# Synthesis and Coordination Chemistry of the New Unsymmetrical Ligand Ph<sub>2</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>NHC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>

# Qingzhi Zhang,<sup>[a]</sup> Stephen M. Aucott,<sup>[a]</sup> Alexandra M. Z. Slawin,<sup>[a]</sup> and J. Derek Woollins<sup>\*[a]</sup>

Keywords: Unsymmetrical ligands / Phosphanes / Chelates / Monodentate complexes / Heterometallic complexes

Condensation of Ph<sub>2</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>OH with 2-(diphenylphosphanyl)aniline in toluene gave the new unsymmetrical ligand  $Ph_2PCH_2NHC_6H_4PPh_2$  (1) in good yield. Oxidation of 1 with H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> or elemental sulfur led to the oxidised products  $Ph_2P(O)CH_2NHC_6H_4P(O)Ph_2$  (2) and  $Ph_2P(S)CH_2NHC_6H_4\text{-}$  $P(S)Ph_2$  (3). The new ligand 1 demonstrates three distinct modes of coordination. Reaction of compound 1 with  $[MX_2(cod)]$  (M = Pd, Pt; X = Cl, Br, Me) or  $[Mo(CO)_4(pip)_2]$ gave the chelate complexes [PdCl<sub>2</sub>(Ph<sub>2</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>NHC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>- $[PdBr_2(Ph_2PCH_2NHC_6H_4PPh_2-P_X,P_A)]$  $P_{X_{I}}P_{A})$ ] (4), (5),  $[PtCl_2(Ph_2PCH_2NHC_6H_4PPh_2-P_{X_1}P_A)]$  (6),  $[PtMe_2(Ph_2PCH_2-P_{X_2}P_A)]$  $NHC_6H_4PPh_2-P_X,P_A)$ (7) and [Mo(CO)<sub>4</sub>(Ph<sub>2</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>- $NHC_6H_4PPh_2-P_{X_1}P_A)$ ] (8). Coordination of 1 with [{RuCl(µ- $Cl)(\eta^3\!:\!\eta^3\!-\!C_{10}H_{16})\}_2]$  or  $[\{RhCl(\mu\text{-}Cl)(\eta^5\!-\!C_5Me_5)\}_2]$  gave the monodentate complexes  $[RuCl_2(\eta^3:\eta^3-C_{10}H_{16})(Ph_2 PCH_2NHC_6H_4PPh_2-P_X)$ ] (9) and  $[RhCl_2(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)(Ph_2PCH_2-P_3)]$ NHC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>- $P_X$ )] (10). Reaction of 1 with [{IrCl( $\mu$ -Cl)( $\eta$ <sup>5</sup>- $C_5Me_5$ ]<sub>2</sub> led to a mixture from which the monodentate com-

## Introduction

Chemically symmetrical bisphosphane ligands have been intensively investigated in all aspects of inorganic and organometallic chemistry, and Ph2PCH2PPh2 [bis(diphenylphosphanyl)methane (dppm)], Ph<sub>2</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub> [bis(diphenylphosphanyl)ethane (dppe)], and its -(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>n</sub>- backboned homologues, the aromatic Ph<sub>2</sub>PC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub> [1,2-phenylenebis(diphenylphosphane)] and the ferrocene backboned 1,1'-bis(diphenylphosphanyl)ferrocene are all well-known examples of this class of ligand.<sup>[1-9]</sup> Unsymmetric bisphosphanes, with the notable exception of chiral aminophosphane-phosphinites,<sup>[10]</sup> have received relatively little attention (for recent examples see refs.<sup>[11-15]</sup>). We recently described the synthesis of Ph<sub>2</sub>PC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>NHPPh<sub>2</sub> an unsymmetrical diphosphane derived from 2-(diphenylphosphanyl)aniline<sup>[16]</sup> in which the two phosphorus atoms demonstrated different coordination activities.<sup>[17,18]</sup> Herein we report another unsymmetrical diphosphane Ph<sub>2</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>NHC<sub>6</sub>- $H_4PPh_2$  which has an additional methylene spacer group plex  $[IrCl_2(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)(Ph_2PCH_2NHC_6H_4PPh_2-P_X)]$  (11) and cationic complex  $[IrCl(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)(Ph_2$ the chelate  $PCH_2NHC_6H_4PPh_2-P_{X_1}P_A)$  [Cl] (12) were separated. Abstraction of the chloride ligands from complexes 9 and 10 with AgClO<sub>4</sub> gave the cationic chelate complexes [RuCl( $\eta^3$ : $\eta^3$ - $C_{10}H_{16}$ )(Ph<sub>2</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>NHC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>- $P_{X}P_A$ )][ClO<sub>4</sub>] (13) and  $[RhCl(\eta^{5}-C_{5}Me_{5})(Ph_{2}PCH_{2}NHC_{6}H_{4}PPh_{2}-P_{X},P_{A}][ClO_{4}]$ (14). Compound 1 also functions as a bridging ligand when reacted with two molar equivalents of [AuCl(tht)] or one molar equivalent of  $[{RhCl(\mu-Cl)(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)}_2]$  to give the bimetallic complexes  $[Ph_2P{AuCl}CH_2NHC_6H_4PPh_2{AuCl}]$  (15) and  $[{RhCl_2(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)}_2(Ph_2PCH_2NHC_6H_4PPh_2)]$  (16). The dioxidised compounds 2 and 3 and several typical complexes 8, 9, 11 and 14 were structurally characterised by X-ray diffraction.

(© Wiley-VCH Verlag GmbH, 69451 Weinheim, Germany, 2002)

between the NH and the PPh<sub>2</sub> moieties giving the ligand a flexible PCNC<sub>2</sub>P spine. Other rare literature examples PCNC<sub>2</sub>P backboned ligand systems include of diphenyl[3-(2-diphenylphosphanylphenylsydonyl)phosphane<sup>[19]</sup> A, the substituted quinazolinone ligand  $B^{[20]}$ and the bis(diphenylphosphanyl)- and bis(dicyclohexylphosphanyl)pyrrole-derived diphosphanes C and  $D^{[21]}$ (Scheme 1). The synthesis of Ph2PCH2NHC6H4PPh2 and its reactivity towards a variety of late transition metals are described. The products from these reactions have been characterised principally by multi-element NMR spectroscopy and X-ray crystallography.

#### **Results and Discussion**

#### Preparation and Characterisation of the Ligand

The condensation of equimolar quantities of diphenylphosphanylmethanol and 2-(diphenylphosphanyl)aniline in toluene at 90–95 °C gave the unsymmetrical bisphosphane Ph<sub>2</sub>PC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>NHCH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub> (1) in excellent yield (84.4%) (Scheme 2). The white solid product was stable to moisture and air. The <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum of 1 in CDCl<sub>3</sub> shows two distinct singlets at  $\delta_P = -18.77$  and  $\delta_P = -22.30$ . Although it is not easy to assign the signals in reference to

 <sup>[</sup>a] Department of Chemistry, University of St Andrews, Fife, Scotland KY16 9ST, UK Fax: (internat.) + 44-1334/463-384 E-mail: jdw3@st-and.ac.uk



Scheme 1. Examples of PCNC<sub>2</sub>P backboned ligands

the starting reactant 2-(diphenylphosphanyl)aniline<sup>[16]</sup> (17) or the previously reported Ph<sub>2</sub>PNHC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub> <sup>[17]</sup> (18) (Scheme 2, Table 1), the resonance at  $\delta_P = -18.77$  was assigned to the phosphorus (P<sub>X</sub>) of the NHCH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub> group and the other signal at  $\delta_P = -22.30$  to the triarylphosphane moiety (P<sub>A</sub>) by comparing the <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectra of its monodentate complexes 9–11, which will be discussed below. These assignments are also in accordance with those in other reported molecules (19–22)<sup>[22–25]</sup> shown below (Scheme 3, Table 1).



Scheme 2. Preparation of ligand 11

Table 1.  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$  NMR chemical shifts of 1, and related compounds 17-22

$\begin{array}{c} \qquad \qquad$			Chemic $\delta(P_X)^{[a]}$	al shifts $\delta(P_A)^{[a]}$	
1 17 <sup>[b]</sup> 18 <sup>[b]</sup> 19 <sup>[b]</sup>	-18.77 - 29.6 -18.16	-22.30 -19.75 -19.5 -	20 <sup>[b]</sup> 21 <sup>[b]</sup> 22 <sup>[b]</sup>	-16.8 -17.9 -	

 $^{[a]}$  P<sub>X</sub> is the phosphorus of either NHCH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub> or NHPPh<sub>2</sub> groups and P<sub>A</sub> is the phosphorus of triarylphosphane.  $^{[b]}$  Literature compounds.

In the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum, the resonances of the aromatic protons are found between  $\delta_{\rm H} = 7.40$  and 6.64. The NH proton appears as a broad singlet at  $\delta_{\rm H} = 4.97$ . The triplet at  $\delta_{\rm H} = 3.83$  was assigned to the methylene group, with equal couplings ( ${}^{3}J_{\rm P,CH} = {}^{3}J_{\rm CH,NH} = 5$  Hz). The infrared spectrum of 1 shows v<sub>NH</sub> at 3345 cm<sup>-1</sup>. Attempts to grow crystals of 1 suitable for X-ray analysis were not successful. However, the structures of its oxidised counterparts 2 (Figure 1, Table 2) and 3 (Figure 2, Table 2) were obtained. Compound 2 was prepared by the oxidation of 1 with  $H_2O_2$ and compound 3 by oxidation of 1 with elemental sulfur.

Compounds 2 and 3, like their parent compound 1, gave good microanalysis and the expected FAB-MS spectral patterns. The <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum of **2** (in  $CD_2Cl_2$ ) exhibits two singlets at higher frequency than those of 1. The singlet at  $\delta_{\rm P} = 36.38$  was assigned to the triarylphosphane  $(P_A)$  and that at  $\delta_P = 28.58$  to the phosphorus  $(P_X)$  of the NHCH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub> moiety by comparison with the signals of compounds 23 and 24 (Scheme 4, Table 3). The two singlets of compound 3 at  $\delta_P = 39.35$  and 38.46 in CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> were too close to be assigned unambiguously, but we have assigned the singlet at  $\delta_{\rm P} = 39.35$  to the triarylthiophosphinoyl (P<sub>A</sub>) and that at  $\delta_P = 38.46$  to the NHCH<sub>2</sub>P(S)Ph<sub>2</sub> moiety  $(P_x)$  by comparing the signals with those of compounds 25 and 26 (Scheme 4, Table 3). The synthesis and complex formation of compounds 23-26 in Scheme 4 will be reported elsewhere.

