

A Practical Enantioselective Synthesis of Odanacatib, a Potent Cathepsin K Inhibitor, via Triflate Displacement of an α-Trifluoromethylbenzyl Triflate

Paul D. O'Shea,^{*,†} Cheng-yi Chen,[‡] Danny Gauvreau,[†] Francis Gosselin,[†] Greg Hughes,[†] Christian Nadeau,[†] and Ralph P. Volante[‡]

Department of Process Research, Merck Frosst Centre for Therapeutic Research, P.O. Box 1005, Pointe-Claire-Dorval, Québec, H9R 4P8, Canada, and Merck Research Laboratories, P.O. Box 2000, Rahway, New Jersey 07065

paul_oshea@merck.com

Received September 12, 2008



An enantioselective synthesis of the Cathepsin K inhibitor odanacatib (MK-0822) **1** is described. The key step involves the novel stereospecific $S_N 2$ triflate displacement of a chiral α -trifluoromethylbenzyl triflate **9a** with (*S*)- γ -fluoroleucine ethyl ester **3** to generate the required α -trifluoromethylbenzyl amino stereocenter. The triflate displacement is achieved in high yield (95%) and minimal loss of stereochemistry. The overall synthesis of **1** is completed in 6 steps in 61% overall yield.

Introduction

Osteoporosis is a disease characterized by excessive bone loss causing skeletal fragility and an increased risk of fracture. One in two women and one in eight men over the age of 50 will have an osteoporotic fracture.¹ Cathepsin K is a recently discovered² member of the papain superfamily of cysteine proteases that is abundantly expressed in osteoclasts, the cells responsible for bone resorption.³ Bone is a living tissue that is remodeled every five to seven years in a dynamic process governed by the balance between bone formation and resorption in which osteoblasts and osteoclasts play a pivotal role. The abundant and selective expression of Cathepsin K in osteoclasts has made it an attractive therapeutic target for the treatment of osteoporosis.⁴

Odanacatib (MK-0822) **1** has been identified as a potent and selective inhibitor of Cathepsin K.⁵ We were interested in developing chemistry suitable for preparing kilogram quantities

(1) Lindsay, R.; Meunier, P. J. Osteoporosis Int. 1998, 8, S1.

(2) (a) Bossard, M. J.; Tomaszek, T. A.; Thompson, S. K.; Amegadzie, B. Y.; Hanning, C. R.; Jones, C.; Kurdyla, J. T.; McNulty, D. E.; Drake, F. H.; Gowen, M.; Levy, M. A. J. Biol. Chem. **1996**, 271, 12517. (b) Bromme, D.; Okamoto, K.; Wang, B. B.; Biroc, S. J. Biol. Chem. **1996**, 271, 2126. of 1 in an effort to further explore its pharmacological properties. Herein, we report our efforts to develop a practical, enantioselective, chromatography-free synthesis of 1 on a multikilogram scale.

We envisioned three possible routes for the preparation of **1** and the retrosynthetic analysis is outlined in Figure 1. The first route (A) requires an unprecedented nucleophilic displacement of an appropriately activated chiral α -trifluoromethylbenzyl alcohol with an α -amino ester. The second approach (B) relies on the diastereoselective reductive amination of an aryl trifluoromethyl ketone with an amino acid derivative. The third approach (C) requires nucleophilic displacement of an activated chiral α -hydroxy ester⁶ with a chiral α -trifluoromethylbenzyl amine. Previous reports from our laboratories have described methodologies attesting to the viability of approaches B⁷ and C⁸ for the synthesis of **1**. Therefore, herein we report our efforts to investigate the nucleophilic displacement approach A for the preparation of **1**.

[†] Merck Frosst Centre for Therapeutic Research.

[‡] Merck Research Laboratories, Rahway.

⁽³⁾ Cai, J.; Jamieson, C.; Moir, J.; Rankovic, Z. *Expert Opin. Ther. Pat.* 2005, 15, 33.

⁽⁴⁾ Tezuka, K.; Tezuka, Y.; Maejima, A. J. Biol. Chem. 1994, 269, 1106.

⁽⁵⁾ Gauthier, J. Y.; Chauret, N.; Cromlish, W.; Desmarais, S.; Duong, L. T.; Falgueyret, J. P.; Kimmel, D. B.; Lamontagne, S.; Léger, S.; LeRiche, T.; Li, C. S.; Massé, F.; McKay, D. J.; Nicoll-Griffith, D.; Oballa, R. M.; Palmer, J. T.; Percival, D.; Riendeau, D.; Robichaud, J.; Rodan, G. A.; Rodan, S. B.; Seto, C.; Thérien, M.; Truong, V. L.; Venuti, M.; Wesolowski, G.; Young, R. N.; Zamboni, R.; Black, W. C. *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.* **2008**, *18*, 923.

⁽⁶⁾ Effenberger, F.; Burkard, U.; Willfahrt, J. *Liebigs Ann. Chem.* 1986, 314.
(7) Hughes, G.; Devine, P. N.; Naber, J. R.; O'Shea, P. D.; Foster, B. S.; McKay, D. J.; Volante, R. P. *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.* 2007, 46, 1839.



