preparing aldehydes, but the dominant technology is catalytic hydroformylation, which is an elegant method of preparing a wide range of aldehydes in a single step by the reaction of alkenes, CO and H₂ with high selectivity.¹ The reaction was first discovered by Otto Roelen in 1938 during investigations on the formation of oxygenated products in cobalt-catalyzed Fisher–Tropsch reactions. Several million tons of aldehyde, world wide, are produced annually *via* the hydroformylation reaction, most of which are reduced to alcohols or oxidized to carboxylic acids. However, regioselective hydroformylation of functionalized alkenes, *e.g.* vinyl acetate, is still a challenging topic and has drawn great attention.^{2–6} With the regioselective hydroformylation of functionalized alkenes, target products having functional groups at different positions can be synthesized.^{7,8} For example, the hydroformylation of vinyl acetate provides bifunctional products (Scheme 1), which can be further converted into synthetically useful compounds, *e.g.* lactic acid and so on. To date, though there are few reports concerning hydroformylation of functionalized alkenes with

high regioselectivity carried out under mild conditions, a lot of effort has been made to increase the regioselectivity with high reaction rate in the past decades.^{3,9} The key to achieve high regioselectivity for target product is to create a novel catalyst.

Some transition metal-based catalysts involving Rh, Ir, Pd, Co and Ru have been widely used for the hydroformylation reaction.^{10–13} Due to the lower reaction temperature and pressure, Rh-based catalysts are usually preferred for hydroformylation reaction. Nanoscale Rh particles have been holding people's interests¹⁴ for their novel electronic, magnetic, optical and catalytic properties.^{15–20} Their enhanced performances, especially for size-dependent catalytic properties, result from their size or shape and high surface-to-volume ratio.²¹ However, pristine Rh nanoparticles are thermally unstable and tend to agglomerate in solution when heated. In order to stabilize the Rh nanoparticles, polymers and surfactants are often used.^{22–26} However, Sayed's group and Korgel's group have reported that surfactants or capping ligands used in the synthesis of metallic nanoparticles can diminish the catalytic activity of the produced metallic nanocatalysts. Somorjai and co-workers have also reported that poly(vinylpyrrolidone) (PVP), the most widely used capping agent in size-tunable and shaped nanoparticles synthesis, interacted strongly with Rh nanoparticles resulting in a significant capping agent effect.^{27–29} Though Rh nanoparticles can be synthesized by using capping agents and subsequently deposited on the high-surface-area solid support, completely removing the capping agents to expose the catalytically “clean” metal surfaces is very difficult. Therefore, developing simple, rapid and surfactant-free approaches for synthesizing Rh

Collaborative Innovation Center of Chemical Science and Engineering (Tianjin), The Key Laboratory of Advanced Energy Materials Chemistry (Ministry of Education), Tianjin Key Lab of Metal and Molecule-based Material Chemistry and College of Chemistry, Nankai University, Tianjin 300071, China. E-mail: hwp914@nankai.edu.cn; Fax: +86-22-23502669; Tel: +86-22-23502996

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: 10.1039/c4ra11156g




Fig. 1 EDX and XPS of Rh in Rh/TNTs-A (A), Rh/TNTs-B (B) and Rh/TNTs-C (C).

2.4 Evaluation of catalytic performance of TNTs supported Rh-nanoparticle catalysts for hydroformylation

The catalytic hydroformylation reaction was carried out in a 250 mL stainless steel autoclave reactor equipped with a magnetic stirrer. In a typical experiment, the required amount of catalyst, substrate and solvent were added into the reactor. The reactor was sealed and purged three times with hydrogen, and

subsequently pressurized to the desired pressure of H₂ and CO. The reactor was heated up to reaction temperature and kept for desired time under stirring and at the controlled reaction temperature. After desired reaction time, the stirring was stopped, and the reactor was cooled down to room temperature, depressurized. The reaction mixtures were withdrawn for GC analysis (Shimadzu GC-2014 gas chromatograph equipped with a 30 m × 0.53 mm SE-30 capillary column and a FID).