The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of **2** and **3** show similar aromatic proton signals to that of **1**. However, the NH resonance in **2** was obscured by the aromatic proton resonances; the <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY spectrum reveals that the NH signal lies at ca.  $\delta_{\rm H} = 7.30$ . In compound **3** the NH signal was confirmed by an H/D exchange reaction. In both cases, the NH resonances are shifted to higher frequency by ca. 2 ppm relative to **1**. In the IR spectra, the v<sub>NH</sub> absorption was also shifted to lower frequency by 30 cm<sup>-1</sup> for **2** and 138 cm<sup>-1</sup> for **3**. This is attributed to the intramolecular hydrogen bonding interactions that occur in compounds **2** and **3**, as seen in the crystal structures.

In the solid state, **2** and **3** (Figure 1a and 2, respectively) both form an envelope-like pseudo six-membered ring via intramolecular hydrogen bonding interactions between the H(13n) and the O(2) or S(2) at P(2). The O(2) atom in compound **2** is 0.60 А out of the P(2) -C(15)-C(14)-H(13n)-N(13) mean plane (mean deviation of 0.07 Å) with a fold angle of  $32^{\circ}$ . In compound 3, the deviation of S(2) from the P(2)-C(15)-C(14)-C(14)H(13n)-N(13) mean plane (mean deviation from each other 0.05 A) of -1.27 A is bigger than that in compound 2 due to the different bond lengths of P=O and P=S(Table 2), as well as the strength of the H bonding  $[H(13n)\cdots O(2):$  1.98 A;  $O(2)\cdots H(13n) - N(13):$  144°; H(13n)...S(2): 2.47 Å; S(2)...H(13n) - N(13): 144°]. The hinge angle between the S(2)-P(2)-H(13) plane and the P(2)-C(15)-C(14)-H(13n)-N(13) mean plane along the P(2)-H(13n) axis is 46°. In addition to the intramolecular hydrogen bonding, compounds 2 and 3 share other common features: the two P=E (E = O, S) groups lie in an *anti*position; the geometry around each phosphorus atom is approximately tetrahedral; the main skeleton of molecules 2 and 3 - P(2) - C(15) - C(14) - N(13) - C(13) — lies in a mean plane with a mean deviation of 0.04 Å and 0.02 Å, respectively. The planar skeleton can be explained from the  $sp^2$  hybrid state of C(15) and C(14) as well as the conjugation of N(13) with the aromatic ring. The C(14)-N(13)-C(13) bond angle is 124.5(4)° and 126.0(2)° in 2 and 3, respectively. There is one additional feature in



Scheme 3. Compound 1 and other related molecules



Table 2. A comparison of the bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) in compounds 2 and 3

	2	3
P(1)-E(1)	1.483(4)	1.9443(12)
P(1) - C(13)	1.812(5)	1.833(3)
N(13) - C(13)	1.450(6)	1.447(3)
N(13) - C(14)	1.383(6)	1.378(4)
C(14) - C(15)	1.414(6)	1.428(4)
C(15) - P(2)	1.808(5)	1.818(3)
P(2)-E(2)	1.487(3)	1.9717(11)
E(1) - P(1) - C(13)	112.6(2)	113.95(11)
N(13) - C(13) - P(1)	110.5(3)	112.0(2)
C(14) - N(13) - C(13)	124.5(4)	126.0(2)
N(13) - C(14) - C(15)	119.0(4)	119.7(2)
C(14) - C(15) - P(2)	120.5(4)	121.1(2)
E(2) - P(2) - C(15)	112.4(2)	114.34(10)



Figure 1. (a) Crystal structure of  $Ph_2P(O)CH_2NHC_6H_4P(O)Ph_2$ (2): a single molecule; (b) crystal structure of  $Ph_2P(O)CH_2NHC_6-H_4P(O)Ph_2$  (2) showing the hydrogen bonded dimer pairs

compound **2** (Figure 1b) that is not observed in compound **3**: each molecule of **2** crystallises with 0.5 molecules of water. The water links two molecules of **2** through weak intermolecular hydrogen bonding interactions with the oxygen atom of the NCH<sub>2</sub>P(O)Ph<sub>2</sub> moiety and O(1) and O(1A) [O(1)···H(40o): 2.49 Å; O(1)···H(40o)-O(40): 102°]. Therefore, it is not surprising to see that there is little difference between the two P=O bond lengths [P(1)-O(1): 1.487(3) Å; P(2)-O(2): 1.483(4) Å] in compound **2**, while, in contrast, P(2)-S(2) [1.9719(11) Å] is longer than P(1)-S(1) [1.9443(12) Å] in **3**.

Figure 2. Crystal structure of Ph<sub>2</sub>P(S)CH<sub>2</sub>NHC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>P(S)Ph<sub>2</sub> (3)

## **Coordination Chemistry of Compound 1**

Both of the phosphorus atoms of 1 can coordinate with late transition metals. When reacted with  $Pd^{II}$ ,  $Pt^{II}$  or  $Mo^0$ , 1 functions as a bidentate ligand to give the seven-membered chelate complexes 4-8 (Scheme 5). However, due to their different chemical environments the reactivity of the two phosphorus atoms is different. The less bulky and less



Scheme 4. Compounds related to 2 and 3

Table 3.  $^{31}P\{^{1}H\}$  NMR chemical shifts of 2, 3 and related compounds

Compound	Chemica $\delta(P_X)^{[a]}$	$ \begin{array}{c} l \ shifts \\ \delta(P_A)^{[a]} \end{array} $	Compound	Chemical $\delta(P_X)^{[a]}$	$\delta(P_A)^{[a]}$
2	28.58	36.38	24 <sup>[a]</sup>	27.82	39.98
3	38.46	39.35	25 <sup>[a]</sup>	-20.46	39.67
23 <sup>[a]</sup>	-19.90	36.59	26 <sup>[a]</sup>	38.32	36.60

 $^{[a]}$  P<sub>X</sub> is the phosphorus of NHCH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub> groups and P<sub>A</sub> is the phosphorus of triarylphosphane.

electron-delocalized phosphorus  $(P_x)$  of the NHCH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub> group is more reactive than triarylphosphane  $(P_A)$ . When 1 reacts with Rh<sup>III</sup>/Ru<sup>IV</sup> chloride-bridged dimers in a 2:1 molar ratio, the "dangling" monodentate complexes 9 and 10 were obtained (Scheme 5). However, reaction of 1 with  $[{IrCl(\mu-Cl)(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)}_2]$  in a 2:1 molar ratio led to the cationic chelate complex 12 together with monodentate 11. Abstraction of chloride from 9 and 10 with silver perchlorate gave the cationic chelate complexes 13 and 14. When [AuCl(tht)] was employed, both  $(P_X)$  and  $(P_A)$  in 1 coordinate to the gold centre. We believe that steric effects control the reaction. Changing the reactants molar ratio also change the products: when two molar equivalents of [AuCl(tht)] or one molar equivalent of [{RhCl( $\mu$ -Cl)( $\eta^{5}$ - $C_5Me_5$  was reacted with ligand 1, the bidentate bridging complexes 15 and 16 were obtained (Scheme 5).

#### **Bidentate Chelate Complexes**

Reactions of equimolar quantities of ligand **1** and  $[PdCl_2(cod)]$ ,  $[PdBr_2(cod)]$ ,  $[PtCl_2(cod)]$ ,  $[PtMe_2(cod)]$  or  $[Mo(CO)_4(pip)_2]$  gave the corresponding seven-membered ring chelate complexes  $[PdCl_2(Ph_2PCH_2NHC_6H_4PPh_2-P_X,P_A)]$  (**4**),  $[PdBr_2(Ph_2PCH_2NHC_6H_4PPh_2-P_X,P_A)]$  (**5**),  $[PtCl_2(Ph_2PCH_2NHC_6H_4PPh_2-P_X,P_A)]$  (**6**),  $[PdMe_2(Ph_2-PCH_2NHC_6H_4PPh_2-P_X,P_A)]$  (**7**) and  $[Mo(CO)_4(Ph_2-PCH_2NHC_6H_4PPh_2-P_X,P_A)]$  (**7**) and  $[Mo(CO)_4(Ph_2-PCH_2NHC_6H_4PPh_2-P_X,P_A)]$  (**8**), respectively. All of the



Scheme 5. (i)  $[MX_2(cod)] (M = Pd, X = Cl \text{ or } Br; M = Pt, X = Cl \text{ or } Me);$  (ii)  $[Mo(CO)_4(pip)_2];$  (iii) 0.5 equiv. of  $[\{RuCl(\mu-Cl)(\eta^3:\eta^3-C_{10}H_{16})\}_2]$  or  $[\{RhCl(\mu-Cl)(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)\}_2];$  (iv) 0.5 equiv. of  $[\{IrCl(\mu-Cl)(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)\}_2];$  (v)  $AgClO_4;$  (vi) 2 equiv. of [AuCl(tht)]; (vi)  $[\{RhCl(\mu-Cl)(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)\}_2]$ 

complexes gave satisfactory microanalysis and the expected fragmentation patterns in their positive ion FAB mass spectra. In the  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$  NMR spectra of 4 and 5 no  ${}^{31}P{}^{-31}P$ coupling was observed, both compounds displayed two singlets at around  $\delta_P = 42$  and  $\delta_P = 21$ . The <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectra of the platinum complex 6 exhibited a two-bond <sup>31</sup>P-<sup>31</sup>P coupling and the expected platinum satellites at  $\delta_{\rm P} = 20.25$  (d,  ${}^{1}J_{\rm Pt,P} = 3647$  Hz,  ${}^{2}J_{\rm P,P} = 18$  Hz) and  $\delta_{\rm P} = 1.80$  (d,  ${}^{1}J_{\rm Pt,P} = 3573$ ,  ${}^{2}J_{\rm P,P} = 18$  Hz). The large one-bond <sup>31</sup>P-<sup>195</sup>Pt coupling constants are in agreement with the proposed cis-geometry of 6. In compound 7, two doublets at  $\delta_{\rm P} = 30.73$  and 11.91 (<sup>2</sup> $J_{\rm P,P} = 12$  Hz) with platinum satellites are observed. The coupling constants  ${}^{1}J_{\text{Pt,P}} = 1853 \text{ Hz}$ and  ${}^{1}J_{\text{Pt,P}} = 1720 \text{ Hz}$  are in agreement with those coupling constants found in compounds in which phosphane ligands are trans to methyl groups. The molybdenum complex 8 also displays coupling of the two phosphorus atoms at  $\delta_P =$ 41.43 and  $\delta_P = 28.31 (^2J_{P,P} = 25 \text{ Hz})$  in the  $^{31}P\{^{1}H\}$  NMR spectrum. The assignment of the phosphorus resonances in the above chelate complexes is not straightforward. However, by comparing them with the corresponding complexes of PPh<sub>2</sub>C<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>NHPPh<sub>2</sub> <sup>[18]</sup> (Scheme 6, Table 4), we assigned the signal at higher frequency in the  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$  NMR spectra of each chelate complex to the phosphorus of the NHCH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub> group ( $P_X$ ) and the lower frequency resonance to the triarylphosphane (P<sub>A</sub>). The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of 4-8 show similar patterns for the aromatic proton resonances, although the NH and CH<sub>2</sub> signals are slightly different depending upon the nature of the solvent and the complexes. The NH resonances of 4 and 5 appear as broad doublets of triplets in [D<sub>6</sub>]DMSO at  $\delta_{\rm H} = 5.53$  (<sup>3</sup> $J_{\rm PN,CH} =$ 10,  ${}^{3}J_{\text{NH,CH}} = 3 \text{ Hz}$ ) and  $\delta_{\text{H}} = 5.44 ({}^{3}J_{\text{PN,CH}} = 25,$  ${}^{3}J_{\text{NH,CH}} = 3 \text{ Hz}$ ), respectively. Their CH<sub>2</sub> signals were found at ca.  $\delta_{\rm H} = 3.9$  as a triplet  $(^2J_{\rm PCH} = {}^3J_{\rm NH,CH} =$ 3 Hz).