FIGURE 2. Displacement of activated alcohols.

Results and Discussion

The introduction of a fluorine in place of a hydrogen in an organic molecule can have a profound effect on its biological properties.⁹ Recently, the trifluoroethylamine functionality has been proposed as an amide bond surrogate in peptidomimetics.¹⁰ As such, the synthesis of chiral fluoroalkyl amines has received considerable attention in the literature and a number of methodologies have been reported.¹¹ The nucleophilic displacement of activated benzylic alcohols is a well-studied transformation in organic chemistry.¹² The stereospecific S_N2 displacement of activated chiral secondary benzylic alcohols is an attractive strategy for the preparation of optically active substrates.

(11) (a) Gosselin, F.; O'Shea, P. D.; Roy, S.; Reamer, R. A.; Chen, C.-y.; Volante, R. P. Org. Lett. **2005**, 7, 355, and the references cited therein.

(12) For detailed discussion, see: (a) March, J. Advanced Organic Chemistry, 4th ed.; J. Wiley & Sons: New York, 1992; p 293. (b) Allen, A. D.; Kanagasabapathy, V. M.; Tidwell, T. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1985**, 107, 4513. (c) Richard, J. P.; Jencks, W. P. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1984**, 106, 1383. However, despite some reported successes,¹³ its practical use remains limited due to racemization as a result of competing S_N1 and S_N2 pathways. Stereospecific displacements have been reported with use of electron-deficient aromatic or heteroaromatic¹⁴ substrates where $S_N 1$ pathways are disfavored through generation of destabilized carbocation intermediates. Due to the strong electron-withdrawing property of the CF₃ group, we were particularly interested in nucleophilic displacements of activated chiral alcohols such as 9, which would provide easy access to the desired functionalized amino esters 10 (Figure 2). A survey of the literature revealed scant reference to displacement reactions of α -trifluoromethyl benzyl substrates. Tidwell and co-workers¹⁵ have reported solvolysis studies of 1-aryl-2,2,2trifluoroethyl sulfonates. β -Trifluoromethyltyrosine derivatives have been reported in racemic fashion via displacement of 1-chloro-2,2,2-trifluoroethyl phenols.¹⁶ Fuchikami et al. have reported17 clean S_N2 displacement of optically active alkyltrifluoroalkyl triflates under mild conditions using benzoic acid

^{(8) (}a) Nadeau, C.; Gosselin, F.; O'Shea, P. D.; Davies, I. W.; Volante, R. P. *Synlett* **2006**, 291. (b) Gosselin, F.; O'Shea, P. D.; Roy, S.; Reamer, R. A.; Chen, C.-y.; Volante, R. P. *Org. Lett.* **2005**, *7*, 355.

^{(9) (}a) Mikami, K.; Itho, Y.; Yamanaka, M. Chem. Rev. 2004, 104, 1. (b) Iseki, K. Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 13887. (c) Resnati, G., Soloshonok, V. A., Eds. Tetrahedron Symposia-in-Print No. 58. Fluoroorganic Chemistry: Synthetic Challenges and Biomedical Rewards. Tetrahedron 1996, 52, 1. (d) Fluorine Containing Amino Acids: Synthesis and Properties; Kuhar, V. P., Soloshonok, V. A., Eds.; Wiley: Chichester, UK, 1994. (e) Bravo, P.; Resnati, G. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 1990, 1, 661.

⁽¹⁰⁾ Sani, M.; Volonterio, A.; Zanda, M. *ChemMedChem* **2007**, *2*, 1693.

^{(13) (}a) Hillier, M. C.; Marcoux, J. F.; Zhao, D.; Grabowski, E. J. J.;
McKeown, A. E.; Tillyer, R. D. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 8385. (b) Hillier, M. C.;
Desrosiers, J. N.; Marcoux, J. F.; Grabowski, E. J. J. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 573. (c)
Bolshan, Y.; Chen, C. Y.; Chilenski, J.; Gosselin, F.; Mathre, D. J.; O'Shea,
P. D.; Roy, A.; Tillyer, R. D. Org. Lett. 2004, 6, 111.

^{(14) (}a) Uenishi, J.; Hamada, M.; Aburatani, S.; Matsui, K.; Yonemitsu, O.; Tsukube, H. *J. Org. Chem.* **2004**, *69*, 6781. (b) Lim, C.; Kim, S.-H.; Yoh, S.-D.; Fujio, M.; Tsuno, Y. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1997**, *38*, 3243.

 ⁽¹⁵⁾ Allen, A. D.; Ambidge, A. I.; Che, C.; Micheal, H.; Muir, R. J.; Tidwell,
 T. T. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1983, 105, 2343.

⁽¹⁶⁾ Gong, Y.; Kato, K. J. Fluorine Chem. 2003, 121, 141.

JOC Article





as a nucleophile. In addition, the displacement of secondary benzylic mesylates has been reported; however, there are no details describing the stereospecificity of these reactions.