Table 1 Activity and selectivity of Rh/TNTs prepared via different preparation approaches^a

Entry	Catalyst	Rh content (wt%)			Conversion (%)	Aldehyde (%)	<i>b</i> : <i>l</i> ^b
		ICP	EDX	XPS ^c (Rh ⁰)			
1	Rh/TNTs-A	0.19	0.79	85.94	22.98	68.91	>99 : 1
2	Rh/TNTs-B	0.18	0.25	71.21	72.33	74.99	97 : 3
3	Rh-TNTs-C	0.18	0.28	87.35	99.37	72.90	98 : 2

^a Reaction conditions: vinyl acetate = 5 mL, sub/Rh = 8000, catalyst = 0.40 g (7.0×10^{-3} mmol Rh), temp. = 100 °C, syngas pressure (CO/H₂ = 1) = 4.0 MPa, solvent (toluene) = 65 mL, and reaction time = 8 h. ^b *b* : *l* is 2-acetoxy propanal : 3-acetoxy propanal. ^c The ratios of Rh⁰ to total Rh in samples.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Characterization of Rh/TNTs

First of all, the content and chemical state of the rhodium on the nanotubes were studied by ICP, EDX and XPS. EDX detection (Fig. 1) shows clearly that there are peaks of Rh, which confirms the presence of rhodium on the surface of TNTs. The EDX shows that the contents of Rh on the surfaces of Rh/TNTs-

A, Rh/TNTs-B and Rh/TNTs-C are 0.79, 0.25 and 0.28 wt%, respectively, which are all higher than ICP results (Table 1). ICP and EDX results exhibit clearly Rh enrichment on the surfaces of samples prepared via different procedures, which is favorable for utilizing Rh effectively and catalytic reaction.

Also displayed in Fig. 1 are Rh 3d XPS spectrums of Rh/TNTs-A, Rh/TNTs-B and Rh/TNTs-C. Binding energies of 307.2 and 312.0 eV are attributed to the Rh 3d_{5/2} and Rh 3d_{3/2}, respectively, which are consistent with the literature data of Rh 3d_{5/2} and Rh 3d_{3/2} for the metallic Rh.³⁹ The peaks centered at 309.3 eV and 314.1 eV, which are also attributed to the Rh 3d_{5/2} and Rh 3d_{3/2} species, clearly show the existence of Rh in oxidation state. It is well known that the low valent Rh is the active center for catalytic hydroformylation. The ratios of Rh⁰ to total Rh species in samples are calculated from the areas of the fitted Gaussian peaks and listed in Table 1.

The formation of Rh⁰ in the photoreducing procedure can be illustrated as eqn (1) and (2).^{35,36} TNTs absorb UV light and electron-hole pairs form Rh²⁺ ions capture the electrons from the conduction band of excited TiO₂, and, as a consequence, Rh²⁺ ions become the metallic Rh.






Fig. 2 The TEM images of the as-synthesized Rh/TNTs-A (A), Rh/TNTs-B (B), and Rh/TNTs-C (C).




Fig. 3 XRD patterns of Rh/TNTs-A (A), Rh/TNTs-B (B) and Rh/TNTs-C (C).

Table 2 Effect of Rh loading in Rh/TNTs-C on hydroformylation of vinyl acetate^a

Entry	Rh content (wt%)	Conversion (%)	TOF ^b (h ⁻¹)	Aldehyde (%)	<i>b</i> : <i>l</i> ^c
1	0.07 (2.7 × 10 ⁻³ mmol)	30.33	2080	83.62	>99 : 1
2	0.09 (3.5 × 10 ⁻³ mmol)	39.74	2120	74.74	>99 : 1
3	0.18 (7.0 × 10 ⁻³ mmol)	100	2670	74.70	95 : 5

^a Reaction conditions: vinyl acetate = 5 mL, Rh/TNTs-C = 0.40 g, temp. = 100 °C, syngas pressure (CO/H₂ = 1) = 6.0 MPa, solvent (toluene) = 65 mL, and reaction time = 3 h. ^b TOF = number of moles of product formed/(number of moles of Rh × h). ^c *b* : *l* is 2-acetoxy propanal : 3-acetoxy propanal.