Scheme 6. Chelate complexes of 1 and Ph<sub>2</sub>NHC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>

In the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of **6**, the doublet of triplets signal of NH was observed at  $\delta_{\rm H} = 3.55$  (<sup>3</sup> $J_{\rm NH,CP} = 16$  Hz). The doublet of doublets with two platinum satellites (<sup>3</sup> $J_{\rm Pt,CH} = 36$  Hz) at  $\delta_{\rm H} = 3.96$  was assigned to CH<sub>2</sub>. The

Table 4. Chemical shifts of complexes 4-8 and those from  $Ph_2PNHC_6H_4PPh_2$ 

Chemical shifts Complex $S(\mathbf{R})^{[a]} = S(\mathbf{R})^{[a]}$ Complex			Chemical shifts $S(\mathbf{P})$ [a] $S(\mathbf{P})$ [a]		
Complex	$0(1 \text{ x})^{1/2}$	$0(1_{\rm A})^{c_1}$	Complex	$O(1 X)^{r}$	$0(1_{\rm A})^{1/2}$
8	41.43	28.31	<b>27</b> <sup>[b]</sup>	91.7	33.6
4	42.72	22.98	<b>28</b> <sup>[b]</sup>	77.8	18.1
5	41.93	20.55			
6	20.25	1.80	<b>29</b> <sup>[b]</sup>	53.8	-0.4
7	30.73	11.91	<b>30</b> <sup>[b]</sup>	70.6	10.7

 $^{[a]}$  P<sub>X</sub> is the phosphorus of either NHCH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub> or NHPPh<sub>2</sub> groups and P<sub>A</sub> is the phosphorus of triarylphosphane. <sup>[b]</sup> Literature complexes.<sup>[8]</sup>

coupling constants  ${}^{2}J_{P,CH} = 3$  Hz and  ${}^{3}J_{NH,CH} = 6$  Hz were determined by an exchange reaction with D<sub>2</sub>O.

In the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of complex 7, the NH and CH<sub>2</sub> resonances overlap at  $\delta_{\rm H} = 3.99$ . Addition of D<sub>2</sub>O to the CDCl<sub>3</sub> solution of 7 gives a <sup>2</sup>J<sub>P,CH</sub> coupling constant of 9 Hz. Other information obtained from this compound is the two doublets of doublets at  $\delta_{\rm H} = 0.60$  and 0.34 with platinum satellites for two CH<sub>3</sub> groups. Although the coupling constants are available (<sup>3</sup>J<sub>P,CH</sub> = 7, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>P',CH</sub> = 9, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>Pt,CH</sub> = 70, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>Pt,CH'</sub> = 69 Hz) the accurate assignment of the two doublets is impossible. The resonance for the NH proton in complex **8** was obscured by aromatic multiplets. The CH<sub>2</sub> group in **8** appears as a broad singlet at  $\delta_{\rm H} = 4.04$ .

In the infrared spectra, compounds 4, 5 and 6 show the  $v_{\rm NH}$  absorption at around 3250 cm<sup>-1</sup>, shifted to lower frequency relative to 1. Metal-halide stretches at ca. 320 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 290 cm<sup>-1</sup> are in agreement with the *cis*-geometry of the complexes. However, the  $v_{\rm NH}$  absorption at 3337 cm<sup>-1</sup> in compound 7 and 3389 cm<sup>-1</sup> in 8 occurs at a significantly higher frequency than in 4–6. Obviously there is less opportunity for hydrogen bonding between the NH proton of the ligand and the methyl or carbonyl groups of 7 and 8, respectively, than between the metal-bound chlorides and the amine protons of complexes 4–6. The infrared spectrum of compound 8 also displayed  $v_{\rm C=O}$  absorption bands at 2013 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 1914 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 1892 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 1878 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

Pale yellow crystals of 8 suitable for X-ray analysis (Figure 3, Table 5) were obtained by layering methanol onto a dichloromethane solution of 8 for four days. As shown in Figure 3, the coordination geometry around Mo(1) is approximately octahedral, with P(1) and P(2) occupying the *cis* positions. The bite angle of the ligand is  $87.47(2)^\circ$ , slightly less than the expected 90° for a regular octahedron. The *cis* bond angles at Mo(1) are  $84.29(2)-91.51(11)^{\circ}$ , while the trans angle are in the range  $172.59(10) - 176.66(8)^{\circ}$ . The seven-membered chelate ring is twisted, with N(13)-C(14)-C(15)-P(1)-P(2) coplanar (mean deviation 0.01 A) and C(13) lying 0.96 A above, and Mo(1) 1.49 Å below, the mean plane. The fold angles between the N(13)-C(14)-C(15)-P(1)-P(2) mean plane and the N(13)-C(13)-P(1) and P(2)-Mo(1)-P(1) planes are 54° and 88° respectively. The N(13)-C(14)-C(15)  $[124.7(2)^{\circ}]$  and C(14)-C(15)-P(2)  $[124.6(2)^{\circ}]$  bond angles are slightly more open than those in compounds 2 and 3.

Table 5. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) for compound 8

Mo(1)-C(41)	2.041(3)	Mo(1)-C(42)	1.992(3)
Mo(1) - C(43)	2.021(3)	Mo(1) - C(44)	1.985(3)
Mo(1) - P(1)	2.5048(6)	Mo(1) - P(2)	2.5884(6)
P(1)-C(13)	1.847(2)	N(13) - C(13)	1.460(3)
N(13) - C(14)	1.401(3)	C(14) - C(15)	1.414(3)
C(15) - P(2)	1.856(2)		
C(41) - Mo(1) - C(42)	87.97(10)	C(41) - Mo(1) - C(43)	172.59(10)
C(41) - Mo(1) - C(44)	91.51(11)	C(42) - Mo(1) - C(43)	85.99(11)
C(42) - Mo(1) - C(44)	90.79(11)	C(43) - Mo(1) - C(44)	84.29(11)
C(41) - Mo(1) - P(1)	94.78(7)	C(42) - Mo(1) - P(1)	176.66(8)
C(43) - Mo(1) - P(1)	91.12(7)	C(44) - Mo(1) - P(1)	87.25(7)
C(41) - Mo(1) - P(2)	87.00(8)	C(42) - Mo(1) - P(2)	94.58(8)
C(43) - Mo(1) - P(2)	97.74(8)	C(44) - Mo(1) - P(2)	174.38(8)
P(1) - Mo(1) - P(2)	87.47(2)	C(13) - P(1) - Mo(1)	112.36(7)
N(13) - C(13) - P(1)	107.9(2)	C(14) - N(13) - C(13)	122.6(2)
N(13) - C(14) - C(15)	124.7(2)	C(14) - C(15) - P(2)	124.6(2)
C(15) - P(2) - Mo(1)	119.01(7)		



Figure 3. Crystal structure of  $[Mo(CO)_4(Ph_2PCH_2NHC_6H_4PPh_2-P_X,P_A)]$  (8)

#### **Monodentate Complexes**

Monitoring of the reaction between 1 and either  $[{RuCl(\mu-Cl)(\eta^{3}:\eta^{3}-C_{10}H_{16})}_{2}]$  or  $[{RhCl(\mu-Cl)(\eta^{5}-C_{5} Me_5$ }<sub>2</sub>] by <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectroscopy showed that the monodentate complexes  $[RuCl(\eta^3:\eta^3-C_{10}H_{16})(Ph_2 PCH_2NHC_6H_4PPh_2-P_X$ )] (9) and  $[RhCl(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)(Ph_2-P_3)]$  $PCH_2NHC_6H_4PPh_2-P_X$ ] (10) are readily formed. The reaction of  $[{IrCl(\mu-Cl)(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)}_2]$  and 1, however, is not so straightforward. Several singlets between  $\delta_{\rm P} = 0.0$  and  $\delta_{\rm P} =$ -22 appear in the crude reaction mixtures. Longer reaction times do not affect the product distribution. After several attempts to separate this mixture a monodentate complex  $[IrCl(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)(Ph_2PCH_2NHC_6H_4PPh_2-P_X)]$  (11) was isolated as well-formed crystals from dichloromethane/acetone in low yield. Also obtained was the chelate cationic complex  $[Ir(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)(Ph_2PCH_2NHC_6H_4PPh_2-P_X,P_A)]$  (12), although in much lower purity.

All of the monodentate complexes gave satisfactory microanalysis and the expected fragmentation patterns and molecular ions in the positive ion FAB mass spectra. Compound 9-11 have similar <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectra, with the

1640

coordinated phosphorus resonance shifted to higher frequency and that of the dangling phosphorus at ca.  $\delta_P = -22.5$  unmoved. For the rhodium complex 10, a typical  ${}^{1}J_{\rm Rh-P}$  coupling constant of 141 Hz was observed at  $\delta_P = 32.74$ . The higher-frequency signal was assigned to the phosphorus of the NHCH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub> group (P<sub>X</sub>) and the unshifted  $\delta_P = -22.5$  to triarylphosphane (P<sub>A</sub>). This assignment was confirmed by single-crystal X-ray diffraction studies of complexes 9 and 11 (see below).