Chiral alcohol **2a** was accessed in a straightforward manner via oxazaborolidine-catalyzed enantioselective reduction¹⁸ of ketone **5a**. Thus, treatment of a solution of **5a** with 2.5 mol % of *n*-Bu-OAB and catechol borane gave **2a** in 96% isolated yield and 92% ee. To study the effect of the aromatic ring substitution on the activation and subsequent amino ester displacement, biphenyl methylsulfide alcohol **2b** and methyl sulfone **2c** were also prepared in high yield via Suzuki¹⁹ cross coupling with the requisite boronic acids. We began our studies with an investigation of various activating groups using commercially available L-leucine ester as a model amino ester (Figure 2).

We first evaluated biaryl sulfone alcohol 2c as a substrate for the S_N2 reaction. Activation of alcohol 2c as its corresponding mesylate or tosylate and reaction with 2 equiv of L-leucine methyl ester gave none of the desired product in a variety of solvents at temperatures from 25 to 100 °C. Higher temperatures (150 °C) led to rapid (<10 min) and complete decomposition of the starting material. Activation of the alcohol 2c (90% ee) as its corresponding triflate followed by reaction with L-leucine methyl ester (2 equiv) with K_2CO_3 in 1,2-dichloroethane (DCE) at 50 °C did give the desired displacement product; however, significant erosion of stereochemistry at the benzylic center (20% ee) was observed. An evaluation of various solvents (iPAc, CH₃CN, THF) and bases (TEA, DIEA) failed to improve the outcome of this reaction and in most cases led to multiple byproducts with either decreased conversion and/or nearly complete erosion of optical purity.

Activation of alcohol **2b** with triflic anhydride or triflic chloride proved problematic, presumably due to competing thioether sulfonylation and subsequent decomposition. By comparison, the corresponding mesylate, tosylate, brosylate, nosylate, and tresylate were readily prepared in high yield and evaluated directly in the nucleophilic displacement reaction. The mesylate and tosylate were unreactive toward displacement under a variety of conditions, with hydrolysis or decomposition occurring at higher temperatures. Moderate to good conversion was observed in acetonitrile with K_2CO_3 at 40–80 °C for the brosylate (50%), nosylate (80%), and tresylate (82%); however, in all cases the product obtained was racemic at the benzylic center.

We then focused our efforts on the displacement of 1-(4-bromophenyl)-2', 2', 2'-trifluoroethanol**2a**. As in the case of**2b**

and 2c, the corresponding mesylate 9aa and tosylate 9ab were unreactive under a variety of reaction conditions. Therefore, we investigated more reactive leaving groups. The nosylateactivated alcohol 9ac afforded hydrolysis product under a variety of reaction conditions. The corresponding tresvlate 9ac afforded a 42% conversion to the desired compound in a 5:1 diastereomeric ratio; however, all attempts to improve the conversion resulted in lower selectivity and/or decomposition. High conversion was observed with the triflate 9a; however, in the initial experiments significant erosion of enantiometic excess was observed at the benzylic center. In an effort to improve on the stereochemical outcome, a number of reaction parameters were evaluated. Solvents such as DMSO, DMF, NMP, DMPU, and toluene were found to be unsuitable due to their reaction with the triflate. The reaction did proceed in *i*PAc, CH₃CN, PhNO₂, THF, PhCl, CH₂Cl₂, c-hexane, and n-Bu₂O to varying degrees of success. An evaluation of bases indicated that *i*-Pr₂NEt, Et₃N, 2,6-lutidine, K₃PO₄, and K₂CO₃ all gave high conversion. Stability studies on the triflate 9a with chiral HPLC to measure the ee of unreacted triflate indicated that the loss of ee at the benzylic center was due to racemization of the triflate as the reaction progressed. The racemization was promoted by increasing temperature, the use of more polar solvents, and the presence of soluble triflate salts. Therefore, we were delighted to find that treatment of triflate 9a with (S)- γ -fluoroleucine ethyl ester 3^{20} and K₂CO₃ in *c*-hexane at 70 °C led to high conversion to the desired product with minimal erosion of ee at the benzylic center. Thus treatment of **2a** (92% ee) with Tf_2O in *c*-hexane with 2,6-lutidine at 0-10 °C gave the desired triflate 9a in >95% yield with no loss of ee as a c-hexane solution after aqueous workup. Addition of K₂CO₃ and **3** followed by heating at 65-70 °C for 18-24 h yielded **10a** in 95% yield and 84% de (Scheme 1).

An evaluation of cross-coupling conditions between bromo ester **10a** and boronic acid **11** with $Pd(OAc)_2$ and various ligands Ph_3P , $(o-tol)_3P$, $(2-furyl)_3P$, $(Cy)_2P$ -biaryl, and $(t-Bu)_2$ -biaryl

(19) Miyaura, N.; Suzuki, A. Chem. Rev. 1995, 95, 2457.

^{(17) (}a) Hagiwara, T.; Tanaka, K.; Fuchikami, T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1996**, *37*, 8187. (b) Hagiwara, T.; Ishizuka, M.; Fuchikami, T. *Nippon Kagaku Kaishi* **1998**, *11*, 750.