The TEM images of the as-synthesized catalysts are shown in Fig. 2. As showed in Fig. 2, all of the catalysts take on perfect nanotubular morphology; their diameters are about 6 nm and lengths up to hundreds of nanometers. The Rh nanoparticles are well-dispersed on the surfaces of TNTs. By comparison with each other, the size of Rh particles in Rh/TNTs-A (Fig. 3-A) is the largest, the average size is about 3 nm. In the reducing process, the Rh atoms will be preferentially agglomerated on the just-formed Rh nuclei to generate multiple Rh islands on TNTs. This island growth mode can be attributed to the strong Rh–Rh interaction due to the high interatomic binding energy and surface free energy of Rh.⁴⁰ When the strength of reductant is weak, the reduced Rh atoms have enough time to diffuse to other regions of TNT rather than agglomerating on the just-formed Rh nuclei. In the case of photoreducing process, though photogenerated electrons, the photoreductant, are strong reductant, their amount is not large enough to reduce Rh²⁺ ions rapidly on a large scale, which results in that Rh atoms is homogeneously and instantaneously produced during the irradiation thus providing favorable conditions for the formation of nearly monodispersed particles.⁴¹ However, compared with the photoreducing process, the concentration of strong reductant is far higher in the KBH₄ reducing procedure, which reduces Rh²⁺ ions quickly and causes multiple Rh islands formation on the surfaces of TiO₂ nanotubes. Compared with KBH₄, ethanol is a weak reducing agent, and it is reasonable that Rh⁰ particles in Rh/TNTs-B are smaller than that in Rh/TNTs-A. It is now obvious that synthesizing size-tunable Rh⁰ particles can be achieved *via* the three methods, which is significant for preparing catalysts of size-dependent catalytic activity.

Fig. 3 shows the XRD patterns of Rh/TNTs-A, Rh/TNTs-B and Rh/TNTs-C. It can be seen from Fig. 3 that all of catalysts display the diffractions of anatase TiO₂ (JCPDS21-1272); the Rh/TNTs-C has more perfect anatase phase, which is the result of UV irradiation.³⁶ However, there is not any diffraction related to Rh⁰ particles due to the relatively low content and very small size of Rh particles.

3.2 Activity and selectivity of Rh/TNTs

To investigate the catalytic performances of Rh/TNTs-A, Rh/TNTs-B and Rh/TNTs-C, hydroformylation of vinyl acetate was chosen as a model reaction so that both catalytic activity and regioselectivity of catalysts could be evaluated in detail; the results are listed in Table 1. Of the three catalysts, though their

bulk Rh contents are almost identical, the Rh/TNTs-A has the lowest activity, which is a typical size-dependent catalytic property. As discussed above, the size of Rh⁰ particles in Rh/TNTs-A is the largest, which results in that the amount of catalytically Rh active species is small in spite of the fact that the percentage of Rh⁰ in Rh/TNTs-A is not the lowest. However, for both Rh/TNTs-B and Rh/TNTs-C, though the difference in Rh nanoparticle size is not obvious, Rh/TNTs-C shows higher activity than Rh/TNTs-B. The reasonable interpretation is that the Rh/TNTs-C has a higher percentage of Rh⁰, which would be more favorable for coordination of reactants to the metallic Rh, resulting in good activity. The leaching of transition-metal nanoparticle catalyst is frequent, especially for the catalytically active group VIII metals. Therefore, the Rh leaching of Rh/TNTs-C in hydroformylation of vinyl acetate was studied. The leaching of Rh in solution was detected by ICP-AES and the results indicated that the leaching of Rh was 1.013 ppm (0.071 mg), which is the 10 percent of Rh species in Rh/TNTs-C. According to the data of XPS, the ratio of Rh²⁺ to total Rh species in Rh/TNTs-C is 12.65%, indicating that the leaching of Rh species is merely the TNTs adsorbed Rh²⁺ and Rh nanoparticles on TNTs are stable in the hydroformylation. This result is in accordance with our previous work of Co–B/TNTs for hydroformylation of cyclohexene.³⁷