The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of 9-11 show aromatic proton resonances close to the values for the free ligand. The signals for the NH and methylene protons of the complexes are slightly different. Complexes 10 and 11 display a slightly broad quadruplet for NH at ca.  $\delta_{\rm H} = 4.9 (^{3}J_{\rm NH,CH} =$  ${}^{3}J_{\text{NH,CP}} = 7$  Hz) and a doublet at  $\delta_{\text{H}} = 4.48 - 4.66$  for CH<sub>2</sub>. No coupling between the CH<sub>2</sub> protons and the phosphorus was observed. In complex 9, the NH proton signal is a wellresolved multiplet at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  = 4.95. The CH<sub>2</sub> group was observed as a doublet of doublets at  $\delta_{\rm H} = 4.59$ . The coupling constants were verified by H/D exchange with D2O  $({}^{2}J_{P,CH} = 2, {}^{3}J_{NH,CH} = 6 \text{ Hz})$ . Complexes 10 and 11 show coupling between  $(P_X)$  and the methyl protons of the pentamethylcyclopentadienyl group,  $[J_{P\dots CH} = 3 \text{ Hz}]$ . The complicated signals of complex 9 were also assigned by a <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY spectrum. The IR spectra of 9-11 show the  $v_{\rm NH}$ absorption at about 3320 cm<sup>-1</sup>, implying little hydrogen bonding.

Slow evaporation of a dichloromethane solution of **9** gave well-formed deep purple crystals suitable for X-ray analysis. The crystal structure of **9** (Figure 4, Table 6) reveals a monodentate complex coordinated by the NHCH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub> phosphorus atom, whilst the triarylphosphane moiety remains unbound. The Ru(1) centre adopts an approximately trigonal bipyramidal geometry with Cl(1) and Cl(2) occupying the axial sites while P(1) and the two allylic groups occupy the equatorial positions. The arrangement of the allylic groups, which have local  $C_2$  symmetry, is similar to that found in related complexes.<sup>[26]</sup> The ligand backbone

P(2)-C(15)-C(14)-N(13), as observed in 2, 3, and 8, is coplanar (mean deviation 0.01 Å). The dangling phosphorus P(2) remains pyramidal as expected.



Figure 4. Crystal structure of  $[RuCl_2(\eta^3:\eta^3-C_{10}H_{16})(Ph_2-PCH_2NHC_6H_4PPh_2-P_X)]$  (9)

Table 6. A comparison of the bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) in compounds  ${\bf 9}$  and  ${\bf 11}$ 

	9	11
M(1) - P(1)	2.393(4)	2.2986(13)
M(1) - Cl(1)	2.413(4)	2.4119(15)
M(1)-Cl(2)	2.387(4)	2.4035(16)
P(1) - C(13)	1.862(11)	1.833(6)
N(13) - C(13)	1.399(14)	1.457(7)
N(13) - C(14)	1.379(15)	1.384(7)
C(14) - C(15)	1.364(16)	1.415(8)
C(15) - P(2)	1.798(12)	1.825(6)
P(2)-C(20)	1.810(16)	1.831(6)
P(2)-C(26)	1.801(13)	1.830(6)
Cl(1) - M(1) - Cl(2)	172.84(15)	88.86(6)
Cl(1) - M(1) - P(1)	89.25(13)	88.27(5)
Cl(2) - M(1) - P(1)	84.01(13)	88.04(5)
M(1) - P(1) - C(13)	114.4(4)	112.50(19)
N(13) - C(13) - P(1)	111.0(8)	112.3(4)
C(14) - N(13) - C(13)	123.7(11)	123.9(5)
N(13) - C(14) - C(15)	120.2(12)	119.3(5)
C(14) - C(15) - P(2)	118.8(10)	117.8(4)
C(20) - P(2) - C(15)	102.4(6)	104.0(3)
C(20) - P(2) - C(26)	101.1(7)	102.2(3)
C(15) - P(2) - C(26)	103.4(6)	102.2(3)

The X-ray structure of **11** (Figure 5) shares many common features with **9**: the pendant triarylphosphane P(2) adopts a pyramidal geometry; the main backbone of the ligand extends in a mean plane P(2)-C(15)-C(14)-N(13)(mean deviation 0.06 Å); the bond lengths and angles (Table 6) are in the expected range; no hydrogen bonding is observed. The geometry around Ir(1) can be viewed as a three-legged "piano stool" with the two chlorides and the phosphorus P(1) supporting the pentamethylcyclopentadienyl top. The bond angles between the "legs" are approximately 90°.



Figure 5. Crystal structure of  $[IrCl_2(\eta^5\text{-}C_5Me_5)(Ph_2\text{-}PCH_2NHC_6H_4PPh_2\text{-}P_X)]$  (11)

#### **Cationic Chelate Complexes**

As mentioned above, a cationic chelate complex of iridium(III) 12 formed together with the monodentate species 11. Other cationic chelate complexes of ligand 1 can be obtained by abstraction of a chloride ligand from the monodentate complexes. For example, abstraction of one chloride from complexes 9 and 10 with AgClO<sub>4</sub> gave the chelate cationic complexes  $[RuCl(\eta^3:\eta^3-C_{10}H_{16})(Ph_2PCH_2-$ [RhCl(n<sup>5</sup>- $NHC_6H_4PPh_2-P_X,P_A)$ ][ClO<sub>4</sub>] (13)and  $C_5Me_5$ )(Ph<sub>2</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>NHC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>- $P_X$ , $P_A$ )][ClO<sub>4</sub>] (14), respectively. Both complexes gave satisfactory microanalysis and positive FAB mass spectra. Their IR spectra displayed the  $v_{\rm NH}$  at 3272 cm<sup>-1</sup> and 3332 cm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively, and the strong band of  $ClO_4^-$  at ca. 1095 cm<sup>-1</sup>. The <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum of 13 showed two singlets at  $\delta_{\rm P} = 36.89$  and  $\delta_{\rm P} =$ 21.86 in CDCl<sub>3</sub>, which were assigned to the phosphorus atoms of the NHCH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub> ( $P_X$ ) and the triarylphosphane (P<sub>A</sub>) groups, respectively, by reference to the other chelate complexes mentioned above. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 13 showed a broad singlet at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  = 5.02 for NH and a doublet of doublet of doublets at  $\delta_{\rm H} = 4.67$  for the ligand methylene protons with  ${}^{3}J_{\text{NH,CH}} = 6$ ,  ${}^{3}J_{\text{P,CH}} = 15$  Hz and  ${}^{2}J_{\text{P,CH}} =$ 52 Hz. Characterisation of 14 by multinuclear NMR proved unsuccessful. The <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum of **14** exhibited very broad peaks around  $\delta_P = 31$  and  $\delta_P = 37$ , and the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of this compound also contains broad resonances. The low solubility of 14 in common organic solvents precludes a low temperature NMR study. In solution we believe that there may be an exchange process between several species taking place, possibly the cationic chelate, a monodentate species and perhaps even a cationic bidentate bridging species.

In spite of the uninformative NMR spectroscopic data we were able to obtain single crystals of compound **14** enab-

# **FULL PAPER**

ling characterisation by X-ray crystallography (Figure 6, Table 7). The crystal structure of 14 reveals that the complex adopts a chair-like seven-membered chelate ring with a ligand bite angle P(1)-Rh(1)-P(2) of 95.12(4)°. The top mean plane of the "chair", C(14)-C(15)-P(2)-N(13) (mean deviation 0.02 Å), is 123° folded back from the "back of the chair", N(13)-P(2)-C(13)-Rh(13) mean plane (mean deviation 0.12 Å). The "bottom of the chair", P(1)-Rh(1)-C(13), is 144° folded forward from the N(13)-P(2)-C(13)-Rh(13) mean plane. Again, the coordination around the rhodium adopts a three-legged "piano stool" geometry. The crystal structure also displays two weak hydrogen bonding interactions between the NH and two of the oxygen atoms of the tetrahedral  $ClO_4^-$  anion [H(13n)····O(1): 2.42 Å;  $H(13n)\cdots O(3)$ : 2.44 A:  $N(13)-H(13n)\cdots O(1): 145^{\circ}; N(13)-H(13n)\cdots O(3): 157^{\circ}].$ The crystal structure also reveals that each molecule of complex 14 crystallises with 0.25 molecules of dichloromethane.



Figure 6. Crystal structure of  $[RhCl(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)(Ph_2-PCH_2NHC_6H_4PPh_2-P_X,P_A][ClO_4]$  (14); the solvent of crystallisation is omitted for the sake of clarity

Table 7. Selected bond lengths (Å) and angles (°) in compound 14

Rh(1) - P(1)	2.3303(12)	Rh(1) - P(2)	2.3806(11)
Rh(1)-Cl(1)	2.4016(12)	P (1)-C(13)	1.854(4)
N(13)-C(13)	1.452(6)	N(13) - C(14)	1.414(6)
C(14) - C(15)	1.389(6)	C(15) - P(2)	1.836(4)
P(1) - Rh(1) - P(2)	95.12(4)	P(1) - Rh(1) - Cl(1)	89.50(4)
P(2) - Rh(1) - Cl(1)	84.76(4)	C(13) - P(1) - Rh(1)	115.8(2)
N(13) - C(13) - P(1)	113.4(3)	C(14) - N(13) - C(13)	114.4(4)
N(13) - C(14) - C(15)	118.7(4)	C(14) - C(15) - P(2)	117.7(4)
C(15) - P(2) - Rh(1)	120.5(2)		

#### **Bimetallic Complexes**

While the Ru<sup>IV</sup> or Rh<sup>III</sup> metal centres of the bulky dimers  $[{RuCl(\mu-Cl)(\eta^3:\eta^3-C_{10}H_{16})}_2]$ and  $[{RhCl(\mu-Cl)(\eta^5 C_5Me_5$  selectively coordinate through the (P<sub>x</sub>) or NHCH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub> moiety of ligand 1, [AuCl(tht)] displays no such selectivity for either  $(P_A)$  or  $(P_X)$  of ligand 1. The reaction between [AuCl(tht)] and 1 in a 1:1 ratio showed two broad peaks at  $\delta_P = 33.4$  and 25.0 in the <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum, implying that both  $(P_X)$  and  $(P_A)$  were involved in some type of coordination. Workup of this mixture was not attempted. Addition of one more molar equivalent of [AuCl(tht)] to this mixture led to the same bidentate bridging complex 15 as in the one step reaction of [AuCl(tht)] with 1 in a 2:1 molar ratio. Complex 15 exhibits two sharp singlets at  $\delta_P = 24.95$  and  $\delta_P = 18.64$  which are assigned to (P<sub>X</sub>) of the NHCH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub> group and the (P<sub>A</sub>) of the triarylphosphane according to the general trend of the complexes discussed in this paper. The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 15 showed a well-resolved and well-shaped multiplet at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  = 4.94 for the NH proton and a doublet of doublets at  $\delta_{\rm H} = 4.34$  for CH<sub>2</sub>. A <sup>1</sup>H-<sup>1</sup>H COSY spectrum of the compound in CDCl<sub>3</sub> showed no coupling between the NH and aromatic proton 6-H. Therefore it was concluded that both of the phosphorus atoms coupled with the NH group. The coupling constants were obtained through a D<sub>2</sub>O exchange reaction in CDCl<sub>3</sub> solution  $({}^{2}J_{PCH} = 3, {}^{3}J_{NH,CH} = 6,$  ${}^{3}J_{\rm PC,NH} = {}^{4}J_{\rm PCCNH} = 3$  Hz).