^{(18) (}a) Corey, E. J.; Bakshi, R. K. Tetrahedron Lett. **1990**, *31*, 611. (b) Corey, E. J.; Helal, C. J. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. **1998**, *37*, 1986.

^{(20) (}a) Padmakshan, D.; Bennett, S. A.; Otting, G.; Easton, C. J. Synlett **2007**, 1083. (b) Truong, V. L.; Gauthier, J. Y.; Boyd, M.; Roy, B.; Scheigetz, J. Synlett **2005**, 1279. (c) Limanto, J.; Shafiee, A.; Devine, P. N.; Upadhyay, V.; Desmond, R. A.; Foster, B. R.; Gauthier, D. R.; Reamer, R. A.; Volante, R. P. J. Org. Chem. **2005**, 70, 2372.



FIGURE 3. Ester hydrolysis impurities.

revealed high conversion and purity with use of $(o\text{-tol})_3P$. Thus treatment of bromide **10a** with boronic acid **11** in the presence of Pd(OAc)₂ (0.5 mol %), $(o\text{-tol})_3P$ (1.25 mol %), and aqueous Na₂CO₃ in THF at 65 °C for 2 h gave >99% conversion (93% assay yield by HPLC) of the desired biaryl **12** (Scheme 1).

Several reagents were investigated for the hydrolysis of the ethyl ester 12. Potassium trimethylsilanolate (TMSOK) in THF at 20 °C afforded good conversion to the desired acid 12a but caused extensive epimerization ($\sim 10\%$) at the amino ester stereocenter. Lithium hydroperoxide afforded only low conversion ($\sim 20\%$) even after extended reaction time. NaOH gave the desired acid but generated up to 10% of hydroxyl acid 14 (Figure 3). KOH led to a much slower hydrolysis and caused 1-5% epimerization of the amino ester stereocenter. Interestingly, LiOH gave complete conversion to the desired acid within a few hours at reflux in THF with a lower amount of hydroxy acid 14 and no epimerization was observed with HPLC analysis. We focused our efforts on a one-pot Suzuki coupling/hydrolysis procedure to increase the efficiency of the process. Thus, on completion of the Suzuki reaction powdered LiOH·H₂O (5 equiv) was added to the reaction mixture at 20 °C. The saponification was completed after 18 h at 35 °C and following extractive workup, the desired acid 12a was isolated in 91% yield (over two steps) and 84% de (Scheme 1). It was necessary to store the acid at <4 °C to prevent formation of the undesired lactone 15 (Figure 3).

A number of conditions were investigated for the amidation of acid 12a with cyclopropylaminonitrile 13. Activation of the acid 12a with oxalyl chloride or thionyl chloride followed by treatment with amine hydrochloride 13 led to low yields of 1. Similarly, activation as a mixed anhydride with pivaloyl chloride did not give useful yields of 1. High conversion and yields were obtained with a number of peptide coupling agents most notably phosphonium coupling reagent PyBOP and uronium coupling reagents TBTU and HATU. Thus, treatment of 12a and 13 with HATU and DIEA in DMAc at 5 °C led to high conversion to the desired amide 1. Addition of water directly to the reaction mixture crystallized 1 in 79% isolated yield with an efficient rejection of the minor diastereoisomer to give an upgrade in de from 84% to 98.5%. A final recrystallization from THF/water provided an additional purity and diastereomeric upgrade yielding 1 with 97% recovery and >99.5% de.

Conclusion

Odanacatib (MK-0822) **1** was synthesized in six steps and 61% overall yield from commercially available 1-(4-bromophenyl)-2,2,2-trifluoroethanone (**5a**) without the need for chromatography. The key step is a novel triflate displacement of (1*R*)-1-(4-bromophenyl)-2,2,2-trifluoroethyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (**9a**) with (*S*)- γ -fluoroleucine ethyl ester **3**, which provides **10a** with minimal loss of ee at the benzylic center. Subsequent Suzuki cross coupling, saponification, and amidation complete the synthesis.