Shown in Table 2 are the catalytic performances of Rh/TNTs-C catalysts with various Rh loadings. It can be seen that increasing Rh loading can remarkably enhance the conversion of vinyl acetate and TOF, but the selectivity for aldehyde and regioselectivity decrease slightly with the increase of Rh loading from 0.07 wt% to 0.18 wt%. The optimum Rh loading should be about 0.18 wt%.

Table 3 Effect of reaction medium on Rh/TNTs-C catalyzed hydroformylation^a

Entry	Solvent	Conversion (%)	Aldehyde (%)	Acetal (%)	<i>b</i> : <i>l</i> ^b
1	Toluene	100	74.70	0	95 : 5
2	Isooctane	96.53	79.60	0	95 : 5
3	Tetrahydrofuran	33.94	77.90	0	95 : 5
4	Ethanol	78.96	26.51	69.69	95 : 5
5 ^c	—	19.50	74.81	0	99 : 1

^a Reaction conditions: vinyl acetate = 5 mL, sub/Rh = 8000, Rh/TNTs-C = 0.40 g (7.0 × 10⁻³ mmol Rh), temp. = 100 °C, syngas pressure (CO/H₂ = 1) = 6.0 MPa, solvent = 65 mL, and reaction time = 3 h. ^b *b* : *l* is 2-acetoxy propanal : 3-acetoxy propanal. ^c No solvent, vinyl acetate = 70 mL.

Table 4 Effects of temperature and syngas pressure on Rh/TNTs-C catalyzed hydroformylation^a

Entry	Pressure (MPa)	Temperature (°C)	Conversion (%)	TOF ^c (h ⁻¹)	Aldehyde (%)	<i>b</i> : 1 ^d
1	4.0	100	75.08	2000	77.84	99 : 1
2	5.0	100	88.89	2370	75.21	98 : 2
3	6.0	100	100	2670	74.70	95 : 5
4 ^b	6.0	60	66.87	890	91.49	>99 : 1
5 ^b	6.0	80	99.92	1330	86.23	97 : 3

^a Reaction conditions: vinyl acetate = 5 mL, sub/Rh = 8000, Rh/TNTs-C = 0.40 g (containing 7.0×10^{-3} mmol Rh), CO/H₂ = 1, solvent (toluene) = 65 mL, and reaction time = 3 h. ^b Reaction conditions: vinyl acetate = 5 mL, sub/Rh = 8000, Rh/TNTs-C = 0.40 g (containing 7.0×10^{-3} mmol Rh), syngas pressure (1 : 1), solvent (toluene) = 65 mL, and reaction time = 6 h. ^c TOF = number of moles of product formed/(number of moles of Rh × h). ^d *b* : 1 is 2-acetoxy propanal : 3-acetoxy propanal.