The reaction of  $[{RhCl(\mu-Cl)(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)}_2]$  with 1 in a 1:1 molar ratio gave another bimetallic complex  $[{RhCl_2(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)}_2(Ph_2PCH_2NHC_6H_4PPh_2)]$  (16). The <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum of **16** displays two doublets at  $\delta_{\rm P} = 32.44 \ ({}^{1}J_{\rm Rh,P} = 138 \ {\rm Hz}) \ {\rm and} \ \delta_{\rm P} = 27.79 \ ({}^{1}J_{\rm Rh,P} =$ 144 Hz). Upon comparison with the <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum of 9, the doublet at  $\delta_P = 32.44$  was assigned to the NHCH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub> group (P<sub>X</sub>), and the other at  $\delta_P = 27.79$  to the triarylphosphorus atom (P<sub>A</sub>). The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 16 displayed two doublets for the two pentamethylcyclopentadienyl groups. The NH resonance appears at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  = 5.33 as a broad triplet and that of the ligand methylene protons at  $\delta_{\rm H}$  = 4.90 as a broad doublet of doublets  $({}^{3}J_{\rm NH,CH} = 5, {}^{2}J_{\rm P,CH} = 16$  Hz). Both bridging complexes gave satisfactory microanalysis and the anticipated FAB mass spectral patterns. Their IR spectra show vibrations for  $v_{\rm NH}$  at 3309 and 3280  $cm^{-1}$  and  $v_{\rm M\text{-}Cl}$  at 329 and 398  $cm^{-1}$ , respectively.

## Conclusion

We have shown that the unsymmetrical bisphosphane ligand  $Ph_2PCH_2NHC_6H_4PPh_2$  is readily prepared by the condensation of diphenylphosphanylmethanol ( $Ph_2PCH_2$ -OH) and 2-(diphenylphosphanyl)aniline ( $Ph_2PC_6H_4NH_2$ ), and that treatment of  $Ph_2PCH_2NHC_6H_4PPh_2$  with  $H_2O_2$  or elemental sulfur gives rise to the dioxide and disulfide. In addition, we have clearly demonstrated that  $Ph_2PCH_2NHC_6H_4PPh_2$  has the potential to ligate in a variety of bonding modes. The different steric properties or basicilies of the two phosphorus centres can be exploited to obtain mononuclear complexes where  $Ph_2PCH_2$ -NHC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub> is monodentate (via the Ph<sub>2</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub> moiety) or chelating, and this latter bonding mode can also be induced by the use of a halide abstracting agent such as Ag<sup>I</sup>.

Binuclear complexes where  $Ph_2PCH_2NHC_6H_4PPh_2$  adopts a bidentate bridging coordination mode are also readily accessible. The compounds described represent rare examples of either complexes containing  $PCNC_2P$  pendant ligands or  $MPCNC_2P$  metallacycles. Further studies are currently in progress into the synthesis of heterobimetallic complexes of late transition metals of  $Ph_2PCH_2NHC_6H_4PPh_2$  and the coordination properties of its dichalcogen derivatives  $Ph_2P(O)CH_2NHC_6H_4P(O)Ph_2$  and  $Ph_2P(S)CH_2NHC_6H_4P(S)Ph_2$ .

# **Experimental Section**

**General:** All solvents and reagents were purchased from Aldrich and Lancaster. Dichloromethane was heated to reflux over powdered calcium hydride and distilled under nitrogen. Diethyl ether and tetrahydrofuran were purified by reflux over sodium/benzophenone and distillation under nitrogen. Ligand preparations were performed under an oxygen-free nitrogen atmosphere using standard Schlenk techniques. Coordination reactions and workup were performed in dry solvents. 2-(Diphenylphosphanyl)aniline,<sup>[16]</sup> diphenylphosphanylmethanol,<sup>[27]</sup> [MX<sub>2</sub>(cod)] (M = Pt, X = Cl or Me; M = Pd, X = Cl, Br; cod = cycloocta-1,5-diene),<sup>[28–30]</sup> [Mo(-CO)<sub>4</sub>(pip)<sub>2</sub>] (pip = piperidine),<sup>[31]</sup> [AuCl(tht)] (tht = tetrahydrothiophene),<sup>[32]</sup> [{MCl( $\mu$ -Cl)( $\eta^{5}$ -C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>5</sub>)}<sub>2</sub>] (M = Rh, Ir),<sup>[33]</sup> and [{RuCl( $\mu$ -Cl)( $\eta^{3}$ : $\eta^{3}$ -C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>16</sub>)}<sub>2</sub>]<sup>[34]</sup> were prepared using literature procedures.

Infrared spectra were recorded (KBr discs) on a Perkin–Elmer system 2000 spectrometer, and <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra (300 MHz) on a Varian Gemini 2000 spectrometer, <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectra at 101.3 MHz with  $\delta$  referenced to external 85% H<sub>3</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>. Microanalysis were performed by the University Service within this Department and fast atom bombardment (FAB) or chemical ionization (CI) mass spectra by the Swansea Mass Spectrometer Service. Precious metal salts were provided on loan by Johnson Matthey plc.

**2-Ph<sub>2</sub>PC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>NHCH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub> (1):** Ph<sub>2</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>OH (3.00 g, 13.87 mmol) was added to a solution of 2-(diphenylphosphanyl)aniline (3.84 g, 13.85 mmol) in toluene (150 cm<sup>3</sup>). The reaction mixture was stirred at 90–95 °C for 20 h. The solvent was removed in vacuo and the sticky residue was dissolved in 20 cm<sup>3</sup> of Et<sub>2</sub>O. Degassed absolute ethanol (100 cm<sup>3</sup>) was then added to the ether solution and the mixture was concentrated in vacuo until a white solid began to precipitate. Storage in the fridge overnight and filtration gave the product as a white solid. Yield: 5.46 g (84.4%). C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>27</sub>NP<sub>2</sub> (475.5): calcd. C 78.30, H 5.72, N 2.95; found C 77.17, H 5.48, N 2.75. <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = -22.30 (s, P<sub>A</sub>), -18.77 (s, P<sub>X</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 3.83 (t, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>PCH</sub> = <sup>3</sup>J<sub>CH,NH</sub> = 5 Hz, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.97 (br. s, 1 H, NH), 6.64 (t, 1 H, ArH), 6.80 (m, 2 H, ArH), 7.40–7.20 (m, 21 H, ArH). IR (KBr disc): v<sub>NH</sub> = 3345m cm<sup>-1</sup>. CI-MS: *m*/z = 476 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>.

**Ph<sub>2</sub>P(O)CH<sub>2</sub>NHC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>P(O)Ph<sub>2</sub> (2):** Aqueous hydrogen peroxide (30% w/w, 0.17 cm<sup>3</sup>, 1.5 mmol) was added dropwise to a solution of **1** (0.355 g, 0.75 mmol) in THF (20 cm<sup>3</sup>) and the mixture was stirred for 1.5 hours at 0-5 °C. The solvent was then removed in

vacuo to give a viscous oil which was taken up in dichloromethane (20 cm<sup>3</sup>) and dried over magnesium sulfate. The drying agent was removed by filtration and the filtrate was evaporated to dryness. Addition of diethyl ether to the residue precipitated the product. The product was collected by suction filtration and dried in vacuo. Yield: 0.275 g (73%). C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>27</sub>NO<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub> (507.5): calcd. C 73.37, H 5.36, N 2.76; found C 71.01, H 5.29, N 2.74. <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 28.58 (s, P<sub>x</sub>), 36.38 (s, P<sub>A</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 4.04 (dd, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>NH,CH</sub> = 6, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>PCH</sub> = 9 Hz, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.59–6.53 (m, 1 H, ArH), 6.78–6.70 (m, 2 H, ArH), 7.68–7.26 (m, 22 H, NH + ArH). IR (KBr disc): v<sub>NH</sub> = 3315m cm<sup>-1</sup>, v<sub>P=O</sub> = 1179vs, 1167vs, 1118vs cm<sup>-1</sup>. FAB: *m/z* = 508 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>, 530 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>.

**Ph<sub>2</sub>P(S)CH<sub>2</sub>NHC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>P(S)Ph<sub>2</sub> (3):** Compound 1 (0.582 g, 1.224 mmol) and elemental sulfur (0.079 g, 2.47 mmol) were stirred in THF (20 cm<sup>3</sup>). A white solid began to precipitate in 10 minutes. Stirring was continued overnight and the product was collected by suction filtration, washed with diethyl ether (3 × 1 cm<sup>3</sup>) and dried in vacuo. Yield: 0.519 g (78.6%). C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>27</sub>NS<sub>2</sub>P<sub>2</sub> (539.6): calcd. C 69.00, H 5.04, N 2.60; found C 69.09, H 4.94, N 2.68. <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): δ = 38.46 (s, P<sub>X</sub>), 39.35(s, P<sub>A</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): δ = 4.12 (dd, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>NH,CH</sub> = 5, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>P,CH</sub> = 7 Hz, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.75–6.33 (m, 3 H, ArH), 7.03 (br. q, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>NH,CH</sub> = <sup>3</sup>J<sub>NH,CP</sub> = 5 Hz, 1 H, NH), 7.67–7.27 (m, 21 H, ArH). IR (KBr disc): v<sub>NH</sub> = 3287m cm<sup>-1</sup>. FAB: m/z = 540 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>, 562 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>.

[PdCl<sub>2</sub>(Ph<sub>2</sub>PC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>NHCH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>-*P*<sub>X</sub>,*P*<sub>A</sub>)] (4): [PdCl<sub>2</sub>(cod)] (109 mg, 383 μmol) was added to a solution of Ph<sub>2</sub>PC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>NHCH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub> (1; 183 mg, 385 μmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (20 cm<sup>3</sup>). The reaction mixture was stirred for 25 min and then concentrated in vacuo to about 1 cm<sup>3</sup> whereupon diethyl ether (10 cm<sup>3</sup>) was added to precipitate the product as an orange powder. Yield: 244 mg (98.0%). C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>27</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>NP<sub>2</sub>Pd: calcd. C 57.12, H 4.17, N 2.15; found C 56.51, H 3.77, N 2.18. <sup>31</sup>P{H} NMR ([D<sub>6</sub>]DMSO): δ = 22.98 (s, P<sub>A</sub>), 42.72 (s, P<sub>X</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR ([D<sub>6</sub>]DMSO): δ = 3.96 (t, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>P,CH</sub> = <sup>3</sup>J<sub>NH,CH</sub> = 3 Hz, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.53 (br. dt, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>NH,PC</sub> = 10 Hz, 1 H, NH), 6.12–6.07 (m, 1 H, ArH), 6.28 (m, 1 H, ArH), 6.85–6.80 (m, 1 H, ArH), 7.23–7.19 (m, 1 H, ArH), 7.56–7.35 (m, 20 H, ArH). IR (KBr disc): v<sub>NH</sub> = 3253s cm<sup>-1</sup>, v<sub>Pd-CI</sub> = 312m, 293w cm<sup>-1</sup>. FAB: *m*/z = 616 [M – Cl]<sup>+</sup>, 580 [M – 2Cl]<sup>+</sup>, 674 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>.