Experimental Section

(1R)-1-(4-Bromophenyl)-2,2,2-trifluoroethanol (2a). Catecholborane (9.49 L, 2.0 M in toluene, 18.97 mol, 1.6 equiv) was cooled to -50 °C. (S)-Butyloxazaborolidine (988 mL, 0.3 M solution in toluene, 0.30 mol, 2.5 mol %) was added over 30 min and the mixture was cooled to -70 °C. A solution of 1-(4bromophenyl)-2,2,2-trifluoroethanone 5a (3.00 kg, 11.86 mol) in toluene (9.0 L) was added to the reaction mixture over 3 h. The reaction mixture was warmed to -55 °C over 2 h, maintained at this temperature for 4 h, then gradually warmed to 15 °C over 14 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to -20 °C then toluene (2 L) was added, followed by aqueous 1 N HCl (30 L) over 10 min. The batch was warmed to 20 °C and the layers were separated. The organic layer was successively washed with aqueous 2 M Na₂CO₃ $(3 \times 15 \text{ L})$, aqueous 1 N HCl (15 L), and H₂O (2 × 15 L). The batch was concentrated under reduced pressure (35 °C), flushed with toluene (8 L), and kept as a toluene solution. HPLC assay indicated 2.9 kg of trifluoromethyl alcohol 2a in 96% yield, 92.4% ee: mp 55–56 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.55 (d, 2H, J = 8.5 Hz), 7.35 (d, 2H, J = 8.3 Hz), 5.02–4.98 (m, 1H), 2.64 (d, 1H, J = 4.0 Hz); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 133.2, 132.2, 129.5, 124.3 (q, J = 282.1 Hz), 124.2, 72.6 (q, J = 32.4 Hz); ¹⁹F NMR (375 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -78.5; IR (NaCl cm⁻¹) 3374, 3075, 2945, 2880, 1593, 1492, 1402, 1335, 1247, 1195, 1124, 1096; $[\alpha]^{20}{}_D$ -27.5 (c 1.06, EtOH); HPLC Zorbax Rx-C8 4.6 mm \times 25 cm column; eluants (A) 0.1% aqueous H₃PO₄ and (B) acetonitrile; 2 mL/min; gradient A/B 70:30 to 5:95 over 25 min; $\lambda = 220$ mn; temperature 35 °C; t_R (ketone) = 7.4 min, t_R (alcohol) = 9.4 min; SFC (chiral) Chiralcel OJ 4.6 mm \times 25 cm column; eluants (A) 2-propanol and (B) CO₂; 2 mL/min; gradient A/B 1:99 for 4 min to 20:80 over 12.7 min; $\lambda = 220$ mn; temperature 35 °C; $t_{\rm R}((R)$ -2a) = 10.2 min, $t_{R}((S)-2a)$ = 10.9 min, 92.4% ee. Anal. Calcd for C₈H₆BrF₃O: C, 37.68; H, 2.37. Found: C, 37.44; H, 2.10.

(1R)-1-(4-Bromophenyl)-2,2,2-trifluoroethyl Trifluoromethanesulfonate (9a). A solution of bromo alcohol 2a (2.13 kg, 5.15 mol, 1.0 equiv, 92% ee) and 2,6-lutidine (0.88 kg, 8.24 mol, 1.6 equiv) in c-hexane (5 L) was cooled to -10 °C. Triflic anhydride (2.18 kg, 7.7 mol, 1.5 equiv) was added over \sim 30 min at a rate to maintain the temperature <10 °C. The reaction mixture was aged for 90 min maintaining the temperature at 0-10 °C. Water (5.6 L) and c-hexane (5.6 L) were added. The aqueous layer was separated and the organic layer was washed with aqueous 1 N HCl (1×5.6 L, 2×2.6 L) and water (5.6 L). Following layer separation the c-hexane was removed under reduced pressure to yield 3.12 kg of 9a in c-hexane, 95% yield, 91.7% ee: ¹H NMR (400 MHz, acetone d_6) δ 7.83–7.79 (m, 2 H), 7.70 (d, 2H, J = 8.4 Hz), 6.74 (q, 1 H, J = 5.9 Hz); ¹³C (125 MHz, benzene- d_6) δ 132.5, 129.4, 126.8, 126.2, 121.6 (q, J = 280 Hz), 118.6 (q, J = 323 Hz), 81.9 (q, J = 36 Hz); ¹⁹F NMR (377 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ -80.3 (s, 3F), -81.7 (d, 3F, J = 31 Hz); HRMS (ESI) calcd for C₉H₆BrF₆O₃S [MH]⁺ 388.0976, found 388.0976; [α]²⁰_D -84 (*c* 1.7, hexanes); HPLC Zorbax SBC18 4.6 mm \times 25 cm column; eluants (A) 0.1% aqueous H₃PO₄ and (B) acetonitrile; 2 mL/min; gradient A/B 50:0 to 5:95 over 10 min, hold 5 min; $\lambda = 220$ nm; temperature 25 °C; $t_{\rm R}({\rm alcohol}) = 4.0 \text{ min}, t_{\rm R}({\rm triflate}) = 7.9 \text{ min}: {\rm chiral HPLC Chiralpak}$ AD 4.6 mm \times 25 cm column; eluant hexane 100%; 1 mL/min; λ = 220 nm; temperature 25 °C; $t_{R}((S)-9a) = 10.4 \text{ min}, t_{R}((R)-9a)$ = 11.6 min, 91.7% ee.