For the heterogeneous catalysis catalyzed liquid-phase hydroformylation reaction, the influence of reaction medium should be a crucial factor to consider. Listed in Table 3 are catalytic performances of Rh/TNTs-C in toluene, isooctane, tetrahydrofuran, ethanol and neat vinyl acetate without solvent. As the results showed, Rh/TNTs-C displays higher catalytic activity in solvents of weaker Lewis basicity (Table 3, entries 1 and 2) than in coordinative solvents (Table 3, entries 3 and 5); the regioselectivities are almost identical in two types of solvents. The results are very reasonable. It is well accepted that coordination of reactants to the catalytically active species is the first and a necessary step for catalytic hydroformylation, the catalytic activity, rather than selectivity, of catalyst is certainly affected when catalytically active centers is occupied by coordinative solvent. It is noteworthy that the conversion of vinyl acetate is 78.96%, but the main production is acetal and the selectivity for acetal is 69.69% when ethanol is used as solvent (Table 3, entry 4). For neat vinyl acetate without solvent in the reaction system (Table 3, entry 5), the conversion of vinyl acetate decreases to 19.50%, which is due to the increase of sub/Rh from 8×10^3 to 112×10^3 , and the selectivity for aldehyde is still maintained with good regioselectivity for 2-acetoxy propanal (2-acetoxy propanal : 3-acetoxy propanal is 99 : 1).

Besides reaction medium and Rh loading, the reaction temperature and pressure may also influence reaction rate and selectivity. The effects of reaction temperature and CO/H₂ pressure on the hydroformylation are examined in detail and the results are summarized in Table 4. In the pressure range of 4.0–6.0 MPa (Table 4, entries 1–3), the conversion of vinyl acetate increases with the increase of the syngas pressure, while the regioselectivities for 2-acetoxy propanal decreases slightly at the same reaction temperature. As showed in Table 4, the reaction temperature also affects conversion and regioselectivity of reaction. With the increase of temperature from 60 °C up to 100 °C, the conversion of vinyl acetate increases along with decrease in regioselectivity for 2-acetoxy propanal. At lower reaction temperature (60 °C), high selectivity for aldehyde is observed (91.49%), however the TOF of vinyl acetate is rather low (890 h⁻¹). At 100 °C, the conversion of vinyl acetate increases up to 100% with TOF increased to 2670 h⁻¹ and selectivity for aldehyde decreased to 74.70%.

Fig. 4 shows the variations of conversion, selectivity for aldehyde and 2-acetoxy propanal/3-acetoxy propanal ratio with

reaction time. It can be seen from the data in Fig. 4 that prolonging reaction time led to an increase in conversion. Before 1 h, the conversion of vinyl acetate increases quickly with prolonging reaction time; almost all of vinyl acetate is consumed after 2 h. The chemoselectivity for aldehyde and regioselectivity for 2-acetoxy propanal keep nearly unchanged in the catalytic process for 4 h, which means that the Rh/TNTs-C has high regioselectivity for 2-acetoxy propanal.

As discussed above, the high regioselectivity (2-acetoxy propanal : 3-acetoxy propanal > 95 : 5) is an unchanged result in Rh/TNTs catalyzed vinyl acetate hydroformylation.

3.3 Mechanism

On the basis of experimental results and reported literature^{1,2,42–44} on vinyl acetate hydroformylation, the proposed reaction procedures of vinyl acetate hydroformylation over Rh/TNTs catalysts is shown in Scheme 3. Firstly, the Rh particles turn into RhH_y(CO)_x/TNTs in the atmosphere of H₂ and CO. The catalytic cycle involves successively the coordination of the vinyl acetate, the formation of intermediate (I) or (II), and so on; furthermore, the formation of (I) or (II) dominantly decides the regioselectivity of the reaction for 2-acetoxy propanal and 3-acetoxy propanal. It is well understandable that the formation





Fig. 4 Variations of conversion, chemoselectivity and regioselectivity with reaction time.



Scheme 3 Proposed mechanism for Rh/TNTs catalyzed hydroformylation of vinyl acetate.

of (I) and (II) is related to the inductive effect and chelating effect of the ester carbonyl group, as well as the relative stability of (I) and (II). It has been reported that (I) with a five-membered ring is a more stable intermediate⁴⁵ and the next intermediate is a stable six-membered ring, which should be the reason that the dominant 2-acetoxy propanal : 3-acetoxy propanal ratio (>9 : 1) comes into being in the catalytic hydroformylation of vinyl acetate over Rh/TNTs.