**[PdBr<sub>2</sub>(Ph<sub>2</sub>PC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>NHCH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>-***P<sub>X</sub>***,***P<sub>A</sub>)]* **(5): [PdBr<sub>2</sub>(cod)] (77 mg, 206 µmol) was added to a solution of Ph<sub>2</sub>PC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>NHCH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub> (1; 100 mg, 210 µmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (5 cm<sup>3</sup>) and the reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min. The orange suspension of [PdBr<sub>2</sub>(cod)] dissolved immediately and a yellow solid product precipitate gradually. This precipitate was collected by suction filtration, washed with petroleum ether (60–80, 3 × 1 cm<sup>3</sup>) and diethyl ether (3 × 1 cm<sup>3</sup>), yield: 196 mg (68%). C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>27</sub>Br<sub>2</sub>NP<sub>2</sub>Pd (741.7): calcd. C 50.27, H 3.67, N 1.89; found C 50.11, H 3.89, N 1.86. <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR ([D<sub>6</sub>]DMSO): \delta = 20.55 (s, P<sub>A</sub>), 41.93 (s, P<sub>X</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR ([D<sub>6</sub>]DMSO): \delta = 3.92 (br. t, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>P,CH</sub> = <sup>3</sup>J<sub>NH,CH</sub> = 3 Hz, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.44 (br. d, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>P,NH</sub> = 25 Hz, 1 H, NH), 6.08 (m, 1 H, ArH), 6.80 (m, 1 H, ArH), 7.16 (m, 1 H, ArH), 7.55–7.33 (m, 21 H, ArH). IR (KBr disc): v<sub>NH</sub> = 3246s cm<sup>-1</sup>, v<sub>Pd,Cl</sub> = 332m, 302vw cm<sup>-1</sup>. FAB:** *m***/***z* **= 663 [M – Br]<sup>+</sup>.** 

[PtCl<sub>2</sub>(Ph<sub>2</sub>PC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>NHCH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>-*P*<sub>X</sub>,*P*<sub>A</sub>)] (6): This colourless complex was prepared in the same manner as compound 4 using Ph<sub>2</sub>PC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>NHCH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub> (1; 80 mg, 168 µmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (20 cm<sup>3</sup>) and [PtCl<sub>2</sub>(cod)] (62 mg, 168 µmol). Yield: 120 mg (96.0%). C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>27</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>NP<sub>2</sub>Pt (741.5): calcd. C 50.21, H 3.67, N 1.89; found C 51.42, H 3.70, N 1.75. <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 1.80 (d, <sup>1</sup>*J*<sub>Pt,P<sub>A</sub></sub> = 3573 Hz, P<sub>A</sub>), 20.25 (d, <sup>1</sup>*J*<sub>Pt,P<sub>X</sub></sub> = 3647 Hz; <sup>2</sup>*J*<sub>P<sub>A</sub>,P<sub>X</sub></sub> = 18 Hz, P<sub>X</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta$  = 3.55 (dt, <sup>3</sup>*J*<sub>NH,CP</sub> = 16 Hz, 1 H, NH),

3.96 (dd,  ${}^{3}J_{\text{NH,CH}} = 6$ ,  ${}^{2}J_{\text{P,CH}} = 3$ ,  ${}^{3}J_{\text{Pt,CH}} = 36$  Hz, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.29–6.25 (m, 1 H, ArH), 6.78–6.72 (m, 1 H, ArH), 7.09–7.06 (m, 1 H, ArH), 7.53–7.27 (m, 17 H, ArH), 7.74–7.66 (m, 4 H, ArH). IR (KBr disc): v<sub>NH</sub> = 3265m cm<sup>-1</sup>, v<sub>Pt-Cl</sub> = 316m, 293m cm<sup>-1</sup>. FAB: m/z = 741 [M]<sup>+</sup>, 705 [M - Cl]<sup>+</sup>, 764 [M + Na]<sup>+</sup>.

[PtMe<sub>2</sub>(Ph<sub>2</sub>PC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>NHCH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>-P<sub>X</sub>,P<sub>A</sub>)] (7): [PtMe<sub>2</sub>(cod)] (50 mg, 149  $\mu$ mol) was added to a solution of Ph<sub>2</sub>PC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>NHCH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub> (1; 71 mg, 149 µmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (5 cm<sup>3</sup>). The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 min and then concentrated in vacuo to about 0.5 cm<sup>3</sup> and diethyl ether  $(1 \text{ cm}^3)$  and petroleum ether  $(3 \text{ cm}^3, 60-80)$  were added to precipitate the product as an off-white powder. Yield: 85 mg (82.0%). C<sub>33</sub>H<sub>33</sub>NP<sub>2</sub>Pt (700.7): calcd. C 56.57, H 4.75, N 2.00; found C 55.68, H 4.49, N 1.93.  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$  NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta =$ 11.91 (d,  ${}^{1}J_{Pt,P_{A}} = 1720$  Hz, P<sub>A</sub>), 30.73 (d,  ${}^{1}J_{Pt,P_{X}} = 1853$  Hz;  ${}^{3}J_{P_{A},P_{X}} = 12$  Hz,  $P_{X}$ ). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 0.34$  (dd,  ${}^{3}J_{P',CH} =$ 7,  ${}^{3}J_{P,CH} = 9$ ,  ${}^{2}J_{PtCH'} = 69$  Hz, 3 H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 0.60 (dd,  ${}^{3}J_{P,CH} = 7$ ,  ${}^{3}J_{P'CH} = 9$ ,  ${}^{2}J_{Pt,CH} = 70$  Hz, 3 H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 3.99 (m,  ${}^{2}J_{P,CH} = 9$  Hz, 3 H, CH<sub>2</sub> + NH), 6.23 (m, 1 H, ArH), 6.55 (m, 1 H, ArH), 6.80 (m, 1 H, ArH), 6.93 (t, 1 H, ArH), 7.66-7.20 (m, 20 H, ArH). IR (KBr disc):  $v_{\rm NH} = 3337 {\rm m} {\rm cm}^{-1}$ . FAB:  $m/z = 700 {\rm [M]}^+$ , 685 [M - $CH_3]^+$ , 670  $[M - 2CH_3]^+$ .

 $[Mo(CO)_4(Ph_2PC_6H_4NHCH_2PPh_2-P_X,P_A)]$  (8):  $[Mo(CO)_4(pip)_2]$ (119 mg, 315 µmol) was added to a solution of Ph<sub>2</sub>PC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>NHCH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub> (1; 150 mg, 315 µmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (25 cm<sup>3</sup>). The reaction mixture was stirred at reflux for 3 h and then filtered through Celite. MeOH (10 cm<sup>3</sup>) was added to the filtrate, which was then concentrated under vacuum until trace amounts of solid appeared. The solution was stored in a fridge overnight. Filtration, washing with cold MeOH (0.5 cm<sup>3</sup>) and Et<sub>2</sub>O ( $3 \times 0.5$  cm<sup>3</sup>) gave the product as a grey solid. Yield: 105 mg (53%). C<sub>35</sub>H<sub>27</sub>MoNO<sub>4</sub>P<sub>2</sub> (683.5): calcd. C 61.50, H 3.98, N 2.05; found C 61.15, H 3.86, N 2.14.  ${}^{31}P{}^{1}H$  NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta = 28.31$  (d, P<sub>A</sub>), 41.43 (d,  ${}^{3}J_{P_{A},P_{P}} = 25 \text{ Hz}, P_{X}$ ). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta = 4.04$  (br. s, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 6.63-6.56 (m, 1 H, ArH), 6.78-6.71 (m, 2 H, ArH), 7.55-7.26 (m, 22 H, ArH + NH). IR (KBr disc):  $v_{NH} = 3389w$  $cm^{-1}$ ,  $v_{C=0} = 2013vs$ , 1914vs, 1878vs, 1892vs  $cm^{-1}$ . FAB: m/z = $684 [M]^+, 656 [M - CO]^+, 628 [M - 2CO]^+, 600 [M - 3CO]^+,$ 572 [M - 4CO]<sup>+</sup>.

[RuCl<sub>2</sub>(η<sup>3</sup>:η<sup>3</sup>-C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>16</sub>)(Ph<sub>2</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>NHC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>-P<sub>X</sub>)] (9): [{RuCl(μ-Cl)(η<sup>3</sup>:η<sup>3</sup>-C<sub>10</sub>H<sub>16</sub>)}<sub>2</sub>] (80 mg, 130 μmol) was added to a solution of Ph<sub>2</sub>PC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>NHCH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub> (1; 124 mg, 261 μmol) in THF (5 cm<sup>3</sup>). The mixture was stirred for 1.5 h and then concentrated to ca. 0.5 cm<sup>3</sup>. Addition of Et<sub>2</sub>O (2 cm<sup>3</sup>), filtration and washing with Et<sub>2</sub>O (3 × 1 cm<sup>3</sup>) gave the product as a pale brown solid. Yield: 179 mg (88%). C<sub>41</sub>H<sub>43</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>NP<sub>2</sub>Ru (783.7): calcd. C 62.84, H 5.53, N 1.79; found C 62.61, H 5.72, N 1.71. <sup>31</sup>P{H} NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>): δ = -22.51(s, P<sub>A</sub>), 16.80 (s, P<sub>X</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>): δ = 2.15 (s, 6 H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 2.65 (m, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.18 (m, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.43 (d, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.95 (m, 1 H, NH), 5.13 (br. m, 2 H, CH), 6.73–6.54 (m, 3 H, ArH), 7.38–6.99 (m, 17 H, ArH), 7.76–7.59 (m, 4 H, ArH). IR (KBr disc): v<sub>NH</sub> = 3314w cm<sup>-1</sup>. FAB: *m*/*z* = 784 [M]<sup>+</sup>, 748 [M – Cl]<sup>+</sup>, 713 [M – 2Cl]<sup>+</sup>.