Ethyl *N*-[(1*S*)-1-(4-Bromophenyl)-2,2,2-trifluoroethyl]-4-fluoro-L-leucinate (10a). Potassium carbonate (1.19 kg, 8.59 mol, 1.5 equiv) was added to a mixture of triflate **9a** (3.12 kg, 5.7 mol, 1.0 equiv, 91.7% ee), *c*-hexane (2 L), and amino ester (1.48 kg, 8.02 mol, 1.4 equiv, 96% ee). The mixture was heated to 65–70 °C and aged for 24 h. The mixture was cooled to 20 °C and *c*-hexane (5.6 L) and water (5.6 L) were added, then the mixture stirred for 10 min. The layers were separated; the organic layer was washed with aqueous 1 N HCl (1 × 5.6 L, 2 × 2.5 L) and water (5 L). The organic layer was concentrated under reduced pressure to yield 2.6 kg of 10a, 95% yield, 84% de (19F NMR). A sample was purified by flash chromatography (5% EtOAc/hexanes) for purposes of characterization: mp 34.5-35.6 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.51 (d, 2H, J = 8 Hz), 7.26 (d, 2H, J = 8 Hz), 4.10–3.97 (m, 3H), 3.61 (br s, 1H), 2.13 (br s, 1H), 2.07 (ddd, 1H, J = 18.5, 14.7, 5 Hz), 1.87 (ddd, 1H, J = 21, 14, 8 Hz), 1.46 (d, 3H, J = 17 Hz), 1.42 (d, 3H, J = 18 Hz), 1.18 (t, 3H, J = 7 Hz); ¹³C (100 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 174.1, 133.6, 131.8, 130.0, 125.1 (q, J = 282 Hz), 123.2, 94.7 (d, J = 156 Hz), 62.7 (q, J = 27 Hz), 61.1, 57.0 (d, J = 4 Hz), 44.4 (d, J = 23 Hz), 27.5 (d, J = 24 Hz), 26.5 (d, J =25 Hz), 13.9; ¹⁹F (377 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -78.5 (d, 3F, J = 7 Hz), -140.4 (nonet, 1F, J = 21 Hz); IR (film, cm⁻¹) 1729, 1490, 1370, 1258, 1158, 1123; [α]²⁰_D +37.5 (*c* 1.9, CHCl₃); HPLC Zorbax SB-C18 4.6 mm \times 25 cm column; eluants (A) 0.1% aqueous H₃PO₄ and (B) acetonitrile; 2 mL/min; gradient A/B 50:50 to 5:95 over 10 min, hold 5 min; $\lambda = 220$ nm; temperature 25 °C; $t_{\rm R}$ (bromo amine 10a (major diastereoisomer)) = 5.5 min, $t_{\rm R}$ (bromoamine 10a (minor diastereoisomer)) = 5.8 min. Anal. Calcd for C₁₆H₂₀BrF₄NO₂: C, 46.39; H, 4.87; N, 3.38. Found: C, 46.22; H, 4.61; N, 3.47.

Ethyl 4-Fluoro-N-{(1S)-2,2,2-trifluoro-1-[4'-(methylsulfonyl)biphenyl-4-yl]ethyl}-L-leucinate (12). A visually clean 5-necked 50-L round-bottomed flask was charged with crude bromo ester 10a (1.85 kg, 4.46 mol) and THF (9.0 L). With stirring, pmethylphenylsulfone boronic acid (1.16 kg, 5.79 mol) and tri-otolylphosphine (17.0 g, 0.056 mol) were added. The solution was degassed with N₂ bubbling for 20 min. Pd(OAc)₂ (5.01 g, 0.022 mol) was added to the mixture and the solution was aged 20 min. Degassed 1 M aqueous Na₂CO₃ (6.7 L, 6.7 mol) was added to the THF solution. The biphasic mixture was heated to reflux (67 °C) under N₂ atmosphere and maintained at this temperature for 2 h. The reaction reached 99.8% conversion (by HPLC). The biphasic mixture was cooled to 35 °C and used directly for the hydrolysis of the ester. The HPLC assay yield of biaryl 12 was 1.98 kg, 92.7%, de 85% (by ¹⁹F NMR): mp 102.9–103.6 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ 8.03 (d, 2H, J = 8.4 Hz), 7.94 (d, 2H, J = 8.4 Hz), 7.79 (2H, d, J = 8.2 Hz), 7.62 (2H, d, J = 8.2 Hz), 4.46 (m, 1 H), 3.89 (qd, 2H, J = 5.9, 2.1 Hz), 3.65 (m, 1 H), 3.16 (s, 3 H), 2.69 (m, 1 H), 2.11-1.93 (m, 2 H), 1.46 (d, 3H, J = 21.5 Hz), 1.42 (d, 3H, J = 21.5 Hz), 1.08 (t, 3H, J = 7.1 Hz); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ 174.2, 145.4, 140.6, 139.9, 135.8, 129.7, 128.3, 128.1, 127.8, 126.2 (q, J = 282 Hz), 94.9 (d, J = 166 Hz), 63.0 (q, J = 29 Hz), 60.8, 57.9, 44.4 (d, J = 24 Hz), 43.9, 27.8 (d, J = 24 Hz), 26.1 (d, J = 24 Hz), 13.8; ¹⁹F NMR (377 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ -78.0 (d, J = 8 Hz), -138.8 (m, J = 23 Hz); IR (NaCl cm⁻¹) 3339, 2984, 2933, 1733, 1598, 1482, 1374, 1304, 1262, 1150; HRMS (ESI) calcd for C₂₃H₂₈F₄NO₄S [MH]⁺ 490.1675, found 490.1673; $[\alpha]_D^{20}$ +47.8 (c 1.0, CH₂Cl₂); HPLC Zorbax Rx-C8 4.6 mm × 25 cm column; eluants (A) 0.1% aqueous H₃PO₄ and (B) acetonitrile; 1.8 mL/min; gradient A/B 90:10 to 10:90 over 15 min, hold 5 min; $\lambda = 220$ nm; temperature 35 °C; $t_{\rm R}$ (bromo amine **10a**) = 9.5 min, $t_{\rm R}$ (biaryl 12 (minor diastereoisomer)) = 12.8 min, $t_{\rm R}$ (biaryl 12 (major diastereoisomer)) = 13 min.