4. Conclusion

(1) The TNTs supported Rh-nanoparticle catalysts prepared *via* three methods show the perfect nanotubular morphology, and Rh particles are well-dispersed on the surfaces of TNTs though their sizes are different.

(2) The size distribution of TNTs supported Rh nanoparticles is dependent on the reducing agent used, which plays the important role from the view of catalytic activity.

(3) The catalytic activities of these catalysts in solvents of weaker Lewis basicity are higher than that in coordinative solvents.

(4) Though all these catalysts display good catalytic performances and high regioselectivity for 2-acetoxy propanal in the hydroformylation reaction of vinyl acetate, Rh/TNTs-C catalyst prepared by impregnation-photoreducing procedure shows the highest catalytic activity.

Acknowledgements

This work is supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (21373120, 21301098, 21071086 and 21271110), the Applied Basic Research Programs of Science and Technology Commission Foundation of Tianjin (13JCQNJC02000 and 12JCYBJC13100) and project IRT13022, B12015.

References

- 1 A. A. Dabbawala, H. C. Bajaj, G. V. S. Rao and S. H. R. Abdi, *Appl. Catal.*, A, 2012, **419–420**, 185.
- 2 A. A. Dabbawala, R. V. Jasra and H. C. Bajaj, *Catal. Commun.*, 2010, **11**, 616.
- 3 D. B. G. Williams, M. Ajam and A. Ranwell, *Organometallics*, 2007, **26**, 4692.
- 4 G. M. Noonan, D. Newton, C. J. Cobley, A. Suárez, A. Pizzano and M. L. Clarke, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2010, **352**, 1047.
- 5 C. X. Cai, S. C. Yu, B. N. Cao and X. M. Zhang, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2012, **18**, 9992.
- 6 D. Selent, R. Franke, C. Kubis, A. Spannenberg, W. Baumann, B. Kreidler and A. Börner, *Organometallics*, 2011, **30**, 4509.
- 7 S. Yu, Y. Chie and X. Zhang, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2009, **351**, 537.