[RhCl<sub>2</sub>( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>5</sub>)(Ph<sub>2</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>NHC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>-P<sub>X</sub>)] (10): [{RhCl( $\mu$ -Cl)( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>5</sub>)}<sub>2</sub>] (68 mg, 110 µmol) was suspended in THF (4 cm<sup>3</sup>) and Ph<sub>2</sub>PC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>NHCH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub> (1; 105 mg, 220 µmol) was added to the stirred suspension as a solid in one portion. The suspension dissolved and after 15 minutes stirring, an orange solid deposited from the deep red solution. After stirring for a total of 2 h the orange solid was collected by suction filtration, washed with diethyl ether (3 × 1 cm<sup>3</sup>) and dried in vacuo. Yield: 145 mg (87%).

 $\begin{array}{l} C_{41}H_{42}Cl_2NP_2Rh~(784.5):~calcd.~C~62.77,~H~5.40,~N~1.79;~found~C~62.72,~H~5.38,~N~1.84.~^{31}P\{^{1}H\}~NMR~(CD_2Cl_2):~\delta=-22.84~(s,~P_A),~32.74~(d,~^{1}J_{Rh,P_X}=141~Hz,~P_X).~^{1}H~NMR~(CD_2Cl_2):~\delta=1.33~(d,~^{4}J_{P...CH}=3~Hz,~15~H,~CH_3),~4.66~(d,~^{3}J_{NH,CH}=7~Hz,~2~H,~CH_2),~4.97~(br.~q,~^{3}J_{NH,CH}=^{3}J_{PC,NH}=7~Hz,~1~H,~NH;~no~^{2}J_{P,CH}~observed),~6.30~(m,~1~H,~ArH),~6.40~(m,~1~H,~ArH),~6.60~(m,~1~H,~ArH),~6.85~(m,~1~H,~ArH),~7.77-7.04~(m,~20~H,~ArH),.~IR~(KBr~disc):~v_{NH}=~3326w~cm^{-1}.~FAB:~m/z=~748~[M~-~Cl]^+,~713~[M~-~2Cl]^+. \end{array}$ 

 $[IrCl_2(\eta^5-C_5Me_5)(Ph_2PCH_2NHC_6H_4PPh_2-P_X)]$  (11) and  $[IrCl(\eta^5 C_5Me_5$ )(Ph<sub>2</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>NHC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>- $P_X$ ,  $P_A$ )]Cl (12): [{IrCl( $\mu$ -Cl)( $\eta$ <sup>5</sup>- $C_5Me_5$ ]<sub>2</sub>] (70 mg, 88 µmol) was added to a solution of  $Ph_2PC_6H_4NHCH_2PPh_2$  (1; 84 mg, 176 µmol) in THF (5 cm<sup>3</sup>). The mixture was stirred for 1.5 h and the solvent was removed in vacuo. The yellow solid residue was dissolved in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (0.5 cm<sup>3</sup>) followed by addition of acetone (0.5 cm<sup>3</sup>). Storage of this solution at room temperature overnight led to the formation of well-shaped orange crystals of 11. The crystals were collected by suction filtration and washed with acetone (0.5 cm<sup>3</sup>). Addition of  $Et_2O$  (2.0 cm<sup>3</sup>) to the filtrate caused the precipitation of 12 as an orange powder. 11: Yield: 30 mg (19.5%). C<sub>41</sub>H<sub>42</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>IrNP<sub>2</sub> (873.9): calcd. C 56.35, H 4.84, N 1.60; found C 56.52, H 4.78, N 1.54.  $^{31}P\{^{1}H\}$  NMR  $(CD_2Cl_2)$ :  $\delta = -22.80$  (s, P<sub>A</sub>), 0.10 (s, P<sub>X</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR  $(CD_2Cl_2)$ :  $\delta = 1.33$  (d,  ${}^{4}J_{P...CH} = 3$  Hz, 15 H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 4.66 (d, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>; no  ${}^{2}J_{PCH}$  observed), 4.91 (q,  ${}^{3}J_{NH,CH} = {}^{3}J_{PCNH} = 7$  Hz, 1 H, NH), 6.34 (m, 1 H, ArH), 6.42 (m, 1 H, ArH), 6.61 (m, 1 H, ArH), 7.71–6.91 (m, 21 H, ArH). IR (KBr disc):  $v_{\rm NH} = 3328 \text{ w cm}^{-1}$ . FAB:  $m/z = 838 [M - Cl]^+, 803 [M - 2Cl]^+$ 

**12:** Yield: 29 mg (19%).  $C_{41}H_{42}Cl_2IrNP_2$  (873.9): calcd. C 56.35, H 4.84, N 1.60; found C 48.67, H 4.33, N 1.08. <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CD<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta = -0.32$  (s), 0.48 (s). IR (KBr disc):  $v_{NH} = 3283w$  cm<sup>-1</sup>. FAB: m/z = 838 [M - Cl]<sup>+</sup>, 803 [M - 2Cl]<sup>+</sup>.

 $[RuCl(\eta^{3}:\eta^{3}-C_{10}H_{16})(Ph_{2}PCH_{2}NHC_{6}H_{4}PPh_{2}-P_{X},P_{A})][ClO_{4}]$  (13):  $[{RuCl(\mu-Cl)(\eta^3:\eta^3-C_{10}H_{16})}_2]$  (64 mg, 103 µmol) was added to a solution of Ph<sub>2</sub>PC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>NHCH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub> (1; 99 mg, 208 µmol) in THF  $(5 \text{ cm}^3)$ . The mixture was stirred for 1.5 h and then AgClO<sub>4</sub> (43 mg, 207 µmol) was added. Stirring was continued overnight and the precipitated AgCl was removed by filtration through Celite. The dark filtrate was concentrated in vacuo to ca. 0.5 cm<sup>3</sup>. Addition of  $Et_2O$  (5 cm<sup>3</sup>), filtration and washing with  $Et_2O$  (3 × 1 cm<sup>3</sup>) gave the product as a black solid. Yield: 162 mg (92%). C<sub>41</sub>H<sub>43</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>P<sub>2</sub>Ru (847.7): calcd. C 58.09, H 5.11, N 1.65; found C 56.88, H 4.85, N 2.05. <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 21.86$  (s, P<sub>A</sub>), 36.89 (s, P<sub>X</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>2</sub>):  $\delta = 2.14$  (s, 6 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 2.64 (br. m, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 3.22 (d, 2 H, CH), 3.43 (m, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.12 (d, 2 H, CH), 4.67  $(ddd, {}^{2}J_{PCH} = 52, {}^{3}J_{PCH} = 15, {}^{3}J_{CH,NH} = 6 \text{ Hz}, 2 \text{ H}, \text{ CH}_{2}), 5.02$ (br. s, 1 H, NH), 5.13 (br. m, 2 H, CH), 6.42 (m, 2 H, ArH), 6.63 (m, 1 H, ArH), 7.75–7.05 (m, 21 H, ArH). IR (KBr disc):  $v_{\rm NH} =$  $3272 \text{ w cm}^{-1}$ ,  $v_{\text{ClO}_4^-} = 1099 \text{ vs cm}^{-1}$ . FAB:  $m/z = 748 \text{ [M} - \text{ClO}_4 \text{]}^+$ .

**[RhCl(\eta^5-C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>5</sub>)(Ph<sub>2</sub>PCH<sub>2</sub>NHC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub>-***P***<sub>X</sub>,***P***<sub>A</sub>)<b>]**[ClO<sub>4</sub>] (14): This orange product was prepared in the same way as the ruthenium compound 13 from [{RhCl( $\mu$ -Cl)( $\eta^5$ -C<sub>5</sub>Me<sub>5</sub>)}<sub>2</sub>] (25 mg, 40  $\mu$ mol), Ph<sub>2</sub>PC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>NHCH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub> (1; 39 mg, 82  $\mu$ mol) and AgClO<sub>4</sub> (17 mg, 82  $\mu$ mol). Yield: 63 mg (93%). Red crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained by layering THF and petroleum ether (60–80) on a dichloromethane solution of 14 for four days. C<sub>41</sub>H<sub>42</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>NO<sub>4</sub>P<sub>2</sub>Rh (848.5): calcd. C 58.03, H 4.99, N 1.65; found C 57.49, H 4.87, N 1.52. IR (KBr disc): v<sub>NH</sub> = 3332m cm<sup>-1</sup>, v<sub>ClO4</sub><sup>-</sup> = 1095vs cm<sup>-1</sup>. FAB: *m/z* = 748 [M - ClO<sub>4</sub>]<sup>+</sup>.

[Ph<sub>2</sub>P{AuCl}CH<sub>2</sub>NHC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>{AuCl}PPh<sub>2</sub>] (15): [AuCl(tht)] (135 mg, 420 µmol) was added to a solution of Ph<sub>2</sub>PC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>NHCH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub> (1;

	2·0.5H <sub>2</sub> O	3	8	9	11	14
Empirical formula	C <sub>31</sub> H <sub>27</sub> NO <sub>2.50</sub> P <sub>2</sub>	C <sub>31</sub> H <sub>27</sub> NP <sub>2</sub> S <sub>2</sub>	C35H27MoNO4P2	C <sub>41</sub> H <sub>43</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub> NP <sub>2</sub> Ru	C41H42Cl2IrNP2	C <sub>41.25</sub> H <sub>42.50</sub> Cl <sub>2.50</sub> NO <sub>4</sub> P <sub>2</sub> Rh
Crystal colour, habit	clear, prism	clear, prism	clear, prism	purple, prism	Orange, block	Red, prism
Crystal dimensions/mm	$0.21\times 0.1\times 0.1$	$0.1\times0.1\times0.08$	$0.16\times0.13\times0.13$	$0.12\times0.1\times0.06$	$0.15\times0.1\times0.1$	$0.18 \times 0.12 \times 0.1$
Crystal system	Monoclinic	Triclinic	Monoclinic	Triclinic	Monoclinic	Triclinic
Space group	C2/c	$P\overline{1}$	$P2_1/c$	$P\overline{1}$	$P2_1/c$	PĪ
a (Å)	26.754(2)	9.6227(3)	14.3816(3)	10.676(2)	18.5451 (2)	12.3348(5)
b (Å)	9.0619(6)	12.3446(4)	9.9445(2)	13.333(3)	9.1119(1)	12.3577(5)
c (Å)	25.046(2)	13.2192(3)	22.5402(4)	13.646(3)	22.3655(1)	14.1891(6)
α (°)	90	108.7150(10)	90	76.527(5)	90	77.3270(10)
β (°)	119.409(2)	110.4580(10)	103.5250(10)	74.373(4)	92.5500(10)	78.15
γ (°)	90	93.9340(10)	90	79.345(5)	90	81.8740(10)
$U(Å^3)$	5289.8(7)	1364.24 (7)	3134.25(11)	1803.6(6)	3775.60(6)	2054.6(2)
Ζ	8	2	4	2	4	2
M	516.48	539.60	683.46	783.67	873.80	724.43
$D_c ({\rm g}{\rm cm}^{-3})$	1.297	1.314	1.448	1.443	1.537	1.406
$\mu (mm^{-1})$	0.196	0.334	0.560	0.702	3.792	0.697
<i>F</i> (000)	2168	564	1392	808	1744	893
Measured reflections	12820	6916	13208	9120	15695	14534
Independent reflections $(R_{int})$	3756 (0.0806)	3882 (0.0176)	4485 (0.0256)	5066 (0.1443)	5376 (0.0367)	5860 (0.0280)
Final R1, $\omega R2[I > 2\sigma(I)]$	0.0566, 0.1316	0.0412, 0.0993	0.0236, 0.0558	0.0842, 0.1770	0.0293, 0.0650	0.0434, 0.1127
Largest difference peak hole $(e^{A^{-3}})$	0.337, -0.448	0.250, -0.230	0.222, -0.171	0.670, -0.882	0.200, -0.254	0.731, -0.528