(2S)-4-Fluoro-4-methyl-2-(((1S)-2,2,2-trifluoro-1-(4'-(methylsulfonyl)biphenyl-4-yl))amino)pentanoic Acid (12a). LiOH·H₂O (935.7 g, 22.3 mol) was added to the crude biphenyl ethyl ester 12 (2.18 kg, 4.46 mol) from the Suzuki reaction. The resulting slurry was warmed to 35 °C and vigorously stirred for 19 h. The reaction mixture was cooled to 20 °C and heptane (9 L) and H₂O (9 L) were added. The layers were separated and the black emulsion was kept in the heptane layer. The organic layer was back-extracted with H₂O (4.5 L) and the black emulsion was kept in the organic layer. The combined aqueous layers were washed with toluene (9 L) and the black emulsion was kept in the toluene layer. The toluene layer was back-extracted with H₂O (4.5 L) and the black emulsion was kept in the toluene layer. The combined aqueous layers were washed with MTBE (9 L) and the black emulsion was kept in the MTBE layer. The MTBE layer was back-extracted with H₂O (4.5 L) and the black emulsion was kept in the MTBE layer. Fresh MTBE (9 L) was added to the combined aqueous layers. Aqueous 2 N HCl (15.9 L) was added over 15 min until the pH of the aqueous layer was 2.5. The layers were separated and the brown emulsion was kept in the MTBE layer. The aqueous layer was extracted with MTBE (9 L) and the brown emulsion was kept in the MTBE layer. The combined organic layers were washed with H₂O (9 L) and the brown emulsion was kept in the aqueous layer. Solka-floc (206 g) and activated carbon Darco KB-B (206 g) were added to the MTBE layer and the mixture was vigorously stirred for 1 h at rt. The suspension was then filtered through Solka-Floc and rinsed with MTBE (2 \times 1 L). The brown filtrate was line concentrated under reduced pressure (30 °C), flushed with iPAc (4 L), and concentrated until a beige solid formed. HPLC analysis indicated 1.9 kg of acid 12a in 91% yield over two steps, 84% de: mp 115–117 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.04 (d, 2H, J = 8.3 Hz), 7.78 (d, 2H, J = 8.3 Hz), 7.65 (d, 2H, J = 8.1 Hz), 7.53 (d, 2H, J = 8.1 Hz), 4.30 (q, 1H, J = 7.0 Hz), 3.72 (dd, 1H, J =7.8, 4.3 Hz), 3.12 (s, 3H), 2.20 (ddd, 1 H, *J* = 23.3, 15.0, 4.2 Hz), 1.99 (ddd, 1 H, J = 18.4, 15.1, 8.0 Hz), 1.50 (d, 3H, J = 21.7 Hz), 1.48 (d, 3H, J = 21.7 Hz); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 177.8, 146.1, 140.7, 140.0, 134.5, 129.7, 128.5, 128.4, 125.6 (q, J = 282.3) Hz), 95.8 (d, J = 165.4 Hz), 63.1 (q, J = 29.2 Hz), 56.9, 45.0, 44.1 (d, J = 21.9 Hz), 27.6 (d, J = 24.7 Hz), 27.3 (d, J = 24.4Hz); ¹⁹F NMR (375 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -73.5, -136.9; HRMS (ES) calcd for C₂₁H₂₄F₄NO₄S [MH]⁺ 462.1362, found 462.1362; IR (NaCl, cm⁻¹) 3337, 3032, 2982, 2930, 1733, 1717, 1652, 1597, 1486, 1375, 1303, 1262, 1150; $[\alpha]^{20}_{D}$ + 42.9 (c 1.20, EtOH); conversion, diastereomeric excess and yield were determined by HPLC Phenomenex Synergy Max RP 4.6 mm × 25 cm column; eluants (A) 0.1% aqueous H₃PO₄ and (B) acetonitrile; 1 mL/min; gradient A/B 40:60 to 15:85 over 20 min; $\lambda = 265$ nm; temperature 30 °C; $t_{\rm R}$ (hydroxy acid 13) = 3.8 min, $t_{\rm R}$ (biphenyl acid 12a (minor diastereoisomer)) = 5.3 min, $t_{\rm R}$ (biphenyl acid **12a** (major diastereoisomer)) = 6.1 min, $t_{\rm R}$ (lactone 14) = 6.6 min, $t_{\rm R}$ (biphenyl ester 12) = 9.1 min.