- 8 O. Saidi, J. Ruan, D. Vinci, X. Wu and J. Xiao, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2008, **49**, 3516.
- 9 N. Sudheesh, A. K. Chaturvedi and R. S. Shukla, *Appl. Catal., A*, 2011, **409–410**, 99.
- 10 R. Jennerjahn, I. Piras, R. Jackstell, R. Franke, K. Wiese and M. Beller, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2009, **15**, 6383.
- 11 W. Alsalahi and A. M. Trzeciak, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 30384.
- 12 I. Piras, R. Jennerjahn, R. Jackstell, A. Spannenberg, R. Franke and M. Beller, *Angew. Chem.*, 2011, **123**, 294.
- 13 Y. Yuki, K. Takahashi, Y. Tanaka and K. Nozaki, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 17393.
- 14 C. Burda, X. Chen, R. Narayanan and M. A. El-Sayed, *Chem. Rev.*, 2005, **105**, 1025.
- 15 I. Nakamura, Y. Yamanoi, T. Imaoka, K. Yamamoto and H. Nishihara, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 5830.
- 16 A. Gniewek and A. M. Trzeciak, *Top. Catal.*, 2013, **56**, 1239.
- 17 X. Y. Quek, Y. J. Guan and E. J. M. Hensen, *Catal. Today*, 2012, **183**, 72.
- 18 T. Takanashi, M. Tamura, Y. Nakagawa and K. Tomishige, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 28664.
- 19 S. Agarwal and J. N. Ganguli, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 2013, **2**, 44.
- 20 S. Akbayrak, S. Gençtürk, İ. Morkan and S. Özkaz, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 13742.
- 21 J. A. Dahl, B. L. S. Maddux and J. E. Hutchison, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107**, 2228.
- 22 M. Boutros, G. Shirley, T. Onfroy and F. Launay, *Appl. Catal., A*, 2011, **394**, 158.
- 23 Z. Sun, Y. H. Wang, M. M. Niu, H. Q. Yi, J. Y. Jiang and Z. L. Jin, *Catal. Commun.*, 2012, **27**, 78.
- 24 B. R. Sathe, *RSC Adv.*, 2012, **2**, 3735.
- 25 R. Narayanan and M. A. El-Sayed, *Chim. Oggi*, 2007, **25**, 84.
- 26 C. A. Stowell and B. A. Korgel, *Nano Lett.*, 2005, **5**, 1203.
- 27 M. E. Grass, S. H. Joo, Y. W. Zhang and G. A. Somorjai, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2009, **113**, 8616.
- 28 Y. Borodko, S. E. Habas, M. Koebel, P. D. Yang, H. Frei and G. A. Somorjai, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2006, **110**, 23052.
- 29 Y. Borodko, S. M. Humphrey, T. D. Tilley, H. Frei and G. A. Somorjai, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2007, **111**, 6288.
- 30 D. F. Han, X. H. Li, H. D. Zhang, Z. M. Liu, G. S. Hu and C. Li, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 2008, **283**, 15.
- 31 D. F. Han, X. H. Li, H. D. Zhang, Z. M. Liu, J. Li and C. Li, *J. Catal.*, 2006, **243**, 318.
- 32 J. J. Song, B. L. Zhu, W. L. Zhao, X. J. Hu, Y. K. Shi and W. P. Huang, *J. Nanopart. Res.*, 2013, **15**, 1494.
- 33 H. Q. An, J. X. Li, J. Zhou, K. R. Li, B. L. Zhu and W. P. Huang, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2010, **20**, 603.
- 34 H. Q. An, B. L. Zhu, J. X. Li, J. Zhou, S. R. Wang, S. M. Zhang, S. H. Wu and W. P. Huang, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2008, **112**, 18772.
- 35 H. Q. An, J. Zhou, J. X. Li, B. L. Zhu, S. R. Wang, S. M. Zhang, S. H. Wu and W. P. Huang, *Catal. Commun.*, 2009, **11**, 175.
- 36 B. L. Zhu, K. R. Li, J. Zhou, S. R. Wang, S. M. Zhang, S. H. Wu and W. P. Huang, *Catal. Commun.*, 2008, **9**, 2323.
- 37 X. J. Hu, Y. K. Shi, Y. J. Zhang, B. L. Zhu, S. M. Zhang and W. P. Huang, *Catal. Commun.*, 2015, **59**, 45.
- 38 N. Liu, X. Y. Chen, J. L. Zhang and J. W. Schwanka, *Catal. Today*, 2014, **225**, 34.
- 39 G. Pótári, D. Madarász, L. Nagy, B. László, A. Sápi, A. Oszkó, A. Kukovecz, A. Erdőhelyi, Z. Kónya and J. Kiss, *Langmuir*, 2013, **29**, 3061.
- 40 S. F. Xie, H. C. Peng, N. Lu, J. G. Wang, M. J. Kim, Z. X. Xie and Y. N. Xia, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 16658.
- 41 A. Roucoux, J. Schulz and H. Patin, *Chem. Rev.*, 2002, **102**, 3757.
- 42 N. Sivasankar and H. Frei, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2011, **115**, 7545.
- 43 S. S. C. Chuang and F. Guzman, *Top. Catal.*, 2009, **52**, 1448.
- 44 Y. Zhang, K. Nagasaka, X. Q. Qiu and N. Tsubaki, *Appl. Catal., A*, 2004, **276**, 103.
- 45 Y. L. Borole and R. V. Chaudhari, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2005, **44**, 9601.