Table 8. Details of the X-ray data collections and refinements for compounds 2, 3, 8, 9, 11 and 14

100 mg, 210 µmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 cm<sup>3</sup>). The mixture was stirred for 30 minutes and then filtered through Celite. The filtrate was concentrated to ca. 0.5 cm<sup>3</sup>. Addition of 60–80 petroleum ether (10 cm<sup>3</sup>), filtration and washing with Et<sub>2</sub>O (3 × 1 cm<sup>3</sup>) gave the product as a white solid. Yield: 176 mg (90%). C<sub>31</sub>H<sub>27</sub>Au<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>NP<sub>2</sub> (940.3): calcd. C 39.60, H 2.89, N 1.49; found C 39.91, H 2.91, N 1.48. <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 18.64 (s, P<sub>A</sub>), 24.95 (s, P<sub>X</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 4.34 (dd, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>PCH</sub> = 3 Hz, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 4.94 (m, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>NH,CH</sub> = 6, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>PCNH</sub> = <sup>4</sup>J<sub>PCCNH</sub> = 3 Hz, 1 H, NH), 6.61 (m, 1 H, ArH), 6.79 (m, 1 H, ArH), 6.99 (m, 1 H, ArH), 7.58–7.40 (m, 21 H, ArH). IR (KBr disc): v<sub>NH</sub> = 3309m cm<sup>-1</sup>, v<sub>Au-Cl</sub> = 329m cm<sup>-1</sup>. FAB: m/z = 904 [M - Cl]<sup>+</sup>.

[{**RhCl**<sub>2</sub>(**η**<sup>5</sup>-**C**<sub>5</sub>**Me**<sub>5</sub>)}<sub>2</sub>(**Ph**<sub>2</sub>**PCH**<sub>2</sub>**NHC**<sub>6</sub>**H**<sub>4</sub>**PPh**<sub>2</sub>)] (16): This compound was prepared in the same way as compound **10** from a 1:1 molar ratio of [{RhCl(μ-Cl)(**η**<sup>5</sup>-**C**<sub>5</sub>**Me**<sub>5</sub>)}<sub>2</sub>] (93 mg, 150 μmol) and Ph<sub>2</sub>PC<sub>6</sub>H<sub>4</sub>NHCH<sub>2</sub>PPh<sub>2</sub> (**1**; 73 mg, 151 μmol). Yield: 148 mg (90%). C<sub>51</sub>H<sub>57</sub>Cl<sub>4</sub>NP<sub>2</sub>Rh (1093.6): calcd. C 54.33, H 5.25, N 1.28; found C 56.01, H 4.66, N 1.16. <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 27.79 (d, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>Rh,P<sub>A</sub></sub> = 144 Hz, P<sub>A</sub>), 32.44 (d, <sup>1</sup>J<sub>Rh,P<sub>X</sub></sub> = 138 Hz, P<sub>X</sub>). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta$  = 1.23 (d, <sup>4</sup>J<sub>PCH</sub> = 3 Hz, 15 H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 1.27 (d, <sup>4</sup>J<sub>PCH</sub> = 3 Hz, 15 H, CH<sub>3</sub>), 4.90 (dd, <sup>3</sup>J<sub>NH,CH</sub> = 5, <sup>2</sup>J<sub>PCH</sub> = 16 Hz, 2 H, CH<sub>2</sub>), 5.33 (br. s, 1 H, NH), 5.78 (m, 1 H, ArH), 6.28 (m, 1 H, ArH), 6.52 (m, 1 H, ArH), 6.70 (m, 1 H, ArH), 7.89-6.90 (m, 20 H, ArH). IR (KBr disc): v<sub>NH</sub> = 3280m cm<sup>-1</sup>. FAB: *m*/*z* = 1056 [M - Cl]<sup>+</sup>.

**X-ray Crystallography:** Table 8 lists details of data collections and refinements for **2**, **3**, **8**, **9**, **11** and **14**. Data were collected at room temperature using Mo- $K_a$  radiation with a SMART system. Intensities were corrected for Lorentz polarisation and for absorption. The structures were solved by the heavy atom method or by direct methods. The positions of the hydrogen atoms were idealised. Refinements were by full-matrix least-squares based on  $F^2$  using SHELXTL.<sup>[35]</sup> CCDC-172648–172653 (corresponding to compounds **2**, **3**, **8**, **9**, **11**, and **14**, respectively) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge at www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/conts/retrieving.html [or from the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre, 12, Union

Road, Cambridge CB2 1EZ, UK; Fax: (internat.) +44-1223/336-033; E-mail: deposit@ccdc.cam.ac.uk].

### Acknowledgments

We wish to thank the JREI for equipment grants and Johnson Matthey plc for the loan of precious metals. Qingzhi Zhang is indebted to St Andrews University for financial support.

- <sup>[1]</sup> R. J. Puddephatt, Chem. Soc. Rev. 1983, 99-127.
- [2] I. Haiduc, I. S. Dumitrescu, Coord. Chem. Rev. 1986, 69, 127-258.
- [3] B. Chaudret, B. Delavaus, R. Poilblanc, Coord. Chem. Rev. 1988, 86, 191-243.
- <sup>[4]</sup> E. Drent, J. A. M. van-Broekhoven, M. J. Doyle, J. Organomet. Chem. 1991, 417, 235–251.
- [5] C. P. C. M. Dekker, C. J. Elsevier, K. Vrieze, P. W. N. M. van Leeuwen, *Organometallics* **1992**, *11*, 1598–1603.
- [6] E. M. Barranco, M. C. Gimeno, P. G. Jones, A. Laguna, M. D. Villacampa, *Inorg. Chem.* **1999**, *38*, 702-706.
- [7] B. Buriez, I. D. Burns, A. F. Hill, A. J. P. White, D. J. Williams, J. D. E. T. Wilton-Ely, *Organometallics* 1999, 18, 1504–1516.
- [8] B. S. Williams, K. I. Goldberg, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2001, 123, 2576–2587.
- [9] A. R. J. Genge, N. J. Hill, W. Levason, G. Reid, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2001, 1007–1012.
- [<sup>10]</sup> F. Agbossoll, J.-F. Carpentier, F. Hapiot, I. Suisse, A. Mortreux, *Coord. Chem. Rev.* **1998**, *178*, 1615–1645.
- [<sup>11]</sup> B. C. Hamann, J. F. Hartwig, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1998, 120, 7369-7370.
- [12] M. E. G. Mosquera, J. Ruiz, V. Riera, Organometallics 2000, 19, 5533-5536.
- <sup>[13]</sup> K. Pignat, J. Vallotto, F. Pinna, G. Strukul, *Organometallics* 2000, 19, 5160-5167.
- <sup>[14]</sup> C. A. Carraz, E. J. Ditzel, A. G. Orpen, D. D. Ellis, P. G. Pringle, G. J. Sunley, *Chem. Commun.* **2000**, 1277–1278.
- [<sup>15]</sup> G. Tsiavaliaris, S. Haubrich, C. Merckle, J. Blumel, Synlett 2001, 3, 391–393.
- <sup>[16]</sup> M. K. Copper, J. M. Downes, P. A. Duckworth, E. R. T. Tiekink, *Aust. J. Chem.* **1992**, *45*, 595–609.

# FULL PAPER

- <sup>[17]</sup> S. M. Aucott, A. M. Z. Slawin, J. D. Woollins, J. Organomet. Chem. **1999**, 582, 83–89.
- <sup>[18]</sup> S. M. Aucott, A. M. Z. Slawin, J. D. Woollins, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2001, 2279–2287.
- <sup>[19]</sup> S. T. Lin, H. S. Choe, L. S. Liu, J. C. Wang, J. Organomet. Chem. 2000, 610, 1–7.
- <sup>[20]</sup> X. Dai, S. Virgil, Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1999, 10, 25-29.
- <sup>[21]</sup> H. J. Drexler, W. Baumann, A. Spannenberg, C. Fischer, D. Heller, J. Organomet. Chem. 2001, 621, 89–102.
- <sup>[22]</sup> K.-H. Wong, M. C.-W. Chan, C.-M. Che, *Chem. Eur. J.* 1999, 5, 2845–2849.
- <sup>[23]</sup> S. E. Durran, M. B. Smith, A. M. Slawin, J. W. Steed, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 2000, 2771–2778.
- <sup>[24]</sup> E. Linder, M. Mohr, F. Christiane, R. Fawzi, G. Henkel, J. Organomet. Chem. 2000, 595, 166–177.
- <sup>[25]</sup> M. K. Cooper, P. A. Duckworth, T. W. Hambley, G. J. Organ, K. Henrick, M. Mcpartlin, A. Pardkh, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. 1989, 1067–1073.
- <sup>[26]</sup> J. G. Toerien, P. H. van Rooyen, J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans. **1991**, 1563–1568.

- [27] H. Hellmann, J. Bader, H. Birkner, O. Schumacher, Justus Liebigs Ann. Chem. 1962, 49–63.
- <sup>[28]</sup> J. X. McDermott, J. F. White, G. M. Whitesides, J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1976, 98, 6521–6528.
- <sup>[29]</sup> D. Drew, J. T. Doyle, Inorg. Synth. 1972, 13, 47-55.
- <sup>[30]</sup> H. C. Clark, L. E. Manzer, J. Organomet. Chem. **1973**, 59, 411-428.
- <sup>[31]</sup> Inorganic Experiments (Ed.: J. D. Woollins), VCH, Weinheim, 1994, 110–112.
- [<sup>32]</sup> R. Uson, A. Laguna, M. Laguna, *Inorg. Synth.* 1989, 26, 85–91.
- <sup>[33]</sup> C. White, A. Yates, P. M. Maitlis, *Inorg. Synth.* **1992**, *29*, 228–234.
- <sup>[34]</sup> L. Porri, M. C. Ballazzi, A. Columbo, G. Allegra, *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1965**, 47, 4187–4189.
- <sup>[35]</sup> G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXTL, Bruker AXS, Madison, WI, **1999**.

Received October 31, 2001 [I01430]