(2S)-N-(1-Cyanocyclopropyl)-4-fluoro-4-methyl-2-({(1S)-2,2,2trifluoro-1-[4'-(methylsulfonyl)biphenyl-4-yl]ethyl}amino)pentanamide (1). To a visually clean 5-necked 50-L round-bottomed flask equipped with a mechanical stirrer, a thermocouple, a dropping funnel, and a nitrogen inlet was added biaryl acid 12a (1.87 kg, 4.0 mol) and DMAc (9.3 L). The solution was cooled to 3 °C and 1-aminocyclopropane carbonitrile hydrochloride (576 g, 4.86 mol) and HATU (1.85 kg, 4.86 mol) were charged to the reactor. The resulting slurry was stirred for 15 min and DIEA (2.1 L, 12.1 mol) was added over 1.5 h maintaining the temperature at <10 °C. HPLC indicated complete reaction at the end of the addition. Water (11.2 L) was added via dropping funnel over 70 min and the slurry that formed was aged for 1 h at 19-20 °C. The mixture was filtered and the filter cake was washed successively with a solution of DMAc:water (9.35 L, 1:1.2), water (18.7 L), 2-propanol (9.3 L), and MTBE (9.3 L). The batch was dried under vacuum at 35 °C to yield 1.67 kg of 1, 79% yield, 98.38 area %, 98.5% de by HPLC.

A visually clean 5-necked 50-L round-bottomed flask equipped with a mechanical stirrer, a thermocouple, a dropping funnel, and a nitrogen inlet was charged with crude 1 (2.56 kg, 4.87 mol). THF (30.7 L) was added, then the batch was warmed to 30 °C and stirred for 1.2 h. Water (20.5 L) was added via dropping funnel. The batch was cooled to 22 $^{\circ}\text{C}$ and seeded with 1 (500 mg). The batch was aged for 1 h at 20-22 °C. A seed bed formed and addition of water (40.9 L) was continued over 1.5 h. The batch was aged at 20 °C for 12 h. The batch was filtered and washed with water (15 L) and dried under vacuum at 35 °C to yield 1 as a white solid (2.50 kg, 97% yield, 99.7 area %, 99.9% de by HPLC): mp 223-224 °C; ¹H NMR (CD₃OD) δ 8.17 (br s, 1H), 8.05 (d, 2H, J = 8.5 Hz), 7.96 (d, 2H, J = 8.5 Hz), 7.80 (d, 2H, J = 8.0 Hz), 7.64 (d, 2H, J = 8.0Hz), 4.43 (m, 1H), 3.55 (ddd, 1H, J = 5.0, 8.5, 8.0 Hz), 3.18 (s, 3H), 2.84 (br m, 1H), 2.02 (m, 2H), 1.46 (d, 3H, J = 21.5 Hz), 1.43 (d, 3H, J = 22.0 Hz), 1.36 (m, 2H), 1.07 (m, 1H), 0.94 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, acetone- d_6) δ 175.2, 146.0, 141.2, 140.6,

JOC Article

136.1, 130.3, 128.9 (q, J = 282.8 Hz), 128.7, 128.6, 128.4, 120.9, 95.9 (d, J = 164.3 Hz), 63.5 (q, J = 30.0 Hz), 59.2 (d, J = 3.5 Hz), 44.8 (d, J = 23.1 Hz), 44.3, 27.5 (d, J = 23.9 Hz), 27.1 (d, J = 24.9 Hz), 20.7, 16.5; ¹⁹F NMR (CD₃OD) δ –73.2, –136.8; IR (cm⁻¹) 3331, 2244, 1687, 1304, 1152; [α]²⁰_D + 23.3 (*c* 0.53, MeOH); HRMS calcd for C₂₅H₂₈F₄N₃O₃S [MH]⁺ 526.1782; found 526.1781; HPLC Phenomenex Spherisorb 4.6 mm × 25 cm column; eluants (A) 0.1% aqueous H₃PO₄ and (B) acetonitrile; 1 mL/min; gradient A/B 60:40 to 30:70 over 30 min; $\lambda = 265$ nm; temperature 45 °C; t_{R} (1 (major diastereoisomer)) = 15.8 min, t_{R} (1 (minor diastereoisomer)) = 16.4 min; HPLC (chiral) Chiralpak AD 4.6 mm × 15 cm column; eluants (A) hexanes, (B) ethanol, and (C) methanol; 1 mL/min; isocratic A/B/C 80:10:10 for 60 min; $\lambda = 265$ nm; temperature 40 °C; $t_{R}((S,S)-1) = 14.5$ min, $t_{R}((R,S)-1) = 11.9$ min, $t_{R}((S,R)-1) = 18.2$ min, $t_{R}((R,R)-1) = 25.3$ min, >99.5% (*S*,*S*).

Supporting Information Available: Copies of ¹H, ¹³C, and ¹⁹F NMR spectra for **2a**, **9a**, **10a**, **12**, **12a**, and **1**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

JO8020314